Software Development Methodologies

Part 1: Waterfall & Agile

Understanding Sequential and Iterative Development

Instructor: Mehdi Lotfinejad

© Learning Objectives - Part 1

By the end of this presentation, you will:

- 1. Explain the Waterfall methodology's sequential approach and when to use it
- 2. **Describe** the Agile methodology's iterative principles and practices
- 3. **Analyze** the strengths and weaknesses of both approaches
- 4. Apply decision criteria to choose between Waterfall and Agile
- 5. **Understand** real-world examples of each methodology in action

The House-Building Analogy

Sequential (Waterfall)

- Design every room first
- Order all materials
- Complete foundation
- Build all walls
- Complete roof
- Finish interior

Iterative (Agile)

- Build first room
- Get feedback
- Adjust plans
- Build next room
- Repeat process

Adapt as you learn!

No changes once started!

These mirror the fundamental divide in software development

Why Methodologies Matter

Research Finding:

Projects using appropriate methodologies are 28% more likely to succeed

Impact Areas:

- Project success rates
- Team productivity
- Customer satisfaction
- Budget predictability
- Timeline accuracy
- Quality of deliverables

C The Waterfall Methodology

Linear, sequential approach where each phase must complete before the next begins

Core Philosophy:

You can know all requirements upfront, and change is expensive

Key Characteristics:

- Distinct sequential phases
- Comprehensive documentation
- Formal review processes
- Predictable timelines
- Clear milestones

Waterfall Development Flow

```
WATERFALL PHASES
 Phase 1: Requirements Gathering
     ↓ (Complete ALL requirements documentation)
       Output: Requirements Specification Document
 Phase 2: System Design
     ↓ (Design architecture and interfaces)
       Output: Design Documents, Schemas
 Phase 3: Implementation
     ↓ (Write all code based on design)
       Output: Complete Codebase
 Phase 4: Testing
     ↓ (Test entire system)
       Output: Test Reports, Bug Fixes
 Phase 5: Deployment
     ↓ (Release to production)
       Output: Live System
 Phase 6: Maintenance
     L-> (Ongoing support)
 CRITICAL: Each phase 100% complete before next
lotfinejad.com
```

Waterfall: When It Works Best

Stable Requirements:

- Requirements won't change
- All stakeholders agree
- Clear understanding upfront

Regulatory Compliance:

- FDA, financial regulations
- Comprehensive documentation mandated
- Formal approval processes

Predictability Needed:

- Fixed budget and timeline
- Coordinated rollout required

Waterfall: Strengths

✓ Advantages:

Predictability:

- Accurate timeline estimates
- Reliable budget forecasting
- Clear scope definition

Structure:

- Easy to manage
- Defined roles
- Clear milestones

✓ More Advantages:

Documentation:

- Comprehensive specs
- Training materials
- Audit trails

Stakeholder Clarity:

- Know what's being built
- Clear approval gates
- Fixed-price contracts possible

8

Waterfall: Weaknesses

X Limitations:

Inflexibility:

- Changes very expensive
- Cannot go backward
- Rigid process

Late Feedback:

- Customers see product at end
- Problems discovered late
- Expensive to fix

× More Limitations:

Risk Concentration:

- Testing at end
- Integration issues late
- Big bang deployment

Assumption Risk:

- Must get requirements right
- No room for learning
- Market changes ignored

Real Example: Government Payroll System

Context:

- 50 distribution centers
- Must comply with regulations
- \$3M budget, 24 months
- 200 HR staff, 10,000 employees

Why Waterfall:

- Payroll rules defined by law (stable)
- Audit requirements (documentation)
- Coordinated rollout (predictability)
- Federal system integration (fixed interfaces)

Payroll Phase 1: Requirements (4 weeks)

Activities:

- Interview HR managers at 50 centers
- Document salary calculations
- Define tax regulations
- Capture integration specs

Deliverable:

200-page Requirements Specification

Approvals:

√ Legal ✓ Finance ✓ HR ✓ IT ✓ Union

Payroll Phase 2: Design (3 weeks)

Database Design:

- Employee schema
- Payroll transactions
- Tax tables
- Audit trails

System Architecture:

- Three-tier design
- Integration layer
- Security design
- Reporting structure

Deliverable:

Payroll Phase 3: Implementation (12 weeks)

Development:

- Salary calculation engine
- Tax calculation module
- Benefits processing
- Integration adapters
- Web UI

Key Rule:

No requirement changes accepted during implementation

Payroll Phase 4: Testing (4 weeks)

Week 1: Integration testing

Week 2: System testing

Week 3: User acceptance testing

Week 4: Performance & security

Focus:

Bugs fixed, no new features added

Payroll Phase 5: Deployment (1 week)

Activities:

- Production setup
- Data migration
- Training sessions
- Go-live support

Success:

Coordinated across 50 centers

Why Waterfall Succeeded Here

Perfect Alignment:

Stable Requirements (10/10):

Laws don't change mid-project

Documentation (10/10):

Legal compliance, training 200 staff

Predictability (10/10):

Budget approval, coordinated rollout

Integration (10/10):

Multiple external systems

The Agile Methodology

Iterative approach delivering software in small increments

Paradigm Shift:

Embrace change, deliver working software incrementally through sprints

Key Characteristics:

- Sprints: 1-4 weeks
- Working software each sprint
- Continuous user feedback
- Adapt based on learning
- Collaboration over process

The Agile Manifesto

Four Core Values:

- 1. Individuals and interactions over processes and tools
- 2. Working software over comprehensive documentation
- 3. Customer collaboration over contract negotiation
- 4. Responding to change over following a plan

Important: Values on the right still matter, we just value items on the left more

Agile Value 1: Individuals and Interactions

What it means:

