

Karnataka High Court

Prashanth vs State Of Karnataka on 30 May, 2008

Author: K.Sreedhar Rao A.S.Pachhapure

A. NO.893(2005)

-2.

AND FURTHER SENTENCING THEM TO UNDERGO MINIMUM  
OF 7 YEARS FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S 397 R/VL3'-3 V0?

BUT THE SAME ARE DIRECTED TO BE CONCURRENTLY

THIS CRIMINAL APPEAL HAVING BEEN HEARD, IT IS  
COMING ON FOR PRONOUNCEMENT OF JUDGMENT, IT MAY

PACHHAPURE. J., DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

The appellants have challenged the conviction of the

offence under Sections 397 and 404

sentence thereon on a trial at Mysore.

2. The facts of this case are

as under.

The Victim Manager of Gotten. Media  
Net Work = No.202, Siddarta Exmion,

Myson: the appellants (accused before the

in the office of the deceased. The

title given few days earlier to the incident and

to a against the deceased for not returning their

card and in that view, it is alleged by the

fact that the appellants/accused having the power to start a  
the deceased and with an intention to start a

-similar type of business as that of the deceased, had passed to

A4

A. NO.893/2005

-5-

bangle pieces, blood stained mud, door pieces, ,a

turkey towel and a club{!v!0s.3 to 7, 13 and 19)--.f::'Hc-

the statements of the witnesses and"

examination, the clothes on the body

14) were produced and he seized» undgr  
013 9.3.2001

, he arxttstod Ac§§u{gcid.,Vr\ :o.é'ta§V§N\$nj=§ngud Bus Stand and on search, "and a gold ring (Mo17) wen; Ex.P.4. On interrogation off the voluntary statement Ex. attesting Witnesses to Village, showed a place and from a bush and it was seized under thtf: On the same day at about 5.25 J "p.m., 1V'\$v'"s"pioduccd before him and on armst and the vohmtaxy statement of Accused No.1 (Ext? .«:};Acc1xsed No.1 led the Police and attesting Wiiha□ées é place near Harohalli Village and produced the ;MO.8 and be seized the same under the mahazax (Ex.P.9). H V "" □ld, he took out the Motor Cycle beaxing No.KA«14~ also led them to Basavanapura and □m the . c7< Off. A. NO.893/2305 K~ 4222 and it was seized under the mahazar accused aiso produced the burnt pant pieces 3 buttons (Mo9) and it was seized 1?1ia.iA:ier't1;e"--«¢n1a13,<~ 011 11.3.2001, he further interrogated No.2 led the Police and attesting"v□\$i'i31esses' and □m the Roja bushcag he bench with three keys (Mo24) and the mahazar (Ex.P. 10). The sfaie ment;;cHfV witnesses were recorded seized under the mahazar seized nine hill books (IN/10.11) {under 13. He collected ail the relevant the seized articles to the forensic \_ . Vexpertsia□d the report. After the completion of the he the chargesheet against the accused. " .A.3.A {he trial, the prosecution led the evidence of % 'PWs.1 tog :29 W in their evidence got marked Exs.P.1 to 13.32 MQS. to 24. The statement of the accused was recorded.

--.The;{ 11e1ve not led any defence evidence. The Tria} Court on "--.efi1\$£\*eciatic;1 of the evidence on receni convicted the xaccusedl appellants for the offence under Sections 302 andgg A. NO.893(2005 circumstances, the appelhnts cannot be held o□ences charged. So also, it is his contention delay in Iewrding the statement of witnesses for the recovery having not A' J se. prosecution, the 'l'nal' Court thee recovery. On these gxctmds, he the conviction. The learned e submits that there are strong' iexistence of the prosecution and. presence of the accused in the □ne of the incident and as is' 1 - «fitness, the Tzia} Couzrt is justi□aed He submits that the posseseifan of the cash and golden articles has not E the "" "" "accused/appellants and therefore, in circumstances of the accused seen in the of a presumption arises regazding the " the snatched axtkzles and thcrewe, he supporis jud□hcnt of the 'E'n'al Court and the convictkm of the A ee,¢;j%,pems\_ the of the v's't. is also natural that due to anxiety, V i""V'vand 2; '-Sgeavifag o□ce aiongwth the Suzuki Motor Cycle of tee A1' As regards the identity, it is relevant to haow that ghe accused in the day time at 10.30 a.m. and as her V. was driven to them, due to the scream that she heaxd V few minutes eariier, we do not think that she could forget the identity of the persons who took this motor cycke and in that C□ A. NC1893/2005 the statement of this witness was zeoonied on after about four days of the incident and \_ that the possibility of plan□ng suehiwtit□ess ruled and thexefore, a serious suvspic;i4;3:!. \_' evidence as trust worthy. Tng\$V:¢en\$¢ of including Pw.3 reveals that were;-£:g in the office of the deceased few ttizzviacient. It is true that PWJ7 had noteeeen the date of the incident

and evidence about she having 10.30 am. as she claims she of her house and she heard the scream and therefor, as to what happened in D4 L A. NO.893(20@5

