Insert Title Here

Control #1924744

28th January 2019

Summary

"All models are wrong but some are useful"

MEMO

Date: 28th January 2019

To: Ms.Smith (CEO)

From: #1924744

Subject: Puerto Rico Disaster Model

As per your instruction we worked on a model that would utilize the DRONEGO drone fleet to aid in the 2017 Puerto Rico model and for future use. Our team devised...

Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Puerto Rico is a small US territory situated on the 18th parallel. It has a population of approx 3.29 mill and a population density focused around the coast, with San Juan being its most popular area[1]. Puerto Rico's tropical climate is starkly divided between the northern two thirds and southern third of the island. The northern side experiences much more humid weather than the southern side and is the area we are most concerned with.

Puerto Rico's annual rainfall also differs greatly between the eastern front, where the Sierra de Luquillo rainforest is located and the western side of the island. May to November is generally considered to be hurricane season in Puerto Rico while December to March is known as the dry season[2]. In recent years climate change has caused an acceleration of storms in the tropical belt and poses a serious threat to the future prosperity of Puerto Rico, efforts are ongoing to combat this problem but critics have been outspoken against the lack of focused effort to deal with it more[3].


Figure 1: Mean Annual Precipitation 1971-2000

1.2 Problem Restatement

As asked by HELP.INC we were tasked with developing a DroneGo drone fleet that could help deal with future disasters in Puerto Rico by analyzing

1

the 2017 hurricane. Our task is divided into two main objectives;

- Delivering required medical packages to the associated medical centres each day.

- Assessing the major highways and roads that link these centres for ground route planning

In order to achieve our first object we decided to start from the bottom and make our way up. That is to say, we began by seeing how to fit medical packages into cargo bay containers, following this we moved onto seeing which CB-MP (cargo bay to medical package) combination would suit each medical centre's daily needs. Moving up the ladder we ranked our drones by maximum range and so forth and so on.

One major problem appeared to be where to leave a container and how to pack items into a container, we recognized this as an optimization problem to solve, specifically a 'bin packing problem'.

2   Terminology

Throughout the paper Acronyms and numbers may be used to abbreviate repeated words and terms. While these are usually explained elsewhere they can also be found here for convenience.

Acronym	Explanation
CB	Cargo Bay Container
MP or M	Medical Package
MC	Medical Centre
C	Container

The following medical centres were also represented using numbers in the maps found in subsequent sections.

Number	Medical Centre
1	Caribbean Medical Centre, Fajardo not accurate
2	Hospital HIMA, San Pablo
3	Hospital Pavia Santurce, San Juan
4	Puerto Rico Children's Hospital, Bayamon
5	Hospital Pavia Arecibo, Arecibo

3   The Assumptions

The following core assumptions were made before embarking on our first model. These were necessary to fully understand the strategy we would need to develop to distribute medical supplies and survey roads:

- Each drone must return to a container after completing one or more deliveries. This is because we assume drones must be recharged/restocked before setting out again.

- Drones can only be used once a day. Drone LiPo batteries are some of the slowest charging batteries around[4] and the size of the drone suggests recharging will take an entire day.

- Drones could not be recharged at medical centres. Initially we considered charging them in the centres but after research, discovered that many hospitals in the 2017 crises were without power or generator fuel.

- The contents of every container will not be damaged or suffer from any accidental malfunction.

- Major roads and highways can be approximated as straight lines or a zigzag of lines when needed. This is justified as small road deviations will only be slightly longer than straight lines.

- Drones are given special permission to fly in airport airspace. This is because otherwise drone delivery in San Juan would be impossible otherwise as the medical centres are in airspace.

- Containers cannot be left directly in front of hospitals, due to road damage. This assumption was necessary later on.

- Drones are assumed to be unable to glide. If drones could glide then only one container would be theoretically necessary however this is too unlikely.

4   The Ideal Setup

4.1   P-CB (Package to Cargo Bay) Configuration

In order to understand which drone was suitable to use in deliveries it was necessary to begin with the core fundamentals of how a cargo bay would store medical packages. This was noticed to be a bin packing problem however we avoided the issue of different sized MPs by focusing on MP1.

