Karnataka High Court

M.N.Sanjeev S/O Muniyappa Nayak vs Saleem S/O Ladle Mashaq on 4 January, 2010 Author: Jawad Rahim

£,,;:@§:f.'Sa§.eVem: 2 \_\_\_S,{o\_i;a§F~\*:ash aj.q '~..«.\_'\*-.,Rai€%11jr\_\_\_ 1- RESPONQENT , .,,.,v.. mmu....',..w.,.V/wmmm:-mssw»w.~v.wmm7../@»: $\Box$ z $\Box$ EN THE HIGH CGURT OF KARNATAKA CIRCUIT BENCH AT GULBARGA DATED THIS THE 4"' my o:: JsixNUARY\_;:2"O1~5o ~----l: \_: \_ BEFORE V THE HONBLE MR.3USTI€.:E 3;x.\A{2ui>--g'}£\;%;1-bé1"Vff-.,\_\_\_ Cri.PRNQ:;358{é'Q§;§ BETWEEN:

M N Sanjeev "

```
S/0 Muniyappa Nayak  V
Age: Major" __ 3 " __
Occ: Business    4'
R,/a Uliaipfat 1 _ ' A V" ' '
\/mayakna"g_ar.j_ ' ' _ '_ = V
```

This Cri.P coming on for fViHéi»:'heaf'%ng1ithié'«d£.i§k,'V.V.Atiié§ Csurt made the fc>iEowir%g:-~'"\_~ DRL32 This petition as "£iii<:d\_ézncigz? 482 of ¢::m>,<:., seeking to iquashV,A"--~t.h¢~V;)«rQCeé\_dEfic;s' initiated in EC, No. 13/3:335 fisia 'V5?:'::1j;x.1aJ'a:;"j'%»/1 FCK, at Raichur. 2;'"-ih'I's 'ma'i§:é'r""H513 been iisted far finai hearing zéqnei é1V:'3;V,'V',7e'&"t:fe€i\_:'fé:;\g'L.he petitioner.; ii€<3t§Ar§i'fi':g tifat right frem 25.6.200§, though this fargming am befere the Cmsrt, no we E3

-..;»;g;§p:»é\$3<~3\$i<ji;«~§.ig;"i;\_;,1Vé☐méi' having rm chaise but :5 proceed with the ma:-mr §jz§'{::}i\*:sider an merits» AA Pemsai {sf thé sage mpers Endiaates that the réégmrséent §"3@:\*ein énitéafe☐mogesutisn against Em? H%,£3'}é\*{§f{§i:if¥8§' far are é:}§?Q"§"§€€ sunigiéabie unéar S&«::€§§:\*": 138 sf w\wA«,.AwmWMu.« @ew%a%☐§§e%§% IA"»:€'~{\_te:gEetrete '.ei.Ereefe%§:«:' reseeaaeat ta feed evidence in Vai;:eee--;t efgéée; eanhtentéae that deiay was éue is eaasea The resaendemtz☐meiaénant tenaereé /. 'ewe: a": wager: af the aeeééeetéea arse féfea .€:e§\*:a'é4%{ E☐aeemeaéa ze ehew tea: he wee aafferéeg free: ééf ,,,,,☐hm,,,'mW,M,M»emmww& the N.I.Ac:t on the accusation that the petitianer bamtrjowed {Haney from him undertaking to repay demand. Desi☐te reéiueste made, he did ameuntt, but issued cheque af Rs.2,98,GoO;'~. The Cheque

dishonoured for "Insufféc§e'a,ey..»of '.FL:if1d--s';'j"'\_:r;{eeeesi'V%;atin§;VV issuance af statutvorgr n;;:a§é\_;% m%cn wasVEa£so not completecf.

5. The private "free:-pi:aAin¥:\_ +p:es"e;=aee beyond the period of 30 aa\_ys \( \subseteq \) 'f§'AQn'{ 'i?;e"'§§'at:e:;"'ihe:g eause cf action accrued. V W ' ' I 6, Tai<ingj'e..§ai:§-;aVr§i:\_a4i<\_;;k.:e\_'"ef"..'a'the amended provision made: Seciiafx 'V:42(b) □e?"-the; \_\_\_\_{3i1} Act, he f□ed an application seekiag» V:Vee{:daVAf:'atfa:h"'"»pf deiay ef 1? days. Learned ...n ,3 uuu«.44M\.MV|V!V¥!V\DW|N'Qq\D\\% "[Provided that the cognizance of.-'3.\" mmp/aim may be taken by the Ciaurt a: "£"€arj' prescribed peried, if the compiainant s§:3§'i\*i§'£é3;~3. 1- V the sear: that he had sufficient {:a\_:1.s;;?g?'\_'~f¢r \$jc€f making a Compiaim' witfzin :s:;'c:i;~ «,t:§ar.€Qa',;]".' " \_ ' 3', From the pi:'?\_a'\$<§o!ogAy- V uVS\_ef}v.V\_ "\\$.;\\$N\"...\_'441S\'e\£:\tion\"' 142(:\£)(b) is clear tha\}: no cr;2.\\$;1\_L:rV\{EA>:;\[\circ\]:':'a:\\\$,=; cr;aif'd.:bAeV.t;%;ken by a Court unfess such a '4:4¥'r:V§:'¢.".J:'»éi~:r\;;'tE'1§a": cane month on the date on fs; vhic; h""i'.E.": é": arises under ciause (C) of the N,;., Act previdedVt1h\\$\\$:i,g;\\$\ext{\ext{e}Ag:y}\\$1\ext{\ext{e}V}i3\_\\$1g:\\$3-f 45-\V'?\F\\$\\\\\$1::\V1fai:2t may be taken by the Ckgur□g':'%\*;\_§¢t.r;b--ed Qeriodf if the cempiainant satésfie□i;Lh"e, had sufffsient cause far not maig-'s':g\_a <::é=mpV§a'i'En': within such period, This mea□s, the "":a;ta"gjs?§. v§%'E:i§§h §of€§onaf:io§1 of defay couié be sought is K=.§é:f{:¥:9é :ag%%i§-..~ {:agnEza§\*:<:e. The gtiage befare taE<§\$sg Cég---a::;;za\_r:<.:3nj:--§ a Stage when the acauged weuid 519?: have

-'~.\_\_\_'~i::;neen éasffzmaned by the Magistraied We must Eheyefare V."V'§:<:~tép§i.--'é§3 mérad, we scmme 9? Seciians 29\$ :0 Z 🗗 sf \_M£§:?.C», Séstimz 29\$ gastmaiag awn rgcgégi 9? a <:p\* Z10.

Accarééngly, petition is di\$p<}\$e:i of. §§§;"%f' " Q"

aw 7%