

[Docs] [txt|pdf] [draft-mahalinga...] [Tracker] [Diff1] [Diff2] [Errata]

INFORMATIONAL

Errata Exist

Independent Submission
Request for Comments: 7348
Category: Informational
ISSN: 2070-1721

M. Mahalingam
Storvisor
D. Dutt
Cumulus Networks
K. Duda
Arista
P. Agarwal
Broadcom
L. Kreeger
Cisco
T. Sridhar
VMware
M. Bursell
Intel
C. Wright
Red Hat
August 2014

Virtual eXtensible Local Area Network (VXLAN): A Framework for Overlaying Virtualized Layer 2 Networks over Layer 3 Networks

Abstract

This document describes Virtual eXtensible Local Area Network (VXLAN), which is used to address the need for overlay networks within virtualized data centers accommodating multiple tenants. The scheme and the related protocols can be used in networks for cloud service providers and enterprise data centers. This memo documents the deployed VXLAN protocol for the benefit of the Internet community.

Status of This Memo

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This is a contribution to the RFC Series, independently of any other RFC stream. The RFC Editor has chosen to publish this document at its discretion and makes no statement about its value for implementation or deployment. Documents approved for publication by the RFC Editor are not a candidate for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7348>.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 3 |
| 1.1. Acronyms and Definitions | 4 |
| 2. Conventions Used in This Document | 4 |
| 3. VXLAN Problem Statement | 5 |
| 3.1. Limitations Imposed by Spanning Tree and VLAN Ranges | 5 |
| 3.2. Multi-tenant Environments | 5 |
| 3.3. Inadequate Table Sizes at ToR Switch | 6 |
| 4. VXLAN | 6 |
| 4.1. Unicast VM-to-VM Communication | 7 |
| 4.2. Broadcast Communication and Mapping to Multicast | 8 |
| 4.3. Physical Infrastructure Requirements | 9 |
| 5. VXLAN Frame Format | 10 |
| 6. VXLAN Deployment Scenarios | 14 |
| 6.1. Inner VLAN Tag Handling | 18 |
| 7. Security Considerations | 18 |
| 8. IANA Considerations | 19 |
| 9. References | 19 |
| 9.1. Normative References | 19 |
| 9.2. Informative References | 20 |
| 10. Acknowledgments | 21 |

1. Introduction

Server virtualization has placed increased demands on the physical network infrastructure. A physical server now has multiple Virtual Machines (VMs) each with its own Media Access Control (MAC) address. This requires larger MAC address tables in the switched Ethernet network due to potential attachment of and communication among hundreds of thousands of VMs.

In the case when the VMs in a data center are grouped according to their Virtual LAN (VLAN), one might need thousands of VLANs to partition the traffic according to the specific group to which the VM may belong. The current VLAN limit of 4094 is inadequate in such situations.

Data centers are often required to host multiple tenants, each with their own isolated network domain. Since it is not economical to realize this with dedicated infrastructure, network administrators opt to implement isolation over a shared network. In such scenarios, a common problem is that each tenant may independently assign MAC addresses and VLAN IDs leading to potential duplication of these on the physical network.

An important requirement for virtualized environments using a Layer 2 physical infrastructure is having the Layer 2 network scale across the entire data center or even between data centers for efficient allocation of compute, network, and storage resources. In such networks, using traditional approaches like the Spanning Tree Protocol (STP) for a loop-free topology can result in a large number of disabled links.

The last scenario is the case where the network operator prefers to use IP for interconnection of the physical infrastructure (e.g., to achieve multipath scalability through Equal-Cost Multipath (ECMP), thus avoiding disabled links). Even in such environments, there is a need to preserve the Layer 2 model for inter-VM communication.

The scenarios described above lead to a requirement for an overlay network. This overlay is used to carry the MAC traffic from the individual VMs in an encapsulated format over a logical "tunnel".

This document details a framework termed "Virtual eXtensible Local Area Network (VXLAN)" that provides such an encapsulation scheme to address the various requirements specified above. This memo documents the deployed VXLAN protocol for the benefit of the Internet community.

Mahalingam, et al.

