

Karnataka High Court

The Adarsha Recreation Club vs The State Of Karnataka on 5 March, 2009

Author: Mohan Shantanagoudar
ADVOCATE)

IN THE HIGH COLE? OF KARNATAKA
CIRCUIT BENCH AT EDHARWAD

EATED THIS THE 5*" my OF MARCH 2009
BEFORE

THE HGWBLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAN SHANTé;_N;\$53_C2L){'i\$R_
wan PETITION Ne. 51664/2G09_.(fiQ?MC)::' E

BETWEEN: *
THE ADARSHA RECREATIOi'1C~!__UB %

MAHALASA BUILDING.E'K'_BR{3'A.D
YALLAPUR UTTARA KANNADA E

56*' 1T5* '€3HA3'RMA?'5"-V 1
s;-ax: GANAPATI_i<E\$ISH\$ia BHAT
S/0EEE%KR%1sHENA%AEE:-m.TEE
A/A 55 YEARS E:)C<.:EAGR1cuLmR1sT
% ease MAN.3uNATr1ANAGAR
._[___ '*TQ,\ 'ALLAPL3\$%------\$J' K DIST.
E ..PE'¥"I'I"IOk'~fER

1. THE \$TATE OF KARNATAKA

V 'A BYITS \$ECRETARY TO DEPT OF HQME

E%;::~1 S BUILDINGS

E E aA::~4GAL0RE«1.

2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
KARWAR, U K 9:5?

3. THE ASST SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
YALLAPUR S
U K 1315?

4, THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR or f3'OLICE*'*'v-A<.:':::~... *%TI f S
YALLAPUR
UKDE1 A

(By Sri.K.B.ADHYAPAK, AGA) ["SS H

THIS PETI'I'I0t\£__IS FILE[}.jU:'%_DER ARHCSLES 226
AND 227 or-" THE c<>%:\:S'rI"i'ufrl<3Srs;S0\$ INDIA PRAYING

TO DECLARE" .RES?PONDEN"TS HAVE NO:
RIGHT TO"SPE{QHE:_B7IT"*\$HE ¥~'E'TIT'IONER CLUB TO RUN
THE auramvisawsfi '*ANE)' ' TO CARRY ON NORMAL
ACTIVITiXES S'-.ffmjess "ISSUE CF wan" as MANDAMUS
ma E'TCL»._ 2

..4.5EF'HES PETfTIO!¥? COMING on FOR PRELIMINARY

I-_.V'V "v--.§-A-}E;'f\$g\$'_I3\$\\i{'3EPHISVV' SAY, THE COURT MADE THE
A scamwxws:

GRDER

```
..S'$fi'. 'i(;.B.Adhyapak, Eeamed Additional
```

€§G'vgrf*{n1ent Advocate takes netéce an behafi af the

°r;e'S§p':endents.

- .m _ . _ _

3%; 3 '2

;

C23' :

2. Heard the ieamed Advocate

petitioner and also the learned Additional A(§§§ é'§9%j'n9§év:*s.:t

Advocate.

3. In this Write pe:~e:ica, m%aer% ;ç'5=:fi:i_{:fé:--\$"i226'V: and"

22? of the Constitutipn c2f""E%i}}\$%é;'vL.;hetj:5et\$ti't\$r2er has
sought for a dadsratéeízfz.'t?éat the'_feSpb_r:_dents have no
right ts téfia ;;;;V'..él:'a'f:\$¥:<::>"a?':e;'f.... 'c.:¥V.'T'0\$1*1 running the

rummy qz:3'me5-"?& ;r.\$fl"ts sf; r%;}r':T3af activities.

4.' covered by the decision of

tmggcoagrt gn"a«{.P.;As359/2004, wner-em it has been

»;»-gem th a*\$;% fizhé . ; :)etiticr:er need net Qbtain iécense from

th;é"' : *e\$\$:i\$}.%\$;:i»é,¥1r\$Vts and the respondents are at liberty to

mar\$ét.o}}tthe activities sf the petitioner and take actian A '~ "_if: é;rcordance wéth Saw, if any
iiiegai activities are " cézrried an by the petitéanerz, ,,...w~-N-"".<"

5. Therefore, writ petition is disposed o?, in terms of the order passed by this Court in
w.P.No.16359/2004. Whiie the petitioner ca□::4:CaVfry an iawfui activities, the respondents are
monitor activities of the petitiorger and*'tav%<'e}'_vva'é:fi::s3, " 'V accerdance with éaw, if any
Eiiegal :jafc*.;\$vitEes~ .\$.re"¢é:ffié.d an by the petiticsner.

ES