- Face-to-face communication most effective
- Self-organizing teams make better decisions
- Trust and collaboration beat rigid hierarchy

In practice:

- Daily standup meetings
- Pair programming
- Collaborative problem-solving
- Team empowerment

Agile Value 2: Working Software

What it means:

- Running code proves progress
- Documentation should be "just enough"
- Users validate by using, not reading specs

In practice:

- Deliver features every sprint
- Demo actual software
- Get feedback from real usage
- Code quality is documentation

Agile Value 3: Customer Collaboration

What it means:

- Ongoing partnership with customers
- Regular feedback shapes product
- Flexibility to adjust priorities

In practice:

- Product owner on team
- Sprint reviews every 2-4 weeks
- Backlog refinement sessions
- Transparent progress tracking

Agile Value 4: Responding to Change

What it means:

- Change is inevitable and valuable
- Adapt quickly to new information
- Market conditions drive priorities

In practice:

- Sprint planning adjusts priorities
- Backlog continuously refined
- Features can be added/removed
- Pivot when data indicates need

Agile Sprint Cycle: Overview

```
AGILE SPRINT CYCLE (2 weeks)
Product Backlog (Prioritized features)
Sprint Planning (Select work for sprint)
Daily Standups (15 min sync every morning)
Development + Testing (Concurrent)
Sprint Review (Demo to stakeholders)
Sprint Retrospective (Team improvement)
(Repeat cycle)
KEY: Each sprint delivers working software
```

Sprint Planning

Duration: 2-4 hours for 2-week sprint

Activities:

- Team reviews top backlog items
- Selects stories for sprint
- Breaks stories into tasks
- Team commits to sprint goal
- Creates sprint backlog

Output:

Sprint backlog with committed work

Daily Standup

Duration: 15 minutes, every morning

Three Questions Per Person:

- 1. What did I do yesterday?
- 2. What will I do today?
- 3. Any blockers?

Purpose:

- Synchronize team
- Identify problems early
- Maintain transparency

Development + Testing

Concurrent Throughout Sprint:

```
Developer writes code

Developer writes tests

Code review

Merge to main

CI/CD: Automated tests

QA tests feature

Feature marked "Done"
```

Sprint Review

Duration: 1-2 hours at sprint end

Activities:

- Demo working software to stakeholders
- Show what was completed
- Get feedback on features
- Discuss next priorities
- Update backlog

Key: Stakeholders see real working software, not presentations

Sprint Retrospective

Duration: 1 hour at sprint end

Team Reflection:

- What went well?
- What didn't go well?
- What should we improve?
- Action items for next sprint

Purpose:

Continuous team and process improvement

Agile: Flexibility in Action

Scenario 1 - Competitor Feature:

- Week 1: Competitor releases new feature
- Week 2: Add to backlog, prioritize
- Week 3: Include in sprint planning
- Week 4: Delivered and deployed

Result: 4-week response time

Scenario 2 - User Feedback:

- Sprint 3: Users want easier navigation
- Sprint Review: Feedback captured
- Sprint 4: UI improvements delivered

Result: Quick response to users

Agile: Shift-Left Testing

Traditional (Waterfall):

```
Months 1-6: Development
Months 7-8: TESTING PHASE
Find 500 bugs → Expensive to fix
```

Agile:

```
Week 1: Build A → Test A → Deploy
Week 2: Build B → Test B → Deploy
Week 3: Build C → Test C → Deploy
Bugs found within days → Easy to fix
```

Agile: Major Strengths

✓ Advantages:

Flexibility:

- Rapid response to change
- Adjust priorities
- Market-driven

Early Value:

- Working software in weeks
- Users benefit immediately
- Revenue generated early

✓ More Advantages:

Quality:

- Continuous testing
- Regular reviews
- Automated checks

Team Engagement:

- High collaboration
- Shared ownership
- Self-organization

Agile: Challenges

× Challenges:

Customer Dependency:

- Requires involvement
- Regular availability
- Time commitment

Estimation:

- Total cost harder
- Long-term planning less precise
- Scope emerges

X More Challenges:

Cultural Change:

- Needs transparency
- Self-organizing teams
- Trust required

Discipline Required:

- Easy to become chaotic
- Must maintain practices
- Can't skip ceremonies

Real Example: Fitness Tracking App

Context:

- Consumer mobile app (iOS/Android)
- Competitive market
- \$300K budget
- Goal: MVP in 3 months

Why Agile:

- Requirements evolve with user feedback
- Fast market response needed
- User behavior drives features
- Competitive pressure

Fitness App: Sprint 0 (Week 1)

Setup:

- Create product backlog
- Development environment
- CI/CD pipeline
- Analytics integration
- Define "Done"
- 2-week sprint cadence

Backlog:

Registration, workout logging, progress tracking, social features, wearable integration

Fitness App: Sprint 1 (Weeks 1-2)

Goal: Users can register and log first workout

Delivered:

- ✓ User registration
- √ Login/logout
- √ Workout logging
- ✓ History view

Sprint Review Feedback:

"Can we add workout categories?"

Action: Added to backlog

Fitness App: Sprint 2 (Weeks 3-4)

Goal: Add categories based on feedback

Delivered:

✓ Workout categories (cardio, strength, flexibility)

✓ Enhanced logging interface

√ Filter by category

✓ Edit past workouts

New Request: Track sets/reps

Action: Added for Sprint 3

Fitness App: Sprint 3 (Weeks 5-6)

Goal: Visual progress tracking

Delivered:

✓ Charts showing history

√ Weekly/monthly views

√ Sets/reps tracking

✓ Calorie burn visualization

Feedback: "I want to set goals!"

