

Final Report - Kallikrein genes

19.07.2021

Anouk Dupe, David Eckey, Dustin Schilling, Maria Yemane

Supervisor: Dr. Maria Dinkelacker

Tutor: Nils Mechtel

Data Analysis for students of Molecular Biotechnology

Heidelberg University

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Quality control	1
2.1 Quality control - GSE65216 breast cancer	1
2.2 Quality control - GSE149507 lung cancer	1
3. TRA data	2
4. Expression Analysis	3
4.1 Breast cancer GSE65216 (Maire et al. 2013)	3
Histogram	4
Boxplots	4
Heatmap	4
Principal component analysis	6
K-means clustering	6
Hypothesis testing	6
4.2 Lung cancer GSE149507 (Cai et al. 2021)	8
Overview gene expression	8
Boxplots	8
Heatmap	9
PCA	9
Clustering - kmeans	11
Hypothesis testing	11
5. Logistic regression	11
Discussion	13
References	15

1. Introduction

KLKs are a family of 15 mammalian secreted serine proteases. Analysis has shown that the KLK locus is located on chromosome 19 and forms the largest cluster of contiguous proteases in the entire genome. (Yousef et al. 2000).

All 15 Kallikrein genes are proteolytic enzymes under steroid hormone regulation and are involved in the regulation of blood pressure, tissue remodeling, skin desquamation, and many other processes. The structure of KLK are similar with two beta-drums, two alpha-helices and a distinct loop involved in the regulation of activity and selectivity. Currently, the specific role of each Kallikrein is unclear. It is known that they are involved in the complex regulatory processes, more specifically in those different signaling cascades.

Dysregulation of KLKs are frequently associated with cancer. Their expression in different tissues and their involvement in different physiological processes make them potential tumor expression markers (Fischer and Meyer-Hoffert, 2013). Different expression of Kallikrein genes has been found in many cancer types.

2. Quality control

To assure the quality of the data the steps presented in “R Course Micoarray Analysis” by Dr. Maria Dinkelacker (2019) were followed. The main goal of the quality control is to identify and remove microchips, which show significantly altered gene expression. These differences would be difficult to remove via variance stabilizing normalisation (vsn) and could interfere with the rest of the data. The quality control was performed on the breast cancer microarray dataset GSE65216 (Maire et al. 2013) and the small cell lung cancer microarray dataset GSE149507 (Cai et al. 2021).

2.1 Quality control - GSE65216 breast cancer

The examination of the individual arrays showed no alteration which indicate physical damage. The boxplots showed low fluctuation in gene expression for the 20 arrays after normalisation. In addition, none of the chips deviate strongly from each other. In both the density and RNA degradation plot (before and after normalisation).

2.2 Quality control - GSE149507 lung cancer

One of the chips of the small cell lung cancer microarrays displayed non-linear relationships in the scatterplots. Since the samples of dataset GSE149507 for normal and carcinoma tissue are linked to one patient each. Therefore, the two chips GSM4504109_SCLC_05_ca and GSM4504110_SCLC_05_n were replaced. The substitute microarrays were tested again and did not show any discrepancies.




Figure 1: Example of scatter plot breast cancer GSE65216.

3. TRA data

To distinguish between TRA KLK genes and non-TRA KLK genes, a total of 6 TRA datasets were utilized (see appendix). These TRA datasets were then unified, which allowed the extraction of tissue-restricted KLKs according to their transcription number. To get an overview of the distribution of the KLK tissue restriction, a pie chart was conducted. Pie charts allow a quick overview of the proportional distribution. The high prevalence of prostatic kallikrein genes, as well as an occurrence in esophagus, thyroid and salivary gland is notable. Since six datasets were combined, annotations that differed for the same tissue type were fused.




Figure 2: Tissue specificity of KLK genes - KLK genes from six TRA datasets are combined and sorted for tissue specificity

