Cog Sci 1

Teaching Course Effectiveness Quality

median mean forms

21100011011000	2
5.00	5
4.58	4.8333
45	. 45

							Teaching	
							Effectiveness	Course
Term	Program	Course #	Section # Instructor			Enrollment		Quality Score
Fall 12	Cog Sci	1	D. Clark	S	LD	[50]	4	3
							5	4
							6	6
							6 5	4 4
							5	5
							6	5 5
							5	5
							4	4
							4	5
							5	5
			+.				5	5.5
							5	J.J 4
			•				4	4
							5	6
							4	4
							2	
							4	
							5	
							5	6
							5	6
							5	5
							6	6
							6	6
							4	6
							5	5
							7	6
	•						4	. 3
							3	6
							5	5
							4	
							5	5
							5	5
							4	4
							3	4
	,						3	4
							7	6
							4	. 6
							2	: 3
							6	6
							4	- 4
							4	
							. 4	4
							2	

CogSci 1	David Clark		Fall 201		
Course Name/#	Instruct		Semester	/Year	
Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given a will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduate available to the instructor only Registrar. These forms will a Thank you for your comments	a reasonable amou of the class. The e and Interdisciplina after he/she has s lso be reviewed by	completed evaluations to complete devaluation completed evaluations. Studies, 231 features from the complete devaluation and complete devaluations.	ations should their Evans Hall. They I grades to the O	n be delivered will be made ffice of the	
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitatio evaluate the overall teaching	ns and possibilities effectiveness of th	of the course an e instructor?	d subject, how w	ould you	
1 not at all effective	3 modera	(4) 5 ately effective	extreme	6 7 ely effective	
Considering both the limitatio would you rate the overall qu	ns and possibilities ality of this cours	s of the subject m e?	atter and the cou	rse format, how	
1 2 (very low)	(mode	4 crate)	5	6 7 (very high)	
Narrative Evaluation	vi		· •	£ .	
Maybe H's Deca	use we	by my	CO PARA	h time	m all
Maybe H's Decou	ion reen	ed to	dieg on	really le	
Lost think a	e was	He a	hous		
1 A WOS	at Jant	Rulf As	Hedo	55 W. S.	
I guess of was	two for	k deep	e manage	Sangara Jacob	
To enall arous	Sycastion	us, mi	L Dews	2000	
a discourse No	so al X	ren sie	we have the	for out of	A Voltt√
the small group of doscerns of	Ray Ma	the and	Wasyit	- prevac	d
	Sophomore		⊠ Ser	nior	
Please do not sign this form				3/10	

CogSci 1	David Clar	k	Fall 2012
Course Name/#	Instru		Semester/Year
will be collected by a ment to the office of Undergrad	en a reasonable amo ber of the class. Th uate and Interdiscipli only after he/she has ill also be reviewed l	e completed evaluation of the completed evaluation of the completed evaluation of the complete evaluat	ightfully, candidly, and lo this, after which the form ons should then be delivered ans Hall. They will be made grades to the Office of the ig the value of the course.
Numerical Evaluatio Considering both the limit evaluate the overall teach 1 2 not at all effective	ations and possibiliti ing effectiveness of	es of the course and the instructor? 4 erately effective	subject, how would you 6 7 extremely effective
Considering both the limit would you rate the overal	ations and possibiliti I quality of this cou	es of the subject mat	ter and the course format, how
1 (very low)	•	derate) 5	(very high)
Narrative Evaluation feel the 1	i Instructor let one manner	ld handeled and tri	the material
add steppeense More direct be helpful.	ntal Mater review of The ace	ral as mu the most	the material ed to con as passible erial world
pe region	anda MALCH	n but he	cause it is
an Intro (course, l f	cel it ac	comproved
what it i	was suppos	ed to	camplished
* Freshman	□ Sophomore	□ Junior	☐ Senior
Disease do not sign this fo	********		3/10

C C 4	David Clarl	c	Fall 2	
<u>CogSci 1</u> Course Name/#	Instru		Semest	er/Year
Please use this form to e concisely. You will be give will be collected by a ment to the office of Undergrad available to the instructor Registrar. These forms with ank you for your commend.	ren a reasonable and mber of the class. The luate and Interdisciplin only after he/she has will also be reviewed b	completed evaluary Studies, 231	uations should the Evans Hall. The hall grades to the	nen be delivered ey will be made Office of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limited evaluate the overall teach	tations and possibilitie	es of the course a he instructor?	and subject, how	would you
1 2 not at all effective	3 mode	4 trately effective	5 extre	6 7 mely effective
			matter and the c	ourse format how
Considering both the lim	itations and possibilitional items it items items it item	es of the subject rse?	matter and the C	oulse torniar, now
1 2 (very low)	3. (mod	4 lerate)	5	(very high)
Narrative Evaluatio	n	•	6	2
I feel,	like and	_olu38atis	heton	with Laton
was not a	nresult of ?	Dav, but	rather	who section the lack
of includ	ron/direct	100 give	en by to	rethstructure. Levely, was lots
and the	looad natu	re of th	e Cours	was lots
entertain	1 p + pa	5810MQL	5 LU.	pay when
of fun as	not very lecitic gr	relphil, restMs	opeaa Me	Was lots ley when
X ☐ Freshman	Sophomore	☐ Junior		enior
Please do not sign this:	form			3/10

Undergraduate & Interdisciplinary Studies University of California, Berkeley

C-C-i1	David	Clark		Fall 2012	
CogSci 1 Course Name/#		Instructor		Semester/Year	
Please use this form to concisely. You will be	given a reasonable nember of the class raduate and Interector only after he/s as will also be revi	ss. The completed disciplinary Studies	evaluations sl , 231 Evans H	nould then be delivered all. They will be made to the Office of the	
Numerical Evalua Considering both the levaluate the overall te	imitations and DOS	ssibilities of the cou ess of the instructor		ct, how would you	
1 2 not at all effective	3	4 moderately effectiv	5 ve	extremely effective	
Considering both the would you rate the ov	limitations and po erall quality of th	ssibilities of the subins course?	oject matter an	d the course format, ho	w
1 2 (very low)	3	(moderate)		(very high)	
Narrative Evaluation This old	tion K Mdc C+vd	le me	realize	ze I do Science	o Not
Wall be	purs	King d	noth	er Maja	D/ 1/
				• .	
🗶 🗇 Freshman	Sophom	ore 🗇 Juni	or	☐ Senior	

Please do not sign this form

3/10

CogSci 1	David Clar	k		all 2012	
Course Name/#	Instru	ctor	S	emester/Year	
Please use this form to concisely. You will be gwill be collected by a meto the office of Undergravailable to the instructor Registrar. These forms	iven a reasonable and ember of the class. The aduate and Interdiscipli or only after he/she has will also be reviewed t	e completed evinary Studies, 2	raluations sho 231 Evans Ha	ould then be de II. They will be to the Office of	livered made the
Numerical Evaluat Considering both the lir evaluate the overall tea	nitations and possibiliti	es of the course the instructor?	e and subject	, how would yo	น
1 2 not at all effective	•	4 erately effective	(5)	6 extremely effec	7 ive
Considering both the line would you rate the ove	nitations and possibiliti rall quality of this cou	es of the subje	ct matter and	the course for	mat, how
1 2 (very low)	3	derate)	5	6 (very h	7 igh)
Narrative Evaluati	on	•		·	
Sections don't and Land Pabably just !	· .		ial is ve	ry boud	Hong
Dov reminels me	ot a cuddly	bear.			
	<i>)</i>	•			
	·				
✗ □ Freshman	☐ Sophomore	I Junio	r	☐ Senior	
Please do not sign thi	s form				3/10

