Central Information Commission Dr.Sandeep Mehta vs Ministry Of Railways on 20 October, 2010

Central Information Commission

	Name of the Applicant	:	Dr.Sandeep Mehta
	Name of the Public Authority	:	Northern Railway HQ
	Background		
1 The Ap	oplicant filed an RTI application dt.3.5.10 with the PIO, RCF	seek	ing the following information:
	 i) names of medical officers who have even one episode of unaryears all through Northern Railway. ii) What action has been taken aga detailed reports of various actions at CMD, MD, CMS level 	ain	
	i i What action has been taken against Dr.Ekta Chaddha/ADMO/JU unauthorized absentee butl repeatedly allowed to join duty What ac of CMS/JUDW, CWM/JUDW and CMD/NDLS against h e month she is he e JUDW/EDH in year 2009. Is it true that she is being shielded because in Railway Board.	JDW/ tionh nst	as been taken at the level her starting from j o i n e d
	i v Are any adverse entries made in the ACR of officers who are u with photocopies of such ACRs.	nautł) norizedly absent along
	Shri Gurjit Singh, PIO transferred the RTI application to Northern Rassingh, Asst. Secretary (Confidential) replied on 18.5.10 stating that againdicating that adverse entries have been made on account of unauthor in the hold of the last statisfied with the reply, the Applicant filed an appeal defauthority reiterating his request for the information. The desired information still not satisfied, the Applicant filed a second appeal dt.4.7	gainst orized i t.3.6. mation	point (iv) no such record l absence are maintained c e . 10 with the Appellate n was provided on 9.6.10.

1

2

The Bench of Mrs. Annapurna Dixit, Information Commissioner, scheduled the hearing fr October 20, 2010.

- 3. Shri Rakesh Tyagi, DGM/Law, shri Dalip Singh, AS(C), Shri Naresh Kumar, Apo/G and Dr.B.B.Aggarwal, CMO represented the Public Authority.
- 4. The Appellant was not present during the hearing.

Decision

5

The Respondent submitted that an interim reply was provided on 25.5.10 to the Appellant informing him that the information is being collected. He added that information against point (iii) had to be obtained from another office and that the complete information was furnished to the Appellant on 26.7.10.

6

The Commission after hearing the submission by the Respondent and noting that there is no further communication from the Appellant after receiving the information dt.26.7.10 assumes that the Appellant is satisfied with the information. The appeal is accordingly disposed of and the case closed at the Commission's end.

(Annapurna Dixit) Information Commissioner Authenticated true copy:

(G.Subramanian) Deputy Registrar Cc:

- 1. Dr.Sandeep Mehta H.No.83 B, Type IV Rail Coach Factory Kapurthala
- 2. The PIO Northern Railway Headquarters Office Baroda House New Delhi
- 3. The Appellate Authority Northern Railway Headquarters Office Baroda House New Delhi
- 4. Officer incharge, NIC