4. Expression Analysis

4.1 Breast cancer GSE65216 (Maire et al. 2013)

The breast cancer microarray data GSE65216 (Maire et al. 2013) consists of 20 samples. Respectively, five samples derive from four mutation positive tissue: triple negative breast cancer (TNBC), Her2, Luminal A and Luminal B. Notable, in the microarray data some of the expression values of KLK isoforms were identical. Therefore, the Pearson-correlation was determined between all transcripts. Since isoforms with the correlation of one did not contain additional information, all of the identical isoforms besides one were removed. In the end, 39 identical isoforms are removed, leaving 73 KLK transcripts for the 15 KLK genes for further analysis. Out of the 73 isoforms, 63 are TRAs, while only 10 are regarded as tissue restricted. Furthermore, the KLKs are sorted after their names in ascending order for the later visualization.

```
# Define function for calculating correlation
cor.genes <- function(df){
  df.cor <- cor(df, method = "pearson")
  diag(df.cor)=NA
  df.cor[upper.tri(df.cor)]=NA
  return(data.frame(df.cor))
}

# cleanup function
genes.cleanup <- function(df){
  df[!duplicated(unclass(df))]
}
```

Histogram

The histogram represent the frequency of the present gene expression in breast cancer samples. It is conspicuous, that the median gene expression of KLKs is much lower than the overall median gene expression. This means that most of the KLK gene expression is normally down-regulated in relation to the whole genome (Yousef et al. 2004).




Figure 3: Histogram of breast cancer gene expression.

Boxplots

The boxplots confirm the fairly low gene expression of KLKs. There are only two isoforms that exceed the median of the whole genome expression of the breast cancer set, KLK4.4 and KLK8.8. KLK4 gene expression was found by Schmitt et al. to be up-regulated in breast cancer tissue as in comparison to healthy breast tissue. Thereby, KLK4.4 is part of the further analysis. In contrast to that, KLK8 seems to be higher expressed in both normal and cancer tissue (Schmitt et al. 2013).

Heatmap

In figure X, KLK4.4 forms its own branch independent of all the others. As already shown in the boxplots, KLK4.4 was distinctly up-regulated. To increase the clarity of the heatmap, KLKs are separated into 3 clusters.

Once again, KLK4.4 clearly stands out (cluster 3) with an overall up-regulated gene expression across all samples. In addition, KLK4.4 belongs to the TRA group. Moreover, gene expression in cluster 1 is higher than within the second cluster. There are only few samples which seem to have up-regulated KLK transcripts for certain mutation types. For instance, the samples Tum01_TNBC and Tum016_TNBC got one of the highest expression values across all the KLKs for KLK10.3, KLK6 and KLK5. As well as the samples Tum71_LumA and Tum76_LumA for the transcripts KLK11 and KLK11.2.




Figure 4: Boxplot of KLK gene expression in breast cancer.




Figure 5: Dendrogram of KLK genes in breast cancer. Clustering is performed after the complete-linkage method. The genes are separated into 3 clusters.




Figure 6: Heatmap of KLK gene expression in breast cancer. The samples are annotated corresponding to their mutation type. Additionally, the KLKs are differentiated by their cluster and potential tissue restriction.

Principal component analysis

The principal component analysis (PCA) reduces multidimensional datasets into principle components with proportional variance. In this analysis, PCA was executed over the samples. Scaling was not included, due to the data being vsn-normalized. The cumulative variance of the first two principal components (PCs) yield 72% of the total variance.

The loadings consists of the the top twelve most differentiated KLK isoforms. This was conducted by adding absolute values of the rotation matrix for each individual KLK isoform. Some samples are more characterized by the expression of KLK11 and KLK11.2. This is mostly the case for Tum71_LumA and Tum76_LumA samples, just as in the heatmap. Another finding of the PCA is that TNBC mutations are affected by KLK5 and KLK6 expression.

K-means clustering

In order to draw conclusions on characteristics and distribution of different KLKs, k-means was performed. The optimal number of clusters k was determined with the elbow method. For different cluster counts the respective within sum of squares (WSS) was computed, a sudden decrease results in a kink. In this case, the optimal number of clusters is six.

In figure X two of the six clusters are clearly separated. Cluster 1 contains KLK4.4 and KLK8.8, while cluster 4 contains KLK5, KLK5.3, KLK6 and KLK10.3. The respective KLKs out of these two clusters will be further analyzed.

Hypothesis testing

The expression values of the KLKs obtained from Marie et al. were not normally distributed. Therefore, the non- parametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test was applied. The method merges the values of the two tested samples and ranks the values in an increasing order, before calculating the p-value. First, KLK4.4 (TRA)




Figure 7: PC1 is plotted against PC2. The upper part shows the distribution of the breast cancer samples annotated by their mutation type, while the lower part depicts the 12 highest loadings of the KLK genes. Centering was enabled, scaling was not included.




