Central Information Commission

A.N.Prasad vs Mcd, Office Of Addl. Dy. ... on 24 October, 2008

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION

Room no.415, 4th Floor, Block IV, Old JNU Campus, New Delhi 110 066.

Tel: 9111 26161796

Decision No. CIC/WB/A/2007/01495/SG/00027/penalty1 Appeal No. CIC/WB/A/2007/01495

Showcause Hearing on 24 October 2008 at 4.30pm

Present:

1. Dr. Alok Aggarwal

Deemed Public Information Officer Under RTI Act 2005

Municipal Corporation of Delhi

Veterinary Department (West Zone)

Room No. 104, MCD Office, Vishal Encalve

Rajouri Garden, New Delhi - 110027

2. Dr. Bhalla

Public Information Officer Under RTI Act 2005

Municipal Corporation of Delhi

Veterinary Department (West Zone)

MCD Office, Vishal Encalve

Rajouri Garden, New Delhi - 110027

3. Mr. Jagpal Singh

Deemed Public Information Officer Under RTI Act 2005

Municipal Corporation of Delhi

Engineering Department (West Zone)

4. Mr. Naveen Verma

Public Information Officer Under RTI Act 2005

Municipal Corporation of Delhi

Engineering Department (West Zone)

5. Mr. Devender Kumar

Public Information Officer Under RTI Act 2005

Municipal Corporation of Delhi

DEMS Department

Ambedkar Stadium, Delhi Gate, Delhi

6. Mr. Raj Pal Sing

Nodal Officer & Public Information Officer Under RTI Act 2005

Municipal Corporation of Delhi

Engineering Department

Town Hall, Chandni Chowk, Delhi - 110006

7. Mrs. Alka R. Sharma

ADC (Health) & Public Information Officer Under RTI Act 2005

Municipal Corporation of Delhi

Engineering Department

Town Hall, Chandni Chowk, Delhi - 110006

And Appellant A.N.Prasad

Proceedings

The Commissioner asked whether the information was provided to the Appellant.

The appellant pointed out that whereas information had been given to him about the status of this complaint after the RTI application, the respondents had not given the

information about the action taken on his complaints from the date that the filed the complaints, up to the date the RTI application. The respondents said that they had understood that they needed to provide this information only after the date of the filing of the RTI application. They have been directed to furnish this information to the appellant by 6, November 2008 for the action taken on the complaints from the date that the complaints of filed.

On the question of imposing penalties on the responsible officers they claim that the RTI application had initially been handled by one person and from there it went to 3 different departments and the various officers have handled the application, and therefore it was difficult for them to identify the responsible officers. The commission has asked them to prepare a table listing the movement of the RTI application and the names and designations of the officers who had handled the RTI application. They were directed to deliver this by 06/11/2008 after which the commission will decide on the further action to be taken.

Shailesh Gandhi Information Commissioner 24 October, 2008