CogSci 1	David Clark		Fall 2012 Semester/Year
Course Name/#	Instructo		
Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given a will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduate available to the instructor only Registrar. These forms will a Thank you for your comments	of the class. The cand interdisciplinal after he/she has so	ompleted evaluations try Studies, 231 Evans	should then be delivered Hall. They will be made es to the Office of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitatio evaluate the overall teaching	ns and possibilities effectiveness of the	of the course and subj instructor?	ect, how would you
1 not at all effective		4 tely effective 5	6 7 extremely effective
Considering both the limitation	ons and possibilities lality of this course	of the subject matter a •?	and the course format, how
1 (very low)	3 (moder	4 (5)	6 7 (very high)
C 100	ones. We cific topics. ybe a good	got a char It would I summary	nce to actually
x M. Freshman	Sophomore	Junior	☐ Senior
Please do not sign this form		,	3/10

CogSci 1	Day	id Clark		Fall 2012	
Course Name/#		Instructor		Semester/Year	
Please use this form to concisely. You will be will be collected by a note to the office of Undergravailable to the instruct Registrar. These form Thank you for your con Numerical Evalua Considering both the light	given a reason; nember of the c raduate and Inte tor only after he is will also be re mments! tion imitations and p	able amount of callass. The completed are completed as submitted as submitted as submitted as the constitution of the constitu	ass time to do to sted evaluations dies, 231 Evans ed the final grad in considering the course and sub	should then be delive Hall. They will be ma les to the Office of the ne value of the course.	de
evaluate the overall te	aching effective		_		7
1 2	3	4 moderately eff	5 ective	(6) extremely effective	/
not at all effective		(1,00012,1111-19-19)	•	•	
Considering both the l	imitations and p	ossibilities of the	subject matter	and the course format,	how
would you rate the over	erall quality of	this course?	_		,
1 2	-3	4	5	6 7	
(very low)		(moderate)		(very high)	,
Narrative Evaluat	ion	•			
The instruction	for bag	ver, helpful	in explain	o and clarifying	
a dudd da	a C		,		
compliated idea	የ ፓ,				
•					
•					
•					
•					
	•				
		•			
•.					
		•			
✗ ☐ Freshman	⊠ Sophor	nore 🗇 Ju	ınior	☐ Senior	
Diseased a not sign thi				3/	10
Diagon do not pion thi	IC TOTM				

CogSci 1	David Clark			<u> all 4014</u>	
Course Name/#	Instru		S	Semester/Year	
Please use this form to concisely. You will be swill be collected by a muto the office of Undergr	evaluate the course and given a reasonable amo ember of the class. The aduate and Interdiscipling or only after he/she has will also be reviewed burnents!	e completed e nary Studies, a	valuations sho 231 Evans Ha a final grades	ould then be deal. They will be to the Office of	elivered e made the
Numerical Evaluat Considering both the line evaluate the overall tea	ion mitations and possibilitie aching effectiveness of t	s of the cours he instructor?	e and subject	t, how would yo	u
1 2 not at all effective	3 mode	4 rately effective	5.	6 extremely effec	7 etive
Considering both the li would you rate the ove	mitations and possibilitie rall quality of this co ur	s of the subjese?	ect matter and	the course for	mat, how
1 2 (very low)	3 (mod	4 erate)	(5)	6 (very l	7 nigh)
Narrative Evaluati	on		ē.		
the one-21	pour long section	is too lon	8.	1h	
Most of the	material can e be broken into :	ither be a	owned in	a single &	Lution
or it con	be broken into.	1 Seption	lh a		• /
Discussions	lacked Starter	e, but	did not	recesso	rila
detroct from	n learning.				·
					·
	S_1 -			☐ Senior	
よ口 Freshman	Sophomore	☐ Junio	Γ	i ocinoi	
Place do not sign this	s form				3/10

Undergraduate & Interdisciplinary Studies University of California, Berkeley

CogSci 1	Davi <u>d Cl</u> a	ark		Fall ZUIZ	•
Course Name/#		tructor	,	Semester/Year	
Please use this form to concisely. You will be will be collected by a m to the office of Undergravailable to the instruct Registrar. These forms Thank you for your con	given a reasonable a nember of the class. aduate and Interdisci or only after he/she t s will also be reviewe	The completed explinary Studies,	evaluations sh 231 Evans Ha	ould then be deliven all. They will be me to the Office of the	ade ⊬ ∍
Numerical Evaluate Considering both the li evaluate the overall tea	mitations and possibl	lities of the cours	se and subjec	t, how would you	
1 2 not at all effective	2	d oderately effective	5	6 extremely effective	7
Considering both the li	mitations and possib	ilities of the subj	ect matter and	d the course forma	t, how
would you rate the over	rall quality of this c	ourse?			
1 (very low)	3 //	4 / noderate)	5	6 7 (very high	1)
Narrative Evaluat	ion				é
Lenuis We	re proby Joa	el and 11	eladinally	or J' H Ga	and,
Commes hard	do produce	who d	hirp a	re must Im	poclant.
than others. L	Is A alice	inium, it	was of	· Paradin	NJ
hard to fall	in, but o	instruct o	* (Ho	of union	S,
		٠.			
			. •		
✗ □ Freshman	Sophomore	J unio	r	☐ Senior	

Please do not sign this form

3/10

0 0 11	David	l Clark		Fall 2012	
CogSci 1 Course Name/#	Davio	Instructor		Semester/Year	:
Please use this form to evalue concisely. You will be given will be collected by a member to the office of Undergradual available to the instructor on Registrar. These forms will Thank you for your commen	a reasonacer of the claster and Inter- ly after he/salso be revi	ss. The completed disciplinary Studies	l evaluations s, 231 Evans the final grade	should then be d Hall. They will b es to the Office o	elivered e made of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitati evaluate the overall teaching	ons and por	ssibilities of the cou ess of the instructo	ırse and subj r?	ect, how would y	ou
1 2 not at all effective	3	(4) moderately effecti	F .	6 extremely effe	7 ctive
Considering both the limitat would you rate the overall q	ions and po uality of th	ssibilities of the sui	bject matter a	and the course fo	rmat, how
1 2 (very low)	3	4 (moderate)		6 (very	7 high)
Narrative Evaluation		•			
A by more	class	Stricture	would	help	
		·			·
				,	
•			·		
· .		•			
		•			
X ☐ Freshman ☑	Sophom	ore 🗇 Jun	ior	☐ Senior	
Please do not sign this form	n				3/10

