Developing an Aerial Disaster Relief Response System

Control #1924744

28th January 2019

Summary

"All models are wrong but some are useful"

MEMO

Date: 28th January 2019

To: Ms. Smith (CEO)

From: #1924744

Subject: Puerto Rico Disaster Model

As per your instruction we worked on a model that would utilize the DroneGo drone fleet to aid in the 2017 Puerto Rico model and for future use. Our team devised...

Contents

1   Introduction

1.1   Background

Puerto Rico is a small US territory situated on the 18th parallel. It has a population of approx 3.29 mill and a population density focused around the coast, with San Juan being its most populated area[1]. Puerto Rico's tropical climate is starkly divided between the northern two thirds and southern third of the island. The northern side experiences much more humid weather than the southern side and is the area we are most concerned with.

Puerto Rico's annual rainfall also differs greatly between the eastern front, where the Sierra de Luquillo rainforest is located and the western side of the island. May to November is generally considered to be hurricane season in Puerto Rico while December to March is known as the dry season[2]. In recent years climate change has caused an acceleration of storms in the tropical belt and poses a serious threat to the future prosperity of Puerto Rico. Efforts are ongoing to combat this problem but critics have been outspoken against the lack of focused effort to deal with it more[3].


Figure 1: Mean Annual Precipitation 1971-2000

Hurricane Maria struck Puerto Rico on September 16th 2017 and destroyed Puerto Rico's electrical and communication grid. With over 2900 fatalities it quickly became the worst natural disaster to ever hit Puerto Rico.[4]

1.2   Problem Restatement

As asked by HELP.INC, we were tasked with developing a DroneGo drone fleet that could help deal with future disasters in Puerto Rico by analyzing the 2017 hurricane. Our task is divided into two main objectives;

- Delivering required medical packages to the associated medical centres each day.

- Assessing the major highways and roads that link these centres for ground route planning

In order to achieve our first objective we decided to start from the bottom and make our way up. That is to say, we began by seeing how to fit medical packages into cargo bay containers, following this we moved onto seeing which CB-MP (cargo bay to medical package) combination would suit each medical centre's daily needs. Moving up the ladder we ranked our drones by maximum range and so forth and so on.

One major problem appeared to be where to leave a container and how to pack items into a container, we recognized the latter as an optimization problem, specifically a 'bin packing problem'[5].

2   Terminology

Throughout the paper acronyms and numbers may be used to abbreviate repeated words and terms. While these are usually explained elsewhere they can also be found here for convenience.

Acronym	Explanation
CB	Drone Cargo Bay
MP or M	Medical Package
MC	Medical Centre
C	Container
FOV	Field of View

The following medical centres were also represented using numbers in the maps found in subsequent sections.

Number	Medical Centre
1	Caribbean Medical Centre, Fajardo
2	Hospital HIMA, San Pablo
3	Hospital Pavia Santurce, San Juan
4	Puerto Rico Children's Hospital, Bayamon
5	Hospital Pavia Arecibo, Arecibo

3   The Assumptions

The following core assumptions were made before starting our first model. These were necessary to fully understand the strategy we would need to develop to distribute medical supplies and survey roads:

- Each drone must return to a container after completing one or more deliveries. This is because we assume drones must be recharged/restocked before setting out again.

- Drones can only be used once a day. Drone LiPo batteries are some of the slowest charging batteries around[6] and the size of the drone suggests recharging will take an entire day.

- Drones could not be recharged at medical centres. Initially we considered charging them in the centres but after research, discovered that many hospitals in the 2017 crisis were without power or generator fuel.

- The contents of every container will not be damaged or suffer from any accidental malfunction.

- Major roads and highways can be approximated as straight lines or a zigzag of lines when needed. This is justified as small road deviations will only be slightly longer than straight lines.

- Drones are given special permission to fly in airport airspace. This is because drone delivery in San Juan would be impossible as drones would have to break FAA regulations[7].

- Drones are assumed to be unable to glide without power. If drones could glide then only one container would be theoretically necessary however this is too unlikely.

- The drone's CB is included in the drone's container dimensions.

