



Audit Report for CardStack - May 31, 2021

Summary

Audit Report prepared by Solidified covering the CardStack smart contracts.

Process and Delivery

Three (3) independent Solidified experts performed an unbiased and isolated audit of the code. The debrief on 31 May 2021.

Audited Files

The source code has been supplied in the form of two GitHub repositories:

<https://github.com/cardstack/tokenbridge-contracts/tree/audit-1>

Commit number: **02a64dda6686a08374b348c7109f610ee3e6f3cf**

<https://github.com/cardstack/card-protocol-xdai/tree/audit-1>

Commit number: **070a972d802e1f641ca470b8cd55422e10222511**

The scope of the audit was limited to the following files:

```
contracts
├── ERC677BridgeToken.sol
├── ERC677BridgeTokenRewardable.sol
├── ERC677MultiBridgeToken.sol
├── Migrations.sol
├── PermittableToken.sol
├── interfaces
│   ├── ERC677.sol
│   ├── ERC677Receiver.sol
│   ├── IAMB.sol
│   ├── IBlockReward.sol
│   ├── IBridgeUtils.sol
│   ├── IBridgeValidators.sol
│   ├── IBurnableMintableERC677Token.sol
│   ├── IChai.sol
│   ├── IGasToken.sol
│   ├── IMediatorFeeManager.sol
│   ├── IMintHandler.sol
│   ├── IPot.sol
│   ├── IRewardableValidators.sol
│   └── IUpgradeabilityOwnerStorage.sol
├── libraries
│   ├── Address.sol
│   └── ArbitraryMessage.sol
```



Audit Report for CardStack - May 31, 2021

```
|
| | Bytes.sol
| | Message.sol
| | SafeERC20.sol
| | TokenReader.sol
| |
| | upgradeability
| | | EternalStorage.sol
| | | EternalStorageProxy.sol
| | | OwnedUpgradeabilityProxy.sol
| | | Proxy.sol
| | | README.md
| | | UpgradeabilityOwnerStorage.sol
| | | UpgradeabilityProxy.sol
| | | UpgradeabilityStorage.sol
| |
| | upgradeable_contracts
| | | BaseBridgeValidators.sol
| | | BaseERC677Bridge.sol
| | | BaseFeeManager.sol
| | | BaseMediatorFeeManager.sol
| | | BaseOverdrawManagement.sol
| | | BaseRewardAddressList.sol
| | | BasicAMEMediator.sol
| | | BasicBridge.sol
| | | BasicForeignBridge.sol
| | | BasicHomeBridge.sol
| | | BasicTokenBridge.sol
| | | BlockRewardBridge.sol
| | | BlockRewardFeeManager.sol
| | | BridgeValidators.sol
| | | ChaiConnector.sol
| | | ChooseReceiverHelper.sol
| | | Claimable.sol
| | | DecimalShiftBridge.sol
| | | ERC20Bridge.sol
| | | ERC677Bridge.sol
| | | ERC677BridgeForBurnableMintableToken.sol
| | | ERC677Storage.sol
| | | FeeTypes.sol
| | | GasTokenConnector.sol
| | | HomeOverdrawManagement.sol
| | | Initializable.sol
| | | InitializableBridge.sol
| | | InterestReceiver.sol
| | | MediatorBalanceStorage.sol
| | | MessageRelay.sol
| | | OtherSideBridgeStorage.sol
| | | Ownable.sol
| | | README.md
| | | ReentrancyGuard.sol
| | | RewardableBridge.sol
| | | RewardableMediator.sol
| | | RewardableValidators.sol
| | | Sacrifice.sol
| | | TokenBridgeMediator.sol
| | | TokenSwapper.sol
| | | TransferInfoStorage.sol
| | | Upgradeable.sol
| | | Validatable.sol
| | | ValidatorStorage.sol
| | | ValidatorsFeeManager.sol
```



