

Karnataka High Court

K M Veeresh @ K Veeresh vs State By Sathnur Police Station on 3 August, 2009

Author: Subhash B.Adi

,9»?

«

EN THE HIGH COURT <39' KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE  
DATED THIS THE 3"? my OF AUGUST 2009  
BEFORE

THE HOWBLE MRJUSTICE SUBHASH B.;:\$DI fi

BETWEEN:

K.M.Veeresh @ K.Veeresh

Managing Director

M] s.Silamko Mining Pvt.Ltd.

Andriya Willington Apts. , .

it 2005, 1st Block, 18% Cmssk \_  
Lottagtflahalli

Ballgalore--9-4 .  
Rcpxesantcd by his«G,F'.A.I\*\$Eoldcr'V.«i \_\_ ~. u I  
Sri.H.R.Ravi, S/p3.\_H.\$.'i?mI.ha I{t.'is}11\_m=. V '  
M/sfihamko Miniggg P'vt.\_Ltd.j-~.\_ \_  
Andriya Shamkq Nlining 'a " V

Anciirya " .

# zms, 14 Block;<:;st

Lotfagol'IahaI]V;Vi . \_ . .  
Banga]orfr94. 'V '- ...PETITLONER

J (By

Am):

-- Vv Statfif Station

Elamanagara District

\_.v .44(R\*cp.by 9:9. 'High Court  
V \_ Buiazlislq, Bangalore. .. RESPONDENT

g3y,s'r;--R.s.Bha:. HCGP) This cnmm; Ramon is am under Sectien 482 c;~.:=.c. to quash the seizure of the vehicle Maruti Swift Car beating registration, No.KA--O4-MF5598 under mahazar dt.3.4.2'{}09 in Sathnur Poiioe in Crime No.56/2008 and CIQQIKAL pmxnon }' mu dirmctions may

please be issued to the 10 in the said case to release the said vehicle: forthwith to the petitioner. This Petition coming on for admission this day;..the Court made the following tagz "

ORDER.

Petitioner C1333)'s to be the !E!'\_I\_'4Of the Police. It is alleged that, the Vé\$ \_hi&\$1c\$}itI;out " attended by anyone and it A'»\ \$'hen w it before the learned Magistrate T\_ vehicle, he had not produced the to the said vehicle, as stated by the learned Magistrate. é Ira:-sea' the petitioner submits that, the original €it;{\_11Ir;¢Ii'ts"4\$\$:'c'Vi:\$;1)\$iuced in this case and he is the owner of the crime is registered even prior to the :ve.l :}e ii\$\$;igjg\*«.;eg'Lstered by the R.T.O. He submitted that, the #:1210146"-was in existence at all when the crime was committed. A 'A .. AA Government Pleader on instructions submitted \_ % -- owner of the vehicle had not produced the relevant T document seeking release and this vehicle was found unattended and it is also alleged that, the accused has used the vehicle.

4. Considering the fact that the \_ now produced, it is proper to the original documents before: the «Ii: the petitioner produces the documents, Magistrate: on verification of the same; to pass orders for release of the vehicle.

Accordingly, the order is as follows:

V ... .'  
to (summon Jmn

JUD%

SBA)';  
,\_ (L'r l.P.No.3 18712009.  
5 /0312009

ORDER ON FOR 'BEING SPUKEH TO'

in paragraph 4 of the order, the learned \_ been directed to verify the documents and release.' 3 " The Magistrate is directed only of vehicle, if all the original " V L' are found in order. he is directed;.....If €116" ychiéie' i=;\_ :19"\$ involved in the crime, then: is no need to condition.

sd/..