Informational

[Page 3]

RFC 7348

VXLAN

August 2014

1.1. Acronyms and Definitions

| | |
|---------------|---|
| ACL | Access Control List |
| ECMP | Equal-Cost Multipath |
| IGMP | Internet Group Management Protocol |
| IHL | Internet Header Length |
| MTU | Maximum Transmission Unit |
| PIM | Protocol Independent Multicast |
| SPB | Shortest Path Bridging |
| STP | Spanning Tree Protocol |
| ToR | Top of Rack |
| TRILL | Transparent Interconnection of Lots of Links |
| VLAN | Virtual Local Area Network |
| VM | Virtual Machine |
| VNI | VXLAN Network Identifier (or VXLAN Segment ID) |
| VTEP | VXLAN Tunnel End Point. An entity that originates and/or terminates VXLAN tunnels |
| VXLAN | Virtual eXtensible Local Area Network |
| VXLAN Segment | VXLAN Layer 2 overlay network over which VMs communicate |
| VXLAN Gateway | an entity that forwards traffic between VXLANs |

2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Mahalingam, et al.

Informational

[Page 4]

RFC 7348

VXLAN

August 2014

3. VXLAN Problem Statement

This section provides further details on the areas that VXLAN is intended to address. The focus is on the networking infrastructure within the data center and the issues related to them.

3.1. Limitations Imposed by Spanning Tree and VLAN Ranges

Current Layer 2 networks use the IEEE 802.1D Spanning Tree Protocol (STP) [802.1D] to avoid loops in the network due to duplicate paths. STP blocks the use of links to avoid the replication and looping of frames. Some data center operators see this as a problem with Layer 2 networks in general, since with STP they are effectively paying for more ports and links than they can really use. In addition, resiliency due to multipathing is not available with the STP model. Newer initiatives, such as TRILL [RFC6325] and SPB [802.1aq], have been proposed to help with multipathing and surmount some of the problems with STP. STP limitations may also be avoided by configuring servers within a rack to be on the same Layer 3 network, with switching happening at Layer 3 both within the rack and between racks. However, this is incompatible with a Layer 2 model for inter-VM communication.

A key characteristic of Layer 2 data center networks is their use of Virtual LANs (VLANs) to provide broadcast isolation. A 12-bit VLAN ID is used in the Ethernet data frames to divide the larger Layer 2 network into multiple broadcast domains. This has served well for many data centers that require fewer than 4094 VLANs. With the growing adoption of virtualization, this upper limit is seeing pressure. Moreover, due to STP, several data centers limit the number of VLANs that could be used. In addition, requirements for multi-tenant environments accelerate the need for larger VLAN limits, as discussed in Section 3.3.

3.2. Multi-tenant Environments

Cloud computing involves on-demand elastic provisioning of resources for multi-tenant environments. The most common example of cloud computing is the public cloud, where a cloud service provider offers these elastic services to multiple customers/tenants over the same physical infrastructure.

Isolation of network traffic by a tenant could be done via Layer 2 or Layer 3 networks. For Layer 2 networks, VLANs are often used to segregate traffic -- so a tenant could be identified by its own VLAN, for example. Due to the large number of tenants that a cloud

provider might service, the 4094 VLAN limit is often inadequate. In addition, there is often a need for multiple VLANs per tenant, which exacerbates the issue.

A related use case is cross-pod expansion. A pod typically consists of one or more racks of servers with associated network and storage connectivity. Tenants may start off on a pod and, due to expansion, require servers/VMs on other pods, especially in the case when tenants on the other pods are not fully utilizing all their resources. This use case requires a "stretched" Layer 2 environment connecting the individual servers/VMs.

Layer 3 networks are not a comprehensive solution for multi-tenancy either. Two tenants might use the same set of Layer 3 addresses within their networks, which requires the cloud provider to provide isolation in some other form. Further, requiring all tenants to use IP excludes customers relying on direct Layer 2 or non-IP Layer 3 protocols for inter VM communication.

3.3. Inadequate Table Sizes at ToR Switch

Today's virtualized environments place additional demands on the MAC address tables of Top-of-Rack (ToR) switches that connect to the servers. Instead of just one MAC address per server link, the ToR now has to learn the MAC addresses of the individual VMs (which could range in the hundreds per server). This is needed because traffic to/from the VMs to the rest of the physical network will traverse the link between the server and the switch. A typical ToR switch could connect to 24 or 48 servers depending upon the number of its server-facing ports. A data center might consist of several racks, so each ToR switch would need to maintain an address table for the communicating VMs across the various physical servers. This places a

much larger demand on the table capacity compared to non-virtualized environments.

