

Central Information Commission

Mr.Amar Nath vs Ministry Of Labour And Employment on 28 June, 2010

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Club Building (Near Post Office)

Old JNU Campus, New Delhi - 110067

Tel: +91-11-26161796

Decision No. CIC/DS/A/2010/000114/S

Appeal No. CIC/DS/A/2010/000

Relevant Facts

emerging from the Appeal Appellant : Mr. Amar Nath H.N. 33159. Street no. I Pratap Nagar, Bhatinda.

Respondent : Mr. Saurabh Swami Central Public Information Officer & Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner Employees Provident Fund Organization Ministry of Labour, Government of India Sub-Regional Office, Nidhi Bhavan, Urban Estate, Phase I, Bhatinda-151001.

RTI application filed on	:	14/07/2009
PIO replied	:	18/09/2009
First appeal filed on	:	28/09/2009
First Appellate Authority order	:	27/10/2009
Second Appeal received on	:	02/12/2009

S. No	Information Sought	Reply of the Public Information Officer
-------	--------------------	---

1. Whereabouts of Cheque no. 216854 The cheque had been received back from the Bank dated 27/05/2009. with the remarks "A/c No. wrong." Copy of the same was enclosed.

2. Month wise details of Rs.11738 Copy of the month wise details was enclosed.

submitted by school.

3. Action taken on the Appellant's No. application dated 05/06/2009 has been received application dated 05/06/2009 and the by the Office. details of officers who were responsible for the same.

4. Copy of the reply given on letter no. Copy of letter no.1238 in reference to the Office 8303 dated 09/04/2009. letter dated 09/04/2009 was enclosed.

5. Amount of time that would be taken The claim shall be settled within 30 days after receipt to finalize the Appellant's claim. of the complete application duly attested and signed by the member.

Grounds for the First Appeal:

Unsatisfactory information provided by the PIO with respect to Query no. 3 and the information provided was not attested by the PIO.

Order of the First Appellate Authority (FAA): The FAA observed that no attestation was necessary since the information provided bore the signature of the PIO. The PIO was further ordered to provide the complete information with respect to query no.3 within 7 days.

Grounds for the Second Appeal:

Non-compliance of the FAA's order.

Relevant Facts emerging during Hearing: The following were present Appellant: Absent;

Respondent: Mr. Saurabh Swami, CPIO & Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner;

The PIO shows that he had asked for additional fee and after the payment of the additional fees he has provided the information to the appellant, hence there is delay in providing the information. He also shows that the order of the FAA which the appellant has attached with the second appeal relates to another RTI Application.

Decision:

The Appeal is disposed.

This decision is announced in open chamber. Notice of this decision be given free of cost to the parties. Any information in compliance with this Order will be provided free of cost as per Section 7(6) of RTI Act.

Shailesh Gandhi Information Commissioner 28 June 2010 (In any correspondence on this decision, mention the complete decision number.)(ND)