Note:

This document is generated from content held within the Unified Studies Definitions Model. It is for test purposes only.

Snonsor

TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT — Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out! — TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT — T

Statement:

Full Title: Safety and Efficacy of the Xanomeline Transdermal Therapeutic

System (TTS) in Patients with Mild to Moderate Alzheimer's Disease

Trial Acronym: H2Q-MC-LZZT

Protocol Identifier: H2Q-MC-LZZT

Original Protocol:

Version Number: 2

Version Date: 2006-07-01

Amendment

1

Identifier:

Amendment Scope: Europe

Compound Codes(s):

Compound Name(s):

Trial Phase: Phase II Trial

Short Title: Xanomeline (LY246708)

Sponsor Name and Eli Lilly, Lilly Corporate Ctr, Indianapolis, IN, 4628, United States of

Address: America

Regulatory Agency NCT12345678

Identifier Number(s):

Spondor Approval 2006-06-01

Date:

TEST DOCUMEN	1 PROTOCOL SUMMARY IT = TEST DOCUMENT = TEST DOCUMENT = Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out! = TEST DOCUMENT = TE	MUZOĆ TE
	1 PROTOCOL SUMMARY IT — TEST DOCUMENT — Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out! — TEST DOCUMENT — TE 2 INTRODUCTION	6
	3 TRIAL OBJECTIVES, ENDPOINTS AND ESTIMANDS	7
	4 TRIAL DESIGN	8
	5 TRIAL POPULATION	9
	6 TRIAL INTERVENTION AND CONCOMITANT THERAPY	11
	7 DISCONTINUATION OF TRIAL INTERVENTION AND PARTICIPANT WITHDRAWAL FRO	М
	TRIAL	13
	8 TRIAL ASSESSMENTS AND PROCEDURES	14
	9 STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS	16
	10 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS: REGULATORY, ETHICAL, AND TRIAL OVERSIGHT	17
	11 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS: RISK MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	18
	12 APPENDIX: ADVERSE EVENTS AND SERIOUS ADVERSE EVENTS - DEFINITIONS, SEVE	RITY,
	AND CAUSALITY	19
	13 APPENDIX: DEFINITIONS AND SUPPORTING OPERATIONAL DETAILS	20
	14 APPENDIX: GLOSSARY OF TERMS	
	15 APPENDIX: REFERENCES	22

Note:

The table of contents is auto generated upon PDF production and only includes first level sections.

TEST DOCUMENT ___ TEST DOCUMENT ___ DOCUMENT ___ DOCUMENT ___ TEST DOCUMENT ___ TEST DOCUMENT ___ TEST DOCUMENT ___

Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out! —

- 1.1 Protocol Synopsis
- 1.2 Trial Schema
- 1.3 Schedule of Activities

TEST DOCUMENT

Timeline: Vital Sign Blood Pressure Timeline. Automatic execution

	BP Anchor	BP while Supine	BP Stand Up	BP while standing
			-20 min	
Supine for 5 minutes	X			
Blood pressure supine		X		
Stand for 3 minutes			Х	
Blood pressure standing				X

IENT — TEST DOCUMENT — Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out! — TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT — Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out! — TEST DOCUMENT — T



Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out! —

- 2.1 Purpose of Trial
- 2.2 Summary of Benefits and Risks

TEST DOCUMENT

TEST DOCUMENT TEST DOCUMENT TO TEST DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT

ESTIMANDS

3.1 Primary Objectives

To determine if there is a statistically significant relationship Alzheimer's Disease (overall Type 1 erroralpha=0.05) between the change in both the ADAS-Cog (11) and CIBIC+ scores, and drug dose (0, 50 cm2 [54 mg], and 75 cm2 [81 mg]).

Assessment Scale - Cognitive Subscale, total of 11 items [ADAS-Cog (11)] at Week 24

Video-referenced Clinician's Interview-based Impression of Change (CIBIC+) at Week 24

To document the safety profile of the xanomeline TTS.

Adverse events

Vital signs (weight, standing and supine blood pressure, heart rate)

Laboratory evaluations (Change from Baseline)

To assess the dose-dependent improvement in behavior. Improved scores on the Revised Neuropsychiatric Inventory Assessment Scale - Cognitive (NPI-X) will indicate improvement in these areas.

Alzheimer's Disease Subscale, total of 11 items [ADAS-Cog (11)] at Weeks 8 and 16

Video-referenced Clinician's Interview-based Impression of Change (CIBIC+) at Weeks 8 and 16

Mean Revised Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI-X) from Week 4 to Week 24

4.1 Description of Trial Design

Temperature

4.1.1 Participant Input into Design

4.2 Rationale for Trial Design

Previous studies of the oral formulation have shown that xanomeline tartrate may improve behavior and cognition. Effects on behavior are manifest within 2 to 4 weeks of initiation of treatment. The same studies have shown that 8 to 12 weeks are required to demonstrate effects on cognition and clinical global assessment. This study is intended to determine the acute and chronic effects of the TTS formulation in AD; for that reason, the study is of 26 weeks duration. Dosage specification has been made on the basis of tolerance to the xanomeline TTS in a clinical pharmacology study (H2Q-EW-LKAA), and target plasma levels as determined in studies of the oral formulation of xanomeline (H2Q-MC-LZZA).

