

Karnataka High Court

Chandrakant S/O Sadgurayya ... vs Town Municipal Council on 10 August, 2009

Author: Mohan Shantanagoudar

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

CIRCUIT BENCH AT MYSURU

DATED THIS 10TH DAY OF AUGUST 2009

BEFORE

THE HONBLE MR. JUSTICE S. K. KAMATH

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

BETWEEN:

1.

Ex parte

CHANDRAKANT S/O SADGURAYYA PUJARI

AGE 45 years, residing at

Road, near the bus stop, Bagalkot.

gibmm, 's;é'\$RAPPA'~3é;I21éER,  
AGE 38 YEARS, 'OCCi---.})A<f€PEb¥FER  
R/O ms 1-935170, }iA\_fJeAPA'I'I'1 moss,

,:---:çJDAC\$1..ReAD, J'AMK}~\$ANE)I,  
» 'f,.DIvS{'i'. BAGAL.K\$>T, \_

S/Q PUNDALEK BURUD,

T " 'AGE 48"~mA12s, occ; BAMBU BUSINESS,

R, 'r'::;":::%r\*sv. 'Nr:\$o51?o,KADAPAW1 CROSS,

. KUi:>Ar;1H}T1=R0AD, J:'xMKHANDi,  
DI\_."r\$'i:', \_13':+1GALKo'i1

.. PETITIONERS

{BY SHIEVARAJ P. MUDHOL, ADV1}

T' L

V. V 'AIWB:

TOWN MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

JAMKHANDI, DSET. BAGALKGT1  
REPRESENTATIVE BY CHIEF OFFICER,

2

2 SUVARNAKHANDISANGAMESHWARQE  
DODITJA BASAVESWAR '1' EMPL  
KADAPRVNFN}JAMKHANDL  
IfIST.BAGALKOT  
REPRESENTATIVE BY ITS  
MANAGING COMMITTEE.

3. PAVAD BASAVESWAR DEVASTAN »KADAPA\*f\*f:;' ' 1'  
'1'Q.JAMKHANDI,DUIST,I?3AGA1;K0'I' -1' 1. '  
REPRESENTED BY yrs -T T '

MANAGING COMMITTEE. \_ \_ \_lé;<.€%s3\*E-c>r~=I1::§}::"ié:F1'?é.\$"~...L

(BY SR1. SHIVAKUMAR S. BANAx.:fA~::AG1,">x\$>V 'F63 i\*<':}é;NIj' 3.  
R2 SE3.) . . ' is

THE953 PETITI<3N\$V.7)"F'IALED;,j\_::i\:'m<:V\$§\$'AMICLES 226 AND  
227' op' THE CONS'FITUT.¥{}I\I Ct¥f'.V'-.I\_I.'.\$I)i\_A PRAYING TO

91RE<,"1\*"T\*;~I~ii+::, R\$§i'?0'N,DENTS"i€(5):. T0 3 NOT 'TO EVICT  
THEPE;fI';Toa::\_:::x2s.% 952025;;. 'r:~1E PETITIONER PREMISES  
W\$TH(1)UT\_D¥JEi P1f'<Qcy;ss,? 'Q? LAW AND ETC.

\_ frI3E\$5.¥JE:"I';f:'.c:>¥fscici'i\$2:IN€; ON ma GRDERS, mis my  
m;j\$; CQURT Emma mg FOLLOWING»

ORDER

3 Eadawadgi, learnzd counsel has □eti 2;--.mc;;10 . i:ia teci 03108/2009 along with the letters sf  
Ap~3i:i□o2'::{§;\$ '2 and 3 that may have voluntaz□y vacateii the A'

2. Tha metmo is taken on mcox□ Acc<;m:\_1ing1y, petition filed by petitiontxs 2 and 3 is  
dismissed" become infructuous.

3. Petitioner No.2 still clghns to;b't:' He has □ed this writ petition 01:-□y Q11 A.é\*:sé;1,1.1.i1.piic>f1'  
:\_'.\_ué would be ttvicted illegally.

4. Writ pe□\$g\$□. ca:iA1n9fvL"i3¢V.-;§:□. {rtajned merely an the At this stage, Sri. Shivaraj P.  
Muéihoi; {or the petitioner submits that he maybe ivitiiéiraw the wtnit petition.  
\$?%:zmissibh'is..g:a□nd., W117': pcti□m filed by petitioner ' ;di.:é'Inisseé; as Withdmwn. Writ  
Petitions am .(i\$§S'□3iSS5€tiv--Vé:C\$it}I'(ii□gly. S□/--

JUDGE imv