

COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
KUMARA PARK WEST EXTN
BANGALORE-560 029.

(av sm. H N RAMANMNEYA Gowm, " 3

THIS case IS FILED under the provisions of the
CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT, 1926 To TAKE COGNIZANCE
AND INITIATE CONTEMPT OF COURTS OF THE
THE ACCUSED son WILPBL DISORDERED or THE court
ORDER DATED 24-93-2008c-f=AssEo%eit, IN 'v'Jt, "?, l'i0.3614/2008(LA--
5DA), vlt>e ANNEXURE-A. T

THIS PETITION, gm.ca\$ttt\$Gj't,::oatt''?t)szVV brtéeks THIS DAY,
BANNURMATH otgmtztzfio 'ms FQLLO'NiFqG:-

estegtne "ci\$obédit\$nt:é.. of the order dated 24.03.03 in

L-Ti"w.P.'71\$fc.t3s14;t3s, tpteee contempt petition is cited. By

eagtd ttttte Court had directed the EDA to consider

the of. 'tztetuoner for allotment of alternate sites In

"'~.-[the !ight._gé\$' the judgment of this Court in JUNJAMMA 8:

Vs. BDA 3. OTHERS, reported in ILR 2008 KAR

*

tt ~~~sac*

2. Today, when the matter is taken up, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the complainant that the direction issued by this Court has been complied: and alternate sites have been issued to comply with the order to 3 and so far as complainant { \$0.4 .is »concern'ee; '_'; Vsiiir:e* .his\$ " " 'V purchase was subsequent to thev"?re%im\$'nei'y.' Issued, the same is rejected.

3. In View of the fact that the petition is not maintainable and c<;m'p::ae=:g:e "th:e~.;d!retti6n"V:issued by this Court, present. . does not survive for consideration. is not maintainable. 361/-3 Judég Sd/-E.

Judg\$