Karnataka High Court

Sri R Rahul Chakravarthi vs Miss Anitha on 25 February, 2009

```
Author: N.Kumar
```

```
.. nr-uu1r1n~|l \rI. mun uuum Ur KAKNAIAKA HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA HIGH CCU!
III THE man ccurrr or xnnuxmu. AT :
Datad this the 25* day cf :r=ébrua§'y5
semas
THE m3u'aL£ mt. jusfrzce in mminfi k
flflfififlg
521 R _Rz!&HUt1jxC_HA§(\forall 3AVART\forall -filv %
AGE: §26"fR$;%L/XCIHGU
 No.14-%7a, mgn<,A99A:¢ApALvA
       L Psrmonea
(ay Sr§:. R Kazsa ADV., )
aim--u'-u'.n
% rarssmzraa
r:;o94.F.suaRAMANzvAM
 AGE :23 ms, Hxrmu, am rm.23o/57
= n moss, Mac mvow
 , mwmmmn samvms
 FEENYA am PHASE
 BANGALORE-58
```

(By Sri : G V NARASIMHAMURTHY, ADV/., Wk C/R) .,......=: ,-....m -..uum up mmnmxn men comm or KARNATAKA HIGH COURT or KARNATAKA 1-new cow: mts W.P. Is FILED PRAYING 70;: QU#~'§H"'l?iffE_V". xmwcsmeo cases: 91. 5.9.2903 czzr-"fry-re II 4 PRINCIPAL Juuc.-:5, FAMILY csum, B:a§lNc§.□l,i3l§E.'.;ill'Iall'a§§?A Iv IN MC no, 135312005 on ape A'F.lll,H.!(-E..'v: A This Writ Petition la-;_a_ hearing this day. the Court mI.a_;3e th§'□!Zif:i_r'ia§.:_V.' 2 " ll Tha'l1'an§itlovrlér:lil'.é;'s this petition challenging ' the onjir paaolad Family Court awarding an inurim pf Rs.3,000i- per month and ' litigatian .. ;Thu material an record disclwes that the and tho mspandan: wars studying hoatthar. It 'ilk-l:i:1e specific case of the patitlaner that he was forcibly be the raspondt-mt. Admittedly, the rmpandant 8 pregnant. The petitioner has preferred as petl⊡on under Sectlon 13 of the ⊡ndu Marriage Act, 1956, seeking for

-----; 3....m....-"nun mun LIJUIU Ur KARNATAKP HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA HIGH CUUKI or KAKNAIAKA HIUH LUUK able-bodied person, is bound to take can cf □s new pregnant. Under the circun-aafcances;-,~~" said that the Family Court paging the impugned erder, whigh

RESPONDENT

¢:.aIk_ f6r 3 Accardingty, the Writ; \square g :i'i'4r \square ssé'd;-. "ms