Audit Report for CardStack - May 31, 2021

```
|— VersionableBridge.sol
|— amb_erc20_to_native
|   |— BasicAMBErc20ToNative.sol
|   |— BlockReward.sol
|   |— ForeignAMBErc20ToNative.sol
|   |— HomeAMBErc20ToNative.sol
|   |— HomeFeeManagerAMBErc20ToNative.sol
|— amb_erc677_to_erc677
|   |— BasicAMBErc677ToErc677.sol
|   |— BasicStakeTokenMediator.sol
|   |— ForeignAMBErc677ToErc677.sol
|   |— ForeignStakeTokenMediator.sol
|   |— HomeAMBErc677ToErc677.sol
|   |— HomeStakeTokenFeeManager.sol
|   |— HomeStakeTokenMediator.sol
|   |— callflows.md
|— arbitrary_message
|   |— BasicAMB.sol
|   |— BasicForeignAMB.sol
|   |— BasicHomeAMB.sol
|   |— ForeignAMB.sol
|   |— ForeignAMBWithGasToken.sol
|   |— HomeAMB.sol
|   |— MessageDelivery.sol
|   |— MessageProcessor.sol
|   |— VersionableAMB.sol
|— erc20_to_erc20
|   |— BasicForeignBridgeErcToErc.sol
|   |— FeeManagerErcToErcPOSDAO.sol
|   |— ForeignBridgeErc677ToErc677.sol
|   |— ForeignBridgeErcToErc.sol
|   |— HomeBridgeErcToErc.sol
|   |— HomeBridgeErcToErcPOSDAO.sol
|   |— RewardableHomeBridgeErcToErc.sol
|— erc20_to_native
|   |— FeeManagerErcToNative.sol
|   |— FeeManagerErcToNativePOSDAO.sol
|   |— ForeignBridgeErcToNative.sol
|   |— HomeBridgeErcToNative.sol
|   |— RewardableHomeBridgeErcToNative.sol
|— multi_amb_erc20_to_erc677
|   |— BasicMultiAMBErc20ToErc677.sol
|   |— BasicMultiTokenBridge.sol
|   |— ForeignMultiAMBErc20ToErc677.sol
|   |— HomeFeeManagerMultiAMBErc20ToErc677.sol
|   |— HomeMultiAMBErc20ToErc677.sol
|   |— MultiTokenBridgeMediator.sol
|   |— MultiTokenForwardingRules.sol
|   |— TokenProxy.sol
|   |— callflows.md
|— native_to_erc20
|   |— FeeManagerNativeToErc.sol
|   |— FeeManagerNativeToErcBothDirections.sol
|   |— ForeignBridgeNativeToErc.sol
|   |— HomeBridgeNativeToErc.sol
|   |— RewardableForeignBridgeNativeToErc.sol
|   |— RewardableHomeBridgeNativeToErc.sol
```

contracts

```
|— BridgeUtils.sol
|— PrepaidCardManager.sol
|— RevenuePool.sol
|— core
|   |— Exchange.sol
|   |— MerchantManager.sol
|   |— Safe.sol
|   |— Versionable.sol
|— dev
|   |— DevDependencies.sol
|   |— MockDIAOracle.sol
|— interfaces
|   |— IPrepaidCardManager.sol
|   |— IRevenuePool.sol
|— oracles
|   |— ChainlinkFeedAdapter.sol
|   |— DIAOracleAdapter.sol
|   |— IDIAOracle.sol
|   |— IPriceOracle.sol
|   |— ManualFeed.sol
|— roles
|   |— PayableToken.sol
|   |— SPENDMinterRole.sol
|   |— TallyRole.sol
|— token
|   |— ERC677Token.sol
|   |— ERC677TransferReceiver.sol
|   |— IERC677.sol
|   |— ISPEND.sol
|   |— SPEND.sol
```

Intended Behavior

The smart contracts implement a payment protocol implemented on the XDai L2 chain and the related bridge smart contracts that allow migrating the assets to and from the Ethereum network.

Code Complexity and Test Coverage

Smart contract audits are an important step to improve the security of smart contracts and can find many issues. However, auditing complex codebases has its limits and a remaining risk is present (see disclaimer).

