

1. Suppose that, in addition to edge capacities, a flow network has vertex capacities. That is, each vertex v has a limit $l(v)$ on how much flow can pass through v . Show how to transform a flow network $G = (V, E)$ with vertex capacities into an equivalent flow network $G' = (V', E')$ without vertex capacities, such that a maximum flow in G' has the same value as a maximum flow in G . How many vertices and edges does G' have?

The given flow network $G = (V, E)$ with vertex capacities can be transformed to a flow network without vertex capacities by the following changes:

- Divide the given vertex v into two separate vertices. Let these vertices be v_i and v_o .
 - If there is an actual edge (u, v) in the given original graph G , then we need to make an edge (u, v_i) in the new graph G' .
 - If there is an actual edge (v, w) in the given original graph G , then we need to make an edge (v_o, w) in the new graph G' .
 - Now we just make an edge between the two vertices (v_i, v_o) and assign this edge capacity to be the vertex capacity of original vertex v .
 - After making these changes, new vertices v_i, v_o do not have any vertex capacity constraints and the original constraint is at the edges of two new vertices.
 - But the network flow is not changed because the overall max flow is not changed as the incoming and outgoing edge capacity is unchanged.
 - The constraint vertex capacity is added by the new edge compared with the original graph, but it is still the same constraint condition as before, so it's the same.
 - So, we can examine the vertex constraints by dividing each vertex into two halves, where the edge between both vertices represents the vertex's capacity.
 - For the edge we need to add $|E|$ to the actual graph G . so that in the new graph G' the edges are $|V| + |E|$.
 - With $|V| + |E|$ edges and $2|V|$ vertices a new flow network will form.
 - Finally, we can see that this network represents the idea that the vertices have capacities $l(V)$. This is because every flow that passes through the edge V in the original graph must also pass through the edge $0 \times V, 1 \times V$ in the new graph to connect the edges entering V to the edges exiting V .
2. Prove that all problems that are NP-complete are polynomially equivalent, in the sense that if A and B are NP-complete, then $A \leq_p B$ and $B \leq_p A$.
A decision problem A is NP complete when A is in NP and Every NP problem can be reduced to A in polynomial time.
Similarly, a decision problem B is NP-complete when B is in NP and every NP problem can be reduced to B in polynomial time.
So here it is given that all problems are NP-complete that is A and B are NP-complete.

And if A and B are NP-complete then we need to show that there exist polynomial-time reduction algorithms that can transform instances of problem A into instances of problem B and vice versa.

Given A and B are NP-complete we can reduce any problem in Np to either A or B in polynomial time, by creating an instance of A or B that is identical to the original problem.

Consider a polynomial-time reduction algorithm that converts instances of decision problem A into instances of decision problem B.

This indicates that if given an instance of decision problem A, by using the reduction algorithm we can create an instance of problem B which is identical to original instance of decision problem A. because the reduction algorithm takes polynomial time, A is polynomially reducible to B. so we can say $A \leq_p B$.

Similarly, consider a polynomial-time reduction algorithm that converts instances of decision problem B into instances of decision problem A.

This indicates that if given an instance of decision problem B, by using the reduction algorithm we can create an instance of problem B which is identical to original instance of decision problem B. because the reduction algorithm takes polynomial time, B is polynomially reducible to B. so we can say $B \leq_p A$.

3. Summary: Prove that the problem of satisfiability for expressions in disjunctive normal form is in P.
 - Disjunctive normal form (DNF) is standard way to write Boolean functions. It is composed of sum of products which are described as an OR of ANDs.
 - If any of DNF clause is evaluated to 1(true), then DNF is satisfiable.
 - For example, if there is some variable p such that any one clause has $(p \wedge \sim p)$, whatever the p value is assigned the clause will evaluate to 0(false).
 - If not, the clause will be evaluated to 1(true) depending on the value of variable when given 0 and 1.
 - so, each clause is simply checked deciding if a variable p exists such that p and $\sim p$ are in same clause it will return false, or else it will return true.
 - Let there be x number of clauses and every clause is having n literals then each clause is evaluated $O(n^2)$ time and entire algorithm runs in $O(xn^2)$
 - Therefore, the problem of satisfiability for an expression in DNF is in P.
4. Prove that the following are equivalent: (a) V1 is a vertex cover of G. (b) $V - V1$ is an independent set in G. and, continuing, prove that the following are equivalent: (a) V2 is an independent set in G. (b) V2 is a clique in G^c .
 - The main goal of the questions is to prove that all problems are equivalent.
 - First, we prove that if V1 is vertex cover of graph then $V - V1$ is an independent set in the graph and V2 is a clique in the graph.

- If V_1 is a vertex cover of G , it contains at least one end of each edge in the graph. This implies that removing V_1 from the graph leaves no edges in the remaining vertices $V - V_1$. As a result, $V - V_1$ is an independent set in G .
- Because V_1 is a vertex cover of G , it contains one end of every edge in the graph. This means we can add as many edges as we want between the vertices in V_1 without causing any conflicts.
- As a result, we can add edges between every pair of vertices in V_1 , transforming V_1 into a clique in G .
- For the second part, we must show that if $V - V_1$ is an independent set in G and V_2 is a clique in G , then V_1 is a vertex cover of G .
- Let $V - V_1$ be an independent set in G and V_2 be a clique in G .
- This means that there are no edges connecting the vertices in $V - V_1$ and that every vertex in V_2 is connected by an edge to every other vertex in V_2 .
- Because no edges connect the vertices in $V - V_1$, all edges in the graph must be incident on vertices in V_1 . That is, V_1 is a vertex cover of G .
- Furthermore, because each vertex in V_2 is linked to every other vertex in V_2 by an edge, V_2 must contain at least one end of every edge in the graph. This means that V_2 is a vertex cover of G as well.

README:

Done between 1'o clock to 7'0 clock.

Read the slides and took references from there,

Asked some of my classmates to explain and discussed what to write with them.

I took help from these students named Harsha and Nikhila.