4   The Ideal Setup

In order to understand which drone was suitable to use in deliveries it was necessary to begin with the core fundamentals of how a cargo bay would store medical packages. This was noticed to be a bin packing problem however we focused solely on packing MP1s into both CBs.

4.1   Algorithm 1: In-fitter Algorithm

We developed our own algorithm known as the 'In-fitter' algorithm that would determine the best packing configuration of one type of MP into a container.

Algorithm 1 'In-fitter' Algorithm

1: **procedure** INFITTER(box_1, box_2)
2:      *ParameterOne*: box_1 Dimensions of the bigger box (Array) $[L, W, H]$
3:      *ParameterTwo*: box_1 Dimensions of the smaller box (Array) $[L, W, H]$
4:      *Output*: The most amount of box_2's that will fit into box_1
5:
6:      $perms \leftarrow [1, 2, 3; 1, 3, 2; 2, 1, 3; 2, 3, 1; 3, 1, 2; 3, 2, 1]$ ▷ permutations of box orientation
7:      $amountFit \leftarrow [6]$
8:      **for** $i = 1$ to 6 **do**
9:          $boxL \leftarrow box_2[perms[i][1]]$ ▷ get current permutation configuration
10:         $boxW \leftarrow box_2[perms[i][2]]$
11:         $boxH \leftarrow box_2[perms[i][3]]$
12:
13:         $amountL \leftarrow floor(box_1[1]/boxL)$
14:         $amountW \leftarrow floor(box_1[2]/boxW)$
15:         $amountH \leftarrow floor(box_1[3]/boxH)$
16:         $amountFit[i] \leftarrow (amountL * amountW * amountH)$
17:     **end for**
18:     Return $findLargest(amountFit)$
19: **end procedure**

4.2   P-CB (Package to Cargo Bay) Configuration

Since MP_2 and MP_3 can each fit once inside MP_1 **we can set a lowest bound** to how many MPs can be stored in each CB.
Using the INFITTER algorithm we saw that 2 MP_1 can be held inside a CB1 and 12 MP_1 inside a CB2. The table below illustrates this further:

Cargo Bay	Smallest Possible Linear Combination of MP_1, MP_2 and MP_3
1	$aMP_1 + bMP_2 = 2$
2	$aMP_1 + bMP_2 + cMP_3 = 12$

As seen in the table, CB1 is limited to only sending combinations of MP_1 and MP_2, while CB2 can have combinations of all three.

4.3   CB Combinations For Medical Centres

Here we look at how many deliveries would be required to each medical centre depending on the drone cargo bay type.

MC	Daily Need	CB1	CB2
1	(M_1, M_3)	(M_1, M_3)	(M_1, M_3)
2	(M_1, M_1, M_3)	$(M_1), (M_1, M_3)$	(M_1, M_1, M_3)
3	(M_1, M_2)	(M_1, M_2)	(M_1, M_2)
4	$(M_1, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_3)$	$(M_1, M_2), (M_1, M_3), (M_3)$	$(M_1, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_3)$
5	(M_1)	(M_1)	(M_1)

Looking at the table we can see that 3 and 5 both have available CB's that match their daily needs (CB1 and CB2 respectively). For the others, it is harder to immediately see which configuration will suit them.

It is now important to determine a strategy by which these MP's will be delivered to each location.

5 Containers and Locations

5.1 Eliminating Unnecessary Drones

Looking at the requirements of each medical centre it is obvious that the most important factor is the range a drone can travel rather than the speed. This is because a drone that arrives 40 mins earlier is trivial when operating on a 24hr deadline for delivery. We then proceeded to rank drones in terms of their distance as well as if they were a CB1 or CB2 type drone. Drones A and H were immediately discarded as they were either completely useless for the task required or simply very inefficient.

Drone	Distance (Km)	CB
B	24.4	1
C	17.1	2
D	7.9	1
E	6.5	2
F	14.4	2
G	7.5	2

Looking at the table we can see that the best CB1 drone is drone B, likewise the best CB2 drone is drone C. At second place are drones D and F respectively.