Analytics: 70% daily return rate

Fitness App: Sprints 4-6 (Weeks 7-12)

Sprint 4: Goal setting + tracking

Sprint 5: Workout templates + reminders

Sprint 6: Social sharing + achievements

Results After 12 Weeks:

- 10,000 beta users
- 4.7 star rating
- 70% daily active users
- Product-market fit validated
- Ready for public launch

Why Agile Succeeded Here

Perfect Fit:

Evolving Requirements (10/10):

Features shaped by user behavior

Fast Response (10/10):

Working app after 2 weeks

User Feedback (10/10):

Beta users, analytics drove decisions

Team Collaboration (10/10):

Small, co-located team

Waterfall vs Agile: Fitness App

If Waterfall:

Months 1-3: Planning

Months 4-9: Development

Months 10-11: Testing

Month 12: Launch

Risk:

- No user feedback
- If wrong, too late
- Competitor may win

What Happened (Agile):

Week 2: Working app

Week 4: Enhanced

Week 6: Progress tracking

Week 12: Validated launch

Success:

- Real user validation
- Low risk launch
- Market-tested features

When to Choose Waterfall

Choose Waterfall When:

- ✓ Requirements are stable and well-understood
- ✓ Regulatory compliance is critical
- ✓ Comprehensive documentation mandated
- ✓ Fixed budget and timeline required
- √ Large distributed teams
- ✓ Hardware integration with fixed specs

When to Choose Agile

Choose Agile When:

- ✓ Requirements expected to evolve
- √ Time-to-market is critical.
- ✓ User feedback will shape product
- √ Team can work collaboratively
- ✓ Innovation is priority
- √ Can release incrementally

Warning Signs: Don't Use Waterfall

Waterfall Will Fail If:

- × Stakeholders say "we'll know it when we see it"
- × Entering new market with unknown needs
- × Technology is experimental
- × Competitive environment demands flexibility
- × Requirements change frequently

Warning Signs: Don't Use Agile

Agile Will Fail If:

- × Stakeholders unavailable for regular feedback
- × Fixed-price, fixed-scope contract
- × Regulatory requires extensive upfront documentation
- × Team lacks automated testing skills
- × Cultural resistance to transparency

Summary: Waterfall vs Agile

Factor	Waterfall	Agile	
Requirements	Stable, fixed	Evolving	
Planning	Upfront, comprehensive	Continuous	
Documentation	Extensive	Just enough	
Customer	Beginning & end	Throughout	
Testing	Separate phase Continuous		
Change Cost	Very high	Low	
Risk	Late discovery	Early mitigation	
Best For	Stable projects	Evolving products	

Key Takeaways: Part 1

Remember:

- 1. Waterfall = Stable requirements, predictability, compliance
- 2. **Agile** = Evolving needs, flexibility, fast feedback
- 3. Choose based on **project characteristics**, not preference
- 4. Execution matters more than methodology choice
- 5. Both have valid use cases in modern development

End of Part 1

Coming in Part 2:

- RAD Methodology
- Detailed Comparisons
- Real-World Case Studies
- Decision Frameworks
- Practice Quizzes

RAD:

- Small, highly skilled teams
- Very small (2-6)
- Must be co-located

Complete Comparison Matrix

Factor	Waterfall	Agile	RAD
Requirements	Stable, fixed	Evolving	Unclear, validated
Timeline	Months/Years	Months	Weeks
Documentation	Extensive	Minimal	Prototype-focused
Customer	20% (begin/end)	15% (ongoing)	40% (intensive)
Team Size	Large (20+)	Medium (5-15)	Small (2-6)
Change Cost	Very High	Low	Medium
Best For	Stable projects	Evolving products	UI-critical, urgent



Case Study: Retail Company

Context:

Mid-sized retail company needs two software projects

Company Profile:

- 50 distribution centers
- \$500M annual revenue
- Competitive e-commerce pressure
- Legacy systems

Challenge:

Choose right methodology for each project

Project A: Inventory Management System

Characteristics:

- Replace 15-year-old system
- 50 distribution centers
- Regulatory compliance required
- Hardware integration (barcode scanners, conveyors)
- \$2M budget, 18 months

Assessment:

- Requirements: STABLE (industry standards)
- Compliance: REQUIRED (regulations)
- Documentation: EXTENSIVE (50 locations)
- Integration: HIGH (hardware, legacy systems)

Project A: Recommendation

WATERFALL is Perfect

Why:

- Warehouse processes standardized (stable requirements)
- Regulatory requirements fixed (compliance)
- Training 50 locations (documentation)
- Hardware integration (fixed specs)
- Coordinated rollout (predictability)

Implementation:

- 8 weeks: Requirements
- 6 weeks: Design
- 16 weeks: Development

otfinejad.com

4 weeks: Testing

Project B: Customer Mobile App

Characteristics:

- Browse products, check inventory
- Loyalty rewards program
- Must launch before holiday season (6 months)
- Competitive pressure
- \$500K budget

Assessment:

- Requirements: EVOLVING (customer preferences)
- Compliance: MINIMAL (app store standards)
- Timeline: FLEXIBLE (incremental releases)
- Feedback: HIGH (need constant user input)

lottine Competition: HIGH (must respond quickly)

Project B: Recommendation

AGILE is Perfect

Why:

- Customer preferences evolve (requirements change)
- Competitive market (need flexibility)
- User analytics shape features (continuous feedback)
- Early releases generate value (incremental delivery)

Implementation:

- 2-week sprints
- Sprint 3: MVP release (6 weeks)
- Continuous features based on usage
- Can pivot based on data

Case Study: Key Insight

Same Company, Different Approaches

The Lesson:

Methodology selection = Matching strengths to project characteristics

Project A (Waterfall):

Stable + Compliance + Documentation = Waterfall

Project B (Agile):

Evolving + Speed + Feedback = Agile

Both succeed with RIGHT methodology

Decision Framework

```
METHODOLOGY DECISION TREE
Are requirements stable?
     —YES—> Is documentation required?
               YES—> WATERFALL
               └NO─> Can users participate intensively?
     LNO—> Are stakeholders available regularly?
               —YES—> Is timeline extremely tight?
                         ├─YES---> RAD (if UI-focused)
├NO----> AGILE
               └NO-> WATERFALL (get input upfront)
```

Common Pitfalls

Pitfall #1: Forcing Waterfall

Problem:

Using Waterfall when requirements genuinely uncertain

Consequence:

Months documenting wrong requirements

Solution:

Honest assessment: Can stakeholders define detailed requirements NOW?