4.2.1 Rationale for Comparator

The parallel dosing regimen maximizes the ability to make direct comparisons between the treatment groups. The use of placebo allows for a blinded, thus minimally biased, study. The placebo treatment group is a comparator group for efficacy and safety assessment.

Two interim analyses are planned for this study. The first interim analysis will occur when 50% of the patients have completed Visit 8 (8 weeks). If required, the second interim analysis will occur when 50% of the patients have completed Visit 12 (24 weeks).

- 4.2.2 Rationale for Adaptive or Novel Trial Design
- 4.2.3 Other Trial Design Considerations
- 4.3 Access to Trial Intervention After End of Trial
- 4.4 Start of Trial and End of Trial

5.1 Selection of Trial Population

For Lilly studies, the following definitions are used:

Screen

Screening is the act of determining if an individual meets minimum requirements to become part of a pool of potential candidates for participation in a clinical study.

In this study, **screening** will include asking the candidate preliminary questions (such as age and general health status) and conducting invasive or diagnostic procedures and/or tests (for example, diagnostic psychological tests, x-rays, blood draws). Patients will sign the consent at their screening visit, thereby consenting to undergo the screening procedures and to participate in the study if they qualify.

To enter

Patients **entered** into the study are those from whom informed consent for the study has been obtained. Adverse events will be reported for each patient who has **entered** the study, even if the patient is never assigned to a treatment group (enrolled).

To enroll

Patients who are enrolled in the study are those who have been assigned to a treatment group. Patients who are entered into the study but fail to meet criteria specified in the protocol for treatment assignment will not be enrolled in the study.

At Visit 1, patients who meet the enrollment criteria of Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score of 10 to 23 (Attachment LZZT.6), Hachinski Ischemia Score ≤4 (Attachment LZZT.8), a physical exam, safety labs, ECG, and urinalysis, will proceed to Visit 2 and Visit 3. At Visit 3, patients whose CNS imaging and other pending labs from Visit 1 satisfy the inclusion criteria (Section 3.4.2.1) will be enrolled in the study. Approximately 300 patients with a diagnosis of probable mild to moderate AD will be enrolled in the study.

5.2 Rationale for Trial Population

5.3 Inclusion Criteria

Patients may be included in the study only if they meet all the following criteria:

1Subjects shall be between 50.0 and 100.0

2Patients with Probable Mild to Moderate Alzheimer's Disease as defined by the NINCDS and the ADRDA guidelines (Attachment LZZT.7)

EST DOCUMENT Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out! TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT — Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out! — TEST DOCUMENT — TEST D

5.4 Exclusion Criteria

Patients may be excluded in the study for **any** of the following reasons:

9Persons who have previously completed or withdrawn from this study or any other study investigating xanomeline TTS or the oral formulation of xanomeline.

- 5.5 Lifestyle Considerations
- 5.5.1 Meals and Dietary Restrictions
- 5.5.2 Caffeine, Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Habits

Not applicable

- 5.5.3 Physical Activity
- 5.5.4 Other Activity
- 5.6 Screen Failures

TEST DOCUMENT A TEST DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT TEST DOCUMENT

THERAPY

- 6.1 Description of Trial Intervention
- 6.2 Rationale for Trial Intervention
- 6.3 Dosing and Administration
- 6.3.1 Trial Intervention Dose Modification
- 6.4 Treatment of Overdose
- 6.5 Preparation, Handling, Storage and Accountability
- 6.5.1 Preparation of Trial Intervention
- 6.5.2 Handling and Storage of Trial Intervention
- 6.5.3 Accountability of Trial Intervention
- 6.6 Participant Assignment, Randomisation and Blinding
- 6.6.1 Participant Assignment
- 6.6.2 Randomisation
- 6.6.3 Blinding and Unblinding

The study will be double-blind. To further preserve the blinding of the study, only a minimum number of Lilly and CRO personnel will see the randomization table and codes before the study is complete.

Emergency codes generated by a computer drug-labeling system will be available to the investigator. These codes, which reveal the patients treatment group, may be opened during the study only if the choice of follow-up treatment depends on the patient's therapy assignment.

The investigator should make every effort to contact the clinical research physician prior to unblinding a patient's therapy assignment. If a patient's therapy assignment is unblinded, Lilly must be notified immediately by telephone. After the study, the investigator must return all sealed and any opened codes.

- 6.8.1 Prohibited Concomitant Therapy
- 6.8.2 Permitted Concomitant Therapy
- 6.8.3 Rescue Therapy
- 6.8.4 Other Therapy

TEST DOCUMENT

Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out!

