Karnataka High Court

The Managing Director vs Smt Anuradha W/O R Ramesh on 22 August, 2008 Author: Anand Byrareddy

```
IN THE HIGH COURT OF KAR'NA'I'AKA AT BAN(}ALr)RE
DATED THIS THE 22:41) DAY OF AUG13S*1'
BEF()RE;....
THE HOWBLE MR. msncs
MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APIEAI, No. 1 5324%0Fgoo¢i% (Mv) %
BETWEEN: A'
ThcMa; laging Director " $ -. V
K. S. R. T. C, Cgfitfal "
Kcngai " V.
Bang:3}0re\forall :361\forall ivi\{\}27':'-3'" APPELLANT
(By
% % g;;:t'gt. Angrdazm, Mr A
* ' Wis .R; vR£x:§1e3h ~-.
Si'ddamafii.VVPa!jfa*"'
Kméxpanahiiiii Post
Uii7'i'1.'-':'.<l:,,:--i"g:«;1' Hobli
     Kzznigal Taiuk
  *'.'."t:ry4ukuf"T}iatriot RFSPGNUENT
!!=>1H!!$$
This Misccilancuus First Appeal! és flied under Se4:{.§un
113(1) of the Motor Vehicles Act against the judgement and
award ciaicd 3.82007 passed in MVCA No. 16732006 011 the File of
the Member, Additional Momr Accidents Claims Tribunal and
3
3. The Ccaunset weuld submit that there was m3 evidence
pieced on record to e.~;tabtish that the income t.>t."':
eiaimant was Rs.30{)0;'- per mentb and Further iitittee?
has also net addressed the u)ntfibt:\{i)fj; 'fleg\ig_gen.eeA fii3. _\heartset he'flaft. ui' Vt
the }T'6Sp0t"id6!'.!t, when she _ was v4'eherge-sheeteé' ttaeittg
eernmitted the accident- It is gi?t;211"'t"d:eV':thtit\ the present
```

appeal is suught to be urged.

```
4. nc.3itt>i§et income adopted is nursing}.
Heneeflt ientxi gxmen:.i_LVt'e1{tehallenge. Secondly, the accusation
1.31' euntri§$u.ft§:"y emly the basis that the First
```

Inl1>; §fiati.On Repsgft wits iudged against the respondent camzet

ree \Box f being euntribulery negligeece an the part at' the r:e;\$.}x5;':£ie:1't." V' having regard tn the n(;r:zi:1a.3 awant in ;:f'{<;}'estVe£' future earning capacity having been granted at Rs.9I,.8t)€;}\$-V, there is no intirmity in the judgement, and award. x V' ~ Aeeerdiegiy, the appeal is rejected. The amount in deposit be rmnilimi in bcr1c \Box ic3i'£ht: claimant resgxarzdenl. « SR