Undergraduate & Interdisciplinary Studies
University of California, Berkeley

CogSci 1	David Clark		2012
Course Name/#	Instructor	Seme	ester/Year
concisely. You will be given will be collected by a memb to the office of Undergradua	luate the course and your instract a reasonable amount of classer of the class. The complete ate and Interdisciplinary Studie only after he/she has submitted also be reviewed by UGIS in the the course.	ed evaluations should es, 231 Evans Hall.	then be delivered They will be made ne Office of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limital evaluate the overall teaching	tions and possibilities of the co ng effectiveness of the instruct		
1 2 not at all effective	3 4 moderately effect	tive 5 ext	6 7 tremely effective
Considering both the limital would you rate the overall of the control of the con	3 4	ubject matter and the	course format, how 6 7 (very high)
(very low)	(moderate)		(very nigh)
Narrative Evaluation Dov's an anesone of a formy dude and was that the and the vidiculars, in	guy with the hippart of Le works with what - the some more direct ion necessary lay 2 hr	fashion serve a fre cliss gires , but party f lectre tre. O	him. My only mish set was become of the transfeat, good
you Darl			·
X ☐ Freshman	J Sophomore 🗡 Jur	nior	Senior

Please do not sign this form

3/10

Undergraduate & Interdisciplinary Studies
University of California, Berkeley

			•	•	
CogSci 1	David Clark			Fall 2012	
Course Name/#	Instruct	or		Semester/Year	
will be collected by a me to the office of Undergra	iven a reasonable amount ember of the class. The aduate and Interdisciplina or only after he/she has s will also be reviewed by	completed eve ary Studies, 23	aluations sh 31 Evans Ha inal grades	ould then be del all. They will be to the Office of t	made he
Numerical Evaluati Considering both the lin evaluate the overall tea	ion nitations and possibilities ching effectiveness of the	of the course e instructor?	and subjec	t, how would you	1
1 2 not at all effective	3 modera	4 ately effective	5	6 extremely effect:	7 ive
Considering both the lir would you rate the over	nitations and possibilities rall quality of this cours	s of the subject e?	t matter and	the course form	nat, how
1 2 (very low)	3 (mode	4 rate)	5	6 (very hi	7 gh)
Narrative Evaluation					
They's use had	after longly do	scusseus	, Saveti	us a group	her-
	he May Jerm	•			
Carle Good	helpful. Mayby &	myt a	64 ven	e stretue	in greni
			-		
X (X Freshman	☐ Sophomore	Junior		☐ Senior	

Please do not sign this form

3/10

CogSci 1	David Clark	Fail 2012
Course Name/#	Instructor	Semester/Year
Please use this form to evalu- concisely. You will be given a will be collected by a membe to the office of Undergraduate	r of the class. The completed of e and Interdisciplinary Studies, 23 y after he/she has submitted the also be reviewed by UGIS in cons	aluations should then be delivered 31 Evans Hall. They will be made final grades to the Office of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitation evaluate the overall teaching	ons and possibilities of the course effectiveness of the instructor?	and subject, how would you
1 not at all effective	3 4 moderately effective	5 6 7 extremely effective
Considering both the limitation would you rate the overall qu	ons and possibilities of the subject tallity of this course?	et matter and the course format, how
1 2 (very low)	3 (moderate)	5 6 7 (very high)
Narrative Evaluation		
was not much that was pel Dav is very always could It did not	evant to the ca knowledgable a answer que add much ove	we rearred / and
∦ ☐ Freshman 🛛	Sophomore Junior	☐ Senior
Please do not sign this form	L	3/10

CogSci 1	David Clark			11 2012	
Course Name/#	Instructo	or	Ser	nester/Year	
Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given a will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduate available to the instructor only Registrar. These forms will also Thank you for your comments.	reasonable amour of the class. The c and Interdisciplina after he/she has s so be reviewed by	completed evalury Studies, 231	ations shou Evans Hall.	ld then be deliv They will be m the Office of the	ade e
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitation evaluate the overall teaching of	s and possibilities effectiveness of the	of the course are instructor?	nd subject, l	how would you	
•		_	5	6 extremely effectiv	7 e
Considering both the limitation would you rate the overall qua	ns and possibilities ality of this cours	of the subject n e?	natter and t		
1 2 (very low)	3 (mode	rate)	5	6 7 (very hig	
Narrative Evaluation		,			
	•				
∦ ☐ Freshman Ø S	Sophomore	Junior	ĺ] Senior	
					3/10

CogSci 1	David Clark	Fall 2012	
Course Name/#	Instructor	Semester/Year	
to the office of Undergraduate :	reasonable amount of class unit of the class. The completed eva	aluations should then be delivered to the should then be delivered to the should the should be mad the office of the should be the should be shoul	<i>-</i> G
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitations evaluate the overall teaching e	s and possibilities of the course ffectiveness of the instructor?	and subject, how would you	
1 2 3 not at all effective	3 4 moderately effective	5 6 7 extremely effective	
6. 24.25.16.46.46.18.46.46.	o and passibilities of the subject	matter and the course format, t	10W
would you rate the overall qua	s and possibilities of the subject lity of this course?		
1 (very low)	3 4 (moderate)	5 (6) 7 (very high)	
Narrative Evaluation			
ent times did in texplai	n certain lecture topics	evith the greatest clarif	y, but
overall did a good	ion at breaking down	difficult concepts	
· made section interes	ting at times, though	at times was also kind o	of dul
· fater great topic u	its, lots to talk abou		
		•	
		ı	
	"	•	
x ☐ Freshman ☐ So	phomore & Junior	☐ Senior	
Please do not sign this form		3/10)

CogSci 1	David Clarl	<u> </u>		all 2012	
Course Name/#	Instru		56	emester/Yea	ŗ
Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given will be collected by a member to the office of Undergradua available to the instructor on Registrar. These forms will Thank you for your commen	a reasonable and er of the class. The te and Interdisciplin ly after he/she has also be reviewed b	e completed eva nary Studies, 23	aluations sho to Evans Hal	ould then be out. They will be outle to the Office of	delivered be made of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitati evaluate the overall teaching	ons and possibilitie g effectiveness of t	es of the course the instructor?	and subject	how would y	<i>r</i> ou
1 not at all effective	2	A crately effective	5	6 extremely effe	7 ective
Considering both the limitat would you rate the overall q	ions and possibilitions and possibilition	es of the subject	t matter and	the course fo	irmat, how
1 2 (very low)	3 (mod	4 lerate)	5	6 (very	7 high)
Narrative Evaluation					
Par is very to the triba to inche which make the easier to remember twee could be then it would	better, so n	raybeit.	ya of impelling is energy he built	and taching and my on that	
ൂ ☐ Freshman ☐	Sophomore	Junior	. /	Senior	
					3/10