-12..

View of the matter, we do not think: that there is for. the investigating agency to have an During her statement, she has identified the person who stated before the police having (at the time" incident and they taking away is" V consistent with her statement because: V. = 'i.e. whether there is any omission nor a we are of the opinion that her "V I " "

8. Now to observe that the people " accord. to the Police Station {which they witnessed, solely because the Police Station and the Court " they may. fact that PWJ7 did not approach the the incident in our considered opinion is not sufficient to discard her evidence. Furthermore, the taking place in a. city and the judicial notice of the people in the city do not desire themselves to. i.e. 'Q1:??:' --in such can be inferred. She is neither T in the dmscd 11101' has an adverse interest against D? He accused. In that View of the matter, We are of the considered DC, employed by: 61¢ d he states on the earlier day of the V éixicjdentééxat "p.m., the deceased had called him and told that «.Noe'.1 and 2 had come to the office. Though Later, he V I» This version, the fact that the accused were working in 'i'v1e"e'He has been satisfactorily proved from the evidence of The prosecution evidence further reveals that the A. :~:0.893;2005 .. 15 -

ground in the office. The answer of PWs at this spoken to by a neighbour (PW .7). Though \_ maid servant of the deceased has prosecution, the evidence "the around 10.30 3.111. and this the complement (PW.1). the circumstance that the victim the time, when the death of the and they went on the motor cycle record and there is , brought on record by previous evidence

11, that Accused Nos.1 and 2 were ~ in his own has been satisfactorily proved by PWs. 1, 6 and 25. PW.6 is also an owner of the "golden rings and the chain. So also, (PW.23) to whom the ash was sent has Ex.P.19 and he states that the ash the' cloth pieces. As the recoveries of burnt cloth at the instance of the accused, it would go to show 'T A V ' the incident the accused burnt their clothes In cause the disappearance of the incriminating evidence. The accused can A. N<\_;>.393/2005

- ..

accused had gone to Venugopal (P'W.16) for books (M)s.I() and 11) which proves that they were in the office of the deceased.

12. On the date of the search was made by the Police reveals that the golden rings and so also the cash. The golden rings \_1\_1a,i.e, by PW.3 as belonging to her He has stated that the deceased was spoken to by PW .3 in her ' these golden rings has not been Witnesses, there is nothing to disbelieve evidence of V\_oVf.Vthe Police Inspector (PWK28) as {he seized at the instance of the accused and DC, Clix A. NQ893/2005

-17..

had left the place a few days earlier to the incident and had an intention to have their own O&O: and in View of their acquaintance with the deceased, the '611' record would show that they were in V' establish their own of the and on the 'J<hte' of the»: caused the death and snatched' the §a1d;::;A'ai;§i\*e~t,%1§e.,e cash by causing injuries and death-teof the» the recovery of the motor cycle and the 'ornement;§: is Within few days i.e., less than days of a presumption arises under 114 Act that either they are the or the persons who were \_ .er1:ic1es. The fact that the recovery t----o;f 14 days would even go to the extent of assuming of the crime of murder and robbery in the facts. Scanning the evidence led by the V. its scrutiny reveals that the strong been brought on I'ECOI'd which connect the V VV crime. In that View of the matter, We are of the H H " that" the Trial Court on consideration of the evidence led by the prosecution has come to a just conclusion in convicting the-----accused appellants for the offence under Sections 302 and 302 DC Cl}. A. NO.893[%'O5 .13..

397 xvcd with 34 of IPC. We do not find any interference. Hence, we answer Point \_A and pro-0% to pass the following: \_(\_)\_RII)ER ~..

The appeal is dismissed, 'tl;\_ic' and VV sentence awarded by the H H under Sections 302 and 397 read with '34 L %%% Judgze 12553 A. ....