



JUDGE, M'airoi\I.1.. :.:~t17.=~, Q%ERI1~Ie we  
RETURN -.1.-an'. PET2'J1'ION 5 road" amsmwamxou BEFORE mm  
pnopmz COURT. V A.

THIS' f'm'A seam; bzq" 'e..1rea...é1\$aLImNaaY iiaaiffie

1-3:5 may - - cHmLmR,\_H.i J, nmnxvmnmn me

roLLo13a:2m\_ : = \*  
' ' ' ' H ' V ' \_

~. arises out of an order

reje;:tl:Lr\_lgV"' iflaapplieation filed by the

-{;.~,--...~,~.,-.:e;:.l.-. m;!ne.1:a 1.1-.de:\_~ .'3\_eo.9 and 29 of the

\_ and W'rds '-t, 1899., aeeking

to sell the property of the minors.  
p two minors who are aged 12 and 8 as  
" \* oh the date of the application approached

' t\_-ou.gh their mother to sell their property on

r  
r  
n  
s  
5  
1.

1-

un g -e\* u. that ...he prepe:\_y la eltuated in a ' enjoyed by the minors in a ray. théjsi property so that  
money to purchase; an Viiairternatiirif "prjo'??rty which would be more ate learned Judge "the  
merits e□.he case based an sec.7¢::¢g; of the Family ('1' , r E} In it sion that after the  
estah1.+ish□sent Court in B..nga1o\_e Urban. TAB:-vstri%ct.v;.'\_'the\_\_ family court alone had  
juri.sdietion'A'- of the proceedings r"e1..ating.\_\_to" the vguardianship of a person. \*pntoTws%i1\*  
appiication being one for permission Jul: 4-.

DISC property or the minors, has nc\_§'th-L«ng to do with the appointrent at'. .213! ene as in it  
particular, the mother as guardian of V' minors .

\\I\ sec.'7(1) (g) of the Family Court reads as under:

permission '-.}1feJu.d1"A ' " The suits or proeedings referred to in this sub section are suits and  
prooeee□ngs\*o£ig the following nature

(a)m.

(b)m.

(c)m.

(d)m.

la\ "-I7' uni a

(f)m

(g) a suit or proceeding in relationship to the Guardianship of the person or the estate of a minor or access to, any minor. " "4;s\$ \$ee\$ t~the-a jointment of guardian of a minor and visitation to a minor i.e., Visitation Rights of to visit a minor, the visitation in relation to property of a minor " "nder"the=Guardian of Wards Act, remains with the court having jurisdiction to entertain the matter as contemplated under sec.9 of the V, Guardian & Wards Act, 1896. " requires .

5. It is also pertinent to note that " "n\_ um-rvu . irlna in -I"}'1n vim!-J I-Illnimgvuuv luv -II-n all-In I 3: entertained a similar matter, the question of jurisdiction, the orders of the court in 325--1-2008 deserves to

6. is allowed.

set aside. The court concerned with the question of the court's decision