If the table overflows, the switch may stop learning new addresses until idle entries age out, leading to significant flooding of subsequent unknown destination frames.

4. VXLAN

VXLAN (Virtual eXtensible Local Area Network) addresses the above requirements of the Layer 2 and Layer 3 data center network infrastructure in the presence of VMs in a multi-tenant environment. It runs over the existing networking infrastructure and provides a means to "stretch" a Layer 2 network. In short, VXLAN is a Layer 2 overlay scheme on a Layer 3 network. Each overlay is termed a VXLAN segment. Only VMs within the same VXLAN segment can communicate with

Mahalingam, et al.

Informational

[Page 6]

RFC 7348

VXLAN

August 2014

each other. Each VXLAN segment is identified through a 24-bit segment ID, termed the "VXLAN Network Identifier (VNI)". This allows up to 16 M VXLAN segments to coexist within the same administrative domain.

The VNI identifies the scope of the inner MAC frame originated by the individual VM. Thus, you could have overlapping MAC addresses across segments but never have traffic "cross over" since the traffic is isolated using the VNI. The VNI is in an outer header that encapsulates the inner MAC frame originated by the VM. In the following sections, the term "VXLAN segment" is used interchangeably with the term "VXLAN overlay network".

Due to this encapsulation, VXLAN could also be called a tunneling scheme to overlay Layer 2 networks on top of Layer 3 networks. The tunnels are stateless, so each frame is encapsulated according to a set of rules. The end point of the tunnel (VXLAN Tunnel End Point or VTEP) discussed in the following sections is located within the hypervisor on the server that hosts the VM. Thus, the VNI- and VXLAN-related tunnel / outer header encapsulation are known only to the VTEP -- the VM never sees it (see Figure 1). Note that it is possible that VTEPs could also be on a physical switch or physical server and could be implemented in software or hardware. One use case where the VTEP is a physical switch is discussed in Section 6 on VXLAN deployment scenarios.

The following sections discuss typical traffic flow scenarios in a VXLAN environment using one type of control scheme -- data plane learning. Here, the association of VM's MAC to VTEP's IP address is

discovered via source-address learning. Multicast is used for carrying unknown destination, broadcast, and multicast frames.

In addition to a learning-based control plane, there are other schemes possible for the distribution of the VTEP IP to VM MAC mapping information. Options could include a central authority-/directory-based lookup by the individual VTEPs, distribution of this mapping information to the VTEPs by the central authority, and so on. These are sometimes characterized as push and pull models, respectively. This document will focus on the data plane learning scheme as the control plane for VXLAN.

4.1. Unicast VM-to-VM Communication

Consider a VM within a VXLAN overlay network. This VM is unaware of VXLAN. To communicate with a VM on a different host, it sends a MAC frame destined to the target as normal. The VTEP on the physical host looks up the VNI to which this VM is associated. It then determines if the destination MAC is on the same segment and if there

is a mapping of the destination MAC address to the remote VTEP. If so, an outer header comprising an outer MAC, outer IP header, and VXLAN header (see Figure 1 in Section 5 for frame format) are prepended to the original MAC frame. The encapsulated packet is forwarded towards the remote VTEP. Upon reception, the remote VTEP verifies the validity of the VNI and whether or not there is a VM on that VNI using a MAC address that matches the inner destination MAC address. If so, the packet is stripped of its encapsulating headers and passed on to the destination VM. The destination VM never knows about the VNI or that the frame was transported with a VXLAN encapsulation.

In addition to forwarding the packet to the destination VM, the remote VTEP learns the mapping from inner source MAC to outer source IP address. It stores this mapping in a table so that when the destination VM sends a response packet, there is no need for an "unknown destination" flooding of the response packet.

Determining the MAC address of the destination VM prior to the transmission by the source VM is performed as with non-VXLAN environments except as described in Section 4.2. Broadcast frames are used but are encapsulated within a multicast packet, as detailed in the Section 4.2.

