

PUBLIC RECORD**Date:** 21 November 2025**Doctor:** Dr Aung TINT

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| GMC reference number: | 4603483 |
| Primary medical qualification: | MB BS 1996 Med Inst (I) Rangoon |
| Type of case | Outcome on impairment |
| Misconduct | Not impaired |

Summary of outcome

Order revoked

Tribunal/Legally Qualified Chair:

| | |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| Legally Qualified Chair: | Claire Lindley |
|--------------------------|----------------|

Review on the Papers

This case was reviewed on the papers, with the agreement of both parties, by a Legally Qualified Chair.

Overarching Objective

Throughout the decision making process the chair has borne in mind the statutory overarching objective as set out in s1 Medical Act 1983 (the 1983 Act) to protect, promote and maintain the health, safety and well-being of the public, to promote and maintain public confidence in the medical profession, and to promote and maintain proper professional standards and conduct for members of that profession.

**Record of Determinations
Medical Practitioners Tribunal
Review on Papers**

1. I have noted the background to Dr Tint's case, which was first considered by a fitness to practise medical practitioners' tribunal ('the Tribunal') on 2-16 December 2024. The Tribunal was considering an Allegation which related to a number of matters of dishonesty.
2. The dishonesty related to Dr Tint working remote sessions as a Consultant Psychiatrist between 30 March 2020 and 30 April 2021 for two different NHS Trusts and receiving payment from both for working concurrent sessions. This was dishonest but also had the potential to put patients at risk of harm with Dr Tint not being as available as he should have been. Furthermore, on 23 November 2020, during an NHS appraisal, Dr Tint failed to declare the full scope of his practice, in effect hiding the fact that he was working for more than one Trust at the same time.
3. Due to the actions described above, Dr Tint was suspended by his designated body. However, he failed to inform another Trust of this suspension when providing them with services as an individual medical practitioner. In a letter dated 27 July 2021, Dr Tint then acted dishonestly, by informing his designated body that he had done so.
4. On 16 December 2024, the Tribunal found Dr Tint's fitness to practise to be impaired by reason of his misconduct. It was of the view that Dr Tint's actions represented a significant departure from Good Medical Practice 2013 and were serious. Nevertheless, the Tribunal concluded that a period of suspension was appropriate to mark the serious nature of such misconduct, to uphold public confidence in the profession, and ensure that the aims of the overarching objective set out in the Medical Act 1983 were upheld.
5. The Tribunal decided that a 12-month suspension was appropriate. It directed that a review take place at the end of the suspension period. The Tribunal said that, at the review hearing, the onus will be on Dr Tint to demonstrate how he has maintained his clinical knowledge and skills, and that Dr Tint will also be able to provide any other information that he considers will assist.
6. Dr Tint's suspension took effect from 18 January 2025, and this is the first review of his case. Dr Tint and the GMC have agreed that this review should be considered on the papers in accordance with Rule 21B of the General Medical Council (Fitness to Practise) Rules 2004. They have provided an Agreement Form dated and signed on 4 November 2025, agreeing with the proposed decision which I could make at this review.

Record of Determinations
Medical Practitioners Tribunal
Review on Papers

7. I have considered all of the evidence presented to me, (including a supplemental bundle received on 20 November 2025) and the submissions made by and on behalf of Dr Tint and by the GMC. In the submissions, Dr Tint and the GMC agree that Dr Tint's fitness to practise is not impaired and that the sanction currently in place should be revoked.
8. I have firstly taken into account the determination of the previous Tribunal. The suspension was imposed because Dr Tint's actions were dishonest and marked a serious departure from GMP. It decided that a period of suspension was necessary to ensure public confidence in the profession, and to uphold professional standards.
9. The Tribunal, however, noted that Dr Tint fully cooperated with the GMC investigation and during the regulatory proceedings, and made admissions to the bulk of the matters listed on the Allegation. The Tribunal decided that Dr Tint had '*excellent insight into his misconduct*' and was satisfied that his expressions of remorse were genuine. It was also impressed with the efforts that Dr Tint had taken to remediate his misconduct. The Tribunal was satisfied that the risk of repetition of the misconduct was '*extremely low*'.
10. I have also taken into account that since the order of suspension was made, Dr Tint has taken a number of steps to keep his medical knowledge and skills up to date, and to further remediate his misconduct, which are set out below;
- a) Dr Tint has attempted to find a clinical attachment in order to ensure that his skills are maintained. However, although he has found a consultant psychiatrist who is willing to act as a supervisor, he has not managed to secure an attachment. He has, however, been able to find a mentor - Dr L - who he meets every two months, and who has provided a letter of support. Dr Tint has provided a log of the meetings with his mentor including his reflections on each session.
 - b) Dr Tint has produced an impressive log of training that he has undertaken between 16 December 2024, and 18 November 2025. It shows that he has earned 78.5 hours of CPD through academic and professional training, literature reading, peer group attendance and various other CPD events. He has also attended a medical ethics course with Dr M, who has provided a letter of support.
 - c) Dr Tint has further reflected on his misconduct. He has provided some examples of honest behaviour and has accepted full responsibility for his actions. He has

Record of Determinations
Medical Practitioners Tribunal
Review on Papers

demonstrated that he is committed to ensuring the dishonest behaviour is not repeated. He says that he is grateful for the opportunity to reflect, to improve, and looks forward to being back to looking after patients.

11. In summary, I have taken into account the view of the Tribunal set out in its determination dated 16 December 2024. I have also recognised the actions (set out above) that Dr Tint has taken in order to further remediate his misconduct and to keep his medical skills and knowledge up to date. I accept from Dr Tint's CV that he is an accomplished consultant, and from his letters of support that he is a good doctor.

12. I have decided therefore that Dr Tint's fitness to practise is no longer impaired. In light of my decision, I direct that Dr Tint's current period of suspended registration be revoked with immediate effect.

13. I have, at all times, borne in mind the statutory overarching objective which is to protect, promote and maintain the health, safety and well-being of the public, to promote and maintain public confidence in the medical profession, and to promote and maintain proper professional standards and conduct for the medical profession.

14. Notification of this decision will be served on Dr Tint in accordance with the Medical Act 1983, as amended.