Pitfall #2: Agile Without Discipline

Problem:

"Being agile" = no planning, no documentation, chaos

Consequence:

Missed deadlines, scope creep, frustration

Solution:

Maintain backlog, write user stories, hold ceremonies, automate testing

Pitfall #3: RAD Without User Commitment

Problem:

Attempting RAD but users can't participate in workshops

Consequence:

Prototypes built on assumptions, wrong product fast

Solution:

Secure formal 20-30% time commitment before starting RAD

Pitfall #4: Ignoring Team Capabilities

Problem:

Choosing methodology without assessing team skills

Consequence:

Team lacks skills for chosen approach

Solution:

- Waterfall needs: analysts, architects, documentation skills
- Agile needs: TDD, CI/CD, collaboration skills
- RAD needs: prototyping tools, multi-skilled developers



Healthcare Scenario:

Medical device software with FDA regulations. Requirements defined by regulatory standards. Extensive documentation needed for approval.

Questions:

- 1. Which methodology and why?
- 2. What are key success factors?
- 3. Risks of choosing Agile instead?



Agile Problem:

Agile team completes sprint but stakeholders unavailable for review. Scrum Master suggests skipping review and moving to next sprint.

Questions:

- 1. What's wrong with this?
- 2. Which Agile principle violated?
- 3. What are consequences?
- 4. What should team do?



Testing Comparison:

Compare how testing is handled in Waterfall vs Agile.

Questions:

- 1. When does testing occur in each?
- 2. Who performs testing?
- 3. Cost implications of finding bugs?
- 4. Why does Agile emphasize automated testing?



Startup Decision:

Building social media app. General vision but features will evolve. Need to launch quickly. Team: 5 developers, colocated.

Questions:

- 1. RAD or Agile? Why?
- 2. What factors favor each?
- 3. Could hybrid work?
- 4. Risks of each choice?



Fake Agile:

Team claims "doing Agile" but:

- No product backlog
- Rare retrospectives
- No user stories
- Say "being flexible"

Questions:

- 1. What's the problem?
- 2. What practices are missing?
- 3. Is this really Agile?
- 4. How to fix?



Enterprise Project:

Large bank needs to:

- Modernize core system (stable, regulated)
- Build mobile app (evolving, competitive)
- Create admin tools (unclear requirements)

Questions:

- 1. One methodology or different ones?
- 2. Which for each component?
- 3. How to coordinate?
- 4. Integration challenges?

Yey Takeaways

Remember Forever:

- 1. Context drives choice No universal "best"
- 2. Match strengths to needs Right tool for job
- 3. Execution matters most Well-done beats poorly-done
- 4. Requirements stability is primary factor
- 5. **Team must have skills** for chosen approach
- 6. Stakeholder availability determines feasibility
- 7. Hybrid approaches valid for complex projects

Success Factors: Universal

Regardless of Methodology:

- ✓ Clear communication
- √ Team competence
- √ Stakeholder commitment
- ✓ Quality focus
- √ Realistic planning
- ✓ Risk management
- ✓ Continuous learning
- √ Leadership support

Bottom Line: Best methodology = one your team executes well

When to Choose Each

Choose WATERFALL when:

- Stable requirements, heavy compliance, fixed scope
- Large distributed teams
- Extensive documentation mandated

Choose AGILE when:

- Evolving requirements, fast market response
- Customer feedback critical
- Small-medium co-located teams

Choose RAD when:

- UI/UX critical, extremely tight timeline
- Users available intensively

Modern Trends

Current State:

- 71% organizations use Agile
- Hybrid approaches rising
- DevOps integration standard
- Low-code/no-code enabling RAD-like speed

Future:

- Al-assisted development
- Continuous everything
- More pragmatic, less dogmatic
- Context-driven decisions

Action Items

This Week:

- 1. Assess your current project
- 2. Identify methodology alignment/gaps
- 3. Implement one improvement
- 4. Measure one metric

This Month:

- Study methodology in depth
- Assess team capabilities
- Experiment with improvements
- Hold retrospective

Resources

Essential Reading:

- "Agile Manifesto" (agilemanifesto.org)
- "Scrum Guide" (scrumguides.org)
- "PMBOK Guide" PMI
- "The Mythical Man-Month" Fred Brooks

Online:

- Agile Alliance (agilealliance.org)
- Atlassian Agile Coach
- Scaled Agile Framework (SAFe)

Quick Reference Card

WHEN TO USE EACH

===========

WATERFALL: Stable requirements + Compliance

AGILE: Evolving needs + Fast response RAD: UI-critical + Urgent + User access

KEY PRINCIPLES

==========

Match to context Assess team capability Secure stakeholder buy-in Execute with discipline Measure and adapt

WARNING SIGNS

==========

Forcing wrong methodology Skipping key practices Ignoring team feedback Methodology dogmatism Cultural misalignment

When in doubt: Start with what team knows,

adapt gradually based on results

End of Part 1

Software Development Methodologies

Part 2: RAD & Advanced Topics

Deep Dive into Rapid Application Development and Modern Approaches

Instructor: Mehdi Lotfinejad

© Learning Objectives - Part 2

By the end of this presentation, you will:

