```
Karnataka High Court
Smt Vani W/O V Gopal Rao vs Sri Siddaiah Ias on 2 December, 2008
Author: S.R.Bannurmath & Gowda
      IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALO§-Vii
      DATED THIS THE 2"" DAY or DECEMBER, ~
      PRESENT
      THE 1-:oN'B1.E MRJUSTICE s; 'RI EA!mURBfi\{\ T\}i
      ď
      me HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE»A.N.VENiiG.OP§Lt§.GO\vb;§~./ k
      confirm QE gggggl mg --g. :[g" Q ,gg5g gg gsg%_c_m_ 1) \mbox{ V}
      BETWEEN: 1
      1 Sm VANI w/0 sax. v QoPAL'RAo.,LT"
      AGE!) 53 ms %
      R/A No.672.=,_s1** MAIN, G_ !3I(Ui."~II
      vEsHwAHmma.__5aA; .BA_NGAL(}R'f'- swozz.
      2 EMT K Rififli SR1. K S KESHAVA MURTHY
      AGE}? 45. " _ .. ' '
      R/I3. N0.2467; "1 UTa D BLOCK
      RAJAEINRGAR II {A-'-5.,
      BANGALORE.-5'V5_0 010:'.
       - % 3 '*5'«:o1n:w<AR ti VKULAHAUJ
        s/n' SR1. RAGHUNATH R mLLAHALu
      ' » , kasuea 45 ms
       R/A'-«.NG..9-5 157 moss, is": mocx
       %%RA:wmA;3AR,
       BAMGALGRE-560 mo.
       4 HA9: PRASAD s/0 {ATE RAM PRASAD
      . AGED 56 YRS
      R,/A No.Q.145, cm POLICE QUARZTERS
      V' ' -- - VMYSORE ROAD, BANGALORE-566 018.
      ... COMPLAINANTS
       (av sm. 9 v mmamsnemn. ADV.)
```

AND:

SRI SIDDAIAH IAS

COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DEVELOPMENT AUT!'iORITY
KUMARA PARK WEST EXTN
BANGALORE-560 029.

(av sm. H N RAMANMNEYA Gowm, " 3

THIS ccc IS FILED unoeatcvsamoxs i.1,&:12:0F"fH'E
CONTEMPT or COURT ACT PRAYIM5 TO TAKE CGNGRIZANCE
AND INITIATE CONTEMPT__ OF C0:tIR'f*»P\(\frac{1}{2}\)P\(\frac{1}{2}\)EDii'~\(\frac{1}{2}\)GS eemnsr
THE ACCUSED son WILPbL_ DISO8EmEflt1E or THE coum"
ORDER DATED 24-93-2008c--\(\frac{1}{2}\)EASSEO\(\frac{1}{2}\)eit, IN '\v'Jt,"?.,\(\frac{1}{2}\)io.3614/2008(LA--5DA),\(\frac{1}{2}\)P\(\frac{1}{2}\)EASSEO\(\

THIS PETIT', gm.ca§ttt§Gj't,::oatt"'?t)szVV brtéeks THIS DAY, BANNURMATH otgmrtztzfio 'ms FQLLO'NiF¢G:-

estegtne "¢i§obédit§nt:é.. of the order dated 24.03.03 in

L-Ti"w.P.'71§fc.t3s14;t3s, tpteee contempt petition is cited. By

eagtd ttttte Court had directed the EDA to consider

the of. 'tzetiuoner for atlotment of alternate sites In

"'~.-[the !ight._gé§' the judgment of this Court in JUNJAMMA 8:

Vs. BDA 3. OTHERS, reported tn ILR zoos KAR

*

tt -~--sac*

- 2. Today, when the matter is taken up, it Is submitted by the tearned counsel for the comptainant the direction issuw by this Court has been compiled: and aitemete sites have been Issued to comp|a£tie le.' to 3 and so far as compiainent {\$0.4 .is>¢oncerh'ee;'_';Vsiiir:e* .his§ " " 'V purchase was subsequent to thev"?re%'im§'nei"y.' Issued, the same is rejected.
- 3. In View of.ifhe fac thetj' isteonsieeratien and c<;m'p::ae=:g:e "th:e~.;d!retti6n"V:issued by this Court, present. . does not survive for consideratien. ysarnet stentis rejected. 361/-3 Judég Sd/-E.

Iudg§