

Punjab-Haryana High Court

Kashmiri Lal vs Pspcl And Ors on 15 March, 2018

CWP No. 6452 OF 2018

--1--

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6452 OF 2018

DECIDED ON: MARCH 15, 2018

KASHMIRI LAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORP. LTD
AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mrs. Rupinder K Kanwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant the benefit of promotional increment(s) in view of circular dated 23.04.1990 (Annexure P-2) and especially in view of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 20139 of 2015 (Annexure P-4) granting the financial benefit of promotional increment to all employees who have completed 23 years of regular service as on March 17, 2010 along with interest @ 18% p.a.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide representation dated 06.12.2017 (Annexure P-6), within a stipulated period.

1 of 2

CWP No. 6452 OF 2018

--2--

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1- Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd, Patiala to look into the grievances unfolded by the

petitioner in representation dated 06.12.2017 (Annexure P-6) and to decide the same as per circular dated 23.04.1990 (Annexure P-2) especially in view of judgment passed by this Court in CWP-20139-2015, captioned as "Chiman Singh v. Punjab State power Corporation Ltd. and ors", decided on 29.02.2016 (Annexure P-4), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, relief shall stand restricted to 38 months in view of Full Bench judgment of this Court in "Saroj Kumari v. State of Punjab and others", 1998(3) SCT 664.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies as well as to approach this Court.

MARCH 15, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable Yes/No