- 1. Explain the RAD methodology's prototype-driven approach
- 2. Compare all three methodologies (Waterfall, Agile, RAD) systematically
- 3. Apply decision frameworks to real-world scenarios
- 4. Evaluate hybrid and modern approaches (Scrum, Kanban, DevOps)
- 5. Avoid common pitfalls in methodology implementation



Rapid Application Development (RAD)

Prototype-driven approach focusing on rapid delivery through user collaboration

Core Philosophy:

Build prototypes quickly, get user feedback, iterate until you have the right solution

Key Characteristics:

- 4 distinct phases (brief planning, intensive prototyping, rapid construction, quick cutover)
- Heavy emphasis on prototyping tools
- Small, highly skilled teams (2-6 developers)
- Intensive user involvement in workshops
- Reusable components and code generators

RAD Development Process

```
RAPID APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT
 Phase 1: Requirements Planning (Days, not weeks)
       Quick identification of business objectives
       High-level scope definition
       Identify key user representatives
 Phase 2: User Design (Intensive - Weeks)
       Prototype Workshop Cycle:

    Build quick prototype

         2. Demo to users
         3. Gather feedback
         4. Refine prototype
        (Repeat until users satisfied)
 Phase 3: Construction (Rapid - Weeks)
       Convert prototypes to production code
       Use reusable components/code generators
       Parallel development by multiple teams
 Phase 4: Cutover (Days to weeks)
       Final testing and optimization
       User training
       Deployment
      L-> Production System
lotfinejad.com
```

RAD: When It Works Best

UI/UX Critical:

- User interface is core to success
- User experience drives adoption
- Visual validation essential

Timeline Pressure:

- Extremely tight deadlines (weeks, not months)
- Need to respond to urgent business needs
- First-to-market advantage

User Availability:

- Users can dedicate 20-30% time
- Subject matter experts accessible

Decision makers available for workshops

RAD: Strengths

✓ Advantages:

Speed:

- Fastest time-to-market
- Prototypes in days
- Production in weeks

User Satisfaction:

- Users see prototypes immediately
- Continuous validation
- High buy-in

✓ More Advantages:

Risk Reduction:

- Early validation of concepts
- Catch issues before coding
- Prototypes are cheap to change

Flexibility:

- Easy to pivot during prototyping
- Users discover real needs
- Iterative refinement

RAD: Weaknesses

X Limitations:

User Dependency:

- Requires intensive user time
- If users unavailable, RAD fails
- Workshop fatigue possible

Team Requirements:

- Needs highly skilled developers
- Prototyping tool expertise
- Small team only (2-6)

× More Limitations:

Scalability:

- Doesn't scale to large teams
- Complex integrations challenging
- Not for large enterprise systems

Technical Debt:

- Speed can compromise architecture
- Prototypes may be thrown away
- Refactoring often needed

Real Example: Sales Dashboard

Context:

- VP Sales needs real-time dashboard
- Extremely tight deadline (4 weeks)
- Must integrate with 3 systems
- 5 sales managers will use daily

Why RAD:

- UI/UX critical (dashboard visualization)
- Tight timeline (4 weeks)
- Users available (sales managers)
- Small scope, skilled team available

Dashboard Phase 1: Requirements (2 days)

Activities:

- 1-day workshop with VP Sales
- Identify key metrics needed
- Define data sources
- Prioritize features

Deliverable:

High-level requirements (10 pages, not 200)

Key Insight:

Don't spend weeks documenting—move to prototyping!

Dashboard Phase 2: User Design (2 weeks)

Week 1 - Prototype Iterations:

- Monday: Build initial dashboard mockup
- Tuesday: Demo to sales managers, gather feedback
- Wednesday: Refine layout, add 2 new charts
- Thursday: Demo refined version
- Friday: Finalize prototype, user sign-off

Week 2 - Enhanced Prototyping:

- Add drill-down capabilities
- Refine data visualization
- Test with real data
- Final user validation

Dashboard Phase 3: Construction (1 week)

Rapid Development:

- Convert prototype to production code
- Connect to real data sources
- Implement business logic
- Optimize performance
- Automated testing

Key Tools:

- Low-code platform for UI
- API integrations for data
- Reusable chart components

Dashboard Phase 4: Cutover (3 days)

Activities:

- Day 1: Final testing
- Day 2: User training (2 hours)
- Day 3: Deploy to production

Success:

4 weeks total, from idea to production!

Why RAD Succeeded Here

Perfect Alignment:

UI/UX Critical (10/10):

Dashboard must be intuitive and visual

Timeline Pressure (10/10):

4 weeks—Waterfall takes 6+ months, Agile 8-12 weeks

User Availability (10/10):

Sales managers eager to participate in workshops

Small Scope (10/10):

Focused dashboard, not enterprise system

RAD vs Agile: Sales Dashboard

If Agile:

Sprints 1-2: Core metrics

Sprints 3-4: Visualizations

Sprints 5-6: Enhancements

Timeline: 12 weeks

Challenge:

- Slower initial feedback
- More overhead (ceremonies)

What Happened (RAD):

Week 1: Requirements + Prototypes

Week 2: Refined prototypes

Week 3: Production code

Week 4: Deployment

Timeline: 4 weeks

Success:

- Immediate visual feedback
- Rapid iteration
- Met urgent deadline

When to Choose RAD

Choose RAD When:

- ✓ User interface/experience is critical
- ✓ Timeline is extremely tight (weeks, not months)
- ✓ Users can participate intensively (20-30% time)
- ✓ Small project scope (not enterprise-wide)
- ✓ Team has strong prototyping skills
- ✓ Modern prototyping tools available
- ✓ Example: Dashboards, portals, internal tools

Warning Signs: Don't Use RAD

RAD Will Fail If:

- × Users unavailable for workshops
- × Large team needed (10+ developers)
- × Complex system architecture required
- × Extensive legacy system integration
- × Team lacks prototyping tool skills
- × Regulatory requires upfront documentation

Three-Way Comparison: Key Factors

Factor	Waterfall	Agile	RAD
Timeline	Months to Years	Months	Weeks
Team Size	Large (20+)	Medium (5-15)	Small (2-6)
User Involvement	20% (begin/end)	15% (ongoing)	40% (intensive)
Documentation	Extensive	Minimal	Prototype-focused
Requirements	Fixed upfront	Evolving	Discovered via prototypes
Change Cost	Very High	Low	Medium
Best For	Stable, compliance	Evolving products	UI-critical, urgent

Three-Way Comparison: Process Flow

Waterfall:

```
Requirements → Design → Code → Test → Deploy (Each 100% complete before next)
```

Agile:

```
Sprint 1 (Plan → Code → Test → Review) →
Sprint 2 (Plan → Code → Test → Review) →
Sprint 3 (Plan → Code → Test → Review)
(Continuous cycles)
```

RAD:

```
Brief Plan → Prototype Workshops (iterate rapidly) → Build Production → Quick Deploy (Prototype-driven)
```

Three-Way Comparison: When Each Fails

Waterfall Fails When:

- Requirements change mid-project
- Technology is experimental
- Competitive environment demands flexibility

Agile Fails When:

- Stakeholders unavailable for feedback
- Fixed-price, fixed-scope contract
- Team lacks collaboration skills

RAD Fails When:

- Users can't commit to workshops
- Project too large/complex

Hybrid Approaches: Best of All Worlds

Water-Scrum-Fall (Hybrid)

Use Waterfall for:

- Infrastructure components
- Compliance/regulatory parts
- Legacy system integration

Use Agile for:

- Customer-facing features
- Mobile apps
- Frequently changing components

Use RAD for:

- Dashboard/reporting tools of the local of
 - Admin interfaces

Modern Frameworks: Scrum

Scrum is a specific implementation of Agile

Key Roles:

- Product Owner: Prioritizes backlog, represents users
- Scrum Master: Facilitates process, removes blockers
- **Development Team:** Cross-functional, self-organizing

Key Ceremonies:

- Sprint Planning (start of sprint)
- Daily Standup (15 min daily)
- Sprint Review (demo to stakeholders)
- Sprint Retrospective (team improvement)

When to use: Need clear structure and defined roles

Modern Frameworks: Kanban

Kanban focuses on continuous flow, not sprints

Key Principles:

- Visualize workflow: Kanban board (To Do, In Progress, Done)
- Limit WIP: Work-in-progress limits prevent overload
- Manage flow: Optimize cycle time
- Continuous improvement: Evolve process gradually

Difference from Scrum:

- No fixed sprints
- No prescribed roles
- More flexible
- Better for support/maintenance

Modern Frameworks: DevOps

DevOps extends Agile to operations

Key Practices:

- Continuous Integration (CI): Automated builds/tests
- Continuous Delivery (CD): Automated deployment
- Infrastructure as Code: Automated infrastructure
- Monitoring: Real-time system health

Benefits:

- Faster releases (daily vs monthly)
- Higher quality (automated testing)
- Better reliability (automated rollback)
- Improved collaboration (dev + ops unified)

Modern Frameworks: Lean

Lean Software Development from Toyota manufacturing

Seven Principles:

- 1. Eliminate waste: Remove non-value-adding activities
- 2. Amplify learning: Quick feedback cycles
- 3. **Decide late:** Keep options open
- 4. **Deliver fast:** Minimize time-to-market
- 5. **Empower team:** Self-organizing teams
- 6. Build quality in: Automated testing
- 7. Optimize whole: System thinking

When to use: Complement Agile to improve efficiency

Advanced Decision Framework

```
COMPREHENSIVE METHODOLOGY SELECTOR
 Step 1: Assess Requirements Stability
     Stable & Clear? --> YES --> Compliance/Docs needed?
                                   YES --> WATERFALL
                                   \dot{N}0 --> Go to Step 2
     Evolving/Unclear? --> YES --> Go to Step 2
 Step 2: Assess Timeline Pressure
     Extremely Tight (weeks)? --> YES --> UI/UX critical?
                                            YES --> RAD
                                            NO --> AGILE
     Normal (months)? --> YES --> Go to Step 3
 Step 3: Assess User Availability
     Can dedicate 20-30% time? --> YES --> RAD
     Can provide regular feedback? --> YES --> AGILE
     Only available begin/end? --> YES --> WATERFALL
lotfinejad.com
```

Real-World Case Study: Bank Modernization

Context:

Large bank needs three systems:

- 1. Core Banking System: Process transactions, comply with regulations
- 2. Mobile Banking App: Customer-facing, competitive pressure
- 3. Internal Admin Dashboard: Branch managers, urgent need

Challenge:

Which methodology for each?