5.2 Drone Flight Radius

Before developing a configuration for each container it was important to see where containers could be placed regardless of their contents. Using simple geometry and a generated map of Puerto Rico we could instantly visualize the radial distance a

drone could travel from a medical centre.

The region that intercepted each circle would tell us where we could place a container. This allowed us to immediately discard any unsuitable area and focus on where the circle boundaries overlapped.

We also imposed a few local assumptions to realistically reflect each drone's performance.

- Drones would have a 5 percent range reduction due to carrying a large payload.

- Drones would have an additional range reduction from time used climbing to 400 feet (121m) and descending back down. 400 feet is the FAA drone height limit.[7]


Figure 2: CB1 drone radii around each MC

Figure 3: CB2 drone radii around each MC

5.3 Number of Containers To Use

Ideally a minimum number of containers should be used to appropriately distribute resources in order to minimize costs. However looking at the diagrams above we can see that MC1 and MC5 are completely separated from any other MC regardless of which drone we use*. This means that **we must require all three containers** to deliver medical packages to each medical centre. Since we need three containers we will call them C1, C2 and C3 to save time. Each container will serve the following MCs:

Container	Medical Centres Served
C1	MC1
C2	MC2, MC3, MC4
C3	MC5

One **key assumption** here is that containers can be placed anywhere we require. One justification could be that the DroneGo fleet serves as an emergency backup similar to a bomb shelter or food warehouse. A second justification could be that containers are airdropped in safely. We can now look at each individual container and determine the packing configuration we want to serve their respective MCs.

---

* B can technically service MC1, MC2 and MC3 however, then it wouldn't service MC4.

6 Container Packing Strategy

In order to solve the problem of packing 3 unique MPs and a drone/s into a container we decided to research bin packing algorithms. However, we were unable to find an existing algorithm that satisfactorily answered this question with these conditions.

We therefore resorted to developing our own algorithm which we called the **'Cuboid Reduction Method'** that would be able to efficiently pack different medical packages into the same container.

6.1 Cuboid Reduction Method (CRM)

Our algorithm known as the Cuboid Reduction Method relies on dividing our container into X amount of cuboids with equal dimensions. We then pack each cuboid with only one type of medical package to avoid the multi-box problem (packing three different boxes into a container).

In order to make sure we have the correct balance of each MP we look at the ratio of the MPs to each other and assign the same ratio of cuboids to each MP.

The INFITTER algorithm from Section 2 is then used to see how many MPs of the same type will fit inside the associated cuboid. (E.g: A container is split into 10 equally sized cuboids. The associated medical centre requires a 1:1 ratio of MP1 and MP2 packages. Thus we assign 5 cuboids for MP1 and 5 cuboids for MP2. Note: In the case where cuboids could not be distributed perfectly, the closest ratio was used.

The CRM was used on C1 and C2 as they both required packing two or three types of MP's. For C3 the INFITTER/OUTFITTER algorithm could be used directly as only one type of MP was being packed.

6.2 Improving the CRM

While the cuboid reduction method is useful in assigning a balanced ratio of cuboids for each type of MP, it does have a serious flaw. By assigning the number of cubes that satisfy the MP1 : MP2 : MP3 ratio we ignore the fact that a cube will fit much more MP2s or MP3s than MP1s. This is because they are so much smaller than an MP1.

When running our model initially for C2 and with a required ratio of 5 : 2 : 3 we noticed that our results were giving us quantities of $(1296MP_1, 1620MP_2, 990MP_3)$, which go completely against the ratios required for each MP! In order to solve this we created an algorithm called the RatioCheck algorithm that allocated cuboids to have the closest correct ratios.

6.2.1 RatioCheck Algorithm

The RatioCheck algorithm would view the MP1:MP2:MP3 ratio required and find the best balanced ratio of cuboids to satisfy this.