2 2 4	David Clark		Fall 2012	
CogSci 1 Course Name/#	Instructor		Semester/Year	
Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given a will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduate available to the instructor only Registrar. These forms will also Thank you for your comments	of the class. The com and Interdisciplinary S after he/she has subn so be reviewed by UG	pleted evaluations shoulders, 231 Evans H	nould then be deliv all. They will be m to the Office of th	e e
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitation evaluate the overall teaching	ns and possibilities of t effectiveness of the ins	he course and subjectructor?	ct, how would you	
/* · ·	3 4 moderately	5	6 extremely effectiv	7 _. e
Considering both the limitation would you rate the overall que	ns and possibilities of tallity of this course?	the subject matter an		
1 2 (very low)	(moderate	5	6 7 (very hig	
Narrative Evaluation				
TOO borny,	to se	470 M (1)	a chanc	e 10
open it up h	Tedure mat	enal/exam	prep, f.	then
Open it up h	distussion	. People are	ny pantin p	mt Try
because they	need that	Thitial rec	iren houst	Parst.
· ,				
Nill. guy the	thy great wi	th queston	ni, ina f	764C
1846 on open	13665m	1. Marton	gara al	865yh
flan with	majorial xev	jew,	,	•
' ✗ ☐ Freshman ☐	Sophomore	Junior	☐ Senior	
Please do not sign this form				3/10

CogSci 1	David Clark		Fall 2012	
Course Name / # WARRA	Instructor		Semester/Year	
Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given a rewill be collected by a member of to the office of Undergraduate a available to the instructor only a Registrar. These forms will also Thank you for your comments! Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitations	easonable amount of class the of the class. The completed en and Interdisciplinary Studies, 2 after he/she has submitted the o be reviewed by UGIS in con	valuations s 231 Evans I e final grade asidering the	should then be delivered Hall. They will be made es to the Office of the evalue of the course.	
evaluate the overall teaching e	ffectiveness of the instructor?			
1 2 3 not at all effective		_	6 7 extremely effective	
			- the course format how	
Considering both the limitation	s and possibilities of the subje	et matter a	Ud flie conies follows' now	•
would you rate the overail qua	May of this course:	-	6 7	
1 2 (very low)	3 (4) (moderate)	5	(very high)	*
Narrative Evaluation				
a class and	decent instructor h	an ald	, NOT HONON ON	•
well as I expecte	d He seems ver	y kna	wedgette about	 k-
MOLLOW T EXPLOYE	or act about t	$\frac{1}{1}$	reu his	
the subject but 1	My Mal some of	10t	u le ofran	ation
well as I expected the subject but I knowledge eth we reamed in the	echiely. He alan	revie	W the milare	~~~(\(\mathcal{O}\)
Locas od in 19	thre and inste	iad na	ent a little	
We removed to	wich he was a	(11the	- Was	
crogonized watto have helped in	wish he was a with the discu	sskin "	a that it can	d
ev GOODSED WORTED	WIN the arres)	50 thank	
Lohoed m	e abit more t	w tre	- Marcani	
North Colombia				
and them.				
			•	
		•	ess. C	
Freshman S	ophomore 🔲 Junio	r	☐ Senior	
Places do not sign this form			3/10	

CogSci 1	David	<u>l Clark</u>		Fall 2012
Course Name/#		Instructor		Semester/Year
Please use this form to e concisely. You will be gi will be collected by a me to the office of Undergra available to the instructo Registrar. These forms Thank you for your comm	ven a reasonal mber of the cla duate and Inter r only after he/s will also be rev	ole amount of classs. The complete disciplinary Studies has submitted	d evaluations of the control of the	should then be delivered Hall. They will be made as to the Office of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limit evaluate the overall teach	itations and po	ssibilities of the co ess of the instruct	ourse and subjects	ect, how would you
1 2 not at all effective	3	4 moderately effect	tive (5)	6 7 extremely effective
Considering both the lim	nitations and po all quality of th	ssibilities of the suis course?	ubject matter a	nd the course format, how
1 (very low)	3	4 (moderate)	(3)	6 7 (very high)
Narrative Evaluation	n			
Region (bu)	* CIK C	mount of leave	JEHR CAR.	ge one to mount
reviewing of	omo a state Lestrek	coffee was	s egy mani	LAND LOCATER A LONG THE B
,				
x ☐ Freshman	☑ Sophom	ore 🗇 Jur	ior	☐ Senior
Places do not sign this:	form			3/10

	David <u>C</u>	lark		Fall 2012	
CogSci 1 Course Name/#		structor		Semester/Year	
Please use this form to concisely. You will be will be collected by a material to the office of Undergravailable to the instruct Registrar. These forms	given a reasonable a nember of the class. aduate and Interdisc or only after he/she s will also be reviewe	amount of class utt The completed ev ciplinary Studies, 2 has submitted the	aluations sh 31 Evans Ha final grades	ould then be delivered. They will be made to the Office of the	, u
Numerical Evaluat Considering both the lit evaluate the overall tea	mitations and possib	illities of the course of the instructor?	and subjec	t, how would you	
1 2 not at all effective	3	4 noderately effective	5	6 7 extremely effective	
Considering both the li would you rate the ove	mitations and possib	oilities of the subject course?	t matter and	I the course format, I	now
1 2 (very low)	3 (1	4 moderate)	5	6 7 (very high)	
Narrative Evaluation move structure more helpful of the reading	and worth	nwhile. Du	500551	or and me	would
give the topic job of cover many diffe	y more di	epth. over	all, the	class did a	a good
many diffe	event subject	cts and	was in	teresting.	
	·			·	
	·				
✗ □ Freshman	Sophomore	Junior	1	☐ Senior	
Please do not sign this	form			3/16)

	Cared 1	David Clark		Fall 2012 Semester/Year	1	
	Course Name/#	Instructor		-		·
	Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given a will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduate available to the instructor only Registrar. These forms will also Thank you for your comments	of the class. The cor and Interdisciplinary after he/she has sub so be reviewed by UC	npleted evaluations Studies, 231 Evans	s should then be delived the self that the self that the office of the office of the office of the self that the office of the o	naue ie	: :
	Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitation evaluate the overall teaching	2 4	the course and substructor? 5 y effective	oject, how would you extremely effecti	7	
	not at all effective					
	Considering both the limitatio	ns and possibilities o	fthe subject matter	and the course form	at, how	
	would you rate the overall qu	ality of this course:	5	6	7	
	1 2 (very low)	3 (modera		(very hi	gh)	
	Narrative Evaluation	<i>t</i> 11	,	1 1 11		
	Day gave cons	istently gove	it a ground	shot. He	ssa always	
had	Day gave cons The material masked	and Kalon, Uny	addempted o	to gone the	class's	
atten	tion by starting with	h an inverest o	iog. Sci.	aedicity, which	was great	
How	uer, seusion was	consistently o	is Oganizel,	ord it was	tay occ	esionally
7.8	icely to group on	see section	matrial G	rap chats ve	e not in	ell
gid	ed, and only the	Same Pen	see people	porticipal	Cook e	Herd,
J. b.	icely seasons only the let, and only the	nort.	·			
J			Junior	☐ Senior		
	Please do not sign this form				3/10	
	I ICANC GO IIO CANAL VALLE TO THE					

