HW1 Spencer Carlson

$$\mathbf{Q1} - \lambda = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}^{N} x_{i}$$

$$\mathbf{Q2} - \lambda = \frac{N + \alpha - 1}{\sum_{i} x_{i} + \beta}$$

Q3 -

- **Q4** This could make distances farther between a node having a private work class and never worked. The max distance between any class would be one in the binary version but would have a max distance of two as an ordinal value.
- **Q5** Only about 24.5% of entries make above \$50k a year. I think it would be a poor model. You could get about 70% accuracy if you guessed that it was under 50k every time. In my implementation each point has 85 dimensions but thinking logically it's 12 dimensions
- **Q6** Since the L2 norm sums the square of elements in an array and then takes the square root. We can subtract x from z to form d and then take the L2 norm of d to find the euclidian distance. These are equivalent mathematically but significantly faster utilizing the efficiency of the numpy operations

```
equal to using linalg.norm but much slower

def distance(x, xi):
    d = 0.00000000000
    temp = xi[1:-1]
    tempx = x[1:]
    c = tempx - temp
    numpy.power(c, 2)
    index = 0
    for i in numpy.nditer(c):
        d += i
    return numpy.sqrt(d)
```

Q7 - #data loading funciton - loads csv data into matrix

```
def load_arr(file):
    data = numpy.genfromtxt(file, dtype = float, delimiter = ',',
skip_header=1)
```

```
return data
#distance function - calculates euclidean distance between two 1D data
point arrays
def distance(x, xi):
    temp = xi[1:-1]
    if flag == 1:
        tempx = x[1:-1]
    else:
        tempx = x[1:]
    return numpy.linalg.norm(temp - tempx)
#classifier function - takes 1D array test and training data matrix
#returns class of test case based on given data
def classify(test, train):
    avg = 0.00000000000
   dist = list()
    #test against every case
    for case in train:
        dist.append(distance(test, case))
    dex = numpy.argsort(dist)
    #select k nearest neighbors
    global k
    if flag == 1 and k == 8000:
       k = 6000
    for i in range(0,k):
        avg += train[dex[i], -1]
    avg /= k
    ident = round(avg)
    return ident
```

Q8 -

```
#main k-fold function - takes training data and returns highest performing
k value
def kmain(train):
    print("Doing 4-fold cross validation")
    global flag
    flag = 1
    #test case loop
```

```
output = open("kcross.txt", "w")
   perf = list()
   #loop over possible k values
   for hype in hp:
       global k
       k = hype
       output.write("K = ")
       output.write(str(k))
       output.write("\n")
       print("k = ", k)
       vmean = 0.0000000000
       var = list()
        #loop over subset valdation blocks
       for i in range (0,4):
           print("subset ", (i+1))
            output.write("Subset #")
            output.write(str(i+1))
            nacc = 0.00
            start = i * 2000
            end = (i+1) * 2000
            cases = train[start:end]
            if i == 0:
                tcases = train[end:]
            elif i == 3:
                tcases = train[:start]
            else:
                t1 = train[:start]
                t2 = train[end:]
                tcases = numpy.vstack((t1,t2))
            res = list()
            #test validation data against the rest of data and store
result
            for test in cases:
                res.append(classify(test, tcases))
            index = 0
            #calculate validation performance
            for r in res:
                if cases[index, -1] == r:
                    nacc += 1
            acc = nacc/2000.0
```

```
vmean += acc
        var.append(acc)
        output.write(" Validation Accuracy: ")
        output.write(str(acc))
        vd = list()
        #test validation data against all of the data and store result
        for test in cases:
            vd.append(classify(test, train))
        nacc = 0.00
        #calculate training performance
       index = 0
        for r in vd:
            if cases[index, -1] == r:
                nacc += 1
        acc = nacc/2000.0
        output.write(" Training Accuracy: ")
        output.write(str(acc))
        output.write("\n")
    #calculate varience and mean
    vmean /= 4
    v = numpy.var(var)
    output.write("Mean = ")
    output.write(str(vmean))
    output.write(" Varience")
    output.write(str(var))
    output.write("\n")
   perf.append(vmean)
    print("mean", vmean)
    print("var", var)
#determine highest performing k
output.close()
dex = numpy.argsort(perf)
return hp[dex[-1]]
```

