Karnataka High Court

Smt Shashikala D/O K Amarnath vs Mr D N Shashidhar on 18 December, 2008

Author: Subhash B.Adi

IN THE HIGH coup: OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALQRE ": j--:

DATED THIS THE 18*" DAY or DECEMBER 2c-ifs

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE susagséwé. An :f

MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL §iQ,59240 BETWEEN; 'SMT SHASHIKALA D/OKAMARNATH AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS SRISRIKANTH 3-5 'V V:

S/OKAMARNATH _ _ 4.

AGED sax smTHosH. V -» S/OKAMARN-ATH' _ * AGED A3oz3I_21 Vegas', »- 'APPELLANTS 1"10A3 Arie R/A7'~"NO.3G!31 'V' - RAGHA\fEi'4DRiA coL<5I\i*{"" 'V ._&wN.L?:é.;

--- imp N SHASHEDHAR _ 3/o as NAGARAJ ' _ =ND.--4*32, RAJASHREE MARKET ELANGALORE ---- 569 002 . C} §AMARA}.P1'7,' 5?' MAIN, 5?" caoss BANGALORE ».-"'5§">.(} f318 ...APPELLANTS (£3.-Y 'EMT; ¥> EALPANA, ADVGCATE) "NEW INDIA AESSURANCE co urn NQ346, 2*" FLOOR, MANANDI COURT, 27?" (Ross JAYANAGAR 3"" BLOCK BANGALORE »- 560 an

- 3. This Appeal is directed against the award dated 5.7.2005 passed in MVC n9b,3219/--:t\$9'9f~_.nn=:th--e it fiie of the MACT, Bangaiore, only against the graient. i'nteree_VtA from the date of evidence instead af grantiing the date of petition.
- 4. Learned counsel fe'r._z'th_e".Vaps§eila~nLte:"enbmitted that the Tribunal without any jus:tifi.':.:atieonv~. the grant of interest from the daitetofvVcor'innencement"'ef evidence. He further subr,nittmedi* jciaiimantisiiavre entitied to interest from the date uef is not disputed by the I other side, _ isviidnetkdnoiivn as to why the Tribunal has restricted the $\S:'\sim\text{en't}_ef'':\text{ntverest'V''}$ from the date of evidence instead of v'v?'et._grenting'''the'interest from the date of petition, whereas the Litcieiirniaizteauare' entitled to interest from the date of petition iifrdm the date of commencement of evidence. (' r $\$ -- \ ,4, with this rnodifica $\$ on, this Appeal is partly The ciaimants are entitled to interest on~th.e'cof $\$ \$eheatie'r:.'.'_j; amount from the date of petition til! reali§;a $\$ fIT." KM