Bank Project 1: Core Banking System

Assessment:

- Requirements: STABLE (banking regulations)
- Compliance: CRITICAL (financial regulations)
- Documentation: EXTENSIVE (audit trail)
- Integration: COMPLEX (20+ legacy systems)
- Team: LARGE (50+ developers)

Recommendation: WATERFALL

Why: Stable requirements, regulatory compliance, extensive documentation, complex integration

Timeline: 24 months (typical for core banking)

Bank Project 2: Mobile Banking App

Assessment:

- Requirements: EVOLVING (customer behavior)
- Compliance: MINIMAL (app store standards)
- Timeline: FLEXIBLE (can release features incrementally)
- Feedback: HIGH (need customer input)
- Competition: HIGH (must respond to market)

Recommendation: AGILE (Scrum)

Why: Evolving requirements, competitive pressure, need user feedback, incremental value delivery

Timeline: 3-6 months MVP, then continuous enhancement

Bank Project 3: Admin Dashboard

Assessment:

- Requirements: UNCLEAR (need to discover with users)
- Timeline: URGENT (4 weeks)
- UI/UX: CRITICAL (branch managers need intuitive tool)
- Users: AVAILABLE (branch managers can workshop)
- Scope: SMALL (dashboard only)

Recommendation: RAD

Why: UI critical, tight timeline, users available for workshops, small scope

Timeline: 4 weeks from concept to production

Bank Case Study: Key Lesson

Three Projects, Three Methodologies

The Insight:

Same organization, different project characteristics = different optimal methodologies

Success Factors:

- 1. Assessed each project independently
- 2. Matched methodology to characteristics
- 3. Coordinated interfaces between systems
- 4. Trained teams appropriately
- 5. Managed stakeholder expectations differently

Result: All three projects succeeded by using the RIGHT methodology for each

Common Pitfall #1: Methodology Religion

The Problem:

"We're an Agile shop, we do EVERYTHING Agile!"

Why It Fails:

Forces Agile on projects better suited for Waterfall

The Fix:

- Be pragmatic, not dogmatic
- Assess each project independently
- Use methodology as tool, not identity
- Mix approaches when appropriate

Common Pitfall #2: Cargo Cult Agile

The Problem:

Team does Agile ceremonies but misses principles

- Stand-ups become status reports
- Retrospectives never lead to changes
- Product owner is absent
- No working software at sprint end

Why It Fails:

Going through motions without understanding purpose

The Fix:

- Understand WHY behind each practice
- Maintain discipline
- lotfinejad.com

 Neasure outcomes, not activities

Common Pitfall #3: RAD Without Users

The Problem:

Attempting RAD but users can't commit time

- Build prototypes on assumptions
- Demo to unavailable stakeholders
- Make decisions without user input

Why It Fails:

RAD's entire value is rapid user validation

The Fix:

- Secure formal user commitments BEFORE starting
- If users unavailable, switch to Agile
- Make user participation a project success criteria

Common Pitfall #4: Waterfall Change Denial

The Problem:

Waterfall project, requirements change, team says "too late"

- Refuse legitimate changes
- Force original requirements
- Deliver wrong product

Why It Fails:

Waterfall doesn't mean "never change"—it means changes are expensive

The Fix:

- Have formal change control process
- Assess impact of each change request
- Sometimes the cost is worth it

Best Practice #1: Match to Context

Key Questions:

- 1. How stable are requirements? (Stable → Waterfall, Evolving → Agile, Unknown → RAD)
- 2. How critical is documentation? (Critical \rightarrow Waterfall, Moderate \rightarrow Agile, Light \rightarrow RAD)
- 3. How available are users? (Begin/End \rightarrow Waterfall, Regular \rightarrow Agile, Intensive \rightarrow RAD)
- 4. What's the timeline? (Years \rightarrow Waterfall, Months \rightarrow Agile, Weeks \rightarrow RAD)
- 5. What's the team size? (Large \rightarrow Waterfall, Medium \rightarrow Agile, Small \rightarrow RAD)

Rule: Let project characteristics drive methodology, not the other way around

Best Practice #2: Execute with Discipline

Waterfall Discipline:

- Complete requirements before design
- Design before coding
- Code before testing
- Formal sign-offs at each gate
- Comprehensive documentation

Agile Discipline:

- Maintain prioritized backlog
- Hold all ceremonies consistently
- Write clear user stories
- Automate testing

Best Practice #3: Invest in Team Skills

Methodology-Specific Skills:

For Waterfall:

- Requirements analysis
- System architecture design
- Technical documentation
- Formal testing procedures

For Agile:

- Test-driven development (TDD)
- Continuous integration/deployment
- Collaborative estimation
- User story writing



Best Practice #4: Set Appropriate Expectations

Stakeholder Expectations by Methodology:

Waterfall:

- "You'll see working software at the end"
- "Changes after design phase are expensive"
- "Timeline and budget are predictable"
- "Comprehensive documentation provided"

Agile:

- "You'll see working software every 2-4 weeks"
- "We'll adapt based on your feedback"
- "Total timeline emerges as we learn"
- "Continuous involvement required"



Modern Trends: Current State

Industry Data:

- 71% of organizations use Agile (Scrum/Kanban)
- 23% still use Waterfall for specific projects
- 6% use RAD or RAD-like approaches
- 60%+ use hybrid approaches

Key Trends:

- DevOps becoming standard
- Continuous delivery widespread
- Low-code/no-code enabling RAD-like speed
- Al-assisted development emerging
- More pragmatic, less dogmatic

Modern Trends: The Future

Next 5 Years:

More Automation:

- Al-assisted coding
- Automated testing expansion
- Self-healing systems
- Predictive analytics for project health

More Flexibility:

- Situational methodology selection
- Al-recommended approaches
- Dynamic process adaptation
- Outcome-focused, not process-focused

Morealntegration:

Quiz Answers: Healthcare Scenario

Question: Medical device software with FDA regulations

Answer: WATERFALL

Why:

- 1. Stable requirements (defined by FDA)
- 2. Extensive documentation (regulatory requirement)
- 3. Fixed compliance standards
- 4. Safety-critical (thorough testing before release)
- 5. Formal approval process

Key Success Factors:

- Comprehensive requirements phase
- Detailed design documentation

otfine a Rigorous testing protocols

Quiz Answers: Agile Problem

Question: Skip sprint review because stakeholders unavailable?