Figure 8: K-means cluster analysis with $k = 6$ clusters for the breast cancer dataset

and KLK8.8 (non-TRA) from k-means cluster 1 were significantly higher expressed than all other KLKs. Those results correspond with the observations from the heatmap and the k-means clustering. Cluster 4 (KLK5, KLK5.3, KLK6, KLK10.3) was isolated in the k-means clustering. Significant over-expression could not be confirmed, due to the fact that was no differentiation between the tumor types.

The main characteristic of the dataset from Marie et al. is the subdivision into the samples with different mutations (Her2, LumA, LumB, TNBC). In Figure X, these genes are shown with the subdivision into the different mutation types. A recurring pattern in Figure X is the significant over-expression of TNBC compared with Her2. This observation includes KLK5, KLK5.3, KLK10, KLK10.3.




Figure 9: Panel plot of the PCA loading genes with significant bars. *: p-value ≤ 0.5 , **: p-value ≤ 0.01

4.2 Lung cancer GSE149507 (Cai et al. 2021)

The lung cancer microarray GSE149507 (Cai et al. 2021) derives from six patients with small cell lung cancer. The dataset consists of a total of twelve samples. Carcinoma tissue and healthy lung tissue, which is adjacent to the carcinoma, make up six samples each.

Overview gene expression

Just as for the breast cancer dataset, the median expression of the KLKs is beneath the the median of the overall gene expression, since KLKs are mostly down-regulated (Yousef et al. 2004). However, for the lung cancer dataset the gene expression values are distributed more evenly, while the breast cancer histogram represents a right-skewed distribution.

Boxplots

Most of the KLK boxplots are lower than the overall median gene expression and thereby clearly down-regulated. KLK4.4 clearly stands out again as the highest expressed KLK gene. In regard to the whole genome KLK4.4 with an expression value of eight is only slightly above the overall median gene expression. The boxplot demonstrates that KLK12 and its isoforms have a high variance and their expression patterns




Figure 10: Histogram of lung cancer gene expression.

are similar. A possible reason is that the lung cancer dataset consists of both normal and healthy tissue, as in comparison to the breast cancer dataset. In this case, KLK12 and its isoforms are a subject for further investigation to determine whether they are differently expressed between normal and carcinoma samples.

Heatmap

The lung dataset was split into three clusters with the same method used for the breast cancer dataset. In addition, the samples are clustered according to their tissue type being lung carcinoma or healthy tissue. In the dendrogram of the sample type it is striking that the normal samples are clustered into one group with additionally two more cancer samples. Whereas, the other four cancer samples all form their own distinct group. The clustering of the samples clearly reflects itself in the KLK11 and KLK12 gene expression. While KLK4.4 is higher expressed for both normal and carcinoma samples, KLK11 and KLK12 isoforms are mainly higher expressed for the carcinoma sample. The only exception are the already mentioned carcinoma samples SCLC_01 and SCLC_03.

KLK11 up-regulation in lung cancer was found to have an unfavorable prognosis for the patient (Borgoño et al. 2004). Four out of the six cancer samples have slightly up-regulated KLK11 values. The significance will be tested. The two aforementioned carcinoma samples SCLC_01 and SCLC_03 even got down-regulated KLK11 expression.

PCA

The first two PCs explain 84% of the total variance. This high cumulative variance indicates that the majority of the variance can be explained by only a few transcripts. As expected, the PCA shows a clear separation between normal and carcinoma samples. Considering the top loadings, four of the cancer samples are characterized by KLK12, while the other two tumor samples SCLC_01_ca and SCLC_03_ca are mainly represented by KLK4.4 and KLK6 expression. As in the heatmap, four out of the six cancer samples have up-regulated KLK12 expression values, the two cancer samples SCLC_01_ca and SCLC_03_ca form an exception. They are rather defined by KLK6 and KLK4.4 expression.




Figure 11: Boxplot of KLK gene expression in lung cancer.




Figure 12: Heatmap of KLK gene expression in breast cancer. Carcinoma and normal samples are annotated. Additionally, the KLKs are differentiated by their cluster and potential tissue restriction.




Figure 13: PC1 is plotted against PC2. The upper part shows the distribution of the lung cancer samples annotated by their tissue type, while the lower part depicts the top 7 loadings of the KLKs.

Clustering - kmeans

The optimal cluster count was determined with the same method as for the breast cancer dataset and equaled five. Cluster 5 only consists of KLK12 and its isoforms. KLK4.4 and KLK8.8, which were conspicuous in the heatmap, are part of cluster 1. The other three clusters containing genes, which were low expressed in the heatmap, are located next to each other.

