```
Karnataka High Court
Smt B R Nalini vs The Commissioner on 25 June, 2008
Author: Ram Mohan Reddy
      ..E..
      IN THE I-IIGI-I coum or KARNATAKA. ~
      DATED THIS THE 25th DAY ;DF.JUI'iE'.;'VV"2'{"@'3 "'
      BEFQRE', '*T
      THE I+ION'BLE MR.JUS'FICE"IiAMAMQHAN R'EDD'x"'
      WRIT PETTPION Kc. 122.3.%Lf 2697 (LB--~BM:5)
      BETWEEN
      SMT B R NALiN I
      5/0 SR1 BPAD-MA§?A.J .,
      w/0 MALLESH 33VNiie2,LA-KAj*r1 "
      AGED ABOUT.V.48.E; '}RS ' . " I ..
      R/AT No.-v9 %1_s::* rrne-¢eR"---_
      LAKSHMANRAGCRQA'D "
      BALEPET CROSS \?.ANGALG_RE__53
       PEFITIONER
      (By 81'): PAl2aAS.HAUR}\M"R I?iATTARAKIHAL, ADV )
      . ..¢...u...mg
      I f€.{ )R4iiv5fi'SSIONER
      . "BANG'F;§;_OTRE MAHANAGARA PALIKE
      BANQALORE
      V' " ' =f1fI»zé ASST EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
      " B M PWARD N028
      CHIKKAPETE
      BANGALORE 53
       3 SR] ASHOK KUMAR
      S/O LATE IJHARMICHAND
      AGED ABOUT 45 YRS I K
      .2-
      R/AT NO.715/36 42m) (Ross
      31:39 BLOCK RAJAJINAGAR
```

BANGALORE 10

```
4 SR1 G: PARSMAL JAIN
S/O GHISULALJI M I
AGED ABOUT' 40 YRS
R/AT 190.174 10'm (moss
POLICE ROAD ' ..
BANGALOREES3
 R'Es, §éQ1, \IDENTs
(By Sri : M ARUN PONNIAP.F3~7A, ;"I13Ig':fs'(5'R;I?_II§I' 352 )
(BY SR1. v B SHIVAKUMAR, AD'JV'F'OR 1:2;3"::s.;;4)
THIS WRi T«PE'ITI'IQN ISFILED I;IIs;DI;:12 ARTICLES 226
AND 227 01: ;:C.:GNs'rIT1:'rlo.IIj.olr INDIA PRAYING 'TO
DIRECT THE '4I21I'-'1'...1AI~zqb;II. IV% "fro? CONSIDER THE
REPRESENTATIONS AS PERVIANNOD 3;; E D'I'.15.6.2007, AND
16.6.2{)Ct2*',I-R1?'»Si?EC*f'i'JELY,'*--OF THE mrrrxcmsa.
THIS w'RiT"PL?TrFIQI&IwIIjCMiNG ON FOR PRELIMINARY
HEARING'{B4c;R0um-..,*I*HI"s 1331?, THE COURT MADE THE
F'OLLOWING.«.
I -. RecI)1%iL*Iig"vthc submissions of Sri.M.Arun Ponnappa,
 "<:¢':Ij'v;-1_s.i~:j:]:V" .for the msponde:rIt~Brullat Bangalore</pre>
  " Palike and Sri.V.B.Shivakumar, lcaxned
       fefifthe respondents 3 and ~'«'I«, owners of the premises
```

-3.

Corporations Act 19376, was initiated and conclutified .. order is now carried in appeal befone H Appellate Tritaunai, nothing farmer s:').,§iii§}ies.VV' V' consideration in this petition and is, :f .. l\_ A Ln.

<sup>&</sup>quot; opposite to the pe⊡onefs pmn□ses that the coniplaint of the pe⊡tioncr was addressed by the BBMP and "proceedings under Section. 321 of the Ka1'nataka Municipal UK