

Complexification

Idea: $\mathbb{R} =$

$\mathbb{C} =$

\leadsto turn $\rule{1cm}{0.4pt}$ -vs into $\rule{1cm}{0.4pt}$ -vs

Recall: \mathbb{C} is a $\rule{1cm}{0.4pt}$ -vector space (of dim $\rule{1cm}{0.4pt}$)

Def. Let V be \mathbb{R} -vs. Then the _____ of V
denoted _____ is _____

Prop. V a \mathbb{R} -vs with basis $B = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$. Then

1) _____ is a \mathbb{C} -vs (with scalar mult $\alpha \cdot (\quad) = (\quad)$)

2) A \mathbb{C} -basis of V is $B_{\mathbb{C}} =$

(ie $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V =$ _____)

Pf)

$$\text{ex) i) } V = \mathbb{R}^n \leadsto V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

$$\text{ii) } V = M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \leadsto V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim}$$

$$\text{iii) } V = [\mathbb{R}t]_{\leq n} \leadsto V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes [\mathbb{R}t]_{\leq n} \xrightarrow{\sim}$$

Warning: If we view $V = \mathbb{C}$ as a \mathbb{R} -vs then

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} \neq \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

(HW)

The complexification is more than just a \mathbb{C} -vs constructed out of an \mathbb{R} -vs. It is "the best" (universal) one

Thm: V be an \mathbb{R} -vs and W a \mathbb{C} -vs.

Suppose $f: V \rightarrow W$ is an \mathbb{R} -linear map.

Then $\exists!$ \mathbb{C} -linear map $\hat{f}: _ \rightarrow W$
such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{f} & W \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \hat{f} & \\ \mathbb{C} & & \end{array}$$

Now let $g: V \rightarrow V'$ be linear map of \mathbb{R} -vs.

Then by HW, have linear map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{---} : \text{---} \otimes V & \longrightarrow & \text{---} \otimes V' \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{---} & & \text{---} \end{array}$$

(Denote $\text{---} : = \text{---}$)

Thm: Keeping the notation above, let

$B_V = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ basis for V , $B_{V'} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ basis for V' \longrightarrow $B_{V \otimes V'}$ complexified basis

Then $[g]_{B_{V \otimes V'}}^{B_{V \otimes V'}} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$

P&T

Cor: $g: V \rightarrow V'$ linear of \mathbb{R} -vs. Then

$$i) (\ker g)_G =$$

$$ii) g \text{ injective} \Leftrightarrow$$

$$iii) (\operatorname{Im} g)_G =$$

$$iv) g \text{ surjective} \Leftrightarrow$$

Conjugation!

Recall the function $\bar{} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 $z \rightarrow \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$

• then note that, for $r \in \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$

$$(\bar{r}) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$$

On the other hand if $(\bar{z}) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$

then $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$

\leadsto that is we can recover $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ as the

$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$

Def: V be \mathbb{R} -vs. Define the map

$$\tau : \quad \longrightarrow \quad$$

by $\tau (\quad) = \quad$

We call this map the standard on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$

HW) Show the following commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{C}^n \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \overline{(\cdot)} \\ \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{C}^n \end{array}$$

(that is, this "recovers" the standard inner product on \mathbb{C}^n)

Prop: In the set-up above, the fixed points of

$\tau: \underline{\quad} \rightarrow \underline{\quad}$

is the subspace \mathbb{R}^n

Pt)