Answer: NO - This violates core Agile principles

Why Wrong:

- Breaks "Customer Collaboration" value
- No feedback = building wrong things
- Defeats purpose of iterative delivery

What to Do:

- 1. Reschedule review ASAP
- 2. If chronic issue, escalate to leadership
- 3. Agile requires committed stakeholder involvement
- 4. Consider if Agile is right methodology

115

Quiz Answers: Testing Comparison

Waterfall Testing:

- When: Separate phase after coding complete
- Who: Dedicated QA team
- Cost: Bugs found late = expensive to fix
- Risk: "Big bang" testing at end

Agile Testing:

- When: Continuous throughout development
- Who: Developers + testers collaborating
- Cost: Bugs found within days = cheap to fix
- Why Automated: Enables continuous testing without manual overhead

Key Difference: Shift-left testing (earlier) reduces cost and risk

Quiz Answers: Startup Decision

Question: Social media app - RAD or Agile?

Answer: AGILE (with possible RAD for initial prototyping)

RAD Factors:

- Small co-located team (5 devs)
- Need speed
- No users yet for workshops
- Need sustained development beyond launch

Agile Factors:

- Requirements will evolve
- Need user feedback from real usage
- Can release MVP quickly

otfineja<u>d</u>.com

Better for ongoing development

Quiz Answers: Fake Agile

Problem: No backlog, rare retrospectives, no user stories

Issues:

- 1. No backlog = no transparency or priorities
- 2. No retrospectives = no continuous improvement
- 3. No user stories = unclear requirements
- 4. Claiming "flexibility" = actually chaos

Missing Practices:

- Product backlog maintenance
- Sprint planning
- User story writing with acceptance criteria
- Regular retrospectives

otfingiassiint reviews

Quiz Answers: Enterprise Project

Question: Bank with 3 components - one methodology or different?

Answer: DIFFERENT METHODOLOGIES

Core Banking System:

WATERFALL (stable, regulated, complex integration)

Mobile App:

AGILE (evolving, competitive, customer feedback)

Admin Tools:

RAD or AGILE (depends on timeline and user availability)

Coordination:

- Define clear interfaces between components
- API contracts for integration tfinejad.com
 - Regular cross-team sync meetings

Final Comparison: All Three Methodologies

```
DECISION MATRIX
 ==========
 Requirements Stability:
  Fixed & Clear ----> WATERFALL
  Evolving -----> AGILE
  Unknown (discover) --> RAD
 Timeline:
  Years ----> WATERFALL
  Months ----> AGILE
  Weeks ----> RAD
 User Availability:
  Begin/End only ----> WATERFALL
  Regular (15%) ----> AGILE
  Intensive (30%) ----> RAD
 Documentation:
  Extensive ----> WATERFALL
  Just Enough ----> AGILE
  Prototypes ----> RAD
 Team Size:
  Large (20+) ----> WATERFALL
  Medium (5-15) ----> AGILE
  Small (2-6) ----> RAD
 Risk Tolerance:
  Low (safety) ----> WATERFALL
  Medium ----> AGILE
  High (speed) ----> RAD
lotfinejad.com
```

Universal Success Factors

Regardless of methodology chosen:

- ✓ Clear Communication: Team and stakeholders aligned
- ✓ Skilled Team: Competent in chosen methodology
- ✓ Committed Stakeholders: Engaged at appropriate level
- ✓ Quality Focus: Testing and code quality prioritized
- ✓ Realistic Planning: Honest estimates and commitments
- ✓ Risk Management: Identify and mitigate risks early
- ✓ Continuous Learning: Retrospectives and improvement
- ✓ Leadership Support: Executive sponsorship

Bottom Line: Well-executed methodology > poorly-executed "best" methodology

© Key Takeaways - Part 2

Remember Forever:

- 1. RAD = UI-critical, urgent, intensive user involvement
- 2. Context drives choice no universal best methodology
- 3. Hybrid approaches are valid for complex projects
- 4. Execution matters more than methodology name
- 5. **Team skills** must match methodology requirements
- 6. Stakeholder expectations differ by methodology
- 7. Modern trends favor pragmatism over dogmatism

Action Plan: This Week

Individual Actions:

- 1. Assess your current project against decision framework
- 2. Identify one methodology misalignment
- 3. Propose one specific improvement
- 4. Share learning with your team

Team Actions:

- 1. Hold methodology retrospective
- 2. Discuss what's working / not working
- 3. Agree on one process improvement
- 4. Revisit methodology choice if needed

Organizational Actions:

lottine audit methodology usage across projects

Resources for Continued Learning

Essential Reading:

- "Agile Manifesto" (agilemanifesto.org)
- "Scrum Guide" (scrumguides.org)
- "PMBOK Guide" PMI (Waterfall)
- "Rapid Development" Steve McConnell (RAD)
- "The Mythical Man-Month" Fred Brooks

Online Communities:

- Agile Alliance (agilealliance.org)
- Scrum Alliance (scrumalliance.org)
- Project Management Institute (pmi.org)
- Atlassian Agile Coach



Thank You! 🚀

Questions?

Key Message:

Methodologies are tools in your toolkit, not religions to follow blindly.

Choose based on project characteristics

Execute with discipline and skill

Adapt based on what you learn

Focus on delivering value, not following process

Your success depends on matching the RIGHT methodology to YOUR situation.

Course Complete - Full Series

You Are Now Equipped To:

- ✓ Explain Waterfall, Agile, and RAD comprehensively
- ✓ Analyze strengths, weaknesses, and trade-offs
- ✓ Evaluate projects and recommend methodologies
- ✓ Apply sophisticated decision frameworks
- ✓ Recognize and avoid common pitfalls
- ✓ Understand modern frameworks (Scrum, Kanban, DevOps, Lean)
- ✓ Lead methodology discussions with confidence
- ✓ Implement hybrid approaches when appropriate

Now go forth and choose wisely!

Keep learning, keep building, keep adapting!