4.2. Broadcast Communication and Mapping to Multicast

Consider the VM on the source host attempting to communicate with the destination VM using IP. Assuming that they are both on the same subnet, the VM sends out an Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) broadcast frame. In the non-VXLAN environment, this frame would be sent out using MAC broadcast across all switches carrying that VLAN.

With VXLAN, a header including the VXLAN VNI is inserted at the beginning of the packet along with the IP header and UDP header. However, this broadcast packet is sent out to the IP multicast group on which that VXLAN overlay network is realized.

To effect this, we need to have a mapping between the VXLAN VNI and the IP multicast group that it will use. This mapping is done at the management layer and provided to the individual VTEPs through a management channel. Using this mapping, the VTEP can provide IGMP membership reports to the upstream switch/router to join/leave the VXLAN-related IP multicast groups as needed. This will enable pruning of the leaf nodes for specific multicast traffic addresses based on whether a member is available on this host using the specific multicast address (see [RFC4541]). In addition, use of

multicast routing protocols like Protocol Independent Multicast - Sparse Mode (PIM-SM see [RFC4601]) will provide efficient multicast trees within the Layer 3 network.

The VTEP will use (*,G) joins. This is needed as the set of VXLAN tunnel sources is unknown and may change often, as the VMs come up / go down across different hosts. A side note here is that since each VTEP can act as both the source and destination for multicast packets, a protocol like bidirectional PIM (BIDIR-PIM -- see [RFC5015]) would be more efficient.

The destination VM sends a standard ARP response using IP unicast. This frame will be encapsulated back to the VTEP connecting the originating VM using IP unicast VXLAN encapsulation. This is possible since the mapping of the ARP response's destination MAC to the VXLAN tunnel end point IP was learned earlier through the ARP request.

Note that multicast frames and "unknown MAC destination" frames are also sent using the multicast tree, similar to the broadcast frames.

4.3. Physical Infrastructure Requirements

When IP multicast is used within the network infrastructure, a multicast routing protocol like PIM-SM can be used by the individual Layer 3 IP routers/switches within the network. This is used to build efficient multicast forwarding trees so that multicast frames are only sent to those hosts that have requested to receive them.

Similarly, there is no requirement that the actual network connecting the source VM and destination VM should be a Layer 3 network: VXLAN can also work over Layer 2 networks. In either case, efficient multicast replication within the Layer 2 network can be achieved using IGMP snooping.

VTEPs MUST NOT fragment VXLAN packets. Intermediate routers may fragment encapsulated VXLAN packets due to the larger frame size. The destination VTEP MAY silently discard such VXLAN fragments. To ensure end-to-end traffic delivery without fragmentation, it is RECOMMENDED that the MTUs (Maximum Transmission Units) across the physical network infrastructure be set to a value that accommodates the larger frame size due to the encapsulation. Other techniques like Path MTU discovery (see [RFC1191] and [RFC1981]) MAY be used to address this requirement as well.

5. VXLAN Frame Format

The VXLAN frame format is shown below. Parsing this from the bottom of the frame -- above the outer Frame Check Sequence (FCS), there is an inner MAC frame with its own Ethernet header with source, destination MAC addresses along with the Ethernet type, plus an optional VLAN. See Section 6 for further details of inner VLAN tag handling.

The inner MAC frame is encapsulated with the following four headers (starting from the innermost header):

VXLAN Header: This is an 8-byte field that has:

- Flags (8 bits): where the I flag MUST be set to 1 for a valid VXLAN Network ID (VNI). The other 7 bits (designated "R") are reserved fields and MUST be set to zero on transmission and ignored on receipt.
- VXLAN Segment ID/VXLAN Network Identifier (VNI): this is a

24-bit value used to designate the individual VXLAN overlay network on which the communicating VMs are situated. VMs in different VXLAN overlay networks cannot communicate with each other.

- Reserved fields (24 bits and 8 bits): MUST be set to zero on transmission and ignored on receipt.

Outer UDP Header: This is the outer UDP header with a source port provided by the VTEP and the destination port being a well-known UDP port.