Hypothesis testing

The results of the PCA and the k-means indicate that for some KLKs the expression differs between the cancerous and normal tissue. Since the KLK expression is not normally distributed, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used. In figure X plot A, KLK4.4 was significantly higher expressed in cancer tissue. Unlike KLK10.3, which was significantly higher expressed in normal tissue. Plot B shows that KLK12 and its isoforms are significantly higher expressed in cancer tissue. Also, the plot visualizes the high similarity within isoforms because only identical transcripts were removed during the clean up. KLKs that characterize normal samples in the PCA are shown in plot C. In this respect, KLKB1.1 and KLKB1.3 are significantly down-regulated in the cancer tissue. The KLKs which characterized the cancer tissue are shown in plot D. Here, KLK1.3, KLK4.4, and KLK12 were significantly higher expressed in cancerous tissue. In summary, five out of seven loadings were found to have a significant expression difference between the tissue types. Those results confirm the clear separation of cancer and normal tissue microchips in the PCA based on KLK expression.

In conclusion, the hypothesis tests confirm the clear separation of cancer and normal tissue sample in the PCA based on KLK expression.

5. Logistic regression

Kallikrein mRNA or protein expression are already established in clinical practice as biomarkers especially in prostate cancer (Diamandis et al. 1998). Testing whether the identified genes with a significant expression

difference were likely to predict tissue type, logistic regression was chosen. The basic assumptions for logistic regression are: 1. Independence of errors, every observation has to be separate from the others. 2. Linearity of the continuous variables in logit - the relationship between the variable and their logit transformed outcome should be linear. 3. Absence of multicollinearity or redundancy. 4. No outliers with a strong influence. 5. For every independent variable there should be at least ten outcomes (Stoltzfus 2011).

These assumptions reveal the shortcomings of the used data and explain the experienced problems with logistic regression. First, the main limitation of the used dataset is the low number of included microchips. The number of microchips has also been further reduced by splitting the data in a training dataset (eight microchips) and testing dataset (four microchips). Therefore, expected problems of high standard errors and large beta-coefficients for the independent variables were encountered when including more than one independent variable. This phenomenon is also called overfit-model. For most individual genes with a significant expression difference the described problems were encountered. The only exceptions were KLK4.4 and KLK12.

Second, although genes with a correlation equal to one were removed, some genes are still highly correlating. This is primarily true for the different isoforms of the same gene as visualized in figure x, plot B. Therefore, the effect of collinearity would probably cause problems, even if more microchips were included. Hence a second cleanup, removing genes with high correlation (e.g. $\text{corr} > 0.8$), would probably be necessary. As mentioned above, KLK4.4 and KLK12 (and its isoforms) were the only gene where the standard error of the independent variable was not abnormally high. In both cases the p-value was not significant. In contrast, the prediction of these univariant models were surprisingly accurate. The model with KLK4.4 could predict the tissue type of three out of four microchips correctly, whereas the model with KLK12 predicted every tissue type right. However, a closer look at the probabilities reveals that these models are not reliable. The probabilities for normal to be cancer tissue were mostly over a quarter, indicating a high uncertainty. In conclusion, the p-values of the independent variable in the models were not significant, the standard errors were high and the predictions probabilities were not accurate. These results were not surprising considering the low sample size.

Discussion

The aim of this project was to analyse whether the expression of Kallikrein genes in given datasets show potential biomarker characteristics. Moreover, the output of statistical analysis should be compared to already existing literature to verify the results.

In the heatmap of breast cancer microarray data GSE65216 (Maire et al. 2013) four genes were identified, which were over-expressed in at least two of the five mutation specific microchips. KLK10.3, KLK6 for Her2, KLK11, KLK11.2 for LumA. Those four genes are also identified as one of the twelve main loadings of the PCA. Furthermore, in the PCA some samples were more dominated by KLK11 and KLK11.2 expression. In addition, TNBC mutations were influenced by the expression of KLK5 and KLK6. In a previous study (Haritos et al. 2018) KLK6 expression was found to be generally down-regulated in breast cancer tissue, but in HER2 and TNBC positive tumors KLK6 was over-expressed. Those findings are only reflected to a limited extend in hypothesis testing analysis. Another study from Michaelidou et al. reported a higher expression of KLK8 in TNBC and Her2 positive tumors compared to LumA and LumB positive tumors. However, the conducted analysis could only confirm significant TNBC over-expression for the isoform KLK8.5 compared to LumA and LumB. Nevertheless, the boxplots of KLK8.4 and KLK8.5 showed the trend of Her2 and TNBC over-expression. In summary, the conducted analysis could partially conform the findings from other research groups. The differences can probably be explained by the small amount of samples used in this analysis. In conclusion Kallikrein gene expression can be used for identifying tumor subtypes and even predict the outcome for a patient (Haritos et al. 2018).

