Delhi High Court

P.R. Industries vs C.E.G.A.T. on 10 February, 1999

Equivalent citations: 2003 (161) ELT 23 Del

Bench: A Kumar, M Sarin

JUDGMENT

1. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties. On the question of financial hardship the learned counsel for the petitioner admits that the turn-over of the petitioner is about rupees one crore per year. However, the net profit shown to the share of the partners is about Rs. 7,000/-. To say the least this does not inspire confidence. Petitioner has not come to Court with clean hands. We would not like to interfere with the discretion exercised by the Tribunal.

1

- 2. Dismissed
- 3. Interim order stands vacated.