Thesis (100%)	Distinction		Merit	Pass	Fail	
	5	4	3	2	1	0
(C1) General structure, formatting, and Layout. Grammar and Spelling, Writing Style (5%)	A very good report have been produced showing no deficiencies in the organisation. The work will be of a professional standard.  Excellent and professional writing, few grammatical or spelling mistakes.	A report have been produced of a good structure containing suitable sections and pleasing referencing.  Clear and consistent writing, with few grammatical or spelling errors	A reasonable report have been produced with all required sections. In areas, there will be minor deficiencies such as layout or poor referencing.  Generally good writing, some errors, inconsistent spellings.	The created report is weak in its presentation. Sections required will be present but not addressed at a suitable level.  Writing is not very good, but still understandable, with some errors and inconsistencies.	The report is very poor in presentation with its construction lacking elements of the report (e.g. unsuitable structuring).  Poor, grammar sometimes makes meaning difficult to interpret, Inconsistent spelling, or grammar.	The written document does not adequately represent a report.  Falls far below the standard for submission and is frequently difficult to follow
	9 - 10	7-8	6	5	3 – 4	0 – 2
(C2) Introduction (10%)	Outstanding formulation of a problem, research questions, aim, objectives, justification of the project.	Good formulation of the problem, with all components and enough details, but there are some minor deficiencies in the chapter.	Chapter 1 is written well, but few components are not appropriate. Most components are clear and can be understood.	Satisfactory problem formulation and the project can be understood and justified, but there are some major deficiencies in some components.	Fails to identify and justify the problem, aim and objectives, but there are some efforts to formulate the problem and describe the project aim and objectives.	Very week problem formulation, and there are major deficiencies in most parts of chapter 1
	14 - 15	11 - 13	9 - 10	8	4 - 7	0-3
(C3) Literature Review (15%)	Outstanding evidence of wide and deep systematic review using multiple searches, multiple databases.	Very Careful reviewing, systematic combinations of search terms, with minor deficiencies.	Evidence of reviewing and using appropriate approaches, but the scope is not enough wide and deep.	Incomplete and not systematic, but adequate to identify a part of the literature.	Fails to sufficiently identify the literature to yield an informative review.	Little or no evidence of a systematic approach, incomplete review.
	17 - 20	14- 16	12 - 13	10 – 11	5 - 9	0-4
(C4) Research Methods (20%)	The creative and highly appropriate methodology is clearly articulated and justified.	The methodology is well-argued and justified.	The methodology is explained and appropriate for the project.	An appropriate methodology is broadly outlined, but details are not always clear	The methodology is either not appropriate for the project or is poorly articulated suggesting deficits in understanding.	Missing methodology part or not relevant information is given.
	17 - 20	14- 16	12 - 13	10 – 11	5 - 9	0-4
(C5) Experiments, Implementation, Tools, Development, Simulation etc (20%)	Outstanding experiment or implementation of the method, appropriate tools are professionally used and the details of work is perfectly explained.	Very good experiment and evidence of appropriate implementation or development, use of appropriate tools and resources, with a complete report of experiments.	Good experiments. There are evidence of using relevant tools or development. The experiment and implementation is clearly explained but still could be improved.	Satisfactory level of experiment. The report of implementation is adequate but details of work are not completely given.	There are some evidence of implementation, however, the experiments are in very basic level and the report is not satisfactory.	There is no or very poor evidence of implementation or using of tools. The report is very weak and does not reflect the experiments.
	14 - 15	11 - 13	9 - 10	8	4 - 7	0-3
(C6) Results, Analysis, Findings,	14 - 13	11 - 13	Appropriate analysis and discussion of	Satisfactory results with discussion	There are some discussion on the	Little or no evidence of

Thesis (100%)	Distinction		Merit	Pass	Fail	
	9 - 10	7 – 8	6	5	3 – 4	0-2
(C7) Conclusion, Implication, & Recommendations (10%)	The conclusion is perfect and address all objective are fully meet with outstanding implications and recommendations.	The conclusion is very good and shows objectives are meet. There are good implications and recommendations.	Good conclusion which shows the fulfilment of objectives, but still could be improved. Recommendations are given but needs some improvement.	Conclusion is satisfactory but does not address fully achievement of objectives. Recommendations are partially addressed.	There are some conclusions are recommendations but not very relevant. It does not show the fulfilment of objectives.	No or little evidence of conclusion and recoomendations.
	5	4	3	2	1	0
(C8) Citation & references (5%)	There is full, accurate, and professional citations of very recent sources and reliable references with correct format.	Sources are generally cited correctly, the majority of references are recent and reliable, and format is mostly correct.	Citations are mostly done, and references are up to date, but there are some outdated and non-reliable sources, suitable format.	References and citations are acceptable, but lack of high quality and professional sources, acceptable format with some mistakes.	Inadequate citations of sources, outdated and unreliable references, poor format with many mistakes.	Very poor citations, references, and format.