	•	•	
CogSci 1	David Clark	Fall 2012	,
Course Name/#	Instructor	Semester/Y	ear
concisely. You will be given will be collected by a memb to the office of Undergradua	or a reasonable amount of cla er of the class. The complet te and Interdisciplinary Stud ally after he/she has submitte also be reviewed by UGIS in	structor thoughtfully, candidly ss time to do this, after which ted evaluations should then blies, 231 Evans Hall. They will do the final grades to the Office considering the value of the	oe delivered ill be made se of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitat evaluate the overall teachin	ions and possibilities of the c g effectiveness of the instruc	course and subject, how would tor?	ld you
1 2 not at all effective	3 4 moderately effec	(5)	7 effective
Considering both the limitate would you rate the overall of	ions and possibilities of the s quality of this course?	subject matter and the course	•
1 2 (very low)	3 4 (moderate)	(5) 6 (ve	7 ery high)
Narrative Evaluation Wynitive Science	is an interes	ting class an	d the
YKUKSION SESSI	ions are helpfi	ul for specific	topics
hat may seem knowledgeable orners, but the	about althum	nderstandable	e their
overall, I emio	yed this class	, but hoped	that It
ALCO COURSE ACOUR	a bosh less		
X ★ Freshman □	Sophomore Ju	nior 🔲 Senio	r
Please do not sign this form	_		3/10

00-1	David Clark		Fall 2012	
CogSci 1 Course Name/#	Instructor		Semester/Year	
Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given a will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduate available to the instructor only Registrar. These forms will also Thank you for your comments!	reasonable amount of the class. The co and Interdisciplinary after he/she has sul o be reviewed by U	mpleted evaluations Studies, 231 Evans	s should then be delivered s Hall. They will be made des to the Office of the	
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitation evaluate the overall teaching e	s and possibilities o ffectiveness of the i	f the course and su nstructor?	oject, how would you	
•	2	1 ly effective	(6) 7 extremely effective	,
Considering both the limitation would you rate the overall qua	s and possibilities o	of the subject matter	and the course format, ho	W
1 2 (very low)	3 (modera	te)	(6) 7 (very high)	
Narrative Evaluation Day's section u	co al M	welcernine	, and he mad	-l
Day's section u	ms veer o	and fun	. He was ar	·)
excellent 651	2MO" ">"	11 6	the marten	id [
for along time	the only	siggesti	m & way m	belarse
was good and	he "dea	d"/silend	too chy or	rencus
le people une lister	ning, just	midri a	v.	
for a long time was good, and is to ignere to people are lister to speak up.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
	ophomore	☐ Junior	☐ Senior	
Please do not sign this form			3/10	

CC-i 1	David Clark		Fall 2012	· .	
CogSci 1 Course Name/#	Instructo	or	Semester/Y	'ear	
Please use this form to eva concisely. You will be give will be collected by a memi to the office of Undergradu available to the instructor of Registrar. These forms will Thank you for your comme	n a reasonable amount ber of the class. The c ate and Interdisciplina only after he/she has si Il also be reviewed by	completed evalury Studies, 231	ations should then b Evans Hall. They w all grades to the Offic	e delivered ill be made se of the	
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limita evaluate the overall teachi	tions and possibilities	of the course at instructor?	nd subject, how wou	ld you	
1 2 not at all effective	3 modera	4 tely effective	5 (6 extre mely	offective	
Considering both the limits would you rate the overall	quanty of this cours	of the subject n e?	natter and the cours	e format, how	
1 2 (very low)	3 (mode	rate)	(V	ery high)	
Narrative Evaluation	•			•	
Dav offers for guestions focus is on a condenstanding hards-on "bas rotation action law learned	tij) beaus	tivitis we	tem in vered in leneficial de could see (like the an apply	mental	7
🗶 🗇 Freshman 💍 🗇	 ▼ Sophomore	☐ Junior	☐ Senio	or	
Please do not sign this fo	-		· .	3/10	

Undergraduate & Interdisciplinary Studies
University of California, Berkeley

	David C	lark		Fall 2012	
<u>CogSci 1</u> Course Name/#	In	structor		Semester/Year	•
Please use this form to concisely. You will be	nember of the class, raduate and Interdis tor only after he/she is will also be review	The completed	evaluations si s, 231 Evans H	hould then be delivered lall. They will be made s to the Office of the	
Numerical Evalua Considering both the levaluate the overall te	imitations and DOSSI	bilities of the cous	urse and subje r?		1
1 2 not at all effective	3	(4) moderately effecti	ve ve	6 7 extremely effective	
Considering both the would you rate the ov	limitations and poss erall quality of this	ibilities of the su	bject matter ar	nd the course format, how	
1 2 (very low)	3	4 (moderate)	5	(very high)	·
Narrative Evalua	tion		04	or come	
CS No.	a pressor (Jost 2000 mil	s lace a	and god.	•
and	9699				
\$	Dav mean not really other sect	is well, help ful ions of the	but his 'l. I have his subje	sections were no comparison ct, and I fee have the make	with
	Dav assum down before	es we este estavling so mu prof	udents) g the dis blem tha	have the make cursion. I sup I i'm not wel	aux pose E prepared
	for discur	vians.		,	
ൂ ☐ Freshman	☐ Sophomo	re 🗷 Jur	uor	☐ Senior	

Please do not sign this form

3/10

		University of Cameran,	
		David Clark	Fall 2012
	CogSci 1 Course Name/#	Instructor	Semester/Year
	Please use this form to exconcisely. You will be given will be collected by a mentath of the office of Lindergrad	Juate and Interdisciplinary Studie only after he/she has submitted will also be reviewed by UGIS in	uctor thoughtfully, candidly, and is time to do this, after which the form id evaluations should then be delivered is, 231 Evans Hall. They will be made the final grades to the Office of the considering the value of the course.
	Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limited evaluate the overall teach	on itations and possibilities of the co hing effectiveness of the instruct	ourse and subject, how would you or?
	1 not at all effective	3 4 moderately effec	S Cartina
		the state of the S	ubject matter and the course format, how
	Considering both the lin would you rate the over	an quanty of	ubject matter and the course format, how
	1 (very low)	3 (moderate)	(very high)
	Narrative Evaluation	on	
Instructor was discussion Sometimes in lecture	Very motivated s and subject. section didn't	seem to connect	ngage students in the solution of moved slowly though, and to lecture that well overall the dipolo of supporting what we like to expand an thoughts.
•			
	🗶 🗇 Freshman	Sophomore 🗇 Ju	unior
	60 LI LICUISITIONE	.	0.140

•	David	Clark		Fall 2012	•
CogSci 1	L/aviu	Instructor		Semester/Year	
will be collected by	ergraduate and Intercructor only after he/s orms will also be review	s. The completed disciplinary Studies,	evaluations st 231 Evans H	They will be made	d e
Numerical Eval Considering both the evaluate the overal	uation ne limitations and pos Il teaching effectiven	ssibilities of the cou ess of the instructor	rse and subje ?	ct, how would you	
1 2 not at all effective	3	4 moderately effectiv	5	extremely effective)
		asibilities of the Sub	oiect matter ar	nd the course format, h	10W
Considering both t	he limitations and po e overall quality of th	is course?		(a)	
1 (very low)	3	4 (moderate)	5	(very high)	
Narrative Eval	uation	1 .0.111	Moti	vated to	teach
Dav Wa	Salways	nijh!	Under	rstanding	of the
ms arial	The alm	~ 60101	Peop	le involve	ed in
Part CiPat	in y flows 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	ono t	did. Terr	yalso
resented to	he mater	ial ina.	CONCI	se war t	that we
thenreview					· .
					J.
	•				
	;	•			
🗶 🗇 Freshma	an 🗇 Sophor	nore / Jur	nior	☐ Senior	
		,		3,	10
Place do not si	gn this form				

