

CS624 - Analysis of Algorithms

DFS and DAGs

November 5, 2019

Depth-First Search (DFS)

- Input: $G = (V, E)$, directed or undirected. No source vertex given!
- Output: 2 timestamps on each vertex. Integers between 1 and $2|V|$.
- $d[v]$ = discovery time (v turns from white to gray)
- $f[v]$ = finishing time (v turns from gray to black)
- $\pi[v]$ = predecessor of v . A vertex u such that v was discovered during the scan of u 's adjacency list.
- Uses the same coloring scheme for vertices as BFS.

The DFS Algorithm





Algorithm 1 $DFS(G)$

```
1: for each  $u \in V[G]$  do
2:    $color[u] \leftarrow white$ 
3:    $\pi[u] \leftarrow NIL$ 
4: end for
5:  $time \leftarrow 0$ 
6: for each  $u \in V[G]$  do
7:   if  $color[u] == white$  then
8:      $DFS - Visit(u)$ 
9:   end if
10: end for
```





Algorithm 2 $DFS - Visit(u)$

```
1:  $color[u] \leftarrow GRAY$ 
2:  $time \leftarrow time + 1$ 
3:  $d[u] \leftarrow time$ 
4: for each  $v \in Adj[u]$  do
5:   if  $color[v] == WHITE$  then
6:      $\pi[v] \leftarrow u$ 
7:      $DFS - Visit(v)$ 
8:   end if
9: end for
10:  $color[u] \leftarrow BLACK$ 
11:  $f[u].time \leftarrow time + 1$ 
```





The DFS Algorithm – Example





The DFS Algorithm – Example



The DFS Algorithm – Example



The DFS Algorithm – Example



The DFS Algorithm – Runtime and Properties

- The loops on lines 1-2 & 5-7 take $\Theta(V)$ time, excluding time to execute DFS-Visit.
- DFS-Visit is called once for each white vertex $v \in V$ when its painted gray the first time.
- Lines 3-6 of DFS-Visit is executed $|\text{Adj}[v]|$ times. The total cost of executing DFS-Visit is $\sum_{v \in V} |\text{Adj}[v]| = \Theta(E)$.
- Total running time of DFS is $\Theta(V + E)$.

The Parenthesis Theorem

Theorem

For all u, v , exactly one of the following holds:

- ① $d[u] < f[u] < d[v] < f[v]$ or $d[v] < f[v] < d[u] < f[u]$ and neither u nor v is a descendant of the other.
 - ② $d[u] < d[v] < f[v] < f[u]$ and v is a descendant of u .
 - ③ $d[v] < d[u] < f[u] < f[v]$ and u is a descendant of v .
-
- So $d[u] < d[v] < f[u] < f[v]$ cannot happen, just like parentheses.
 - OK: $() [] ([]) [()]$
 - Not OK: $([)] [()]$

Corollary

v is a proper descendant of u iff $d[u] < d[v] < f[v] < f[u]$.

The Parenthesis Theorem


Proof.

- If $start[x] < start[y] < finish[x]$ then x is on the stack when y is first reached.
- Therefore the processing of y starts while x is on the stack, and so it also must finish while x is on the stack:
- we have $start[x] < start[y] < finish[y] < finish[x]$.
- The case when $start[y] < start[x] < finish[y]$ is handled in the same way.



- Another way to state the parenthesis nesting property is that given any two nodes x and y, the intervals $[start[x], finish[x]]$ and $[start[y], finish[y]]$ must be either nested or disjoint.

The Parenthesis Theorem – Example



$(s (z (y (x x) y) (w w) z) s) (t (v v) (u u) t)$

- Predecessor subgraph defined slightly different from that of BFS.
- The predecessor subgraph of DFS is $G_\pi = (V, E_\pi)$ where $E_\pi = \{(\pi[v], v) : v \in V \text{ and } \pi[v] \neq NIL\}$.
- How does it differ from that of BFS?
- The predecessor subgraph G forms a depth-first forest composed of several depth-first trees.
- The edges in E are called tree edges.

Definition (Forest)

An acyclic graph G that may be disconnected.

White Path Theorem

Theorem

v is a tree descendant of u if and only if at time $d[u]$, there is a path $u \rightsquigarrow v$ consisting of only white vertices (Except for u , which was just colored gray.)

Proof.

One direction: (if v is a tree descendant of u then there is a white path $u \rightsquigarrow v$ at time $d[u]$) is obvious from the definition of a tree descendant (see the parenthesis theorem). □

Cont. – Reverse Direction.

- Is it possible that v is not a descendant of u in the DFS forest?
- By induction on all the vertices along the path: Of course u is a descendant of itself.
- Let us pick any vertex p on the path other than the first vertex u , and let q be the previous vertex on the path [so it can be that q is u].
- We assume that all vertices along the path from u to q inclusive are descendants of u (inductive hypothesis).
- We will argue that p is also a descendant of u .



White Path Theorem

Cont. – Reverse Direction.

