## DiFlow



Copyright ©2016–2020, Toni Verbeiren, Data Intuitive

Graphical design and cover picture by Anneleen Maelfeyt (http://anneleenmaelfeyt.be/).

Typeset using LATEX. More info to be found at http://www.data-intuitive.com.

## Contents

1	Introduction
4	
4	Functional Reactive Programming
5	FRP for pipelines
5	Abstraction
5	NextFlow DSL2
6	Design Principles
6	Reproducibility
6	Pipeline Parameters vs Runtime Parameters
7	Consistent API
8	Interchangeable components and component sets
9	Usage
9	Individual Components
10	Building the NXF modules
10	Caveats and Tips
11	Open issues

### Introduction

DiFlow<sup>1</sup> is an abstraction layer on top of NextFlow<sup>2</sup>'s DSL2<sup>3</sup>. DiFlow is a set of principles and guidelines for building NextFlow pipelines that allow the developer to declaratively define processing components and the user to declare the pipeline logic in a clean and intuitive way.

Viash<sup>4</sup> is a tool that (among other things) allows us to *use* DiFlow and make it practical, without the burden of maintaining boilerplate or *glue* code.

### Functional Reactive Programming

### **FRP**

If you're new to Functional Reactive Programming (FRP), here are a few pointers to posts and a video that introduce the concepts:

- · An excellent Medium post<sup>5</sup> from Timo Stöttner
- The introduction<sup>6</sup> to Reactive Programming you've been missing from André Staltz.
- · A very insightful presentation<sup>7</sup> by Staltz where he introduces FRP from first principles (with live coding).

In what follows, we will refer to *streams* in line with those authors but if you're used to working with  $Rx^8$  you would call this an observable.

 https://itnext.io/ demystifying-functionalreactive-programming-67767dbe520b
 https://gist.github.com/ staltz/868e7e9bc2a7b8c1f754
 https://www.youtube.com/ watch?v=fdol03pcvMA

8 http://reactivex.io/

### FRP in NextFlow

The  ${\tt Channel}^9$  class used by NextFlow, itself based on the DataFlow Programming Model  $^{10}$  can in fact be regarded as an implementation of a Functional Reactive Programming library. Having said that, NextFlow allows one to to mix functional and imperative programming to the point that a developer is able to shoot its own foot.

Furthermore, channels can not be nested which complicates certain operations on the streams.

 https://www.nextflow.io/ docs/latest/channel.html
 https://en.wikipedia.org/ wiki/Dataflow programming

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://pointer

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.nextflow.io/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.nextflow.io/docs/latest/dsl2.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> http://data-intuitive.com/ viash docs

### FRP for pipelines

NextFlow nor we are the first to understand that FRP is a good fit for pipeline development. Recent research and development also confirms  $this^{11,12}$ .

https://soft.vub.ac.be/~mathsaey/skitterhttps://github.com/weng-

lab/krews

Abstraction

NextFlow DSL2

## Design Principles

### Reproducibility

I originally did not include it as a design principle for the simple reason that I think it's obvious. This should be every researcher's top priority.

### Pipeline Parameters vs Runtime Parameters

We make a strict distinction between parameters that are defined for the *FULL* pipeline and those that are defined at runtime.

### Pipeline Parameters

We currently have 4 pipeline parameters: Docker prefix, ddir, rdir and pdir.

### Runtime Parameters

Runtime parameters differ from pipeline parameters in that they may be different for parallel runs of a process. A few examples:

- · Some samples may require different filter threshold than others
- After concatenation, clustering may be run with different cluster parameters
- · etc.

In other words, it does not make sense to define those parameters for the full pipeline because they are not static.

In practice, we define the following as input of a module:

```
Channel( <Config Map>, <Sample ID or other unique ID>, <Input Path> )
```

The module returns a similar Channel:

```
Channel( <Updated Config Map>, <Sample ID>, <Output Path> )
```

The updated ConfigMap can be captured and written to disk as a log file. The idea is that it contains the full information of what has run, including the effective code.

Consistent API

# Interchangeable components and component sets

## Usage

### Individual Components

Consider, e.g., Leiden. The following platform\_nextflow.yaml was added:

```
type: nextflow
image: python-leiden
python:
   packages:
    argparse
    scanpy
    python-igraph
    leidenalg
    hnswlib
workdir: /app
```

The image name is added as the target\_image in the updated platform\_-docker.yaml in order to have a predictable target image after the (implicit) docker build.

In order to *test* this *module* using NXF, the following procedure can be followed:

1. Run Viash (version of 25/6/2020 with improved defaults for extensions):

```
viash export -f functionality.yaml -p platform_nexflow.yaml -o ../../target/nxf/leiden
```

- 2. Run the (Dockerized) module with ---setup such that the container is built.
- 3. Enter that directory and run (beware of the paths):

```
NXF_VER=20.04.1-edge nextflow run main.nf \
    --input ../../src/cluster/leiden/test/pbmc_1k_protein_v3_filtered_feature_bc_matrix.norm.hvg.pca.nn.umap.h5ad \
    --output out/
```

The output is under out/.

### Building the NXF modules

A script is available to generate the modules (at least for the components that contain a platform\_nextflow.yaml file: scripts/build\_nxf\_components.sh.

In order to *use* the modules, the respective containers need to be available on the host. Those can be generated by issuing the script used for building the (Dockerized) components: scripts/build\_components.sh as such:

```
scripts/build_components.sh ---setup
```

### Caveats and Tips

### Resources

When you run or export with the <code>DockerTarget</code>, resources are automatically added to the running container and stored under <code>/resources</code>. In case of the <code>NativeTarget</code>, this is not the case and since <code>NextFlowTarget</code> uses the <code>NativeTarget</code> it's the same there. That does not mean that resources specified in <code>functionality.yaml</code> is not available in these cases, we only have to point to them where appropriate.

The following snippet (from ct/singler) illustrates this:

```
par = list(
   input = "input.h5ad",
   output = "output.h5ad",
   reference = "HPCA",
   outputField = "cellType",
   pruningMADS = 3,
   outputFieldPruned = "celltype-pruned",
   reportOutputPath = "report.md"
)
## VIASH END
par$resources_dir <- resources_dir</pre>
```

In other words, resources\_dir is automatically created by viash in all current 3 environments. This means that we can point to the report.Rmd file present in the resources like so:

```
rmarkdown::render(paste0(par$resources_dir, "/", "report.Rmd"), output_file = par$reportOutputPath)
```

### Default values

In functionality, no option should have an empty string as value!

#### $target\_image$

It makes sense to add the target\_image attribute in the docker\_platform.yaml file. This way, the resulting container image is predictable, rather than an autogenerated tag from viash.

### Running the Docker setup

We don't have a solution yet for pre-generating the Docker images prior to starting a NXF pipeline. For the moment, we ask the user to run the build script for the Docker targets with the ---setup option. This only works locally, it would for instance not work on a different (clean) node or in a Kubernetes cluster.

We are working on solutions or workarounds for this. Keep you posted!

### Open issues

- 1. Multiple files as input for a component: E.g. the concat component uses multiple files to be joined. At the moment this does not seems to be possible.
- 2. Use of additional input files into a specific component. Some components do not only have input/output but require additional input. How should we map this?