Users of a smart contract system should exercise caution. In order to help with the evaluation of the remaining risk, we provide a measure of the following key indicators: **code complexity**, **code readability**, **level of documentation**, and **test coverage**.

Note, that high complexity or lower test coverage does equate to a higher risk. Certain bugs are more easily detected in unit testing than a security audit and vice versa. It is, therefore, more likely that undetected issues remain if the test coverage is low or non-existent.

Criteria	Status	Comment
Code complexity	Medium	-
Code readability and clarity	High	-
Level of Documentation	Medium-High	-
Test Coverage	High	-

Issues Found

Solidified found that the CardStack contracts contain no critical issues, 1 major issue, 4 minor issues, in addition to 9 informational notes.

We recommend all issues are amended, while the notes are up to the team's discretion, as they refer to best practices.

Issue #	Description	Severity	Status
1	Card Protocol: Erroneous / Unconventional usage OpenZeppelin upgradability system	Major	Pending
2	Card Protocol: core/Exchange.sol: Listing tokens by Symbol may lead to collisions	Minor	Pending
3	Token bridge: Re-entrancy fix not propagated from original POA bridge codebase	Minor	Pending
4	Token bridge: Insecure random number generation in fee payout distribution	Minor	Pending
5	BlockReward.sol has hardcoded value that cannot make it to deploy	Minor	Pending
6	Card Protocol: Enable Merchants to add signers to safe	Note	-
7	Card Protocol: Spelling mistake in PrepaidCardManager.sol	Note	-
8	Card Protocol: ForeignAMBErc20ToNative.sol does not consistently use safe math	Note	-
9	Token bridge: BridgesAllowed.sol: function reward() takes unnecessary parameters	Note	-
10	Card Protocol: Operations.md: Incorrectly documents the behaviour of RevenuePool.sol contract	Note	-
11	Card Protocol: token/ERC677Token.sol: Basic token parameters shadowed	Note	-



Audit Report for CardStack - May 31, 2021

12	Card Protocol: BridgeUtils.sol: updating a non-existent token adds a new one	Note	-
13	Token bridge: ForeignMultiAMBErc20ToErc677.sol: Bridge is not compatible with tokens that charge a fee on transfers	Note	-
14	Token bridge: PermittableToken.sol uses now instead of block.timestamp	Note	-

Critical Issues

No critical issues have been found.

Major Issues

1. Card Protocol: Erroneous / Unconventional usage OpenZeppelin upgradability system

The CardStack protocol contracts follow a proxy / delegatecall upgradability pattern, declaring storage gaps and using `setup()` functions instead of constructors. The upgradable versions of OpenZeppelin libraries are used and the OpenZeppelin upgradability plugin for Truffle is used. However, these OZ libraries are not initialized using the OpenZeppelin-provided `initialize()` function. This could lead to unexpected consequences.

In addition, initialization code is provided in the `setup()` function, meaning the OZ deployment system will not automatically invoke it. If the intention is to invoke `setup()` manually, there is no code to check that the function has been called before contracts are used. This also means that setup can be called several times, which may or may not be intentional.

Recommendation

Consider using the OpenZeppelin provided support for upgradability as described at:

<https://docs.openzeppelin.com/contracts/3.x/upgradeable>

Minor Issues

2. Card Protocol: `core/Exchange.sol`: Listing tokens by Symbol may lead to collisions

The contract stores the `ExchangeInfo` data structure per token indexed by the hash of the token symbol. This may lead to collisions with tokens with the same symbol. The issue is somewhat mitigated by the fact that tokens can only be added by the owner of the contract. However, there are no checks for a token already being registered, so accidental overwrite could occur.

In addition, ERC-20 tokens have occasionally changed their symbol. One well-known example is the original DAI token becoming SAI.

Recommendation

Consider using the address of the token instead for indexing exchange data.

3. Token bridge: Re-entrancy fix not propagated from original POA bridge codebase

The original codebase from which the token bridge was forked has received a security fix in the form of a reentrancy patch:

<https://github.com/poanetwork/tokenbridge-contracts/commit/44c84e4be06945c543ec05c59f0e36ff823631cb>

This patch has not been incorporated into the current codebase.

