

Central Information Commission

Shri.Suresh Sood vs United Commercial Bank (Uco) on 24 August, 2011

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Club Building (Near Post Office)

Old JNU Campus, New Delhi - 110067

Tel: +91-11-26161796

Decision No. CIC/SM/A/2010/001294/

Appeal No. CIC/SM/A/2010/00

Relevant facts emerging from the Appeal:

Appellant	:	Mr. Suresh Sood H No.-129 Siv Vihar Ram Nagar Dharamsala, Himachal Pradesh
Respondent	:	Mr. Niyogi PIO & DGM UCO Bank Zonal Office Shyam Nagar Dharamshala, Himachal Pradesh
RTI application filed on	:	05/03/2010
PIO replied on	:	18/05/2010
First Appeal filed on	:	25/05/2010
First Appellate Authority order on	:	not mentioned
Second Appeal received on	:	17/09/2010

INFORMATION SOUGHT

- 1) Please provide me the certified copies of Voucher for The reimbursement of conveyance/ Petrol expenses which is a Proforma of log book, and was submitted along with the petrol bills for claiming reimbursement of petrol expenses for the period from April 2009 to February 2010 by Smt Sneh Sharma.

REPLY OF PIO

information sought by the in fiduciary capacity under Employee relationship does not serve any public interest such, is exempted from disclosure 8(1)(e) of the RTI Act.

Grounds for the First Appeal:

No information provided.

Order of the First Appellate Authority (FAA):

The PIO is directed to furnish the information to the Appellant.

Ground of the Second Appeal:

Information provided is unsatisfactory and incomplete.

Relevant Facts

emerging during Hearing:

The following were present Appellant : Mr. Suresh Sood on video conference from NIC-Kangra Studio; Respondent : Mr. Niyogi, PIO & DGM on video conference from NIC-Kangra Studio;

The PIO states that the FAA has given a decision not upholding the PIO's contention and therefore the information has been provided to the Appellant. The Appellant states that there is a proforma in which this information has to be maintained and this has not been provided to him. The Commission directs the PIO to provide an attested photocopy of the proforma in which the Appellant claims the information to be kept. However, if the information has not been maintained in the proforma referred to by the Appellant this should be stated. Decision:

The Appeal is allowed.

The PIO is directed to provide the information as directed above to the Appellant before 15 September 2011.

This decision is announced in open chamber.

Notice of this decision be given free of cost to the parties. Any information in compliance with this Order will be provided free of cost as per Section 7(6) of RTI Act.

Shailesh Gandhi Information Commissioner 24 August 2011 (In any correspondence on this decision, mention the complete decision number.) (HA)