Karnataka High Court

Sri Shankar Ramachandra Patil vs Karnataka Industrial Area ... on 11 June, 2008 Author: N.Kumar

```
III was maxi mom or xuuwrnxs xr
Dated this the 11th day 'df'Jz1z;¢-gl
33530333' 1 TA
1113 norm: ma.
Writ Petitiog H ..f{}M--f{ ?Al5 B;)V
BETWEEN:
Sri Shankal' 2
Age: 45ycan3_V ., I _V ff.,
Doc: Buaixlcgss b
R/o Nfiedi
Post
:)istrict_,Belga;u3::,_-- % I ...Petitioncr
' 'V " Ham' :3' 3 ' fndushifii
Dev2:l;op:z;¢~.a.t Beigaum
by" its ;.
Deveiopmega.
Indtzstriai Esta-1fr:',j Belgatim _
andalaoat
Plot No.'i, iBe3
% " 33 K. I{angax_ "ii Industrial Area
owl
Pi? R "
A -- 590 010 Respondent
[By Sn' P V Chmdrasckhar, Advocate)
   This Writ Petition is filed under Articles 226 and 227 of
the C-tmsfi '
tuuon of India, praying to quash the atrium' dame!
```

u/

```
3-1-3007 passed by the development oficcr
    %
and the original is produced at.Arm¢xu.1vc- .
writ of ccrtlo:ran' 'AVquaahi;ngv:'£§nr;&$;;$;;r£;J%_.' 03.01.2007 by
which the
            lease amt
dated that '
ofthc
2. % mammnmg firm writ paiifion on
.J27.oa};:>ooif my of disposmaaion.' «
3. % of the pgu'uom' is that he ia in the
   ;mssn§"s.:s tiflmanhv % nfachi mng ' Tampa' -cum g wood and imam' g
V A HcV.Nis running the business under the 12% and say}:
    1ndust1xs' '. In rim year 1990-91 on an application
being appmximatcly 50% of the value 4.
Subaeqnenfly, the petitioncr has pm a
Rs.9,431--00 and Rs.237~oo
he has paid a sum of phi?'
axecutcd on 24.04.1997' of 11 ywrs
on an annual 1'cntVofRs.2f} --§i)€!V, 7
                                          hmded over on
17.04.1997 'cb; ainiag the sanction
F1811. he h&.~'§ H}: has also got power
   charges. A pmmancnt
mango; been issued in h'm favour on
        pctitionerwas semd with the impugned order
 % %a;maoa;o1fi2m7 infomlixzg that his Lana has been cancelled.
```

V' made a mpzeaentafion bringing to their notice the

```
. However. the same was not oonaidemd.
.:TI:acreat'ter he filed a suit in ().S.No,236/07. The auit oaxnc to
L'/.

I paw the following order:
be ' ' as net mam' mind)' 13. In those cafl

5. The teamuz Counaqg foI' th e" f t
that when in pursuance of him, m
industzriai site: has he has
and on
buasntss.wit1§§t;t without notice, the said
Iand in violation. of mm ' of
natural jugficg. tubmita that the impugned ozdar

% is tube éj
____ the leamed Counsel for the respondent
```

-- that respondent would give him notice in hw and then Wonk! take appropriate action of the tenna of the base. Undmr the V