Algorithm 2 MED Pack cuboid Ratio Calculator

1: **procedure** RATIOCALCULATOR($cuboidAmt$, $dailyReq$, $cuboidDim$, $medDim$)
2:     $ParameterOne$: The amount of Cuboids available
3:     $ParameterTwo$: The daily requirement of Medical Packages [$MED1, MED2, MED3$]
4:     $ParameterThree$: An array of dimensions of the cuboid
5:     $ParameterFour$: A 2d array of medical package dimensions
6:     $Output$: An array with the required amount of cuboids for each Medical Package
7:
8:     $ratios \leftarrow [0,0,0]$
9:     $order \leftarrow [0,0,0]$
10:
11:     $total \leftarrow 0$
12:     **for** $i = 1$ to 3 **do**
13:         $total \leftarrow (total + dailyReq[i])$
14:     **end for**
15:
16:     **for** $i = 1$ to 3 **do**
17:         $percentage \leftarrow (dailyReq[i]/total)$
18:         $tempRatio \leftarrow (percentage * cuboidAmt)$
19:         $order[i] \leftarrow (tempRatio - floor(tempRatio))$ ▷ track the percentage difference
20:         $ratios[i] \leftarrow floor(tempRatio)$
21:     **end for**
22:
23:     $total \leftarrow 0$
24:     **for** $i = 1$ to 3 **do**
25:         $total \leftarrow (total + ratios[i])$
26:     **end for**
27:
28:     $cuboidsLeft \leftarrow (cuboidAmt - total)$
29:     $highestPriority \leftarrow order[i]$
30:     $place \leftarrow 1$
31:     **for** $i = 2$ to 3 **do**   ▷ find which med. pack. was most affected by the above process and give it priority
32:         **if** $highestPriority < order[i]$ **then**
33:             $highestPriority \leftarrow order[i]$
34:             $place \leftarrow i$
35:         **end if**
36:     **end for**
37:
38:     $ratios(place) \leftarrow ratios(place) + cuboidsLeft$ ▷ dedicate excess cuboid(s) to prioritised
39:     Return $ratios$
40: **end procedure**

---

7 Mapping Roads

In order to balance delivering supplies with performing road reconnaissance we first calculated the maximum packing capabilities of C1, C2 and C3. Since C1 and C3 only serve MC1 and MC5 they can contain a huge amount of supplies. This led us to deciding to allocate an additional drone to C1, C2 and C3 for purely recon activities. This way, one drone will deliver the daily MPs to the MC while another drone scans the different roads in the area.

There are a few caveats to this procedure:

- Firstly, using a drone to scan roads means that extra space must be used on the container to allocate it.

- Secondly, a drone will travel along approximate straight lines in order to minimize fuel waste. Determining how many straight lines to approximate a road by was done later once the exact coordinates of C1,C2 and C3 were decided.

While C1 and C3 could be dropped anywhere within the red circles of Fig 2, since the recon drone is designed to purely assess roads it would be ideal to drop a container on the intersection between the circles circumference and a major road. This would allow our delivery drone to safely deliver supplies while having the recon drone start immediately on a major highway rather than waste fuel going towards one.

7.1 Approximating Road Distances

By using several linear approximations to the roads we could approximate them to measurable quantities. The following map shows the major roads of Puerto Rico, each medical centre as well as approximations for each road.

Figure 4: Linear Approximation vs Real Roads

In Fig 4 the blue lines represent the actual major roads which we wish to follow. The purple line shows our approximated path that we wish the drone to follow. The red pins mark where the hospitals are.

Since the drones will operate at an elevation of 400 feet (121m) we will have a radial field of view of 692 feet (211m). This was based on commercial drones which have a FOV of up to 120°.[8]

It is a consequence of approximations that there will be times when the road will fall out of the drone's FOV, in order to minimize this error we added more straight lines between roads until the error was negligible.

The following table demonstrates the error percentage between actual road and approximated road total distance.

Road	Approx Distance (Km)	Actual Distance (Km)	Error Percentage
MC1-MC2	66.5	68	2.21%
MC1-MC3	58	58.1	0.17%
MC2-MC3	31.7	32	0.94%
MC3-MC4	13.4	13	3.04%
MC4-MC5	70.1	71	1.27%

We could also argue that heavy road damage will be visible for large stretches of the road so we could predict if unseen segments are damaged based on previous parts of the road.