	0				
CogSci 1	David Cla	ırk		2012	
Course Name/#		ructor	Semester/Year		
concisely. You will be will be collected by a to the office of Under	to evaluate the course to egiven a reasonable at member of the class. graduate and Interdisciptor only after he/she has will also be reviewed memberts!	nount of class unified evi The completed evi plinary Studies, 20 as submitted the	aluations should 31 Evans Hall.	I then be delivered They will be made ne Office of the	
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the evaluate the overall to	ation limitations and possibil eaching effectiveness o	ities of the course of the instructor?	and subject, ho	w would you	
1 2 not at all effective	3 m	4 odera te ly effective	5 ex	6 7 tremely effective	
Considering both the would you rate the o	limitations and possibi verall quality of this co	lities of the subject ourse?	t matter and the	course format, ho	W.
1 2 (very low)	(m	4 noderate)	5	6 7 (very high)	
Narrative Evalua	∠~†*((CAU €	id and		•	
Even after	naing att	nded all t	the leet	wes, I	
probably woul	d have Gi	led the	e milde	MS W	Phi
probably would help from	the 68	IS.	•		
			·		
ൂ ☐ Freshman	S Sophomore	☐ Junior		Senior	

Carlei 1	David Clark	Fall 2012
CogSci 1 Course Name/#	Instructor	Semester/Year
will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduate	after he/she has submitted the files of the reviewed by UGIS in considerations and the submitted the files of the submitted the files of the submitted the files of the submitted the su	luations should then be delivered I Evans Hall. They will be made hal grades to the Office of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitation evaluate the overall teaching	ns and possibilities of the course effectiveness of the instructor?	_
1 2 (not at all effective	3) 4 moderately effective	5 6 7 extremely effective
Considering both the limitation would you rate the overall qu	anty of this course.	matter and the course format, how
1 2 (very low)	3 4 (moderate)	(very high)
Narrative Evaluation		
Discussion sectopics to talk fine was typical seemed to lack felt like there work always effectively into extremely into were great. To	tions feit like we about just to fill it about just to fill it you confidence. Teach as much effort a fective. However, su cresting as a Wherry was a great	were reaching for the time. Small group the section as a whole ing Style in discussion and enthusiasm, but bject matter was die and quest lecturers the professor.
	,	
		•
🗶 🗇 Freshman 💆	Sophomore Junior	☐ Senior
Please do not sign this form		3/10

CC-i 1	David Clark		Fall 2012
CogSci 1 Course Name/#	Instructor		Semester/Year
Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given will be collected by a memb to the office of Undergradua	uate the course and your ins a reasonable amount of claser of the class. The complete te and Interdisciplinary Studi by after he/she has submitted also be reviewed by UGIS in	ed evaluations sties, 231 Evans H	nould then be delivered all. They will be made to the Office of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitate evaluate the overall teaching	ions and possibilities of the c g effectiveness of the instruc	ourse and subjector?	ct, how would you
1 not at all effective	3 4 moderately effec	75 }	6 7 extremely effective
Considering both the limitat	ions and possibilities of the s	subject matter an	d the course format, hov
1 2 (very low)	3 4 (moderate)	5)	6 7 (very high)
Narrative Evaluation		•	
not very	sed organized not	e Wise	
		•	
x □ Freshman	Sophomore 🛭 Ju	nior	☐ Senior
Please do not sign this for	m		3/10

	David Clark	Fall 2012
CogSci 1 Course Name/#	Instructor	Semester/Year
concisely. You will be given a re will be collected by a member of to the office of Undergraduate a	the course and your instructor the easonable amount of class time to the class. The completed evaluation in the class in the class. The completed evaluation in the class in the final the final the reviewed by UGIS in consider	ations should then be delivered Evans Hall. They will be made
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitations evaluate the overall teaching ef	and possibilities of the course ar fectiveness of the instructor?	nd subject, how would you
1 2 3 not at all effective	X-2)	5 6 7 extremely effective
Considering both the limitations would you rate the overall qual	s and possibilities of the subject n ity of this course?	natter and the course format, how
1 2 (very low)	3 4 (moderate)	5 6 7 (very high)
Narrative Evaluation		
Great, interesting into	NO TO GO SU, LECTURES	for before.
· .		
∦∏ Freshman 万S	ophomore Junior	☐ Senior
Please do not sign this form	y particular of the particular	3/10

	CogSci 1	Day	rid Clark		F <mark>all 2012</mark> emester/Year
	Course Name/# Please use this for concisely. You will be collected to the office of Ut	oy a member of the one	Instructor course and your instructor nable amount of clas class. The complete terdisciplinary Studie e/she has submitted eviewed by UGIS in	ructor thoughtfully s time to do this, d evaluations sho es, 231 Evans Ha	y, candidly, and after which the form ould then be delivered III. They will be made to the Office of the
	evaluate the ove 1 not at all effective	the limitations and rall teaching effectiv	possibilities of the co eness of the instruct 4 moderately effect	tive 3	6 7 extremely effective
	Considering both would you rate to	ne overall quality of	possibilities of the si i this course? 4 (moderate)	ubject matter and	the course format, how 6 7 (very high)
0+01 0+00	nx the convering	materro too slov	v paced	felt lik & unstr	actured &
	* 7 Freshm		omore 🗇 Ju	nior	Senior 3/10

Undergraduate & Interdisciplinary Studies University of California, Berkeley

	Officology of				
CogSci 1	David Cla			Fall 2012 Semester/Year	
Course Name/#	Instr	uctor		Semester/ rear	
Please use this form to evalue concisely. You will be given will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduat available to the instructor onless Registrar. These forms will a Thank you for your comment.	a reasonable and of the class. The e and Interdiscip y after he/she ha also be reviewed	he completed ellinary Studies,	valuations s 231 Evans h	hould then be del lall. They will be s to the Office of t	ivered made the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitation evaluate the overall teaching	ons and possibilit geffectiveness of	ies of the cours the instructor?	se and subje	ct, how would you	u
1 2	3	4 derately effective	(5)	6 extremely effect	7 ive
not at all effective	7/101	servicing cyconor	,	5	
Considering both the limitation	ons and possibili uality of this co	ties of the subj urse?	ect matter ar	nd the course forn	nat, how
1 2 (very low)	`	4 oderate)	5	6 (very hi	
Narrative Evaluation Though the subject motter tangentrally related to long and some explan	er was interesti lecture. I out otions of conc	ng, I felt s so thought th epts were n	ametimes the alotted of entirely	he discussion time discussion time thorough.	s mas too. Mas too
	•			ž.	
·					•
•		·		•	
				•	
	•				
	٠				
x ⊠ Freshman □	Sophomore	Junio	r	☐ Senior	
					3/10

