

Karnataka High Court

Mr Syed Shah Nooruddin vs Mr T H Mahaboob Jan on 23 February, 2010

Author: Jawad Rahim

Ix)

THIS PETITION IS COMING ON FOR ADMISSION THIS  
DAY THIS COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:~

JUDGMENT

This matter was called in :tne'morning. \_\_s'es\_sio'ns' and i passed over. When it is taken  
atIii\_gAOO»PiVl counsel for petitioner absent:~~,perus'ai.. .oFt"th.e""ov}rvder'V sheet shows  
right from 1Ov--£1€}V~2OQ9" thiisiwicase istomijng on for hearing and due to absieniige the case  
was adjourned and again at his requestfnynio2io7g200a□lr:é\$07:2009; 24~07-2009,31-  
08--2009rvç3®;oéQ2oO\$I=]O2?G9«§Oo9, 09-09-2009 and contintjously "these circumstances, I  
find there is laci{o.fVirite'rest..:\_Ion the part of the prosecution in \_ A pro se,c:utIi'ng this  
'pe.t\_i\_t\_i\_on. '-»»proseciJvt\_i-on.

I2;\*«.\_rI.v"iT:heis:'.1~~petition is pending since 2008 and hence notir\_\_in9,.~~iar;;I'£<j1..\* of  
interest the petition is dismissed for non- Sdfw fudge