- Destination Port: IANA has assigned the value 4789 for the VXLAN UDP port, and this value SHOULD be used by default as the destination UDP port. Some early implementations of VXLAN have used other values for the destination port. To enable interoperability with these implementations, the destination port SHOULD be configurable.
- Source Port: It is recommended that the UDP source port number be calculated using a hash of fields from the inner packet -- one example being a hash of the inner Ethernet frame's headers. This is to enable a level of entropy for the ECMP/load-balancing of the VM-to-VM traffic across the VXLAN overlay. When calculating the UDP source port number in this manner, it is RECOMMENDED that the value be in the dynamic/private port range 49152-65535 [RFC6335].

- UDP Checksum: It SHOULD be transmitted as zero. When a packet is received with a UDP checksum of zero, it MUST be accepted for decapsulation. Optionally, if the encapsulating end point includes a non-zero UDP checksum, it MUST be correctly calculated across the entire packet including the IP header, UDP header, VXLAN header, and encapsulated MAC frame. When a decapsulating end point receives a packet with a non-zero checksum, it MAY choose to verify the checksum value. If it chooses to perform such verification, and the verification fails, the packet MUST be dropped. If the decapsulating destination chooses not to perform the verification, or performs it successfully, the packet MUST be accepted for decapsulation.

Outer IP Header: This is the outer IP header with the source IP address indicating the IP address of the VTEP over which the communicating VM (as represented by the inner source MAC address)

is running. The destination IP address can be a unicast or multicast IP address (see Sections 4.1 and 4.2). When it is a unicast IP address, it represents the IP address of the VTEP connecting the communicating VM as represented by the inner destination MAC address. For multicast destination IP addresses, please refer to the scenarios detailed in Section 4.2.

Outer Ethernet Header (example): Figure 1 is an example of an inner Ethernet frame encapsulated within an outer Ethernet + IP + UDP + VXLAN header. The outer destination MAC address in this frame may be the address of the target VTEP or of an intermediate Layer 3 router. The outer VLAN tag is optional. If present, it may be used for delineating VXLAN traffic on the LAN.

```

0                               1                               2                               3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1

```

Outer Ethernet Header:

```

+++++-----+
|               Outer Destination MAC Address               |
+++++-----+
| Outer Destination MAC Address | Outer Source MAC Address   |
+++++-----+
|               Outer Source MAC Address                     |
+++++-----+
|OptnlEthtype = C-Tag 802.1Q   | Outer.VLAN Tag Information   |
+++++-----+
| Ethertype = 0x0800           |
+++++-----+

```


Outer IPv4 Header:

```

+++++-----+
|Version|  IHL  |Type of Service|          Total Length      |
+++++-----+
|      Identification      |Flags|      Fragment Offset    |
+++++-----+
| Time to Live |Protocl=17(UDP)|  Header Checksum           |
+++++-----+
|               Outer Source IPv4 Address                   |
+++++-----+
|               Outer Destination IPv4 Address              |
+++++-----+

```

Outer UDP Header:



Frame Check Sequence:




Figure 1: VXLAN Frame Format with IPv4 Outer Header

The frame format above shows tunneling of Ethernet frames using IPv4 for transport. Use of VXLAN with IPv6 transport is detailed below.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1

Outer Ethernet Header:

```
+++++
|               Outer Destination MAC Address               |
+++++
| Outer Destination MAC Address | Outer Source MAC Address |
+++++
|               Outer Source MAC Address                   |
+++++
|OptnlEthtype = C-Tag 802.1Q   | Outer.VLAN Tag Information |
+++++
| Ethertype = 0x86DD           |
+++++
```

Outer IPv6 Header:

```
+++++
|Version| Traffic Class |               Flow Label               |
+++++
|      Payload Length      | NxtHdr=17(UDP) | Hop Limit |
+++++
|
+
|
+               Outer Source IPv6 Address               +
|
+
|
+++++
|
+
|
+               Outer Destination IPv6 Address           +
|
+
|
+++++
```

Outer UDP Header:

```
+++++
|      Source Port      |      Dest Port = VXLAN Port      |
+++++
|      UDP Length      |      UDP Checksum      |
+++++
```

VXLAN Header:




Figure 2: VXLAN Frame Format with IPv6 Outer Header

6. VXLAN Deployment Scenarios

VXLAN is typically deployed in data centers on virtualized hosts, which may be spread across multiple racks. The individual racks may be parts of a different Layer 3 network or they could be in a single Layer 2 network. The VXLAN segments/overlay networks are overlaid on top of these Layer 2 or Layer 3 networks.