Please do not sign this form

	David Clark		Fall 2012
CogSci 1 Course Name/#	Instructor		Semester/Year
Please use this form to evaluat concisely. You will be given a will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduate available to the instructor only Registrar. These forms will also Thank you for your comments!	of the class. The compand Interdisciplinary Safter he/she has submood by UGI	oleted evaluations studies, 231 Evans H	hould then be delivered lall. They will be made s to the Office of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitation evaluate the overall teaching e	s and possibilities of the first sectiveness of the ins	ne course and subje tructor?	
	3 (4) moderately	·	6 7 extremely effective
Considering both the limitation would you rate the overall qua	s and possibilities of tality of this course?		nd the course format, how
1 (very low)	3 (4) (moderate	5	(very high)
Narrative Evaluation I think that H long we did star semester, but we also, I kind Into groups befor Nanted us to explaination w	still just of thought adequited	had too I that y elg explain think th	met time. V had Us break
	Sophomore 🏄	Tunior	Senior
Freshman Freshman River do not sign this form	Minimi	,	3/10

Undergraduate & Interdisciplinary Studies
University of California, Berkeley

Fall 2012

CogSci 1	David Clark		Fall 2012
Course Name/#	Instructor		Semester/Year
Please use this form to evalue concisely. You will be given will be collected by a member to the office of Undergradual available to the instructor on Registrar. These forms will a Thank you for your comment.	a reasonable amount or or of the class. The com te and Interdisciplinary s ly after he/she has subr also be reviewed by UG	pleted evaluations Studies, 231 Evans	should then be delivered Hall. They will be made es to the Office of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitati evaluate the overall teaching	ons and possibilities of t g effectiveness of the in	he course and substructor?	ect, how would you
1 2 not at all effective	3 4 moderately	_	6 7 extremely effective
Considering both the limitati would you rate the overall q	ons and possibilities of uality of this course?	the subject matter a	and the course format, how
1 2 (very low)	3 (moderate	5	6 7 (very high)
Narrative Evaluation			
Strayed for lack of fam. Need to be	-Low Conta	maders	al, sometimes
lack of far	alliarity w	1 subject	mancatter.
Need to be	e wore	direct.	eyron correction
Subjects for			
			÷
x ☐ Freshman	Sophomore] Junior	☐ Senior
Please do not sign this for		1	3/10

	David Clark		Fall 2012	
<u>CogSci 1</u> Course Name/#	Instructor		Semester/Year	e e
Please use this form to eva concisely. You will be give will be collected by a member to the office of Undergradu available to the instructor of Registrar. These forms will Thank you for your comme	ber of the class. The con ate and Interdisciplinary only after he/she has sub Il also be reviewed by UG	npleted evaluations st Studies, 231 Evans H	nould then be deliver all. They will be mad to the Office of the	n ed ie
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limita evaluate the overall teachi	stions and nossibilities of		ct, how would you	
1 2 not at all effective	(3) 4 moderatel	5 y effective	extremely effective	
Considering both the limits would you rate the overall	ations and possibilities of quality of this course?	the subject matter an	d the course format,	how
1 2 (very low)	3 (moderat	5 e)	6 / (very high)	
explana was va	ng activity	tions, be f the i satisfa	nateria otory he mor	1
∦ ☐ Freshman /	Sophomore	□ Junior	☐ Senior	

Please do not sign this form

3/10

CogSci 1	David	Clark		Fall 2012	
Course Name/#		Instructor		Semester/Year	
Please use this form to exconcisely. You will be giv will be collected by a ment to the office of Undergrad available to the instructor Registrar. These forms with Thank you for your common Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limit	en a reasonab nber of the clas luate and Intero only after he/s vill also be revi nents!	es. The completed edisciplinary Studies, the has submitted the lewed by UGIS in constitutions in the courses with the courses and the courses with the courses and the courses with the courses and the courses with the course with the courses with the course with the courses with the course	evaluations 231 Evans e final grad nsidering th se and sub	should then be delivered Hall. They will be made es to the Office of the se value of the course.	
evaluate the overall teach	ning effectiven	ess of the instructor		 <	
1 2 not at all effective	3	4 moderately effective	5	6 (7) extremely effective	
Considering both the limit would you rate the overa	li quality of th	ssibilities of the subj is course?	ect matter a	and the course format, hov	v
1 2 (very low)	3	(moderate)		(6) 7 (very high)	
Narrative Evaluation	n	•		1	0 . 3 /
The instruct for his	or sh students. Key, in	ous gener Connection my opinio	al 6 beti n, te	are and cor wein student o a success!	acer Uri M
Semester.	· <i>V</i>	V · · · · ·			
		•,			
	r	•			
🗶 🗇 Freshman	Sophom	ore 🗖 Junio	or	☐ Senior	
Please do not sign this				3/10	
_				· ·	

CogSci 1	<u>David Cla</u>	rk		all 2012	
Course Name/#	Instr	ructor	S	emester/Year	
Please use this form to ev concisely. You will be give will be collected by a ment to the office of Undergradu available to the instructor Registrar. These forms withank you for your comme	en a reasonable an iber of the class. T uate and Interdiscip only after he/she ha ill also be reviewed	he completed ev linary Studies, 2	raluations sho 31 Evans Ha final grades t	ould then be deli II. They will be r to the Office of the	nade ne
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limits evaluate the overall teach	ations and possibilit	ties of the course f the instructor?	e and subject	, how would you	
1 2 not at all effective	•	(4) derately effective	5	6 extremely effection	7 De
Considering both the limit would you rate the overall	ations and possibili quality of this co	ties of the subjec urse?	ct matter and	the course form	at, how
1 (very low)	3 (mo	4 oderate)	5	(6) (very high	7 ;h)
Narrative Evaluation					
of the	y reemed to Material. Crov could	have trav		• •	·
CYP AL	uda rapics.	$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{A}_n}(\widehat{F}_n) = \operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{A}_n}(\widehat{F}_n) = 0$. •		
* The co	iurse was we as provi helpful	unry well-	overule,		
and	helpful	TOP WE ST	West to	well-hou	de
		•			
✗ □ Freshman	Sophomore	Junior		□ Senior	•
Please do not sign this fo	rm		-		3/10

Undergraduate & Interdisciplinary Studies University of California, Berkeley

CogSci 1 Course Name/# Cog Svience	David Clark		Fall 2012	Test DOCK
Course Name /# 103 Svience	Instructor Jerry	Regre	Semester/Year	re 2
Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given a will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduate available to the instructor only Registrar. These forms will all Thank you for your comments	te the course and your instru- reasonable amount of class of the class. The completed and Interdisciplinary Studies after he/she has submitted the so be reviewed by UGIS in co	ctor thoughtful time to do this evaluations sh , 231 Evans H se final grades	lly, candidiy, and , after which the nould then be del all. They will be s to the Office of t	form ivered made he
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitation evaluate the overall teaching	ns and possibilities of the cou effectiveness of the instructor	rse and subject?		=
1 (2) not at all effective	3 4 moderately effective	5 ve	6 extremely effect	7 ive
Considering both the limitatio would you rate the overall qu				
1 2	(moderate)	5	6 (very h	7 igh)
Narrative Evaluation (To the Gegenning con duc. about what a	resultors were us	to low tolphil.	there should it. and I w	be more
duc. about what a	nes on between at 18 near you went by.	wen ask	ed ecostion	hous of
cer conclets	and an agenda and lon filter con effective.	avessin	C. C. C.	
ausic. Co	. · ·			
			÷	
🗶 🗖 Freshman 🕱	Sophomore Juni	ior	☐ Senior	