TEST DOCUMENT

INTERVENTION AND PARTICIPANT WITHDRAWAL FROM TRIAL

- 7.1 Discontinuation of Trial Intervention
- 7.1.1 Criteria for Permanent Discontinuation of Trial Intervention
- 7.1.2 Temporary Discontinuation or Interruption of Trial Intervention
- 7.1.3 Rechallenge
- 7.2 Participant Withdrawal from the Trial
- 7.3 Lost to Follow-Up
- 7.4 Trial Stopping Rules

TEST DOCUMENT - TEST DOCUMENT - TEST DOCUMENT - Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out - TEST DOCUMENT

- 8.1 Screening/Baseline Assessments and Procedures
- 8.2 Efficacy Assessments and Procedures
- 8.3 Safety Assessments and Procedures
- 8.3.1 Physical Examination
- 8.3.2 Vital Signs
- 8.3.3 Electrocardiograms
- 8.3.4 Clinical Laboratory Assessments
- 8.3.5 Suicidal Ideation and Behaviour Risk Monitoring
- 8.4 Adverse Events and Serious Adverse Events
- 8.4.1 Definitions of AE and SAE
- 8.4.2 Time Period and Frequency for Collecting AE and SAE Information
- 8.4.3 Identifying AEs and SAEs
- 8.4.4 Recording of AEs and SAEs
- 8.4.5 Follow-up of AEs and SAEs
- 8.4.6 Reporting of SAEs
- 8.4.7 Regulatory Reporting Requirements for SAEs
- 8.4.8 Serious and Unexpected Adverse Reaction Reporting
- 8.4.9 Adverse Events of Special Interest
- 8.4.10 Disease-related Events or Outcomes Not Qualifying as AEs or SAEs
- 8.5 Pregnancy and Postpartum Information
- 8.5.1 Participants Who Become Pregnant During the Trial
- 8.5.2 Participants Whose Partners Become Pregnant

TEST DOCUMENT TEST DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT

Device Combination Products

- 8.6.1 Definition of Medical Device Product Complaints
- 8.6.2 Recording of Medical Device Product Complaints
- 8.6.3 Time Period and Frequency for Collecting Medical Device Product Complaints .
- 8.6.4 Follow-Up of Medical Device Product Complaints
- 8.6.5 Regulatory Reporting Requirements for Medical Device Product Complaints
- 8.7 Pharmacokinetics
- 8.8 Genetics
- 8.9 Biomarkers
- 8.1 Immunogenicity Assessments
- 8.1.1 Medical Resource Utilisation and Health Economics

Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out

- 9.1 Analysis Sets
- 9.2 Analyses Supporting Primary Objective(s)
- 9.2.1 Statistical Model, Hypothesis, and Method of Analysis
- 9.2.2 Handling of Intercurrent Events of Primary Estimand(s)
- 9.2.3 Handling of Missing Data
- 9.2.4 Sensitivity Analysis
- 9.2.5 Supplementary Analysis
- 9.3 Analysis Supporting Secondary Objective(s)
- 9.4 Analysis of Exploratory Objective(s)
- 9.5 Safety Analyses
- 9.6 Other Analyses
- 9.7 Interim Analyses
- 9.8 Sample Size Determination
- 9.9 Protocol Deviations

REGULATORY, ETHICAL, AND TRIAL **OVERSIGHT**

- 10.1 Regulatory and Ethical Considerations
- 10.2 Committees
- 10.3 Informed Consent Process
- 10.4 Data Protection
- 10.5 Early Site Closure or Trial Termination

TEST DOCUMENT 1 TEST DOCUMENT A DOCUMENT A DOCUMENT HOOK right? We'll help you out! I TEST DOCUMENT - TEST DOCUMENT

MANAGEMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

- 11.1 Quality Tolerance Limits
- 11.2 Data Quality Assurance
- 11.3 Source Data

TEST DOCUMENT TEST DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT DOCUMENT TEST DOCUMENT TEST DOCUMENT TEST DOCUMENT

SERIOUS ADVERSE EVENTS - DEFINITIONS, SEVERITY, AND CAUSALITY

- 12.1 Further Details and Clarifications on the AE Definition
- 12.2 Further Details and Clarifications on the SAE **Definition**
- 12.3 Severity
- 12.4 Causality

TEST DOCUMENT -

TEST DOCUMENT ______ TEST DOCUMENT _____ TEST DOCUMENT ____ DOCUMENT doesn't look right? Well help you out! ____ TEST DOCUMENT

SUPPORTING OPERATIONAL DETAILS

- 13.1 Contraception and Pregnancy Testing
- 13.1.1 Definitions Related to Childbearing Potential
- 13.1.2 Contraception
- 13.1.3 Pregnancy Testing
- 13.2 Clinical Laboratory Tests
- 13.3 Country/Region-Specific Differences
- 13.4 Prior Protocol Amendments

TEST DOCUMENT - TEST DOCUMENT - DOCUMENT - DOCUMENT doesn't look right? We'll help you out - TEST DOCUMENT - TEST DOCUMENT - TEST DOCUMENT -

TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT — Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out! — TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT —

TEST DOCUMENT. TEST DOCUMENT TEST DOCUMENT DOCUMENT TEST D

TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT — Document doesn't look right? We'll help you out! — TEST DOCUMENT — TEST DOCUMENT —