We developed an algorithm known as the INFITTER algorithm that would determine the best packing of one type of MP into a container. Since MP_2 and MP_3 can both fit once inside MP_1 **we can set a lower bound** to how many MPs can be stored in each CB.

Using the INFITTER algorithm we saw that 2 MP_1 can be held inside a CB1 and 12 MP_1 inside a CB2. The table below illustrates this further:

Cargo Bay	Smallest Possible Linear Combination of MP_1, MP_2 and MP_3
Cargo Bay 1	$aMP_1 + bMP_2 = 2$
Cargo Bay 2	$aMP_1 + bMP_2 + cMP_3 = 12$

As seen in the table, CB1 is limited to only sending combinations of MP_1 and MP_2, while CB2 can have combinations of all three.

4.2 CB combinations for medical centres

Here we look at how many deliveries would be required to each medical centre depending on the drone type (CB1 or CB2).

MC	Daily Need	CB1	CB2
1	(M_1, M_3)	(M_1, M_3)	(M_1, M_3)
2	(M_1, M_1, M_3)	$(M_1), (M_1, M_3)$	(M_1, M_1, M_3)
3	(M_1, M_2)	(M_1, M_2)	(M_1, M_2)
4	$(M_1, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_3)$	$(M_1, M_2), (M_1, M_3), (M_3)$	$(M_1, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_3)$
5	(M_1)	(M_1)	(M_1)

Looking at the table we can see that 3 and 5 both have available CB's that match their daily needs (CB1 and CB2 respectively). For the others, it is harder to immediately see which configuration will suit them.
It is now important to determine a strategy by which these MP's will be delivered to each location.

5 Containers and Locations

5.1 Eliminating Unnecessary Drones

Looking at the requirements of each medical centre it is obvious that the most important factor is the range a drone can travel rather than the speed. This is because a drone that arrives 40mins earlier is trivial when operating on a 24hr deadline for delivery. We then proceeded to rank drones in terms of their distance as well as if they were a CB1 or CB2 type drone. Drones A and H were immediately discarded as they either completely useless for the task required or simply very inefficient.

Drone	Distance (Km)	CB
B	24.4	1
C	17.1	2
D	7.9	1
E	6.5	2
F	14.4	2
G	7.5	2

Looking at the table we can see that the best CB1 drone is drone B, likewise the best CB2 drone is drone C. At second place are drones D and F respectively.

5.2 Drone Flight Radius

Before developing a configuration for each container it was important to see where containers could be placed regardless of their packing. Using simple geometry and a generated map of Puerto Rico we could instantly visualize the radial distance a drone could travel from a medical centre.

The region that intercepted each circle would tell us where we could place a container. This allowed us to immediately discard any unsuitable area and focus on where the circle boundaries overlapped.

We also imposed a few local assumptions to realistically reflect each drone's performance.

- Drones would have a 5 percent range reduction due to carrying a large payload

- Drones would have a 400 feet (122m) range reduction due to having to climb to (at most) that altitude before travel.

Figure 2: CB1 drone radii around each MC

Figure 3: CB2 drone radii around each MC

6

5.3   Number of Containers to use

Ideally a minimum number of containers should be used to appropriately distribute resources in order to minimize costs. However looking at the diagrams above we can see that MC1 and MC5 are completely separated from any other MC regardless of which drone we use*. This means that **we must require all three containers** to deliver medical packages to each medical centre. Since we need three containers we will call them C1, C2 and C3 to save time. Each container will serve the following MCs:

Container	Medical Centres Served
C1	MC1
C2	MC2, MC3, MC4
C3	MC5

We can now look at each individual container and determine the packing configuration we want to serve their respective MCs.
*B can technically service MC1, MC2 and MC3 however then it wouldn't service MC4 which makes means its no more effective than the others.

6   Container Packing Strategy

In order to solve the problem of packing 3 unique MPs and a drone/s into a container we decided to research bin packing algorithms. However we were unable to find an existing algorithm that satisfactorily answered this question with these conditions. We therefore resorted to developing our own algorithm which we called the **'Cuboid Reduction Method'** that would be able to efficiently pack different medical packages into the same container.

