

Karnataka High Court

Smt N Umadevi W/O Chandrashekar vs The Bangalore Development ... on 12 August, 2008

Author: Huluvadi G.Ramesh

_ 7 'l"Es..Wrii' mum in filed mm An.226r'E7 afflie «Jim
'-._~, _131>_5_ (;smmm cf Sims) Rules, rm wam the msponcum and on
»r@stztic,an,s.!:sbeamttedmitewitiaouatiaaitialdepoail,cac.

IN THE HIGH COURT or KARNATAKA AT BANGAx,QRE I: .;.V _

1'}'H:'HON"ELE MR JUSTICE fafHI fUVA}3i G " ' ;
Writ mm" 5706 1

SmtNUmadçwi, 42yrs
W/oczxm dswex _ V' V
Rfa#32,BSKIISTagc 1 *
malare 56e«m__ _ = _ - .
Nowk/'a#45, *
An}mapuIa' l f:LmhZR;aad._i; _

. av' ' V _ ~. "

nwmnixpefieim mmmgmrmonmsmawmecmzmae the

ORDER

Peum 'er ha sougt for a direction to ii:e~r.=:1pnndx H u of site, and to calculate the compensation
paya□e cmd1t'tothesamebyadmstmg' ' a.eaamam:J\$çsmdsdsea1k.amaa': » s□etobeallotted
andmceivethe Petauon'' er pumchmed _a site Be_Mmg.'..j V v_ {m».114 :9' 30 x 40 ft. of the same
was dam' g 1999. 931;: seesaag for auonmt of the parties.

" _ A asposmg of WP 293792001 and am matieta by da£at£.._20.3;201□, 'ha impmed certain
conditions in pa: 9, for such _ x was acqumed' winch' was purchased prior' to ' "' ' sitesa□rvcu□
cam' .

I t i s □ 1 e s u h r n i s s i m o f □ 1 e c o u n M i r e p r m c m i n g t h c B D A t h a t t h e m i s
~~xadelayofcig:tyca-sinseekingzsslief. AV