Q9 - A K value of 9 seemed to perform the best overall. When K was 0 the training error was very near 0% but not quite. I am unsure what caused this. The training accuracy went down as k increased. This is because when k = 1 every data point has its own bubble between itself and

points of another class. The validation accuracy increased and then fell after after k = 99. I did not expect the performance for this to peak for k = 99 based on my kaggle results with different k values. When k was close to 1 it was overfit and when k was 8000 it was undefit

K = 1Subset #1 Validation Accuracy: 0.7865 Training Accuracy: 0.99 Subset #2 Validation Accuracy: 0.795 Training Accuracy: 0.9885 Subset #3 Validation Accuracy: 0.7845 Training Accuracy: 0.988 Subset #4 Validation Accuracy: 0.7815 Training Accuracy: 0.9805 Mean = 0.786875 Varience2.5171875000000268e-05 K = 3Subset #1 Validation Accuracy: 0.8105 Training Accuracy: 0.886 Subset #2 Validation Accuracy: 0.805 Training Accuracy: 0.9025 Subset #3 Validation Accuracy: 0.7975 Training Accuracy: 0.885 Subset #4 Validation Accuracy: 0.8025 Training Accuracy: 0.8855 Mean = 0.8038749999999999 Varience2.192187500000007e-05 K = 5Subset #1 Validation Accuracy: 0.817 Training Accuracy: 0.8735 Subset #2 Validation Accuracy: 0.8215 Training Accuracy: 0.8785 Subset #3 Validation Accuracy: 0.803 Training Accuracy: 0.863 Subset #4 Validation Accuracy: 0.8175 Training Accuracy: 0.868 Mean = 0.81475 Varience4.90624999999997e-05 K = 7Subset #1 Validation Accuracy: 0.8195 Training Accuracy: 0.8685 Subset #2 Validation Accuracy: 0.8235 Training Accuracy: 0.8685 Subset #3 Validation Accuracy: 0.8065 Training Accuracy: 0.8485 Subset #4 Validation Accuracy: 0.811 Training Accuracy: 0.8655 Mean = 0.815125 Varience4.5171874999999965e-05 K = 9Subset #1 Validation Accuracy: 0.826 Training Accuracy: 0.858 Subset #2 Validation Accuracy: 0.823 Training Accuracy: 0.8605 Subset #3 Validation Accuracy: 0.8095 Training Accuracy: 0.844 Subset #4 Validation Accuracy: 0.814 Training Accuracy: 0.8565 Mean = 0.818125 Varience4.4296874999999835e-05 K = 99Subset #1 Validation Accuracy: 0.8325 Training Accuracy: 0.8375 Subset #2 Validation Accuracy: 0.8255 Training Accuracy: 0.8345 Subset #3 Validation Accuracy: 0.8175 Training Accuracy: 0.824 Subset #4 Validation Accuracy: 0.8295 Training Accuracy: 0.8335 Mean = 0.8262499999999999 Varience3.168750000000006e-05 K = 999Subset #1 Validation Accuracy: 0.815 Training Accuracy: 0.8185 Subset #2 Validation Accuracy: 0.827 Training Accuracy: 0.83

Subset #3 Validation Accuracy: 0.81 Training Accuracy: 0.817

Subset #4 Validation Accuracy: 0.8265 Training Accuracy: 0.8265

Mean = 0.819625 Varience5.392187499999975e-05

K = 8000

Q10 - I used a k value of 8 and got an accuracy of 81.818%. I tried to implement a weight function but it didnt go very well and got better results without it.

Debrief -

- 1. About 12 hours but I spent a lot of time sitting around waiting for it to finish because the k-fold takes a long time
- 2. Moderately easy
- 3. I worked fully alone
- 4. 90% (10% of the math I don't understand in the first 3 questions)
- 5. With such large datasets some instruction on ways to efficiently compute them would be cool. Just a quick tip would be enough