Karnataka High Court

```
Smt Soumya Kudva K vs The Official Liquidator Of on 15 February, 2011
Author: A.S.Pachhapure
      IN THE HIGH coca? OF KARNATAKA AT BAEGALORE
      DATED THIS THE 15"*DAy OF FEBRUARY, 2011d%dx
      BEFORE:
      THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A s.PAcHaA2§EE"f 3
      COMPANY APPLICATION No 12fi Q§ 201; -, 2 "i
      COMPANY PmTITIoN--Nd;125 Q3 zqozz' "
      BFTWFFN:
      Soumya Kudva K., 5
      D/o. Vittal Das Kudva,,m ';
      Aged about 30 yeers, i i. .Vx .
      R/at Convent Rbaéi» v. 323 ia'""-W»
      Madikeri»571~2ci;.qi" j,',_ 9 gs »
      Kodagu Distiict§; ' »d=}.é*w* 1 ' ... APPLICANT/S
      [By M/s. B,Tf§:asenna Kumar & fi.Chenna, Advs.3
      AND:
      The Oiiicial Liquidator of
      Vijaya Leasing Credit Limited [in Liuidation],
      d>Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka,
      12fi5Eloof iReheja Towers,
      M.c,Ro¢d;«f» '3"
      Bangdioreel; eii ... RESPONDENT/S
```

This Compény Application is filed u/Rule 177

id*.iV[By M/s.fK.S.Mahadevan & V.Jayaram, Advs.]

fl"of, the Companieé [Court] Rules r/w. Section 5 of the *Limitation Act, 'praying to condone the delay of 25 "~ days in preferring' the claim. petition before the

respondent Official Liquidator and to direct the Official Liquidator to adjudicate the claim made by the applicant etc.

'1r

Ksm*

ORDER

This Company Application coming on for Ogdars, this day the Court made the following: "

Heard on the application to condona tho delay, " in filing the claim yetition.

2. Considering the gxounda made out_in that affidavit filed in support oi the ag □ioation, the delay is condoned. 'Qfn. □ l27[2Q1l is accordingly allowed.

:§oaa