

Yiddish of Day

gebentsh zol zayn
du shuten fur velkhn = פֿאַלְכָּבָד אֲכִילָה
es gist der shvitz = גִּיסְטָה שְׁוֵץ

May the sweat from
which the sweat falls off
be blessed

Complexification

Idee: $\mathbb{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$\mathbb{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$

→ turn \mathbb{R} -vs into \mathbb{C} -vs

Recall: \mathbb{C} is a \mathbb{R} -vector space (of dim 2)

Def: Let V be \mathbb{R} -vs. Then the complexification of V denoted $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is $\mathbb{C} \otimes V$

Prop: V a \mathbb{R} -vs with basis $B = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$. Then

1) $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a \mathbb{C} -vs (with scalar mult $a \cdot (z \otimes v) = az \otimes v$)

2) A \mathbb{C} -basis of V is $B_{\mathbb{C}} = (1 \otimes v_1, 1 \otimes v_2, \dots, 1 \otimes v_n)$

(ie $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\mathbb{C}}$)

Pf) For (1) use that $- \otimes -$ is bilinear (over \mathbb{R})
 and that all the field axioms and (1) can give
 us the axioms needed.

(2) Take $\sum(c_i(z_i \otimes v_i))$ with $c_i, z_i \in \mathbb{G}$ and
 $v_i \in V$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \sum c_i(z_i \otimes v_i) &= \sum c_i z_i \otimes v_i \\ &= \sum c_i z_i (1 \otimes v_i) \end{aligned}$$

Now write $v_i = c_0 v_0 + c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n$ \nearrow

Plug in to get that this original tensor is of form

$$\sum d_i (1 \otimes v_i)$$

so $(1 \otimes v_i)$ spans.

To prove linear independence. Define the map

$$\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow V_n$$

$$e_1 \rightarrow 1 \otimes v_1$$

$$e_2 \rightarrow 1 \otimes v_2$$

⋮

$$e_n \rightarrow 1 \otimes v_n$$

This is injective because $1 \otimes v_i \neq 1 \otimes v_j$ for $i \neq j$.

(excl.) By the HW therefore the set

$$(1 \otimes v_1, \dots, 1 \otimes v_n) \Rightarrow \text{LI}$$



$$\text{Ex: i) } V = \mathbb{R}^n \rightsquigarrow V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathbb{C}}$$

$(\gamma \otimes \beta) \mapsto \gamma \beta$
 $(1 \otimes v_i) \rightarrow e_i$

$$\text{ii) } V = M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightsquigarrow V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \quad \text{if } m \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{iii) } V = \mathbb{R}[t]_{\leq n} \rightsquigarrow V_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}[t]_{\leq n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[t]_{\leq n}$$

Warning: If we view $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ as a \mathbb{R} -vs then

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} \not\cong \underline{\mathbb{C}}$$

(HW)

The complexification is more than just a \mathbb{C} -vs constructed out of an \mathbb{R} -vs. It is "the best" (universal) one

Thm: V be an \mathbb{R} -vs and W a \mathbb{C} -vs.

Suppose $f: V \rightarrow W$ is an \mathbb{R} -linear map.

Then $\exists!$ \mathbb{C} -linear map $\tilde{f}: \underline{V_{\mathbb{C}}} \rightarrow W$
such that

$$\begin{aligned} V &\rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}} \\ v &\mapsto 1 \otimes v \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{f} & W \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \tilde{f} & \\ V_{\mathbb{C}} & & \end{array}$$

Now let $S: V \rightarrow V'$ be linear map of \mathbb{R} -vs.

Then by HW, have linear map

$$\underline{S_c}: \underline{\mathbb{C} \otimes V} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C} \otimes V'}$$
$$\quad \quad \quad \parallel \quad \quad \quad \parallel$$
$$\underline{V_c} \quad \quad \quad \underline{V'}$$

(Denote $\underline{S_c} := \underline{1 \otimes S}$)

Turns out this is complex-linear too!

Thm: Keeping the notation above, let

$B_V = (v_1 \dots v_n)$ basis for V, V' $\leadsto B_{V_0}$ complexified basis
 $B_{V'} = (w_1 \dots w_m)$

Then $[g]_{B_{V'}}^{B_V} = \underline{[g_c]_{B_{V_0}}^{B_{V_0'}}$

Pf) Let's denote $g(v_i) = \sum c_j w_j$

Then $g_c(1 \otimes v_i) = 1 \otimes g(v_i)$

$$= 1 \otimes \sum c_j w_j$$

$$= \{c_i (1 \otimes w_i)\}$$

So the columns of the matrix are the sum!

$$\begin{cases} n > 2 \quad m > 2 \\ g(v_1) = c_{11}w_1 + c_{12}w_2 \end{cases}$$

$$g(v_2) = c_{21}w_1 + c_{22}w_2$$

$$\rightarrow g_c(1 \otimes v_1) = 1 \otimes g(v_1) = 1 \otimes (c_{11}w_1 + c_{12}w_2)$$

$$= c_{11}1 \otimes w_1 + c_{12}1 \otimes w_2$$

$$g_c(1 \otimes v_2) = 1 \otimes g(v_2) = 1 \otimes (c_{21}w_1 + c_{22}w_2)$$

$$= c_{21}1 \otimes w_1 + c_{22}1 \otimes w_2]$$

In words, we think of a real matrix as already "being" a complex matrix]

Cor: $\mathcal{S}: V \rightarrow V'$ linear of \mathbb{R} -vs. Then

i) $(\text{Ker } \mathcal{S})_c = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{S}_c)$

ii) \mathcal{S} injective $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{S}_c$ is injective

iii) $(\text{im } \mathcal{S})_c = \text{im}(\mathcal{S}_c)$

iv) \mathcal{S} surjective $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{S}_c$ is surjective

Conjugation:

Recall the function $\bar{(\cdot)} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 $z \mapsto \underline{\bar{z}}$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{z} &= a - ib \\ z &= a + ib\end{aligned}$$

- then note that, for $r \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(\bar{r}) = \underline{\underline{r}}$$

On the other hand if $(\bar{z}) = \underline{\bar{z}}$

then $z \in \mathbb{R}$

→ that is we can recover \mathbb{R} as the
"fixed points" of $(\bar{\cdot})$

Def: V be \mathbb{R} -vs. Define the map

$$\tau : \underline{\mathbb{V}_G} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbb{V}_G}$$

by $\tau(z \otimes v) = \bar{z} \otimes v$

We call this map the standard conjugation on $\underline{\mathbb{V}_G}$

HW) Show the following commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \otimes \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{\sim} & G^n \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \bar{C} \end{array}$$

$$G \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} G^n$$

(That is, this "recovers" the standard conjugation on \mathfrak{G}^r)

Prop: In the set-up above, the fixed points of

$$\tau: \underline{\mathcal{V}_C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{V}_C}$$

is the subspace $\{\sigma \otimes v \mid \text{relR}\} = V$

Pf) Suppose $\sigma \otimes v$ is fixed under τ .

$$\text{Then } \tau(\sigma \otimes v) = \bar{\sigma} \otimes v = \sigma \otimes v$$

$$= \bar{\sigma}(1 \otimes v) = \sigma(1 \otimes v)$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\sigma} = \sigma$$

CTOH if $r \otimes v$ then

$$T(r \otimes v) = r \otimes v = r \otimes v$$

Now define the map

$$\{r \otimes v \mid r \in R, v \in V\} \rightarrow V$$

$$r \otimes v \longmapsto rv$$