★ □ Freshman

6 - 6 - 1	David (lark		<u>all 2012</u>
CogSci 1 Course Name/#	I	nstructor	S	emester/Year
Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given a will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduate available to the instructor only Registrar. These forms will a Thank you for your comments	of the class and Interdist after he/sho lso be reviev	. The completed ev sciplinary Studies, 2	aluations sho 31 Evans Ha	ould then be delivered II. They will be made to the Office of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitatio evaluate the overall teaching	ns and poss effectivenes	ibilities of the course s of the instructor?	e and subjec	
1 not at all effective	3	4 moderately effective	5	(6) 7 extremely effective
Considering both the limitation would you rate the overall qu	ons and poss ality of this	sibilities of the subje course?	ct matter and	
1 2 (very low)	3	4 (moderate)	5	(very high)
Narrative Evaluation Interesting	and help	ful discussion.		
· ·				
		•		
•				
≭ ☐ Freshman	Sophomo	re 🗇 Junio	r	☐ Senior

Carcail	David	d Clark		Fall 2012		
CogSci 1 Course Name/#	110000000000000000000000000000000000000	Instructor		Semester/Year		
Please use this form concisely. You will b	e given a reasonal member of the cla graduate and Intel actor only after he/ ms will also be rev	ass. The completed endisciplinary Studies,	evaluations st 231 Evans H	nould then be delivered all. They will be made to the Office of the		
Numerical Evalu Considering both the evaluate the overall	dimitations and DC	essibilities of the cour less of the instructor	se and subje	ct, how would you		
1 2 not at all effective	3	moderately effective		6 7 extremely effective		
Considering both the would you rate the o	e limitations and po veral l quality of t i	ossibilities of the subj his course?	ect matter an	d the course format, how		
1 2 (very low)	3	(moderate)	5	6 7 (very high)		
Narrative Evalua	ation		•,			
squidh	doesn't r	reed to be	2 NOUP	5		
·			• .			
•						
	· ·					
∦ ☐ Freshman	Sophon	nore 🗇 Junio	or .	☐ Senior		

Undergraduate & Interdisciplinary Studies University of California, Berkeley

CogSci 1	David (Clark	Fall 2012	2)
Course Name/#		Instructor	Semester/	Year
Please use this form to concisely. You will be gwill be collected by a m to the office of Undergravailable to the instruct Registrar. These forms Thank you for your com	given a reasonable ember of the class aduate and Interdi or only after he/sh will also be revie	s. The completed evaluisciplinary Studies, 231	ations should then Evans Hall. They v	be delivered vill be made ce of the
Numerical Evaluat Considering both the line evaluate the overall tea	nitations and poss	sibilities of the course ar	nd subject, how wo	ıld you
1 2 not at all effective	3	(4) moderately effective	5 extremely	7 I effective
Considering both the ling would you rate the ove	mitations and poss rall quality of this	sibilities of the subject m s course?	natter and the cours	e format, how
1 2 (very low)	3	4 (moderate)	5 6) 7)/ ery high)
Narrative Evaluati MONO STUUST	on UNC FOV	distussion	pan u	ould be
is discussio	n doesn	t need to	be 2	nows.
·				
✗ ☐ Freshman	Sophomo	re 🗇 Junior	☐ Seni	or

Please do not sign this form

3/10

CogSci 1	<u> David Clark</u>		Fall 2012	
Course Name/#	Instructor		Semester/Year	
Please use this form to evaluate concisely. You will be given a will be collected by a member to the office of Undergraduate available to the instructor only Registrar. These forms will also Thank you for your comments	reasonable amount of the class. The comp and Interdisciplinary St after he/she has submi so be reviewed by UGIS	leted evaluations udies, 231 Evans	should then be delivered Hall. They will be made es to the Office of the	
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limitation evaluate the overall teaching e	s and possibilities of the	e course and subj ructor?	ect, how would you	
1 2 not at all effective	3 moderately e	ffective	6 7 extremely effective	
Considering both the limitation would you rate the overall qua	ns and possibilities of th	e subject matter a	and the course format, how	
1 2 (very low)	3 (moderate)	5	6 7 (very high)	
Narrative Evaluation		A	acit	
See wel moder v.	sed about te	acrity	abor be do	1
was not be	y organized	olb ov 9-		,
Jeach Br	go over u	nth the	ahat he show squidents,	
		,		
		· .		
X ☐ Freshman ☐ S	Sophomore	Junior	☐ Senior	
Please do not sign this form			3/10	

CogSci 1	David Clas			Fall 2012 Semester/Year	
Course Name/#	Instr	uctor	. :	semester/ rear	
Please use this form to ev concisely. You will be give will be collected by a ment to the office of Undergrad available to the instructor Registrar. These forms we thank you for your committee.	en a reasonable am hber of the class. The uate and Interdiscip only after he/she ha ill also be reviewed ents!	ne completed evil linary Studies, 20	aluations sh 31 Evans Ha	ould then be del all. They will be to the Office of	livered made the
Numerical Evaluatio Considering both the limit evaluate the overall teach	ations and possibilit	ies of the course the instructor?	and subjec	t, how would you	u
1 2 not at all effective	3 nioi	4 derately effective	5	extremely effect	7 ive
Considering both the limit would you rate the overal	tations and possibility at this could be seen the seen th	ties of the subjec u rse ?	ct matter and	I the course forr	nat, how
1 2 (very low)	· 3	4 oderate)	5	(very h	7 igh)
Narrative Evaluation	i . how we was	uld discus	ومعلى:	from The	
course	a how we was aluring seculike format	tion but I	would've f	referred a pu	ore
pulew-1	ike format o	sometimes.			
		• •			
				ann C	
X ☐ Freshman	Sophomore	Junior		☐ Senior	0/10
Please do not sign this f	orm			٠	3/10

Undergraduate & Interdisciplinary Studies University of California, Berkeley

CogSci 1	David	l Clark		Fall 2012
Course Name/#	Instructor			Semester/Year
Please use this form to exconcisely. You will be give will be collected by a ment to the office of Undergrad available to the instructor Registrar. These forms we thank you for your comment.	en a reasonal nber of the cla luate and Inter only after he/s vill also be rev	ole amount of class lss. The complete disciplinary Studie	d evaluations s s, 231 Evans b the final grade:	hould then be delivered lall. They will be made at the Office of the
Numerical Evaluation Considering both the limit evaluate the overall teach	tations and po	ssibilities of the co ess of the instructo	urse and subje or?	ct, how would you
not at all effective	3	4 moderately effect	5 ive	6 7 extremely effective
Considering both the limi would you rate the overa	tations and po	essibilities of the suris course?	bject matter ar	d the course format, hov
1 2 (very low)	3	4 (moderate)	5	6 7 (very high)
Narrative Evaluation Admy know it it Day these to te	- 1s the ark a 15. It c			-1. lebs, but orything with
Da pate moderne	to between	4 4112 (00)	in the second	
			·	
			•	
X ☐ Freshman	Sophom	ore 🗖 Jun	ior	☐ Senior

Please do not sign this form

3/10