Gujarat High Court

Rushikesh vs Kikiben on 27 January, 2010

Author: M.R. Shah,&Nbsp

Gujarat High Court Case Information System

Print

CA/12127/2009 2/ 2 JUDGMENT

IN

THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION No. 12127 o	f 2009							
In								
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 9	591 of 2009							
For Approval and Signature:								
HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH								
	========		======		=			
1								
Whether	Reporters o	f Local	Papers	may be	allowed	to se	e the	judgment

?

То	be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3 Whether	
	their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?
4 Whether	this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any orde made thereunder ?

5

```
Whether
                        it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?
RUSHIKESH
ARVINDBHAI GODIWALA & 2 - Petitioner(s)
Versus
KIKIBEN
WD/O RANCHODBHAI NANABHAI & 9 - Respondent(s)
Appearance :
MS
RENISHA R VYAS for
```

RENISHA R VYAS for
Petitioner(s): 1 - 3.
None for Respondent(s): 1 - 7,9 - 10.
RULE
SERVED for Respondent(s): 2.2.1, 2.2.2,2.2.3
- for Respondent(s): 8,

CORAM

:

HONOURABLE

MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

Date

: 27/01/2010

ORAL JUDGMENT

Present application has been preferred by the applicants original petitioners permitting the applicants to bring the heirs of original respondent No.2 on record.

Shri Jappan Dave, learned advocate for Ms.Kruti M.Shah, learned advocate appearing on behalf of proposed heirs of original respondent No.2 and has no objection, if the present application is allowed and respondent Nos. 2/a to 2/c are permitted to be brought on record as respondent Nos. 2/a to 2/c in the main special civil application as heirs and legal representatives of original respondent No.2.

In view of the above, present application is allowed and respondent Nos. 2/a to 2/c in the present application are permitted to be brought on record as respondent Nos. 2/a to 2/c in main special civil application as heirs and legal representatives of deceased respondent No.2.

Rule is made absolute accordingly to the aforesaid extent. No costs.

Registry is directed to amend the cause title of the main special civil application accordingly.

(M.R.SHAH, J.) (ashish) Top