

Karnataka High Court

Office Of The Official Liquidator vs Nil on 31 July, 2008

Author: Ram Mohan Reddy

I

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, BANGAL:(_J\$E_

DATED THIS THE 3131" DAY OF JULYA'*2;;':'(.3.k):E:3:'V~. D.

BEFORE

THE HC>N'BLE MR. JUSTICE RA1xiDMfDH_«\$N

CQMEAD); g2PL1cA'n0N_ NC};-]'>;é.VP{}= _ :2;

COMPANY PE}TFFI(3\$EI__ N-Q. ~1A37D;\$gDooD

.\$....;E'1'.....V..1v...'....E..\$3..H;...;

OFFICE OFTHE-V ' '

OFFICLALTLEQVUID

HIGH 'a:;o13I?rVD'sa~s;A:2NAf1'A.KA D

4TH FLO?.'')R,_ I) 35 1w.';NG

KENDRIYASADAN _ D

KORANIANGALA. Q

BANGALORE 034-

. 11111 ...APPLICAN'I'

13'?' --sR*:...;4:DEEiéAK, ADV)

-NH}.

RESPONDENT

T ff;'I'Hxs APPLICATION IS FILED UNDER SECPION 462
-- OF THE COMPANIES ACT, 1956 R/W RULES 110)) AND 298

GP' THE COMPANIES (COURT) RULES, 1956 PRAYING TO

" ''PsPPOINT AN AUDITOR TO AUDIT THE ACCOUNTS 0? THE
* OFFICIAL LIQUIDATOR FOR THE HALF' YEAR ENDING

30.09.2007 AND FIX HIS REMUNERATION AND ETC.\\$/ k€\
/{ .

2

THIS APPLICATION COMING ON FOR ORDERS,

THIS DAY THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

O R D E R

Auditof's report accepted. Auditor's fee is: terms of the order dtd. 08.06.2007 No.21}/07.

Requirement of Sec.462(5) K Acçrdmg1y« is:§}1£\$Wed. 00000 Sd/'o' Judge %%<~*1s30