Allahabad High Court

```
Mahendra Pratap Saxena vs The State Of U.P. Through ... on 11 August, 2010
Court No. - 22

Case :- SERVICE SINGLE No. - 5618 of 2010

Petitioner :- Mahendra Pratap Saxena
Respondent :- The State Of U.P. Through Secy. Home Lucknow And Others
Petitioner Counsel :- O.P. Srivastava
Respondent Counsel :- C.S.C.
```

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Hon'ble Satyendra Singh Chauhan, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer only to the extent that the opposite parties be directed to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioner. He submits that all necessary facts have been stated in the representation of the petitioner, contained in Annexure no.2 to the writ petition.

Considering the aforesaid facts, this petition is disposed of finally with the direction that the representation of the petitioner, contained in Annexure no.2 to the writ petition, be considered and disposed of by a speaking and reasoned order by the competent authority within a period of three months from the date of production of a certified copy of this order before him.

With the above observation and direction, the petition is disposed of finally.

Order Date :- 11.8.2010 Rao/-