Karnataka High Court

Dr Prabha Shankar vs F Stephen on 3 June, 2011

Author: B.V.Pinto

the advocates on record were permitted tcfr':reti'i\*e['jfxfdia'  $\sim$  the ease and a netiee was issu\_e.d\_to zzn" {hat day.

3. It is seen that the Cent: i10't,iee Esjeued. appellant was served on th>e\_ apVpe11a'ma per§§.ena\_\\_\;.a\§ per=. the endorsement, offghe ohf' "Pe.1:iee; Hebbal Police Station. The in the notice on 27.07g2OQ8;: wfhici\\_\; Thereafter, till today eaade any efforts to appellant appears ta be an it is Clear that the appel1an"t1\_s"nvof"i:i'feree'i'ed in pursuing this appealg zi;1g;: Sr: S.HC\§; B'hagaVan, learned Counsel for the :\*e\_::<;jo:\§:L\(\mathcar{E}\)er; tMV"xyho is preeent before the Court submits 'shaft he objection if the appeal is re<)pene<:i, in the Aevem": .\{:\_:\_1';:' the appellant filing an application for the same, bxitxne; purp<:>se WEB be Serveii in keeping the matter pendixisg' in the list Herzcre, this appeal is dismissed far r10r1\(\epsilon\)proseC.uiion with 1ib<irty to the app\(\epsilon\)land application for reopazing if 88 advised. \(\sigma\)("i\(\epsilon\))\*<"\(\epsilon\)(":\)

nvj