

Karnataka High Court

Sri Sukumar Salian S/O Alte ... vs Smt Vishalakshi W/O Puttaraju on 11 February, 2009

Author: H.G.Ramesh

H.E.Ii'.P.NO.20[ ZQQ9

in THE man comm' or mmvarmm AT nmemmx  
DATED TI-I18 THE 11% DAY or mnmmav 2009 \_\_  
swam: V %

ms Homnm mImusrrcm H.c+.12Aumn\_f" \* --' %

BETWEEN:

SR1 SUKUMAR SALIAN

S/O LATE SANJEEV SALIAN

AGE: ABOUT «as "YEARS '

R/AT' Ncmss, 11 FLOOR, 53:: MAIN '

4m BLOCK,RA..IAJ1NAGARW ,

BANGALORE-560 010 .% \_ -. g ,.PETITIONER

(BY SR} K.G0v:NDARAJ 0PMw,i\$.j'VP.N--EHRU'3s ASSOCIATES.  
ADVQCATES4 ' '

AND:

SMT. vlsmmksfli  
W/O PU'i'I'ARA;\$.U - V .\_  
AGE: AESOIET 32 YEARS

V. R /A'l"N"0.6J8, W 'D' '; 'vmi.NROAD  
" , 'Ci»:0PQ ROAD. SHNANAGARA  
'1\_B.'=J\$G-AL0\$2Ee56\_0"Q\_I0 ..nns:-ozmzmr

131\*. SR; N.' m. ADVOCATE.)  
Vrazs' 'H..ié.R.P. IS FILED ws. 46(1) OF THE K.R.AC'I',

4 1999 A.GA=.¥\_NS'I' THE ORDER D"I'.3. 1.2009 PASSED IN

" ..H.i?nC.TN0.4'€i---/08 ON THE FILE OF' THE IX ADDL. JUDGE, COURT

V SMALL CAUSES, MEMBER, MAC?»-7 BANGALORE,  
R%'EI'C\_ 'AF'ENG THE APPLICATION WITH COST OF RS200/--\*

ThiS PETitiON COMENG ("JN FOR ADMISSiON ThiS DAY,

"ms COURT MADE THE mmowrue:

Hausa Rea? Revision Pe?ifignfl\_q\_ 4 '\*

H. §. E. P. N0. 20 of 2009

ORDER

This revision petition by the tenant is .. V. against an interlocutory order dated ' 1 'V passed by the trial Court~t.he ec;ii□%o:it meet eAa¢11§\*1 Judge, Court of Small H.R.(3.No.46/2008, rejecting 'tl::e'»application-I □eelllley the petitioner under Order 2 with Section 151 of the T' summon the parents of

2. It the respondent-

landlorclethasl eviction petition in H.R.c.No.4'6/2"o<Je tL~:de£' section 27(2)(r) of the Rent 1999, for eviction of the from the petition premises. The trial Cotirt;'lon.eor\_ tsitIeran'on of the matter, has rejected the. lappliootéon filed by the petitioner with the following ' reasoning:

'4. This is a petition □led U/s.27(2)(r) of h V ll f□mataka Rent Act 1999for eviction ofthe tenant 5'! // '/' on the ground that petitioner requires the premises forhis personal use and ccupation. V '

5. The scope of enquiry of this petition\_ ; ~ : E not involve the advance amount respondent and the arrears of Ifséiii .; ' does not involve the p□nt : deducted out of the raised by the, petitioner on If any caused by tenz;\$rs~t&\_ to amount of R\$;50,0ao/--~ point for there is no need to to prove that respondent amomt of R350, 000/-fr}: an. % .

I fmd no 'in thé ~ of the trial Court in IBj65C?,iv1"iAg:V"f!":1}€ □led by the pefisioner to ;;"V'.\$\_I1I1H1&.I-"X me p'h;;;e'n:sk'(%or the mpmdamamlora as witnesses. "

' R«:visi\_¢n petition dismissed. ; g sali xuaqe