|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Question | Page(s) | Date | Answer/Confirmation | Date | Notes |
| **1** | **DB Alteration**: a column was added to JOB\_TRACKING to identify what is the data type being processed: CSV, SERD or data entry. | 11 | 2024-04-09 |  |  |  |
| 2 | Are there limitations of Job Types according to the data types?  JOB\_TRACKING. JOB\_TYPE\_NUMBER / MEDS\_ROCESSING\_JOB.DATA\_TYPE | 11 | 2024-04-09 | No. It’s up to the user to choose appropriate values. |  | v2 enhancements |
| 3 | Configurations were added to JOB\_LOOKUPS to set:   * the default JOB\_TRACKING.JOB\_STATUS * default interval for the QA Processing Target date, from the JOB\_TRACKING creation date | 11 | 2024-04-09 |  |  |  |
| **4** | **DB Alteration**: sequences were linked to JOB\_TRACKING.MEIC\_NUMBER and MEDS\_PROCESSING\_JOB.JOB\_NUMBER (respectively MEIC\_NUMBER\_SEQUENCE, JOB\_NUMBER\_SEQUENCE) | n/a | 2024-04-09 |  |  | Sequences were restarted with the highest current values for the columns. |
| 5 | When is it possible to delete a JOB\_TRACKING record?   * Deletion is possible in any status, including COMPLETED? * Should the linked MEDS\_PROCESSING\_JOB record be deleted as well? * What if there was a file uploaded? Would the upload change the job tracking status to COMPLETED, so no deletion is possible?? | 11 | 2024-04-09 | Never |  | v2 enhancements |
| 6 | The following fields are not consistent, how does job type works?   * JOB\_TRACKING.JOB\_TYPE\_NUMBER is populated by MEDS\_JOB\_TYPE.JOB\_TYPE\_NUMBER * MEDS\_PROCESSING\_JOB.DATA\_TYPE has three possible links using JOB\_LOOKUPS.DATATYPE = ‘Data Type’:   + Some records link via JOB\_LOOKUPS.DESCRIPTION   + Some records link via JOB\_LOOKUPS.USAGE   + Some records don’t link at all   This could be old data, so we would like to know how to proceed with new records. | 3001 | 2024-04-09 | There is no relationship between JOB\_TRACKING.JOB\_TYPE\_NUMBER and MEDS\_PROCESSING\_JOB.DATA\_TYPE |  |  |
| 7 | Confirm content equality:  job\_tracking.source = meds\_processing\_job.originator  Job\_tracking.classification = meds\_processing\_job.protection | 11  3001 | 2024-04-15 | Source: yes, except for SERD. SERD originator comes from content FILE\_CODE  Classification: yes |  |  |
| 8 | The content of the file 7173\_aquapack\_profile\_data.csv is different from the table aquapack\_profile\_data:   * the file has 17 columns * the table has 46 columns * could not find the correct field index key (FIELD\_LOOKUP table) | n/a | 2024-04-16 | Not all columns need to be populated. We may need to further clarify. |  |  |
| 9 | The content of the table FIELD\_LOOKUP for the structure of OMNI\_AMBIENT is different from the CSV file.  The four first columns in the file are:   * Date (date) * Time (time) * time (time) * month (number)   The four first fields in FIELD\_LOOKUP are:   * time (time) * month (number) * HZ\_3\_15 (number) * HZ\_4 (number) | n/a | 2024-04-16 | FIELD\_LOOKUP: FIELD\_POSITION is continuous across all destination tables, irrespective of which, so we should query “where  data\_type\_index = <?> order by field\_position” to get the target table.  FIELD\_LOOKUP:FIELD\_TYPE references DATA\_TYPES\_TABLE.TYPE\_INDEX, where DESCRIPTION may say “… as consecutive fields”, so that e.g. for OMNI\_AMBIENT data (DATA\_TYPE\_INDEX = 16), DATE\_RECORDED is a single column in the target table (OMNI\_AMBIENT\_OBSERVATION) to be populated by converting CSV columns 1 and 2 into an Oracle DATE |  |  |