Analysis of the lung cancer microarray GSE149507 (Cai et al. 2021) demonstrated differences in the expression of some KLKs between the cancerous and normal tissues. KLK4.4 was significantly higher expressed in cancer tissue, although it was not obviously shown in the heatmap. In normal tissue KLK10.3 was expressed significantly higher. The finding of decreased KLK11 expression in lung cancer by Sasaki et al. could not be

confirmed. Rather, the median of cancer tissue samples was higher. Although over-expression of KLK12 and its isoforms could not be found in the literature, functional studies showed KLK12 to be an pro-angiogenic factor (Kryzer et al. 2013).

In conclusion, the analyzed altered expression of KLKs in different mutation and tissue type could partially confirmed by the literature. In the breast cancer dataset KLK8.8 was the only non-TRA over-expressed gene that, which could be a subject of further investigation. In the lung cancer dataset KLK12 over-expression in lung cancer was

be a lung KLK12

investigated biomarker expression has been found and also verified by comparison with literature. High overlap of our results with literature confirms the (right usage of methods), and also indicate that results who couldn't be verified by literature comparison, like expression values for KLK12, could be potentially interesting to investigate.

References

- Ardlie, K.G., Deluca, D.S., Segre, A.V., Sullivan, T.J., Young, T.R., Gelfand, E.T., Trowbridge, C.A., Maller, J.B., Tukiainen, T., Lek, M., et al. (2015). The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: Multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science* 348, 648-660.
- Borgoño, C.A., and Diamandis, E.P. (2004). The emerging roles of human tissue kallikreins in cancer. *Nature Reviews Cancer* 4, 876-890.
- Cai, L., Liu, H., Huang, F., Fujimoto, J., Girard, L., Chen, J., Li, Y., Zhang, Y.-A., Deb, D., Stastny, V., et al. (2021). Cell-autonomous immune gene expression is repressed in pulmonary neuroendocrine cells and small cell lung cancer. *Communications Biology* 4.
- Diamandis, E.P. (1998). Prostate-specific Antigen: Its Usefulness in Clinical Medicine. *Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism* 9, 310-316.
- Dinkelacker, M. (2007). A database of genes that are expressed in a tissue-restricted manner to analyse promiscuous gene expression in medullary thymic epithelial cells. *Diplomarbeit (Albert-Ludwigs-Universitaet)*.
- Dinkelacker, M. (2019). Chromosomal clustering of tissue restricted antigens. *Dissertation (University Heidelberg)*.
- Dubey, A.K., Gupta, U., and Jain, S. (2016). Analysis of k-means clustering approach on the breast cancer Wisconsin dataset. *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery* 11, 2033-2047.
- Fischer, J., and Meyer-Hoffert, U. (2013). Regulation of kallikrein-related peptidases in the skin – from physiology to diseases to therapeutic options. *Thromb Haemost* 110, 442-449.
- Haritos, C., Michaelidou, K., Mavridis, K., Missitzis, I., Ardavanis, A., Griniatsos, J., and Scorilas, A. (2018). Kallikrein-related peptidase 6 (KLK6) expression differentiates tumor subtypes and predicts clinical outcome in breast cancer patients. *Clinical and Experimental Medicine* 18, 203-213.
- Kont, V., Laan, M., Kisand, K., Merits, A., Scott, H.S., and Peterson, P. (2008). Modulation of Aire regulates the expression of tissue-restricted antigens. *Molecular Immunology* 45, 25-33.
- Lattin JE, S.K., Su AI, Walker JR, Zhang J, Wiltshire T, Saijo K, Glass CK, Hume DA, Kellie S, Sweet MJ (2008). Expression analysis of G Protein-Coupled Receptors in mouse macrophages. *Immunome Res.* 4:5.
- Lenga Ma Bonda, W., Iochmann, S., Magnen, M., Courty, Y., and Reverdiau, P. (2018). Kallikrein-related peptidases in lung diseases. *Biol Chem* 399, 959-971.
- Lonsdale, J., Thomas, J., Salvatore, M., Phillips, R., Lo, E., Shad, S., Hasz, R., Walters, G., Garcia, F., Young, N., et al. (2013). The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nature Genetics* 45, 580-585.
- Maire, V., Némati, F., Richardson, M., Vincent-Salomon, A., Tesson, B., Rigail, G., Gravier, E., Marty-Prouvost, B., De Koning, L., Lang, G., et al. (2013). Polo-like Kinase 1: A Potential Therapeutic Option in Combination with Conventional Chemotherapy for the Management of Patients with Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. *Cancer Research* 73, 813-823.
- Michaelidou, K., Ardavanis, A., and Scorilas, A. (2015). Clinical relevance of the deregulated kallikrein-related peptidase 8 mRNA expression in breast cancer: a novel independent indicator of disease-free survival. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment* 152, 323-336.
- Roth, R.B., Hevezi, P., Lee, J., Willhite, D., Lechner, S.M., Foster, A.C., and Zlotnik, A. (2006). Gene expression analyses reveal molecular relationships among 20 regions of the human CNS. *Neurogenetics* 7, 67-80.
- Sano, A., Sangai, T., Maeda, H., Nakamura, M., Hasebe, T., and Ochiai, A. (2007). Kallikrein 11 expressed in human breast cancer cells releases insulin-like growth factor through degradation of IGFBP-3. *Int J Oncol* 30, 1493-1498.
- Sasaki, H., Kawano, O., Endo, K., Suzuki, E., Haneda, H., Yukiue, H., Kobayashi, Y., Yano, M., and Fujii, Y. (2006). Decreased Kallikrein 11 Messenger RNA Expression in Lung Cancer. *Clinical Lung Cancer* 8, 45-48.
- Schmitt, M., Magdolen, V., Yang, F., Kiechle, M., Bayani, J., Yousef, G.M., Scorilas, A., Diamandis, E.P., and Dorn, J. (2013). Emerging clinical importance of the cancer biomarkers kallikrein-related peptidases (KLK) in female and male reproductive organ malignancies. *Radiology and Oncology* 47, 319-329.
- Su, A.I., Cooke, M.P., Ching, K.A., Hakak, Y., Walker, J.R., Wiltshire, T., Orth, A.P., Vega, R.G., Sapinoso, L.M., Moqrich, A., et al. (2002). Large-scale analysis of the human and mouse transcriptomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99, 4465-4470.

