

Karnataka High Court

K N Muddarangaiah vs The Chief Executive Officer M/S ... on 3 April, 2009

Author: Huluvadi G.Ramesh

IN "ma: HIGi~i cjomrr 01: Isi:i\$.iL*\$A'i'AE{A Af1' '._V BANGALORE f m'r1:1: THIS THE 93*" pm;
oF APR;: { 2a\$9F% ' 311mm;

THE H()?~E'BL-E a»1R,J";:s'i':{E ms\$, {;»2xD:.;5RAXiESEk % K.N.Muddara□\$aé.a}'h . V Aged
absauf 4{ _?» V _ .

C-50 M,AJajsiai'a:n2-?Sigh, ~ _ _ laya Elechieais-,""" . . ' :

No.4, Ne1a,géd;ér ?;.;3,haii," _ "

Yes%2vg*a;2t}1\$wurTvfHnb□" .

Bangaivore:-56£1{) '?3';-," ' ' ..PETITIONER (By M.-'S. □□ziaciates, Advs.) V A'

A The a::h:gi' %:x;cm~e Of□ar, ' «UiifcYs:s:E,' "{ransf<mner-Urai---1:1\$tas, N~::_[2□A,' Phase,
Paeiia--Inéusiriai Ar□a, 'V Bang\$EQfe--560053. ..RESPQ?.;'€DENT {3\$r}vif5s.B}1Gopaia Law
Assacéafesf Advs.) Thés Writ Patitészm is fied under □rticias 226 and 227 :3? the Constitution of
India praying ta modify the award cit.1,4'€}6 passed by time: Add}. Labo1%{313I't, Bz□zgaicxe in
§3'_}.N<)_29\$G1 RAJ tht: enquiry held, he was éi□□□□□ fmnl siervice. As; aga□hst the said
erder, a ziespute wag raised by the Wcurkxnaza. Thé:-.4Ea}\$<1ur Court an enquiry' having found
that □h: resptulzda□ iizis□égid aziiéi (rinsed its estabiishnlent has (\$Y'd£3fB_,d~>~f€)1T
pa}9i□g"" "wages to the petiitiimtsr from the (feats 'Eh: closure «of the estabiisllmerzt. V» ' "

3. Heard.

4, It is a,rg:2;iVrVi\$'ér;:"tV:: :*>_f Ccwunscz} fer the petététmar □af in't\$"a€\$--_V -3it:1'1_E._a'_i'
'atasé i';-jvaI_\;ing anetizer m;rkz11a:i in :.).r~;s;.2s:<}%k%1%;%%:5;1YV%am;gé*%caiusv:Vhas
granted the gem' of 39% 533%: s\$.;ag es and iti.'V%;%s'?'c.a\$€:,□g:has ordered fin" (mix; 25% mi'
back wagsg az2d ;aL;:i{1r¢:i.i.3'\$gY};i, é□aght ft): the simiiar rciefl , - ., ..;3'.'.i_;a:a;i'£:§d Caunsei
for the resporzdent has subuni□zdz that gz2*:é:□ing back wages itseif is ernzynetms since the
waxrkman has useé abasive ianguage utibeaznmirzg afa wrnkman.

6. It is seen that Withotlt caring □x' his {ffi{i;£1\$ sttgmrim' the peitiionsr has asked him ts shut hig
znauth and gi"; 'get ta act iike a mad man, which a as to be 1'1*s(f>:*~73:"\$zr3.1%é;"€}r:«i□i:§':. _
_. V _ at;

of 25% baek wages itse□ is; on t\$ae""\$1ighez' ;'sidg\$;. £7i".1gVV3 ' \$eIlie11t visw ii'; tha matter the
fabi;;L_:r C{\$uri □gs 'C{YI1Si'{' .£jé£1"€□d"?'}jB case ('if the petitioner. In i'}a\$2
"--circuni3.ta:}'<:€;'s; "impugned award passed doe\$ no7fr;:qui_fe aiiy i:2'téL:*f\$:rence- A 'V ?A
Aei:(;3*;;'én' giy□pétifioziEs.Vdis'1\$;i--swSed.

A sal-

Iuage