| 10 | In the sample data sent, what is the content of the folder ADCP\_OS? |  |  | It’s CSV format data, where FIELD\_LOOKUP.FILE\_EXTENSION tells us that \*.hdr files populate ADCP\_DATA and ADCP\_OBSERVATION, whereas \*.dat files populate ADCP\_REPEAT |  |  |
| 11 | How can we relate a csv file with a table?  The sample *7173\_aquapack\_profile\_data.csv* is 'Aqua Shuttle Profile', 'Aqua Shuttle Track', '2081 Track', 'Biological CTD Profile Original' or 'Aqua Pack Job Table' (they all have ‘AQUA’ in job\_lookups.data\_type and none has .csv as extension)). |  |  | Confusion arises because we don’t have up-to-date JOB\_LOOKUPS and FIELD\_LOOKUP data. Action for Steve |  |  |
| 12 | Define the JOB\_TRACKING.STATUS rules:   * Can we change status once the file is loaded? * Can we change status once the observation tables are loaded? * Any other rules? |  |  | The default is In Progress. There are no other rules. |  | v2 enhancements |
| 13 | In the case the application is building a generic CSV upload, how will it differentiate between job types that will generate data & observation only:   * GLIDER\_THREADED\_DATA * GLIDER\_THREADED\_OBSERVATION   and those that will generate more than those two, as in:   * EDDY\_SATELLITE\_DATA * EDDY\_SATELLITE\_OBSERVATION * EDDY\_SATELLITE\_REPEAT   Or even:   * MLO\_CETACEANS\_DATA * MLO\_CETACEANS\_OBSERVATION * MLO\_CETACEANS\_ENVELOPE * MLO\_CETACEANS\_TRACK |  |  | GLIDER\_THREADED\_DATA  GLIDER\_THREADED\_OBSERVATION  Driven by FIELD\_LOOKUP.DATA\_TYPE\_INDEX etc.  EDDY\_SATELLITE\_DATA  EDDY\_SATELLITE\_OBSERVATION  EDDY\_SATELLITE\_REPEAT  Import of EDDY data is out of scope. Steve to supply full out-of-scope list.  MLO\_CETACEANS\_DATA  MLO\_CETACEANS\_OBSERVATION  MLO\_CETACEANS\_ENVELOPE  MLO\_CETACEANS\_TRACK  Driven by DATA\_TYPE\_INDEX which for  CETACEANS is 25, and this excludes ENVELOPE and TRACK tables that are no longer to be populated but retained purely for visualisation. |  |  |
| 14 | Explain how and where the tables TAB\_COLUMNS, MLO\_DATATYPE and MLO\_COLUMNS are used.  Will we need it? |  |  | These are legacy tables that we will retain but can all be ignored, as can EVENT\_LOG and EVENT\_TYPE |  |  |
| 15 | ~~The SERD documentation implies that in the header, comments are from 217 to 847, and are sized 70 characters, which will give a max of 9 comments.~~  ~~However, the samples sent have much more comments per line that that.~~  ~~Could we have a updated rule for comments?~~ | ~~n/a~~ | ~~2024-04-18~~ |  |  |  |
| 16 | The following SERD fields are supposed to be numbers, but in some records the values are ‘+ ‘.   * Can it be fixed in the sources? * Can it happen in any numeric field? * It is an old sample and the problem has been fixed? * Should we cater for it? * Could other values appear in different files?   Fields:   * temperature\_correction * salinity\_correction * sound\_velocity\_correction * data\_type\_code | n/a | 2024-04-18 | See specification of these in SERD\_format\_OFFICIAL.docx for explanation |  |  |
| 17 | The fields atmospheric\_pressure and min\_observation\_depth have ‘-‘ inserted in the last line of the sample (6-54) :   * Can it be fixed in the sources? * Can it happen in any numeric field? * It is an old sample and the problem has been fixed? * Should we cater for it? * Could other appear in different files? | n/a | 2024-04-18 | See specification of these in SERD\_format\_OFFICIAL.docx for explanation |  |  |
| 18 | The SERD documentation allow us to map the header, but there are more fields in the records type 2 and 3, and they are not described in the document. | n/a | 2024-04-18 |  |  |  |
| 19 | Are SERD comments being sent currently? | n/a | 2024-04-18 |  |  |  |
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