

$$\boldsymbol{\phi} \equiv [\phi_1(x) \quad \phi_2(x) \quad \cdots \quad \phi_K(x)]$$

So after it,

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ = & \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{t=1}^T z_{nj} x_n(t) \ln \phi_j(t) + \sum_{n=2}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K z_{n-1,j} z_{n,k} \ln A_{kj} + \sum_{k=1}^K z_{1k} \ln \pi_k \\ = & \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{z}_n^\top \langle \ln \boldsymbol{\phi}, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle + \sum_{n=2}^N \mathbf{z}_{n-1}^\top \ln \mathbf{A}^\top \cdot \mathbf{z}_n + \ln \boldsymbol{\pi}^\top \cdot \mathbf{z}_1 \end{aligned}$$

if t is continuous

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \ln \boldsymbol{\phi}, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \cdot x_n(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^\top \cdot \delta(x - x_n) dx \\ &= \ln \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)^\top \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \quad Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) &\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} p(\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) \ln p(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n^\top \langle \ln \boldsymbol{\phi}, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle + \sum_{n=2}^N \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n^\top \ln \mathbf{A}) + \gamma_1^\top \ln \boldsymbol{\pi} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n^\top [-(x_n \mathbf{1} - \mu) \odot (x_n \mathbf{1} - \mu) \odot 0.5 \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - 0.5 \cdot \ln \sigma^2 - (0.5 \cdot \ln 2\pi)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=2}^N \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n^\top \ln \mathbf{A}) + \gamma_1^\top \ln \boldsymbol{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

subject to the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{s.t.} \quad & \gamma_n^\top \cdot \mathbf{1} = 1 \quad \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n^\top \cdot \mathbf{1}) = 1 \\ & \mathbf{1}^\top \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} = \mathbf{1}^\top \quad \mathbf{1}^\top \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{1}^\top \quad \mathbf{1}^\top \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$\gamma_n \equiv \left[\sum_{z_n} p(z_n|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) z_n \right]$ 为常数, 是期望 $E[z_n|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}] = \vec{p}(z_n|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}})$, 大小 $K \times 1$

$\boldsymbol{\xi}_n \equiv \left[\sum_{z_{n-1}, z_n} z_n p(z_{n-1}, z_n|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) z_{n-1}^\top \right]$ 为常数, 是期望 $E[z_n z_{n-1}^\top|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}] = \vec{p}(z_n z_{n-1}^\top|\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}})$, 大小 $K \times K$

with Lagrange method:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L \equiv & \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top \langle \ln \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{x}_n \rangle + \sum_{n=2}^N \text{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_n^\top \ln \boldsymbol{A} \right) + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1^\top \ln \boldsymbol{\pi} \right\} \\
 & - \sum_{t=1}^T u_t \left\{ \mathbf{1}^\top \cdot \langle \boldsymbol{\phi}, \delta(t) \rangle - 1 \right\} \\
 & - \sum_{k=1}^K v_k \left\{ \mathbf{1}^\top \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k - 1 \right\} \\
 & - w_1 \left\{ \mathbf{1}^\top \boldsymbol{\pi} - 1 \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, 1 \times K$

if t is discrete, $t = 1, \dots, T$

$$\delta(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, 1 \times T,$$

$$\langle \ln \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{x}_n \rangle = \ln \boldsymbol{\phi}^\top \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_n$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\phi}, \delta(t) \rangle = \boldsymbol{\phi}^\top \cdot \delta(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \phi} &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\boldsymbol{\gamma}_n \cdot \mathbf{x}_n^\top] \right\}^\top \odot \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\phi} - \sum_{t=1}^M u_t \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot \delta(t)^\top \\
&= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\} \odot \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\phi} - \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \cdots & u_t \cdots & u_T \\ u_1 & \cdots & u_t \cdots & u_T \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ u_1 & \cdots & u_t \cdots & u_T \end{bmatrix} = 0 \\
\\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \mathbf{A}} &= \left[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \right] \odot \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{A}} - \sum_{k=1}^K v_k \cdot \mathbf{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_k^\top \\
&= \left[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \right] \odot \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{A}} - \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & \cdots & v_k \cdots & v_K \\ v_1 & \cdots & v_k \cdots & v_K \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ v_1 & \cdots & v_k \cdots & v_K \end{bmatrix} = 0 \\
\\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\pi}} &= \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1 \odot \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\boldsymbol{\pi}} - w_1 \cdot \mathbf{1} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\}_{ij}}{\phi_{ij}} = u_j \\
\Rightarrow & \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\}_{ij}}{u_j} = \sum_{i=1}^K \phi_{ij} = 1 \\
\Rightarrow & u_j = \sum_{i=1}^K \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\}_{ij} \\
\Rightarrow & \phi_{ij} = \frac{\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\}_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^K \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\}_{ij}} \\
\Rightarrow & \boldsymbol{\phi} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\} \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot 1.^\top \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\}} \\
\Rightarrow & \boldsymbol{\phi} = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\} \odot \frac{1.}{1. \left[\sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n \right]^\top} \\
& \frac{[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n]_{ij}}{\mathbf{A}_{ij}} = v_j \\
\Rightarrow & \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n]_{ij}}{v_j} = \sum_{i=1}^K \mathbf{A}_{ij} = 1 \\
\Rightarrow & v_j = \sum_{i=1}^K [\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n]_{ij} \\
\Rightarrow & \mathbf{A}_{ij} = \frac{[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n]_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^K [\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n]_{ij}} \\
\Rightarrow & \mathbf{A} = \left[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \right] \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot 1.^\top [\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n]} \\
& \frac{[\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1]_i}{\boldsymbol{\pi}_i} = w_1 \\
\Rightarrow & \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{[\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1]_i}{w_1} = \sum_{i=1}^K \boldsymbol{\pi}_i = 1 \\
\Rightarrow & w_1 = \sum_{i=1}^K [\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1]_i \\
\Rightarrow & \boldsymbol{\pi}_i = \frac{[\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1]_i}{\sum_{i=1}^K [\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1]_i} \\
\Rightarrow & \boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1 \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot 1.^\top \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1}
\end{aligned}$$