Recommendation

Consider implementing the fix in the forked codebase.

4. Token bridge: Insecure random number generation in fee payout distribution

The token bridge uses the previous block's blockhash in a number of places to generate a random number:

```
function random(uint256 _count) internal view returns (uint256) {  
    return uint256(blockhash(block.number.sub(1))) % _count;  
}
```

This seems to be used to randomize account lists for fee distribution. Using the blockhash for random number generation is insecure, since it is a value that can be known by a calling smart contract. However, it would be hard and probably not cost effective for a user to exploit this.

Recommendation

Consider using a more secure random number generator.

5. **BlockReward.sol** has hardcoded value that cannot make it to deploy

The function `bridgesAllowed()` hardcodes a return value of the 0x address, with a note that this value must be updated before deployment.

Recommendation

Use a state variable that gets initialized in the constructor to prevent this incorrect value from making it into production.

Informational Notes

6. Card Protocol: Enable Merchants to add signers to safe

The system uses a Gnosis Safe for each merchant. This is created with 1 owner and a signature threshold of 1. Such a setup has no additional security compared to a standard smart contract wallet or external wallet.

Recommendation

Consider providing a UI option for merchants to interact directly with the Gnosis Safe and encourage them to add signatories and increase the threshold.

7. Card Protocol: Spelling mistake in **PrepaidCardManager.sol**

The constant `TRANSER_AND_CALL` is spelled incorrectly.

Recommendation

Fix change spelling to `TRANSFER_AND_CALL`.

8. Card Protocol: **ForeignAMBErc20ToNative.sol** does not consistently use safe math

The function `fixMediatorBalance()` uses safe math inconsistently. On line 126 safe math is not used, and on 133 it is.

Recommendation

Add `SafeMath` to all mathematical operations.

9. Token bridge: `BridgesAllowed.sol`: function `reward()` takes unnecessary parameters

The function `reward` takes in two arrays that are then required to be empty.

Recommendation

Remove arrays.

10. Card Protocol: `Operations.md`: Incorrectly documents the behavior of `RevenuePool.sol` contract

The `Operations.md` sections `Add Tally` and `Remove Tally` state that `SPENT` tokens can be redeemed on the merchant's behalf. However, only the Payable Tokens can be redeemed.

Recommendation

Update `Operations.md` to match the implementation.

11. Card Protocol: `token/ERC677Token.sol`: Basic token parameters shadowed

The contract overrides the `_name`, `_symbol`, and `_decimals` variables of the super-contract. This is not a security issue but is not best practice and decreases code clarity. It is custom to pass these as parameters through constructor or initializer chaining.

Recommendation

Consider not shadowing these variables.

12. Card Protocol: `BridgeUtils.sol`: updating a non-existent token adds a new one

The function `updateToken()` adds a payable token if it has not been added before. This may or may not be intentional but is counterintuitive.

Recommendation

Consider only allowing a token to be updated if it has been added before.

13. Token bridge: `ForeignMultiAMBErc20ToErc677.sol`: Bridge is not compatible with tokens that charge a fee on transfers

The token bridge is not compatible with tokens that charge a fee on transfers. This is documented in the original implementation:

<https://github.com/poanetwork/tokenbridge-contracts/commit/1166e00c5b976295914d625504f3b53b7bfc945c>. In general, care must be taken with ERC-20 tokens that implement additions to the usual behavior, such as changing fees, or limiting transfers, etc.

Recommendation

Ensure that only compatible tokens are used.

14. Token bridge: `PermittableToken.sol` uses `now` instead of `block.timestamp`

The function `_now()` returns `now`. `now` has been deprecated in later versions of solidity.

Recommendation

Replace `now` with `block.timestamp`.



Audit Report for CardStack - May 31, 2021

Disclaimer

Solidified audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of CardStack or its products. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contract. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process, therefore running a bug bounty program as a complement to this audit is strongly recommended.

The individual audit reports are anonymized and combined during a debrief process, in order to provide an unbiased delivery and protect the auditors of Solidified platform from legal and financial liability.

Solidified Technologies Inc.