Karnataka High Court

```
Kalabyraveshwara Education ... vs State Of Karnataka By Its ... on 24 April, 2009 Author: Mohan Shantanagoudar
```

3

```
KARNA'I"'AKA, BY ITS SE(IRE'TARY, DEPARTENT OP
my cams, reported in ER 2008 HA}? 2395,
before the Apex Court and the
adjudication. The K1111 Beigeh:-.0?» iv fie "
aforeeited judgxnent cfiéaray A
government policy
                    'etudyfilg in
unaided reeo§lize§:fe.echeeie:_>'ige education
only in the Eanguage is
vioiative
            {\text{``-'4iV}}i:jfg')", V and 30(1) of the
Censtitufieii 'e:t', ;; 1d.1;;:; .. "' "
2 Sri ~"learned Government Adveeate
vehegzaeritijge 'ee:ilte 'nci S: that the matter will came up
    'éirzcj an 215* April 2009 for hearing
V' he prayed for adjoumming the matter for
"e0m,. e
The said eubmieeien is egpeeeei; by S5: Beeavaragg
learned ceuneel appearing on behaif ef the petitioner by
contending that the matter has come up mfore Court
He}; eniy for one elme and subeequenfiy, it is being
posted before the Registrar. He further submits that the
۷5
4
matter is posteé on 213* April 290') b€~:f'ore ti'le Registrar
of Suprems: Court and that it may take soméifiaifaflétwime
far haaritng.
Be that as it may, thozigh :i+'ufi---.
```

```
Bench is questioned bsffcrg tiié=:.,;{pr:;;
      order is ganted as on ,"(%3a5*i. v '1'¥¢'1L.1uS;,:vii.li1§vVfju€ig1nen'£ of
      the B1111 Bench Cofiiimfifls
                                 and consequently,
      the I*e£'»I3€>I§.:Ic§.11ts:.~'a'1.¥=3:": Therefore, the
      rejectipn ffiéd by the petitioner
         blnglish medium schaol
      011:5 " {hat tha matter is pending
      a(§judiE§a*.t il0:2:I VV bA::;vf¢3V:i*éu the Apex (louréi, carmot be
      §;US'c.8h?€d. ThE:"¢§asses Wifi start from W of June 2009.
        'V'1'ht1:~:,- is urgency in the matter. in View of the
      fahe respondams W11} have to censider the
      T afjpficafiom Of the §€'Eiti{)I1€I' for re@'st.rai:ior1 on mexits, in
      V " éiccordance with law, and in the fight of the judgment of
      the Fail bench sf this Court: cited supra. Accordingly,
      the foilowing order is made :
      X,"/)
      The impugned endorsement/order Vidfi An3:1exure-
      ':51' dated 25.2.2009 passed by 3% respeI3.d{\noting{n}:T~.,stands
      quashed. T216 application filed by j'.p:" s§3<iVI1g
      perrnission for 1'egistratio1'1V jo run"
      schooi shall be COI1Si(i€I'€d '~.by "
      accordance with law pr} me%1fiis_9§f;d"«i_11v-.t.h$ fight bf
      the judgment of __ fihe : 5en; : h (éifiéd _s supra). The
      paiitioner shailv '4 praying for
      reg':strati.Q:t1 c1'_ gvmk from today or
      from "--é)i'::«'1 ';f'1iV.°;W:~Vf'dt4:r. '1'£"1e respondent
_shali'.sQ□sida3_1fVtha -'application within faur weeks fr:33;r1"'t.£f1<': datc fdfrec:':'€gip9t of
application. «. 93152:: pétitio □is disposed of accordingly. Sd/-.
```

Indian Kanoon - http://indiankanoon.org/doc/4089/

fudge *mn/- 29.4%;