Consider Figure 3, which depicts two virtualized servers attached to a Layer 3 infrastructure. The servers could be on the same rack, on different racks, or potentially across data centers within the same administrative domain. There are four VXLAN overlay networks identified by the VNIs 22, 34, 74, and 98. Consider the case of

VM1-1 in Server 1 and VM2-4 on Server 2, which are on the same VXLAN overlay network identified by VNI 22. The VMs do not know about the overlay networks and transport method since the encapsulation and decapsulation happen transparently at the VTEPs on Servers 1 and 2. The other overlay networks and the corresponding VMs are VM1-2 on Server 1 and VM2-1 on Server 2, both on VNI 34; VM1-3 on Server 1 and VM2-2 on Server 2 on VNI 74; and finally VM1-4 on Server 1 and VM2-3 on Server 2 on VNI 98.

```
+-----+-----+
|           Server 1           |
```





Figure 3: VXLAN Deployment - VTEPs across a Layer 3 Network

One deployment scenario is where the tunnel termination point is a physical server that understands VXLAN. An alternate scenario is where nodes on a VXLAN overlay network need to communicate with nodes on legacy networks that could be VLAN based. These nodes may be physical nodes or virtual machines. To enable this communication, a network can include VXLAN gateways (see Figure 4 below with a switch acting as a VXLAN gateway) that forward traffic between VXLAN and non-VXLAN environments.

Consider Figure 4 for the following discussion. For incoming frames on the VXLAN connected interface, the gateway strips out the VXLAN header and forwards it to a physical port based on the destination MAC address of the inner Ethernet frame. Decapsulated frames with the inner VLAN ID SHOULD be discarded unless configured explicitly to be passed on to the non-VXLAN interface. In the reverse direction, incoming frames for the non-VXLAN interfaces are mapped to a specific VXLAN overlay network based on the VLAN ID in the frame. Unless configured explicitly to be passed on in the encapsulated VXLAN frame, this VLAN ID is removed before the frame is encapsulated for VXLAN.

These gateways that provide VXLAN tunnel termination functions could be ToR/access switches or switches higher up in the data center network topology -- e.g., core or even WAN edge devices. The last case (WAN edge) could involve a Provider Edge (PE) router that terminates VXLAN tunnels in a hybrid cloud environment. In all these instances, note that the gateway functionality could be implemented in software or hardware.




Figure 4: VXLAN Deployment - VXLAN Gateway

6.1. Inner VLAN Tag Handling

Inner VLAN Tag Handling in VTEP and VXLAN gateway should conform to the following:

Decapsulated VXLAN frames with the inner VLAN tag SHOULD be discarded unless configured otherwise. On the encapsulation side, a VTEP SHOULD NOT include an inner VLAN tag on tunnel packets unless configured otherwise. When a VLAN-tagged packet is a candidate for VXLAN tunneling, the encapsulating VTEP SHOULD strip the VLAN tag unless configured otherwise.

7. Security Considerations

Traditionally, Layer 2 networks can only be attacked from 'within' by rogue end points -- either by having inappropriate access to a LAN and snooping on traffic, by injecting spoofed packets to 'take over' another MAC address, or by flooding and causing denial of service. A MAC-over-IP mechanism for delivering Layer 2 traffic significantly extends this attack surface. This can happen by rogues injecting themselves into the network by subscribing to one or more multicast groups that carry broadcast traffic for VXLAN segments and also by sourcing MAC-over-UDP frames into the transport network to inject spurious traffic, possibly to hijack MAC addresses.

This document does not incorporate specific measures against such attacks, relying instead on other traditional mechanisms layered on top of IP. This section, instead, sketches out some possible approaches to security in the VXLAN environment.

Traditional Layer 2 attacks by rogue end points can be mitigated by limiting the management and administrative scope of who deploys and manages VMs/gateways in a VXLAN environment. In addition, such administrative measures may be augmented by schemes like 802.1X [802.1X] for admission control of individual end points. Also, the use of the UDP-based encapsulation of VXLAN enables configuration and use of the 5-tuple-based ACL (Access Control List) functionality in physical switches.

