

Summary

Audit Report prepared by Solidified covering the Guild Of Guardian token and presale smart contracts.

Process and Delivery

Three (3) independent Solidified experts performed an unbiased and isolated audit of the code below. The final debrief took place on 31 March 2021, and the results are presented here.

Audited Files

The source code has been supplied in the form of an archive file:

hardhat-final.tar.gz

SHA256: a7cb88a5a1d4ad261a7f313da9aad47083dbe5a74ea3febe478470b229af5ab5

UPDATE: Fixes have been provided in the file:

hardhat-final-post-audit-changes.tar.gz

SHA256: 2991d4b8c4022464f555580c1c38341bc26ae92afd97be7ce716782cf76395f7

The scope of the audit was limited to the following files:

contracts

Constants.sol

Dice.sol

ExchangeRate.sol

GuildOfGuardiansPreSale.sol

Inventory.sol

Referral.sol

Treasury.sol

UniswapV2PairTestable.sol

interfaces

Inventory.sol



Intended Behavior

The smart contracts implement a presale for the Guild Of Guardian project.

Note, that code only implements the purchase functionality and emits events. The actual asset issuance is performed by Immutable in a trusted setup separately.



Code Complexity and Test Coverage

Smart contract audits are an important step to improve the security of smart contracts and can find many issues. However, auditing complex codebases has its limits and a remaining risk is present (see disclaimer).

Users of a smart contract system should exercise caution. In order to help with the evaluation of the remaining risk, we provide a measure of the following key indicators: **code complexity**, **code readability**, **level of documentation**, and **test coverage**.

Note, that high complexity or lower test coverage does equate to a higher risk. Certain bugs are more easily detected in unit testing than a security audit and vice versa. It is, therefore, more likely that undetected issues remain if the test coverage is low or non-existent.

Criteria	Status	Comment
Code complexity	Medium-Low	-
Code readability and clarity	Medium-High	-
Level of Documentation	Medium	-
Test Coverage	High	-

Test coverage report:

File	% Stmts				
			62.96		
		83.33		84.62	63,75
ExchangeRate.sol					
GuardiansToken.sol					
GuildOfGuardiansPreSale.sol					
GuildOfGuardiansPreSaleTestable.sol			87.5		
Inventory.sol	98.84				585,591
Referral.sol					
Treasury.sol					
UniswapV2PairTestable.sol					
contracts/interfaces/					
IUniswapV2Pair.sol					
All files			62.96		

Issues Found

Solidified found that the Guild of Guardian sales contracts contain no critical issues, 2 major issues, 2 minor issues, in addition to 2 informational notes.

We recommend all issues are amended, while the notes are up to the team's discretion, as they refer to best practices.

Issue #	Description	Severity	Status
1	Dice.sol: Insecure Random Number Generation	Major	Acknowledged
2	User can use any address as referrer to get discount	Major	Acknowledged
3	Treasury.sol, Inventory.sol and Referral.sol: Use call() instead of transfer()	Minor	Resolved
4	Dice.sol: Function _getSecondDiceRoll() is not bound by maxDiceRoll	Minor	Resolved
5	Use constants instead of magic numbers	Note	Resolved
6	Inventory.sol: Length of arrays as function parameters is not enforced	Note	Resolved



Critical Issues

No critical issues have been found.

Major Issues

1. Dice.sol: Insecure Random Number Generation

The Dice contract implements an optimized commit / reveal RNG scheme. However, implementation fails to enforce a commitment to the future block number in the commit phase. The caller can arbitrarily choose and pre-calculate a suitable _commitBlock argument when calling getSecondDiceRoll() since there is nothing in the code that enforces _commitBlock to equal the block number of the call to getFirstDiceRoll() (or any other specific block number).

Recommendation

Enforce a specific commit block in the commit phase.

Update

Acknowledged and working as intended

Team Response

"This is not an issue and is working as intended. Immutable has made a product decision to build the contract in a way that is publically transparent, verifiable, auditable, but not fully decentralised. The primary reason for this is to significantly reduce the gas costs for buyers. Immutable has built up significant trust with existing products (e.g. Gods Unchained) and is reputationally and economically disincentivised from acting inappropriately. Any third party will be able to audit the blocks in which a particular order / dice rolls occurs to verify that Immutable has correctly enforced the intended logic."

2. Inventory.sol: User can use any address as referrer to get discount



Users can use any (or their own address) as the referrer while calling the method purchase to get a referrer bonus and discount.

Recommendation

It is recommended to track the referrer address or use some whitelisting method to efficiently distribute referrals or give discounts.

Update

Acknowledged and working as intended

Team Response

"This is not an issue and is working as intended. Immutable has made a product decision to allow any address to be used as the referrer to minimise the gas fees of using the contract."

Minor Issues

3. Treasury.sol, Inventory.sol and Referral.sol: Use call() instead of transfer()

The functions withdraw(), purchase() and withdrawBonus() use the transfer() function to transfer ETH to msg.sender. However, gas prices were changed in the Istanbul hard fork, meaning that the gas stipend forwarded to msg.sender may not be enough for smart contract receivers to do basic bookkeeping.

For a more in depth discussion of issues with transfer() and smart contracts, please refer to: https://diligence.consensys.net/blog/2019/09/stop-using-soliditys-transfer-now/

Recommendation

Use the following pattern for transferring ETH:

```
(bool success, ) = recipient.call{value:amount}("");
require(success, "Transfer failed.");
```

Update

Resolved



4. Dice.sol: Function _getSecondDiceRoll() is not bound by maxDiceRoll

Values returned by _getSecondDiceRoll() can be greater than maxDiceRoll.

Recommendation

Mod the function's calculation by maxDiceRoll in order to stay within the maximum range.

Update

Resolved

Informative Notes

5. Use constants instead of magic numbers

The code uses hardcoded values in several places. For example, for (uint256 i = 0; i < 9; i++) is using the hardcoded length instead of using the constants defined already. It is commonly considered best practice to use constant instead of hardcoded numbers.

Recommendation

Remove the magic number and replace it with constants.

Update

Resolved

6. Inventory.sol: Length of arrays as function parameters is not enforced

The methods _enforceOrderLimits and addStock are using a constant to loop over the array parameter and access its elements. Since the array parameter length can be anything, this approach will throw unexpected errors if the array length is smaller than the constant used to iterate.



Recommendation

Add require statements enforcing the correct length.

Update

Resolved



Disclaimer

Solidified audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of Immutable or its products. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contract. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process, therefore running a bug bounty program as a complement to this audit is strongly recommended.

The individual audit reports are anonymized and combined during a debrief process, in order to provide an unbiased delivery and protect the auditors of Solidified platform from legal and financial liability.

Solidified Technologies Inc.