

Karnataka High Court

Sri Satyan vs Deputy Commissioner on 15 December, 2008

Author: Ravi Malimath

-1-

IN THE HIGH comm' 01? KARNATAKA AT BA\$GAi;\$F\$E..\

DATED 'I'HiS THE ism DAY o1?..13\$CE:sd}3E\$zv,2*Q08 " k

BEFORE:T «

'1'HE HON '3:,,}3: MR.JUB'TI{;E i*.tiALi2\$/i;\$J\$;TlrI.
wmsr PE'I'I'I'I'IOI\ \$ No.99497_::._1Is= ~:2oo7% €3.01 31*')

BETWEEN :

Sri.Satyan, M _ _ '.
S/O Late K-:. 'Ap%pu,""" VL
Aged about--50».yz3ars,' '
ResidingatNc;.453:,»'-
21" Cress, .3" - '
Jayanagar,._ _
E3angaI<tm::a5ES() (}"11". " . é PEPYFIONER

(BX? '\$\$E%I.D.;{1'Li*!\$\$;;l\¥@IUvI€DA REDDY, SENIOR COUNSEL

K:SI{AS'Efi'i{IRAN SHETPY, ADVOCATE.)

1; ' '»{'3;f3filmissioner,
Bang,a}e'fe Rural District,
V.V.Tc4we;rs, Podium Block,

" [);.B;"R.Ambedkar Road,
=_B alngaioire.

The Assistant Commissioner,

Ramanagar Sub---Divisi0n,
Ramanagar.

3. Sri.Rama Krishna,
S / 9 Sfi.Slmk'a Rediijy",

Aged about 54 years,

R / at Hakkipukki Colony,
Bannikuppe Panchayath,

Bidadi I-Iobli, Ramanagaram Taluk,
Bangalore Rurai District. '

A-ac.

mas9o;m1>*ss'%s s T

(BY sMT.M.C.NAGAsHRs}3:,-iricorisos A

SRI.VIVEK s. REDDY FOR 1<;s.}sUBBaAoRE_:)1:3Y,"o
ADVOCATES FOI_§_ "<I\$[?E?,,_§3.) o

'r%**

This Writ Petition :is'*fli\$:ciV'urncior"v_A;'t'if:ies 226 and
227 of the (L'oI1;~3t;isEs.1f:'\$on=:oi' glnrdaé. ;5rayingf'to call for the
rsoords which hasgjoltimately 3_.fI:s_1.1}tf.d'"'izl passing the
impugned orltierfdated .1'4;fjlsAI';2Q96-V,Apassed by R} vide
AImox11reV+A afl{1"tiia_ordçi' ;la.tod__V5.5;2006 passed by R2
Vida A1lnvexurL*~VB»q2lash tlrxoofder dated 14.11.2006
passed by 1%.} axici': 'tlfi'c:;To1*riVi(:r. dated 5.5.2006 passed by
R32 and etc. = "

'Fhi.s v on for orders this day, the

" 'Cou1'f_fla;is the foil' 'v¥ifig2-

ORDER

A "it: of the petitioner that the schedule Sy.No.95 to an exteni of two acres giaiatss at Balmikuppe
Village, Bidadi Hobli, Taluk, Bangalore Rural District was "orig'11a11y wanted to the third
respondent on W"

10.8.1982. After the expixy of 15 years, was obtained from the Government for the " _ the land and
in terms of the Gover7::;1Ir3e\$::;tfo,lette:f,' 2: deed dated 8.9.1997 was exec1_ted.* Q11 an 'V made
by the third Assistant Commissione%f._"' ;3y othe o1V'vt';iei; dated 5.5.2006 set aside the
restoration of the lands' 'ufvjthe Karnataka soheou100_%eo.otoo:,e % (Prohibition of 'i'ransief~._oi'
eggrieved by the same, the peti□□er appeal to the Deputy Comxnjseioner, lo}; the order dated
14.11.2006 by con□ming the order of the Aesi\$:anf'Cio11a.r;iiessioner. Hence this petition. A 2."
T□e grounds urged and the prayer made is V' with the one raised in Writ Petition .'..1szo.i994
1/2007. The said writ petition has been disposed ~; o□ by the order dated 15.12.2008. In Vi€'W of
the W common eontenticms urged by the 1ea1'□_ 'éci" _ counsel appearing for the petitioner 'v
appearing for the respondem:s;;A_V fivpetj□□it? disposed o□ in terms of the»ji;1e\$1gneI;_t'
passed in Writ Petition No. 99§e1,i 29Q7.% %J ' For the afoI*esajc--i\$ 'V 35,,
_...:§""I\$'1f:£,'»'_1«3ctition being devoid of mexjts' = No costs. ,