Figure 5: Road Map and Delivery Drone Radii

In Fig 5 we further approximate our roads and place them into our generated map of Puerto Rico with the radial circles that correspond to the specific drone range of each MC. This way we will place our containers right on the intersection of the delivery drone radius with the major roads. While we have some leeway on choosing container coordinates for C2 and C3 we decided to pick the following coordinates:

Container	Container Coordinates (Long and Lat)
C1	-65.88 18.37
C2	-66.04 18.32
C3	-66.5 18.44

7.2 Road Recon Model (RRM)

Our Road Recon Model was designed in order to maximize reconnaissance range i.e. to map as much road length as possible. With our container coordinates, we decided to use drone B for recon as it has the furthest range of 24.4 km. Plotting the distance along each road from our container coordinate we were able to obtain the following map. This gives us a clear view of how far a recon drone would be able to travel down any road and back.


Figure 6: Road Map

The yellow lines represent the distance a recon drone will travel from the container location which is marked blue. Looking at this we can immediately see that the major roads between MC1, MC2, MC3 and MC4 are (almost) completely covered except for small region beside MC1. Likewise the yellow region from C3 to MC5 almost completely reaches MC5 but stops just short. This is still a very large region of road that the drones can scan and demonstrates the power of aerial surveillance that they can achieve for ground based route planning.

8 Applying Model to 2017 Crisis

Benchmarking is an important step in testing any product or service and this is no different from our model. By comparing our approach with the actual approach used we can see if our model holds any actual value in assisting in the delivery of supplies to centres.

8.1 2017 Crisis Official Figures

These are figures used from [FIND FIGURES]

8.2 Strategy 1

Our first and simplest strategy of utilizing our model to deal with the 2017 Puerto Rico crisis was as follows;

- Drop or have all three containers at their respective locations as specified in (7.1)

- Implement the RRM and CRM to scanning roads and packing supplies for each container

8.2.1 Drone Package Configuration and Schedule

The following configurations were tabulated for each medical centre.

Container 1

Drone	Payload	Route
F	MP_1, MP_3	MC1
B	NA	RECON

Container 2

Drone	Payload	Route
F	MP_1, MP_1, MP_3	MC2
F	MP_1, MP_2	MC3
F	$MP_1, MP_1, MP_2, MP_3, MP_3$	MC4
B	NA	RECON

Container 3

Drone	Payload	Route
F	MP_1	MC5
B	NA	RECON

8.2.2   Results

We obtained the following data for how long supplies would last for each container area:

	C1	C2	C3
MP_1	1458	1458	2916
MP_2	0	1215	0
MP_3	1782	792	0
Recon Drone	B	B	B
Delivery Drone	B	3F	B
Days	1558 (4.2 years)	264 (8.6 months)	2916 (7.9 years)

As we can tell the first Strategy successfully provides medical packages for each medical centre for months or even years.

8.3   Alternative Strategy

9 Conclusions

10 References

1. CIA. 2019. Central America :: Puerto Rico — The World Factbook. [ON-LINE] Available at: https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/rq.htm [Accessed 26 January 2019]

2. USGS. 2016. USGS CFWSC - Climate of Puerto Rico. [ONLINE] Available at: https://pr.water.usgs.gov/drought/climate.html. [Accessed 26 January 2019]

3. Ezcurra, Rivera-Collazo. 2017. An assessment of the impacts of climate change on Puerto Rico's Cultural Heritage with a case study on sea-level rise. [ONLINE]
Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1296207417306441. [Accessed 26 January 2019]

4. FEMA. 2019. Hurricane Maria — FEMA.gov. [ONLINE] Available at: https://www.fema.gov/hurricane-maria. [Accessed 27 January 2019].

