Capstone Report

Fábio Franco Costa November 4, 2015

Title

Do similar users rates places equally?

Introduction

When you are using apps like YELP, you get ratings based on the average reviews from all users. In that situation I may get good ratings for a place that I would not really appreciate, because not every user are similar to others. My problem is testing if clusters of similar users rate places equally, so that I can use the average rate of this clusters to predict how I would rate some new place.

Methods and Data

For our analysis, the first step was to decide a clustering strategy. We decided to use the features in the user database as our characteristics. We did some transformations and end up with the following structure:

```
'data.frame':
                    50000 obs. of
                                   25 variables:
                                 "Kb2FOnGteVLhNOZhsmgqNw" "YlhgtRS9yArNolg8j_tpgw" "UBPKjtrReZ01g0D3953T
##
   $ user_id
                         : chr
##
   $ fans
                                0500001210...
   $ average_stars
                                3.67 3.38 4.5 3 4.33 3 5 4.26 3.59 3.67 ...
##
                         : num
                                1 269 0 4 0 9 0 3 24 1 ...
##
   $ votes.funny
                          : int
##
   $ votes.useful
                         : int
                                2 293 1 5 6 15 1 17 125 2 ...
##
   $ votes.cool
                                2 206 0 2 2 1 0 5 25 1 ...
                                0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
   $ compliments.profile: num
                         : num
##
   $ compliments.cute
                                0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
   $ compliments.funny
                                0 32 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 ...
                         : num
##
   $ compliments.plain
                                0 29 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 ...
                         : num
##
   $ compliments.writer : num
                                1 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 ...
##
   $ compliments.note
                                0 22 0 0 2 1 0 0 4 0 ...
                         : num
##
   $ compliments.photos : num
                                0 11 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 ...
##
   $ compliments.hot
                         : num
                                0 65 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 ...
                                0 101 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 ...
##
   $ compliments.cool
                         : num
##
   $ compliments.more
                         : num
                                0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
   $ compliments.list
                                0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
                         : num
##
   $ reviews
                         : int
                                3 233 4 2 10 7 1 36 97 3 ...
##
   $ friends_no
                         : int
                                0 120 0 1 0 0 9 21 22 0 ...
##
   $ yelping_months
                         : num
                                39 103 28 17 27 65 37 27 84 46 ...
##
   $ C1
                                13 11 13 13 13 7 13 13 10 13 ...
                          : int
##
   $ C2
                                7 5 7 7 7 13 7 7 3 7 ...
                          : int
##
   $ C3
                                11 9 11 11 11 15 11 11 7 11 ...
##
   $ C4
                                14 5 14 14 14 15 14 14 7 14 ...
   $ C5
                                10 1 10 10 10 4 10 10 12 10 ...
```

We clustered the data using K means, for 15 clusters using the following command:

After that, we used Hypothesis Test to check if different groups have different average rates for the same business. We used a 90% confidence interval to our analysis because we didn't want to impose a very strict test.

Results

We found that the groups are not very well distributed if you do not scale the variables previously the clusterization. We also find out that the clusters are rather stable. You can see the size of the clusters for a sample with 50K users for 5 different trails of kmeans()

```
size
##
          C1
                 C2
                        СЗ
                              C4
                                     C5
## 1
          28
                  7
                        25
                              72
                                    965
## 2
          18
               529
                      407
                              23
                                     72
## 3
          23
              4517
                             532
                                     28
                        5
## 4
         276
                  5
                      208
                            1795 17587
                             972
## 5
        1801
               965
                        18
                                      5
## 6
         152
                 23
                     1677
                              18
                                    276
                            4521
## 7
      17587 23917
                     4086
                                    529
## 8
           7
                 72
                       80
                               5
                                     23
## 9
         529
                 28
                      835
                             277
                                  1801
## 10
       4517
                 18
                        37
                               7 23917
                103 25309
## 11
         965
                             142
                                    152
## 12
          72
              1801
                       76
                              99
                                   4517
## 13 23917 17587
                      101
                              28
                                      7
## 14
         103
                276
                         7 23920
                                     18
## 15
           5
                152 17129 17589
                                    103
```

We decided to go without scaling the variables because it may capture better the way this particular society groups.

After clustering, we calculated the *mean*, *standard deviation* and *count* of reviews for each business. We also kept only business with 20 or more reviews for analysis and with at least rates for 2 clusters.

Discussion

We didn't hand analyse the users assigned to each group. This could possibly shows if the groups are meaningful in other ways to the YELP business.

We will implement a code to automatically test if the rates for a business that have reviews in more than one group are statistically different.