6.1   Cuboid Reduction Method (CRM)

Our algorithm known as the Cuboid Reduction Method relies on dividing our container into X amount of cuboids with equal dimensions. We then pack each cuboid with only one type of medical package to avoid the multi-box problem (packing three different boxes into a container).
In order to make sure we have the correct balance of each MP we look at the ratio of the MPs to each other and assign the same ratio of cuboids to each MP.
The INFITTER algorithm from Section 2 is then used to see how many MPs f the same type will fit inside the associated cuboid. (E.g: A container is split into 10 equally sized cuboids. The associated Medical Centre requires a 1:1 ratio of MP1 and MP2 packages. Thus we assign 5 cuboids for MP1 and

5 cuboids for MP2. Note: In the case where cuboids cannot be distributed perfectly, the closest ratio was used.

The CRM was used on C1 and C2 as they both required packing two or three types of MP's. For C3 the INFITTER/OUTFITTER algorithm could be used directly as only one type of MP was being packed.

6.2   Improving the CRM

7 Mapping Roads

In order to balance delivering supplies with performing road reconnaissance we first calculated the maximum packing capabilities of C1,C2 and C3. Since C1 and C3 only serve MC1 and MC5 they can contain a huge amount of supplies. This led us to deciding to allocate an additional Drone to C1, C2 and C3 for pure Recon activities. This way, one drone will deliver the daily MPs to the MC while another drone scans the different roads in the area. There are a few caveats to this procedure, firstly, using a drone to scan roads means that extra space must be used on the container to allocate it. Secondly, a drone will travel along approximate straight lines in order to minimize fuel waste. Determining how many straight lines to approximate a road by was done later once the exact coordinates of C1,C2 and C3 were decided.

While C1 and C3 could be dropped anywhere within the red circles of Fig 2, since the recon drone is designed to purely assess roads it would be ideal to drop a container on the intersection between the circles circumference and a major road. This would allow our delivery drone to safely deliver supplies while having the recon drone start immediately on a major highway rather than waste fuel going towards one.

7.1 Approximating Road Distances

By using several linear approximations to the roads we could approximate them to measurable quantities. The following map shows the major roads of Puerto Rico, each medical centre as well as approximations for each road.


Figure 4: Linear Approximation vs Real Roads

In Fig 4 the blue lines represent the actual major roads which we wish to follow. The purple line shows our approximated path that we wish the drone to follow.

Since the drones will operate at an elevation of 400 feet (121m) we will have a radial field of view of 692 feet (211m). This was based on commercial drones which have a FOV of up to 120°.[5] It is a consequence of approximations that there will be times when the road will fall out of the drone's FOV, in order to minimize this error we added more straight lines between roads until the error was negligible. We could also argue that heavy road damage will be visible for large stretches of the road so we could predict if unseen segments are damaged based on previous parts of the road.

In Fig 5 we further approximate our roads and place them into our generated map of Puerto Rico with the radial circles that correspond to the specific drone range of each MC. This way we will place our containers right on the intersection of the delivery drone radius with the major roads. While we have some leeway on choosing container coordinates for C2 and C3 we decided to pick the following coordinates:

Container	Container Coordinates (Long and Lat)
C1	-66.88 18.37
C2	-66.04 18.32
C3	-66.5 18.44

7.2 Road Recon Model (RRM)

Our Road Recon Model was designed in order to maximize reconnaissance range i.e. to map as much road length as possible. With our container coordinates, we decided to use drone B for recon as it has the furthest range of 24.4 km.

The following map shows the distance a recon drone could travel

8 Performance Evaluation

9   Sensitivity Analysis

10   Conclusions

11   Appendices

1 - Puerto Rico CIA World Factbook 2 - USGS 3 - ¡An assessment of the impacts of climate change on Puerto Rico's Cultural Heritage with a case study on sea-level rise, October 2017, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S129620741730
4- https://rogershobbycenter.com/lipoguide/ 5 -Commercial drone FOV