5. Nikos Drakos. 1996. Bin Packing. [ONLINE]
Available at: https://www8.cs.umu.se/kurser/TDBA77/VT06/algorithms/ BOOK/BOOK5/NODE192.HTM. [Accessed 26 January 2019]

6. Brian Schneider. 2018. A Guide to Understanding LiPo Batteries. [ON-LINE] Available at: https://rogershobbycenter.com/lipoguide/. [Accessed 26 January 2019]

7. FAA. 2019. eCFR; Code of Federal Regulations. [ONLINE] Available at: https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=dc908fb739912b0e6dcb7d7d88cfe6a7&mc=true& [Accessed 27 January 2019]

8. Softonic. 2018. ProMark Camera Drone B07B9 - Get Now. [ONLINE] Available at: https://en.softonic.com/solutions/electronics/promark-camera-drone-b07b9. [Accessed 26 January 2019]

11   Appendix

Here is extra pseudo-code for the CRM for the interested reader.

Algorithm 3 Cuboid Reduction Method

1: **procedure** CRM($droneAmount$, $dailyRequiremnt$)
2:      $ParameterOne$: The amount of drones needed for the container
3:      $ParameterTwo$: The daily requirement of Medical Packages [$MED1, MED2, MED3$]
4:      $Output$: The amount of days a container of supplies can last
5:
6:      $cuboid \leftarrow [46, 46, 47]$ ▷ The dimensions of our cuboid
7:      $medPs \leftarrow [14, 7, 5; 5, 8, 5; 12, 7, 4]$ ▷ 2d Array of Medical Package Dimensions
8:      $cuboidsLeft \leftarrow 20 - droneAmount$ ▷ Amount of cuboids left after we pack in drones
9:
10:      $packRatios \leftarrow RATIOCALCULATOR(cuboidsLeft, dailyRequirment, cuboid, medPs)$
11:
12:      $packAmount \leftarrow [0, 0, 0]$
13:      **for** $i = 1$ to 3 **do**
14:          $packAmount[i] \leftarrow (INFITTER(cuboid, medPs[i]) * packRatios[i])$
15:      **end for**
16:
17:      Return $DAYCALCULATOR(dailyRequirement, packAmount)$
18: **end procedure**

Algorithm 4 MED Pack cuboid Ratio Calculator

1: **procedure** RATIOCALCULATOR(*cuboidAmt*, *dailyReq*, *cuboidDim*, *medDim*)
2:     *ParameterOne*: The amount of Cuboids available
3:     *ParameterTwo*: The daily requirement of Medical Packages [$MED1, MED2, MED3$]
4:     *ParameterThree*: An array of dimensions of the cuboid
5:     *ParameterFour*: A 2d array of medical package dimensions
6:     *Output*: An array with the required amount of cuboids for each Medical Package
7:
8:     $ratios \leftarrow [0, 0, 0]$
9:     $order \leftarrow [0, 0, 0]$
10:
11:     $total \leftarrow 0$
12:     **for** $i = 1$ to 3 **do**
13:         $total \leftarrow (total + dailyReq[i])$
14:     **end for**
15:
16:     **for** $i = 1$ to 3 **do**
17:         $percentage \leftarrow (dailyReq[i]/total)$
18:         $tempRatio \leftarrow (percentage * cuboidAmt)$
19:         $order[i] \leftarrow (tempRatio - floor(tempRatio))$ ▷ track the percentage difference
20:         $ratios[i] \leftarrow floor(tempRatio)$
21:     **end for**
22:
23:     $total \leftarrow 0$
24:     **for** $i = 1$ to 3 **do**
25:         $total \leftarrow (total + ratios[i])$
26:     **end for**
27:
28:     $cuboidsLeft \leftarrow (cuboidAmt - total)$
29:     $highestPriority \leftarrow order[i]$
30:     $place \leftarrow 1$
31:     **for** $i = 2$ to 3 **do**   ▷ find which med. pack. was most affected by the above process and give it priority
32:         **if** $highestPriority < order[i]$ **then**
33:             $highestPriority \leftarrow order[i]$
34:             $place \leftarrow i$
35:         **end if**
36:     **end for**
37:
38:     $ratios(place) \leftarrow ratios(place) + cuboidsLeft$ ▷ dedicate excess cuboid(s) to prioritised
39:     Return *ratios*
40: **end procedure**