Su, A.I., Wiltshire, T., Batalov, S., Lapp, H., Ching, K.A., Block, D., Zhang, J., Soden, R., Hayakawa, M., Kreiman, G., et al. (2004). A gene atlas of the mouse and human protein-encoding transcriptomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 101, 6062-6067.

Taylor, P.D., Kodeboyina, S.K., Bai, S., Patel, N., Sharma, S., Ratnani, A., Copland, J.A., She, J.-X., and Sharma, A. (2018). Diagnostic and prognostic biomarker potential of kallikrein family genes in different cancer types. *Oncotarget* 9, 17876-17888. 10.18632/oncotarget.24947.

Uhlen, M., Fagerberg, L., Hallstrom, B.M., Lindskog, C., Oksvold, P., Mardinoglu, A., Sivertsson, A., Kampf, C., Sjostedt, E., Asplund, A., et al. (2015). Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science* 347, 1260419-1260419.

Yousef, G.M., Chang, A., Scorilas, A., and Diamandis, E.P. (2000). Genomic Organization of the Human Kallikrein Gene Family on Chromosome 19q13.3-q13.4. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 276, 125-133.

Yousef, G.M., Magklara, A., and Diamandis, E.P. (2000). KLK12 Is a Novel Serine Protease and a New Member of the Human Kallikrein Gene Family—Differential Expression in Breast Cancer. *Genomics* 69, 331-341.

Yousef, G.M., Yacoub, G.M., Polymeris, M.E., Popalis, C., Soosaipillai, A., and Diamandis, E.P. (2004). Kallikrein gene downregulation in breast cancer. *British Journal of Cancer* 90, 167-172.

Zhang, Y., Bhat, I., Zeng, M., Jayal, G., Wazer, D.E., Band, H., and Band, V. (2006). Human kallikrein 10, a predictive marker for breast cancer. 387, 715-721.