

Counting permutations under constraints

Géraud Le Falher

September 15, 2015

Setting & Notations

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and \mathcal{F} be a **set**¹ of *forbidden edges*, where an edge is defined as an (directed) pair of distinct natural numbers smaller than n , e.g. $(1, 3)$. If a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ of n includes at least one forbidden edge from \mathcal{F} , we say σ is forbidden by \mathcal{F} . For instance, assuming $n = 8$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{(1, 3), (4, 6)\}$, $\sigma = (8 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2)$ is forbidden because it includes $(4, 6)$ and $(1, 3)$.

Our goal is to compute the number of permutations not forbidden by \mathcal{F} :

$$f(\mathcal{F}) = n! - |\{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n; \sigma \text{ is forbidden by } \mathcal{F}\}|$$

As a special case, let us denote $|S_0| = n!$, $|S_1| = f(\mathcal{F})$ where $|\mathcal{F}| = n - 1$ and more generally $|S_i| = f(\mathcal{F})$ where $|\mathcal{F}| = i(n - 1)$. The question is then how many times can we be told that $n - 1$ edges do not exist before $|S_i| \leq 1$.

Fun with Combinatorics!

First, assume $\mathcal{F} = \{(u, v)\}$. Let's build a forbidden permutation. Because v should always follow u , we can pretend they form a single node and collapse them. Left with $n - 1$ nodes, we can freely order them in one of the $(n - 1)!$ possible way and be guaranteed this will include $u \rightarrow v$.

Now if $\mathcal{F} = \{(u, v), (w, x)\}$, how many forbidden permutations are there? Well $(n - 1)!$ permutations include (u, v) , $(n - 1)!$ include (w, x) but we are double counting those with both (u, v) and (w, x) . By the same collapsing argument applied to the two pairs of nodes, there are $(n - 2)!$ permutations include the two edges. Note furthermore that this still holds if the edges are not node disjoint (e.g. $\mathcal{F} = \{(u, v), (v, w)\}$).

Armed with the fact that there are $(n - i)$ permutations which include i forbidden

¹thus not containing any repetition

edges², we can use the inclusion-exclusion principle to compute $|S_1|$

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_1| &= n! - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{n-1}{i} (n-i)! \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \frac{(n-1)!}{i!(n-1-i)!} (n-i)! \\
&= (n-1)! \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \frac{(n-i)}{i!} \sim \frac{n!}{e}
\end{aligned}$$

In S_1 , each node has only one forbidden successor. In S_2 it has two. However, some combinations counted by the binomial coefficients in the formula cannot appear. For instance, while we are still double counting $[(0, 1), (1, 2)]$, it makes not sense to subtract $[(0, 1), (0, 2)]$ as it is not feasible in any permutation anyway. Therefore we need to replace the binomial coefficients $\binom{|\mathcal{F}|}{i}$ by D_i , which is the number of sequences of i edges from \mathcal{F} such that each node appear at most once at the head of an edge.

For instance, if $n = 4$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 2), (2, 3)\}$

$D_1 = 4$	$D_2 = 4$	$D_3 = 1$
$[(0, 1)]$	$[(0, 1), (1, 2)], [(0, 1), (2, 3)]$	$[(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3)]$
$[(0, 2)]$	$[(0, 2), (1, 2)]$	
$[(1, 2)]$	$[(1, 2), (2, 3)]$	
$[(2, 3)]$		



Beginning of the handwavy part ;)

More generally for S_2 , denoting $|\mathcal{F}| = 2(n-1) = m$, $D_0 = 1$ (by convention) $D_1 = m$ (as any single edge is admissible), $D_2 = \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{2}$, $D_3 = \frac{(m-2)(m-3)(m-4)}{6}$ and so on (although I'm not sure exactly why). Empirically, $D_i = \binom{m+1-i}{i}$, even though it gets a bit off as i gets closer to $n-1$. Especially, $D_{n-1} = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Still

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_2| &\approx \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \binom{2n-1-i}{i} (n-i)! \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \underbrace{\frac{(2n-1-i)!(n-i)!}{(2(n-1)-i)!}}_{a_i}
\end{aligned}$$

²when each node can only appear once at the head of an edge

Removing the -1 of a_i for clarity, we see that $a_0 = n!$ and $a_n = n!^3$, while the maximum is reached at $i = \lceil \frac{2n}{3} \rceil^4$: let $n = 3p$,

$$a_i = \frac{(2 \cdot 3p - 2p)!(3p - 2p)!}{2(3p - 2p)!} = 4p \cdots \underbrace{3p}_n \cdot 1 \cdots 1 \cdot p \cdots 1$$

³even though it doesn't appear in the sum

⁴Again no matter how convinced I am it's true, that's a leap of faith