

Punjab-Haryana High Court

Sethu Ram Singla vs Notified Area Committee on 6 September, 2011

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No. 2896 of 1992

Date of Decision: September 06, 2011

Sethu Ram Singla

Petitioner

Versus

Notified Area Committee, Manimajra
through its Secretary

... Respondent

CORAM; HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local news papers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. S. P. Jain, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Dheeraj Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

Alok Singh, J (Oral) Learned Sr. Advocate appearing for the petitioner states that territory of Notified Area Committee/Respondent had been merged in the Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh, therefore, as on date, the petitioner has no apprehension about the recovery of the taxes pursuant to the impugned notice. He further states that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file afresh, if Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh proposes to take any step for recovery of tax amount.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

September 06, 2011
BB

(Alok Singh)
Judge