Tunneled traffic over the IP network can be secured with traditional security mechanisms like IPsec that authenticate and optionally encrypt VXLAN traffic. This will, of course, need to be coupled with an authentication infrastructure for authorized end points to obtain and distribute credentials.

VXLAN overlay networks are designated and operated over the existing LAN infrastructure. To ensure that VXLAN end points and their VTEPs are authorized on the LAN, it is recommended that a VLAN be designated for VXLAN traffic and the servers/VTEPs send VXLAN traffic over this VLAN to provide a measure of security.

In addition, VXLAN requires proper mapping of VNIs and VM membership in these overlay networks. It is expected that this mapping be done and communicated to the management entity on the VTEP and the gateways using existing secure methods.

8. IANA Considerations

A well-known UDP port (4789) has been assigned by the IANA in the Service Name and Transport Protocol Port Number Registry for VXLAN. See Section 5 for discussion of the port number.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

9.2. Informative References

- [802.1aq] IEEE, "Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks -- Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges and Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks -- Amendment 20: Shortest Path Bridging", IEEE P802.1aq-2012, 2012.
- [802.1D] IEEE, "Draft Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks/ Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges", IEEE P802.1D-2004, 2004.
- [802.1X] IEEE, "IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks -- Port-Based Network Access Control", IEEE Std 802.1X-2010, February 2010.
- [RFC1191] Mogul, J. and S. Deering, "Path MTU discovery", RFC 1191, November 1990.
- [RFC1981] McCann, J., Deering, S., and J. Mogul, "Path MTU Discovery for IP version 6", RFC 1981, August 1996.
- [RFC4541] Christensen, M., Kimball, K., and F. Solensky, "Considerations for Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) and Multicast Listener Discovery (MLD) Snooping Switches", RFC 4541, May 2006.
- [RFC4601] Fenner, B., Handley, M., Holbrook, H., and I. Kouvelas, "Protocol Independent Multicast - Sparse Mode (PIM-SM): Protocol Specification (Revised)", RFC 4601, August 2006.
- [RFC5015] Handley, M., Kouvelas, I., Speakman, T., and L. Vicisano, "Bidirectional Protocol Independent Multicast (BIDIR-PIM)", RFC 5015, October 2007.
- [RFC6325] Perlman, R., Eastlake 3rd, D., Dutt, D., Gai, S., and A. Ghanwani, "Routing Bridges (R Bridges): Base Protocol Specification", RFC 6325, July 2011.
- [RFC6335] Cotton, M., Eggert, L., Touch, J., Westerlund, M., and S. Cheshire, "Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Procedures for the Management of the Service Name and Transport Protocol Port Number Registry", BCP 165, RFC 6335, August 2011.

10. Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank: Ajit Sanzgiri for contributions to the Security Considerations section and editorial inputs; Joseph Cheng, Margaret Petrus, Milin Desai, Nial de Barra, Jeff Mandin, and Siva Kollipara for their editorial reviews, inputs, and comments.

Authors' Addresses

Mallik Mahalingam
Storvisor, Inc.
640 W. California Ave, Suite #110
Sunnyvale, CA 94086.
USA

EMail: mallik_mahalingam@yahoo.com

Dinesh G. Dutt
Cumulus Networks
140C S. Whisman Road
Mountain View, CA 94041
USA

EMail: ddutt.ietf@hobbesdutt.com

Kenneth Duda
Arista Networks
5453 Great America Parkway
Santa Clara, CA 95054
USA

EMail: kduda@arista.com

Puneet Agarwal
Broadcom Corporation
3151 Zanker Road
San Jose, CA 95134
USA

EMail: pagarwal@broadcom.com

Mahalingam, et al.

Informational

[Page 21]

RFC 7348

VXLAN

August 2014

Lawrence Kreeger
Cisco Systems, Inc.
170 W. Tasman Avenue
San Jose, CA 95134
USA

EMail: kreeger@cisco.com

T. Sridhar
VMware, Inc.
3401 Hillview
Palo Alto, CA 94304
USA

EMail: tsridhar@vmware.com

Mike Bursell
Intel
Bowyer's, North Road
Great Yeldham
Halstead
Essex. C09 4QD
UK

EMail: mike.bursell@intel.com

Chris Wright
Red Hat, Inc.
100 East Davie Street
Raleigh, NC 27601
USA

EMail: chrisw@redhat.com

