

Karnataka High Court

The New India Assurance Co Ltd vs Shri Arriswami S/O Venkatesh on 6 August, 2009

Author: B.V.Nagarathna

IN THE HIGH COURT OF
CIRCUIT BENCH AT -- _ '2 V.

DATED Tms TI-IE em DAY or AU(fi}S'Iif,V: &

3E1«*§2RE*« «

TI-IE ;_go1v'B;__ .1: mas. _

mm No.2m\$45;go09 pm M
THE NEW INDIA ASsz.:mgr«©13%k\$§c,~J%.:;TD
THROUG~H__I'i'S;DI:VISIQi*l.£3.){ MANAGER
BELLAf€'f~,}E}Y r*.I's =:REGIOVN'Ai;-C}FFICE
NO.2«-8,44 I\$IJ]LDI=NG ANNEXE

MISSVIONLROAD, '=BfANGALoRE-560 027
Rgpmr i'F?;;:A_REG:iONAL--vMANAGER.

APPELLANT

(BY SRI.RaV\$..(§;'Sg\$£1_H.ALi~fi'i'P, ADVOCATE)

A % s.Hz2I;ARRJswAM1 s/0 VENKATESH

06¢: X-+f::LEANER, AGE: 28 YRS
R O[TE?KI{AI.KO'FE VILLAGE)
SW-I~IR4\$;GUPPA TQ.

2. .'S\$'RI.N.VENKATESH NAIK

A S/C} LAXHMAN NAIK,

V' AGE: MAJOR,

(OWNER OF VEHICLE NC).CAS- 1758)

N0.80, WARD N014
VENKATARAMAN NAGAR,

ROOFAN GU13} ROAD,
BELLARY.

—

(BY sRI.SUBRAMANYA J S, ADVOCATEI?OR~RIj{[Ii"

THIS MFA Is FILED U/*3 30(1)
COMPENSATION ACT, AGAINST THE JU3)'GM.E.NT.3 Am 1 *

ORDER DATED 29/12/2008 % PA SS Ef)': IN W(3A-
CR/s4/ 2008 ON TI~IE__ FILIE''GnF'j' COIIIMMISSIONER
FOR WORKMEN sf3C5_I*2i':5E'-_1\.\$?:'\$3A'I.': 'I'IrL"\$ _Iv'-l'V:. AND LABOUR
OFFICER SUB DI':;1I'.a'I»*.QjI'\$I--I1',A_~R,_Ii\$I\$£.I\$;AIR\$', AWARDING
COMPENSA*I'I4C)}?.QFZRS ;_1"' , "V(~3'_9 _;."f%.%IV°>vI"/-""'i2'II'I'I'I-I-1 INTEREST AT
THE P.:f'l':;i', 'i,CI. " I %

?*;', 'IIIs , C:{i). "1\+f1\7C'} ON FOR ORDERS THIS
OAY, THIS c:OLIR'I9IL*E'\$LI\$.?%:\$RI,a'O THE FGLLOWING:

fIRmg8DGMMENT

--» *-.'..3:\$:>':II1'I::s e'3;l On both sides submit that the substantial
q;:ICs;ti0I1R". "L:--:of:=.uiaw raised in this appeal have been

iagaint the Insurance Company in Other

x V' ~ arising out of the same accident and in which

"I331: very same substantial questions of law raised

'4 "fiance, this appeai aiso Ought to be dismissed. EL

A'

2. I have perused the order dated

passed in MFA No.20642/2009 and %£;\$}da:k%.L'L%d%e;::%g1

02.07.2009 passed in MFA;'m.%2oe46,¢'gm9ima

20644/2009. Following the sai\$ i'..cl\ e{;isiozj1',«,,% % ;';he=

appeal is also dismissed.

3. At this . for the claimant
submits that f_1'le__ be released in
favour of thé'

W to transmit the said
E:1B1{.¥E11'lA'£'_1Z€3 ""*':i:he Commissioner, Workman

' The Commissioner, Workman.

. . " deposit 3. sum of Rs.75,000/-- in the

name ---- respondent No.1, initialiy for a

peféod gof years in 8313? nationalised bank and the c1:1i_mai:;.£ -- respondent No.1 shall be
enti□ed ta draw " " ;§éri_édica1 interest on the said amount. The balance % amount shall be released
to the claimazat ---- res□□dvént No.1.

p8