- At time $d[u]$ vertex p is white [by assumption about the white path], So $d[u] < d[p]$.
- But there is an edge from q to p , so q must explore this edge before finishing.
- At the time when the edge is explored, p can be:
 - **WHITE**, then p becomes a descendant of q , and so of u .
 - **BLACK**, then $f[p] < f[q]$ [because $f[p]$ must have already been assigned by that time, while $f[q]$ will get assigned later].
- But since q is a descendant of u [not necessarily proper], $f[q] \leq f[u]$, we have $d[u] < d[p] < f[p] < f[q] \leq f[u]$, and we can use the Parenthesis theorem to conclude that p is a descendant of u .

Cont. – Reverse Direction.


- **GRAY**, then p is already discovered, while q is not yet finished, so $d[p] < f[q]$.
- Since q is a descendant of u [not necessarily proper], by the Parenthesis theorem, $f[q] \leq f[u]$.
- Hence $d[u] < d[p] < f[q] \leq f[u]$. So $d[p]$ belongs to the set $\{d[u], \dots, f[u]\}$, and so we can use the the Parenthesis theorem again to conclude that p must be a descendant of u .
- The conclusion thus far is that p is a descendant of u . Now, as long as there is a vertex on the remainder of the path from p to v , we can repeatedly apply the inductive argument, and finally conclude that the vertex v is a descendant of u , too.



Classification of Edges


- **Tree edge:** in the depth-first forest. Found by exploring (u, v) .
- **Back edge:** (u, v) , where u is a descendant of v (in the depth-first tree).
- **Forward edge:** (u, v) , where v is a descendant of u , but not a tree edge.
- **Cross edge:** any other edge. Can go between vertices in same depth-first tree or in different depth-first trees.
- Edge type for edge (u, v) can be identified when it is first explored by DFS based on the color of v .
- White – tree edge. Gray – back edge. Black – forward or cross edge.

Classification of Edges



The edge $x \rightarrow z$ will be discovered when exploring x , hence it's a back edge.

Classification of Edges



Theorem


*In DFS of an undirected graph, we get only tree and back edges.
No forward or cross edges.*

Starting from 1, either 2 discovers 3 or vice versa, therefore one of them is the other's descendant, Hence no cross edges.

Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG)

- DAG – Directed graph with no cycles.
- Good for modeling processes and structures that have a partial order:
- $a > b$ and $b > c \Rightarrow a > c$.
- But may have a and b such that neither $a > b$ nor $b > a$.
- Can always make a total order (either $a > b$ or $b > a$ for all $a \neq b$) from a partial order.

Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) – Example



Characterizing a DAG


Lemma

A directed graph G is acyclic iff a DFS of G yields no back edges.

Proof.

⇒ Show that back edge → cycle:

Suppose there is a back edge (u, v) . Then v is ancestor of u in depth-first forest. Therefore, there is a path $v \rightsquigarrow u$, so $v \rightsquigarrow u \rightsquigarrow v$ is a cycle. □




Characterizing a DAG

Proof.


⇒: Show that a cycle implies a back edge.

- c : cycle in G, u : first vertex discovered in c, (v, u) : preceding edge in c.
- At time $d[v]$, vertices of c form a white path $u \rightsquigarrow v$. Why?
- By white-path theorem, v is a descendent of u in depth-first forest.
- Therefore, (v, u) is a back edge.



Topological Sorting

- We want to “sort” a DAG.
- Think of original DAG as a partial order.
- We want a total order that extends this partial order.



Topological Sorting





- Performed on a DAG.
- Linear ordering of the vertices of G such that if $(u, v) \in E$, then u appears somewhere before v .

TopologicalSort(G)

- ➊ call DFS(G) to compute finishing times $f[v]$ for all $v \in V$
- ➋ as each vertex is finished, insert it onto the front of a linked list
- ➌ return the linked list of vertices

Runtime – $\Theta(V + E)$




Topological Sorting – Example



Linked list:

Linked list:


Topological Sorting – Example






Linked list:




Linked list:




Topological Sorting – Example







Linked list:




Linked list:




Topological Sorting – Example







Linked list:




Linked list:




Topological Sorting – Example



Linked list:



Linked list:




Topological Sorting – Proof of Correctness

- Just need to show if $(u, v) \in E$, then $f[v] < f[u]$.
- When we explore (u,v) then u is gray. What is the color of v ?
- Is v **gray**?
 - No, because then v would be ancestor of u . $\Rightarrow (u, v)$ is a back edge, which contradicts the fact that A DAG has no back edges.
- Is v **white**?
 - Then becomes descendant of u .
 - By parenthesis theorem, $d[u] < d[v] < f[v] < f[u]$.
- Is v **black**?
 - Then v is already finished.
 - Since were exploring (u,v) , we have not yet finished u .
 - Therefore, $f[v] < f[u]$.

Strongly Connected Components

- G is strongly connected if every pair (u, v) of vertices in G is reachable from one another.
- A strongly connected component (SCC) of G is a maximal set of vertices $C \subseteq V$ such that for all $u, v \in C$, there is a path from u to v and from v to u .



