

(1)

453Lecture 4

1. Well ordering property of the set of natural numbers.

We will accept this statement as an axiom.

"Every non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  has a smallest element."

2. As applications of the well-ordering property we prove the following theorem on Euclidean division.

Thm Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b > 0$ , there exists a unique pair of integers  $q, r$  satisfying

$$(i) \quad a = bq + r$$

$$(ii) \quad 0 \leq r < b.$$

Pf: Let  $S = \{a - bq \mid q \in \mathbb{Z}, a - bq \geq 0\}$ .

We first prove that  $S$  is not empty.

If  $a \geq 0$  then  $a - b(-1)$  is  $> 0$  and belongs to  $S$ .

If  $a < 0$  then  $a - ba = a(1-b) \geq 0$  and belongs to  $S$ .

Now using the well ordering property, let  $r$  be the smallest element of  $S$ .

(cont.)

(2)

Let  $r = a - bq$ .

In order to prove property (ii), suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $r \geq b$ .

Then  $r - b \geq 0$  and  $r - b < r$ .

But  $r - b = (a - bq) - b = a - b(q+1)$

Hence,  $0 \leq r - b < r$  and  $r - b \in S$ . This contradicts the choice of  $r$  as the smallest element of  $S$ !

To prove uniqueness suppose  $(q_1, r_1), (q_2, r_2)$  both satisfy (i) and (ii).

Suppose without loss of generality that

$$r_1 \geq r_2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{By (i)} \quad a &= bq_1 - r_1 \\ &= bq_2 - r_2 \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting we get

$$0 = b(q_1 - q_2) - (r_1 - r_2)$$

$$\text{or } r_1 - r_2 = b(q_1 - q_2).$$

But since  $0 \leq r_1 < b$  and  $0 \leq r_2 \leq r_1$ ,  $0 \leq r_1 - r_2 < b$ . But  $b \mid r_1 - r_2$ . This is only possible if  $r_1 - r_2 = 0$ . This implies  $r_1 = r_2$ , which implies  $q_1 - q_2 = 0$  (since  $b > 0$ ).

Hence,  $r_1 = r_2$ , and  $q_1 = q_2$ .  $\square$