To sum up

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\} \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot \left[\sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n \right]^\top} \\ \mathbf{A} &= \left[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \right] \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot 1.^\top \left[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \right]} \\ \boldsymbol{\pi} &= \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1 \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot 1.^\top \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1}\end{aligned}$$

update $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{k+1} \equiv \{\phi, \mathbf{A}, \boldsymbol{\pi}\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &\Leftarrow \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\} \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot 1.^\top \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\}} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] \right\} \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot \left[\sum_{n=1}^N \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n \right]^\top} \\ \mathbf{A} &\Leftarrow \left[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \right] \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot 1.^\top \left[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \right]} \\ &= \left[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \right] \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot \left[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{n-1} \right]^\top} \\ &= \left[\sum_{n=2}^N \boldsymbol{\xi}_n \right] \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n \right]^\top} \\ \boldsymbol{\pi} &\Leftarrow \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1 \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot 1.^\top \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1} = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1\end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}1.^\top [\mathbf{x}_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top] &= (1.^\top \mathbf{x}_n) \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top = 1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n^\top \\ 1.^\top \boldsymbol{\xi}_n &= 1.^\top \vec{p}(\mathbf{z}_n \mathbf{z}_{n-1}^\top | \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}}) = \vec{p}(\mathbf{z}_{n-1} | \mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\text{old}})^\top = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{n-1}^\top \\ 1.^\top \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Or example: Gaussian Mixed Model

If we assume that $\phi(x) \equiv P(X = x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2}$

$$\begin{aligned}\ln \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)^\top &= \begin{bmatrix} \ln \phi_1(x_n) \\ \ln \phi_2(x_n) \\ \vdots \\ \ln \phi_K(x_n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{(x_n - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} - \ln(\sigma_1) - 0.5 \cdot \ln(2\pi) \\ -\frac{(x_n - \mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2} - \ln(\sigma_2) - 0.5 \cdot \ln(2\pi) \\ \vdots \\ -\frac{(x_n - \mu_K)^2}{2\sigma_K^2} - \ln(\sigma_K) - 0.5 \cdot \ln(2\pi) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= -(x_n 1. - \mu) \odot (x_n 1. - \mu) \odot 0.5 \frac{1.}{\sigma^2} - 0.5 \cdot \ln \sigma^2 - (0.5 \cdot \ln 2\pi) 1.\end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

for μ

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu} &= \sum_{n=1}^N \{ \gamma_n \odot [-0.5 \frac{1.}{\sigma^2} \odot 2(x_n \cdot 1. - \mu)] \} \\
&= \frac{-1.}{\sigma^2} \odot \sum_{n=1}^N \{ \gamma_n \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) \} = 0 \\
&\sum_{n=1}^N \{ \gamma_n \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) \} \\
&= \{ \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \gamma_n \} - \{ \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \} \odot \mu = 0 \\
\Rightarrow \mu &= \{ \sum_{n=1}^N x_n \gamma_n \} \odot \frac{1.}{\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \}}
\end{aligned}$$

for σ^2

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma^2} &= \sum_{n=1}^N \{ \gamma_n \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) \odot 0.5 \frac{1.}{(\sigma^2)^2} - \gamma_n \odot 0.5 \frac{1.}{\sigma^2} \} \\
&= \frac{0.5.}{(\sigma^2)^2} \odot \sum_{n=1}^N \{ \gamma_n \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) - \gamma_n \odot \sigma^2 \} = 0 \\
&\sum_{n=1}^N \{ \gamma_n \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) - \gamma_n \odot \sigma^2 \} \\
&= \{ \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) \} - \{ \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \} \odot \sigma^2 = 0 \\
\Rightarrow \sigma^2 &= \{ \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) \odot (x_n \cdot 1. - \mu) \} \odot \frac{1.}{\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \}}
\end{aligned}$$

Specially

when z_n, z_{n-1} are not related, all z_n are under distribution of π

$$\pi \Leftarrow \{ \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \} \odot \frac{1.}{1. \cdot 1.^\top \{ \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \}} = \frac{\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \}}{N}$$