Theorem

Let C and C' be distinct SCCs in G , let $u, v \in C, u', v' \in C'$, and suppose there is a path $u \rightsquigarrow u'$ in G . Then there cannot also be a path $v' \rightsquigarrow v$ in G .

Proof.

- Suppose there is a path from v' to v in G .
- Then there are paths from u to u' to v' and from v' to v to u in G .
- Therefore, u and v' are reachable from each other, so they are not in separate SCCs.



Transpose of a Directed Graph

- G^T = transpose of directed G.
- $G^T = (V, E^T)$, $E^T = (u, v) : (v, u) \in E$.
- G^T is G with all edges reversed.
- Can create G^T in $\Theta(V + E)$ time if using adjacency lists.
- G and G^T have the same SCCs. (u and v are reachable from each other in G if and only if reachable from each other in G^T).


Algorithm to Determine SCC

- ① Call $DFS(G)$ to compute finishing times $f[u]$ for all u
- ② Compute G^T
- ③ Call $DFS(G^T)$, but in the main loop, consider vertices in order of decreasing $f[u]$ (as computed in first DFS)
- ④ Output the vertices in each tree of the depth-first forest formed in second DFS as a separate SCC

Runtime – $\Theta(V + E)$


Example

G




Example

G^T



Example



How Does it Work?


- Idea:
 - By considering vertices in second DFS in decreasing order of finishing times from first DFS, we are visiting vertices of the component graph in topologically sorted order.
 - Because we are running DFS on GT, we will not be visiting any v from a u , where v and u are in different components.
- Notation:
 - $d[u]$ and $f[u]$ always refer to first DFS.
 - Extend notation for d and f to sets of vertices $U \subseteq V$:
 - $d(U) = \min_{u \in U} \{d[u]\}$ (earliest discovery time)
 - $f(U) = \max_{u \in U} \{f[u]\}$ (latest finishing time)

Lemma

Let C and C' be distinct SCCs in $G = (V, E)$. Suppose there is an edge $(u, v) \in E$ such that $u \in C$ and $v \in C'$. Then $f(C) > f(C')$.

Case 1: $d(C) < d(C')$.

- Let x be the first vertex discovered in C .
- At time $d[x]$, all vertices in C and C' are unvisited. Thus, there exist paths of unvisited vertices from x to all vertices in C and C' .
- All vertices in C and C' are descendants of x in depth-first tree.
- Therefore, $f[x] = f(C) > f(C')$.




SCCs and DFS finishing times

Lemma

Let C and C' be distinct SCCs in $G = (V, E)$. Suppose there is an edge $(u, v) \in E$ such that $u \in C$ and $v \in C'$. Then $f(C) > f(C')$.

Case 2: $d(C) > d(C')$.

- Let y be the first vertex discovered in C' .
- At time $d[y]$, all vertices in C' are unvisited and there is an unvisited path from y to each vertex in C'' all vertices in C' become descendants of y . Again, $f[y] = f(C')$.
- At time $d[y]$, all vertices in C are also unvisited.




Lemma

Let C and C' be distinct SCCs in $G = (V, E)$. Suppose there is an edge $(u, v) \in E$ such that $u \in C$ and $v \in C'$. Then $f(C) > f(C')$.

Case 2: $d(C) > d(C')$.

- By earlier lemma, since there is an edge (u, v) , we cannot have a path from C' to C .
- So no vertex in C is reachable from y .
- Therefore, at time $f[y]$, all vertices in C are still white.
- Therefore, for all $w \in C$, $f[w] > f[y]$, which implies that $f(C) > f(C')$.



Corollary

Let C and C' be distinct SCCs in $G = (V, E)$. Suppose there is an edge $(u, v) \in E^T$, where $u \in C$ and $v \in C'$. Then $f(C) < f(C')$.

Proof.

$(u, v) \in E^T \Rightarrow (v, u) \in E$. Since SCCs of G and G^T are the same, $f(C') > f(C)$, by former Lemma. □

Correctness of SCC

- When we do the second DFS, on G^T , start with SCC C such that $f(C)$ is maximum.
- The second DFS starts from some $x \in C$, and it visits all vertices in C .
- Corollary above says that since $f(C) > f(C')$ for all $C \neq C'$, there are no edges from C to C' in G^T .
- Therefore, DFS will visit only vertices in C .
- Which means that the depth-first tree rooted at x contains exactly the vertices of C .

Correctness of SCC

- The next root chosen in the second DFS is in SCC C' such that $f(C')$ is maximum over all SCCs other than C .
- DFS visits all vertices in C' , but the only edges out of C' go to C , which we've already visited.
- Therefore, the only tree edges will be to vertices in C' .
- We can continue the process.
- Each time we choose a root for the second DFS, it can reach only vertices in its SCC—get tree edges to these,
- Vertices in SCCs already visited in second DFS—get no tree edges to these.