

# Bayesian inference for a mean - derivation notes

## 1 Only the mean $\mu$ is unknown: Normal conjugate prior

- The likelihood:

$$y_1, \dots, y_n \mid \mu, \sigma \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma) \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu) = p(y_1, \dots, y_n \mid \mu, \sigma) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y_i - \mu)^2\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \phi^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2}(y_i - \mu)^2\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \phi^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Note that  $\sigma$  is assumed known, therefore the likelihood function is only in terms of  $\mu$ , i.e.  $L(\mu)$ .

- The prior distribution:

$$\mu \mid \sigma \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_0, \sigma_0) \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mu) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2}(\mu - \mu_0)^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \phi_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\phi_0}{2}(\mu - \mu_0)^2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Similarly the prior is expressed as  $\mu \mid \sigma$  to represent that  $\sigma$  is known. When Bayes' rule is applied to derive the posterior of  $\mu$ , these manipulations require careful consideration regarding what is known. Therefore any "constants" or known quantities can be dropped/added with the proportionality sign " $\propto$ ".

- The posterior:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mu \mid y_1, \dots, y_n, \sigma) &\propto \pi(\mu)L(\mu) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{\phi_0}{2}(\mu - \mu_0)^2\right) \times \exp\left(-\frac{\phi}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2\right) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\phi_0 + n\phi)\mu^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2\phi_0\mu_0 + 2n\phi\bar{y})\mu\right) \\ \text{[complete the square]} &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\phi_0 + n\phi) \left(\mu - \frac{\phi_0\mu_0 + n\phi\bar{y}}{\phi_0 + n\phi}\right)^2\right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Looking at the final expression closely, one recognizes this as a Normal density with a precision instead of variance parameter. Specifically we recognize  $(\phi_0 + n\phi)$  as the normal precision and  $\left(\frac{\phi_0\mu_0 + n\phi\bar{y}}{\phi_0 + n\phi}\right)$  as the normal mean, and we see the posterior distribution of  $\mu$ ,

$$\mu \mid y_1, \dots, y_n, \sigma \sim \text{Normal} \left( \frac{\phi_0 \mu_0 + n \phi \bar{y}}{\phi_0 + n \phi}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{\phi_0 + n \phi}} \right). \quad (6)$$

## 2 Only the standard deviation $\sigma$ is unknown: Gamma conjugate prior

- The likelihood:

$$y_1, \dots, y_n \mid \mu, \sigma \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma) \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(1/\sigma^2) = p(y_1, \dots, y_n \mid \mu, \sigma) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - \mu)^2 \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^n (1/\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{(1/\sigma^2)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that  $\mu$  is assumed known, therefore the likelihood function is only in terms of  $1/\sigma^2$ , i.e.  $L(1/\sigma^2)$ .

- The prior distribution:

$$1/\sigma^2 \mid \mu \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \quad (9)$$

$$\pi(1/\sigma^2) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (1/\sigma^2)^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta(1/\sigma^2)) \quad (10)$$

Similarly the prior is expressed as  $1/\sigma^2 \mid \mu$  to represent that  $\mu$  is known. When Bayes' rule is applied to derive the posterior of  $1/\sigma^2$ , these manipulations require careful consideration regarding what is known. Therefore any “constants” or known quantities can be dropped/added with the proportionality sign “ $\propto$ ”.

- The posterior:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(1/\sigma^2 \mid y_1, \dots, y_n, \mu) &\propto \pi(1/\sigma^2) L(1/\sigma^2) \\ &\propto (1/\sigma^2)^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta(1/\sigma^2)) (1/\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2 (1/\sigma^2) \right) \\ \text{[combine the powers]} &= (1/\sigma^2)^{\alpha + \frac{n}{2} - 1} \exp \left( - \left( \beta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2 \right) (1/\sigma^2) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Looking at the final expression closely, one recognizes this as a Gamma density:

$$1/\sigma^2 \mid y_1, \dots, y_n, \mu \sim \text{Gamma} \left( \alpha + \frac{n}{2}, \beta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2 \right). \quad (12)$$