

Karnataka High Court

Smt Geetha vs Asst Commissioner on 17 April, 2009

Author: Ram Mohan Reddy

IN THE HIGH come'-r or KARNATAKA, T' -

DATED TI-H8 THE 17TH nA,.Y"b'I4* T_

BEFORE » A

THE Homsm MR. aUs'r1%c::E"* nzcjuaié \$255?

Way x=g__,1*1'r1or7w,'13,ixo.1o5*1é"%t1-3* "2907 fLB=Efi\$]

BETWEEN

: SMT GEETHA

W/O RAMEigh Hp---.LI " "

AGED.ABQUT.'32'Y.EA'R.s

ADHYAKSs_I-\$"_A, N'I'f'F_UR_GRr%'M FEANCHAYAT

HMA.RAPA1~}AH;%;.I..Li ' ' -- .

DAVANAR}.ERI:, _ _ -- PETITIONER.

(By Sri: R L«.pA*:*a1,'r\$:.):\$ P£\$?"iI;*'AND PATIL, ADVS.)

é_i'S...1.3;_..

'~ _ 1 €105/Ih}iISS'E'GNER

. 3 HARAPANAHALL:

_ " 'DA-VANAGERE

iz? "NI'IfIfI;iV;%e._c\$RAM PANCHAYAT

.. I~iA. RAPI\$NAHALLI

DAWKNGERE

.A ; _ REWI) BY ITS SECRETARY. RESPONDENTS.

_"(--\$3y--»s,{i. R. DEVADAS, AGA FOR R1) THIS WRIT PETITION IS FTLED UNDER ARTICLES "£263 AND 227 OF THE CONS'}'ITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING ~ TO QUASH 'THE NOTICE o1'. 16.6.2007 ISSUED BY R1 VIBE ANNEX.B. 'TO 'THE WRIT PETITION. ., THIS PETITION, COMING cm FOR PREL1'h1I'rx£Ai?Y HEARING IN 'E' GROUP, THIS DAY THE COURT FOLLOWING :

Learned counsel for vf.tu:._V pe□ix□er that the relief sought for in i_s fendered infructuous by pasVS;*;,\$t\$¢.A 'of the submission, writ--\$petiti0r1"iSl"d.iszx\$is\$éd "having become i11□ucmous.: ' '3