

▼ Level Styles

▼ Introduction

- ▼ *What do we mean by meta-programming?*
 - Writing code that writes code
- ▼ *What's the motivation for this?*
 - You can do most things in Scala with three simple patterns: ADTs, pattern matching, and type classes
 - We can make code shorter and easier to read by making good use of reusable high-level patterns (monads, monoids, etc)
 - However, sometimes we end up with boilerplate and we want to get rid of it
- ▼ If we've got a lot of "mechanical-looking" code, we might look at meta-programming to get rid of it:
 - Lucy the dog
- ▼ *We'll look at three types of meta-programming*
 - ▼ The types are:
 - Macros
 - Shapeless
 - Code generation
 - ▼ We could also throw compiler plugins and reflection in here
 - In Scala, there's a lot of overlap between these and macros
 - Reflection is unfashionable in Scala because it happens at runtime
 - ▼ When we look at each approach, we're interested in:
 - What is it good or bad at?
 - When should we use or not use it?
 - What are some cool examples?
 - What are some simple examples (to give you an in)?
 - It's going to be fairly high-level and example-based, with a few GIFs for good measure

▼ The Punchline

- *Macros are good at syntaxy stuff, shapeless is good at typey stuff*
- ▼ *Neither approach is good without a solid non-meta-programming base*
 - Think about your library design to enable your developers work without meta-programming
 - Sprinkle meta-programming techniques as shortcuts for boilerplatey

▼ Macros

- ▼ *Four types of macros:*
 - def macros
 - string interpolator macros
 - macro annotations
 - implicit macros
- ▼ *Def macros (blackbox):*
 - ▼ What are they?
 - Macros that look like method calls
 - ▼ What are they good for?
 - Looking at the syntax in the surrounding context
 - Expanding to an expression
 - Error messages
 - Really fast!
 - ▼ What are they bad at / what do you need to look out for?
 - Hygiene
 - Separate compilation
 - Inspecting sealed types prior to SI-7046 (mostly fixed by Miles in Typelevel Scala 2.12.0 and Lightbent Scala 2.12.1)
 - Introducing identifiers (see macro annotations)
 - ▼ What are some examples?
 - Monocle *GenLens[A](_accessor)*
<https://github.com/julien-truffaut/Monocle/blob/master/macro/shared/src/main/scala/monocle/macros/internal/Macro.scala#L13-L54>
 - macwire *wire[A]*
<https://github.com/adamw/macwire/blob/master/macros/src/main/scala/com/softwaremill/macwire/MacwireMacros.scala#L10-L31>
 - enumeratum *findValues*
<https://github.com/lloydmeta/enumeratum/blob/master/macros/src/main/scala/enumeratum/EnumMacros.scala#L13-L19>
 - checklist *validator.field(_accessor)*
<https://github.com/davegurnell/checklist/blob/develop/src/main/scala/checklist/RuleMacros.scala#L10-L17>
- ▼ Design point:
 - ▼ Good library code works without macros
 - Checklist is monads, applicative functors, Ior, and a custom data type, Rule
 - The macros just avoid one tiny bit of syntax
 - ▼ Macros can't do runtime stuff (obviously)
 - But you typically *need* runtime stuff (e.g. to read input from the user)
 - ▼ See macros as setting up a board game:
 - "I want to have fun playing a board game"

- Macros represent setting up the board and the pieces, ready to play, before your friends get there
- Runtime represents actually playing with your friends
- The game doesn't work without rolling dice and cheating and abusing your friends

▼ *String interpolator macros:*

- ▼ What are they?
 - Same as `def` macros
- ▼ What are they good for?
 - Parsing completely non-Scala-type DSLs into Scala
- ▼ What are they bad at?
 - Same as `def` macros
- ▼ What are some examples?
 - `unindent`
<https://github.com/davegurnell/unindent/blob/develop/src/main/scala/unindent/package.scala#L19-L29>
 - `compose`
<https://github.com/underscoreio/compose/blob/develop/core/src/main/scala/compose/Tablature/TablatureSyntax.scala#L14-L30>
 - Good blog post on type-safe email address macros
<http://blog.xrxrocha.net/2014/08/type-safe-strings-with-scala-macros.html>
 - TODO: THERE'S GOT TO BE SOME BETTER EXAMPLES OF EMBEDDED LANGUAGES: CSS? HTML? PROLOG?
- ▼ Design point:
 - Design a dumb ADT to represent what you want (e.g. `Score`)
- ▼ Write a macro that:
 - parses a string (using parser combinators, `parboiled`, or some existing library)
 - EITHER just says "ok that's valid" and leaves the string to be parsed again at runtime
 - OR expands into a larger syntax expression that removes the need to parse the string at runtime
 - (if you have a simple target expression language, like in `compose`, you can build an instance of it in the macro and then traverse it to turn it back into syntax)
<https://github.com/underscoreio/compose/blob/develop/core/src/main/scala/compose/Tablature/TablatureSyntax.scala#L41-L48>

▼ *Macro annotations:*

- ▼ What are they?
 - Expanded before type-checking
 - Operate on a definition and its context
 - Can expand into multiple definitions
- ▼ What are they good for?
 - Introducing new definitions
- ▼ What are they bad at?
 - Anything to do with types
 - Being picked up by IDEs (unless you implement a custom plugin for IntelliJ)
<https://blog.jetbrains.com/scala/2015/10/14/intellij-api-to-build-scala-macros-support/>
- ▼ What are some examples?
 - `Monocle @Lenses` (and show the example of `@Prisms`)
<https://github.com/julien-truffaut/Monocle/blob/master/macro/shared/src/main/scala/monocle/macros/Lenses.scala>
<https://github.com/cb372/Monocle/blob/3290ca6e01c57c08ecoadofc755efbf774551cb7/macro/shared/src/main/scala/monocle/macros/Prisms.scala#L30-L34>
 - `Smartypants @smart` (and its genesis from `@smarts`, and the dumb string-naming-matching version of `@smarts` that I'm probably going to end up with)
<https://github.com/davegurnell/smartypants/blob/develop/src/main/scala/smartypants/Macros.scala>
<https://github.com/davegurnell/smartypants/blob/feature/smarts/src/main/scala/smartypants/Macros.scala#L9-L46>
- ▼ Design points:
 - Macro annotations are super flexible
 - They allow us to introduce

▼ *Implicit macros:*

- ▼ What are they?
 - Macros marked with the *implicit* keyword
- ▼ What are they good for?
 - Default instances of type classes
- ▼ What are they bad at?
 - Nothing more than the above
- ▼ What are some examples?
 - CSV example from "Macros for the Rest of Us"
<https://github.com/underscoreio/essential-macros/blob/master/csv/lib/src/main/scala/CsvMacros.scala#L8-L24>
 - Shapeless... !!!

▼ *Shapeless*

- ▼ *What is it?*
 - ▼ "Generic programming"
 - Expressing data types in a generic way
 - Breaking them down into common components
 - Solving problems in a generic space
 - ▼ So it's type oriented, as opposed to syntax oriented
 - Built on top of implicit resolution and dependent types
 - Small parts implemented using macros, enabling boilerplate-free derivation
- ▼ *What is it good for?*

- Main use case: type class derivation

- Also type calculation

▼ *What is it bad for?*

- ▼ Compilation times, mitigated partially by:

- Inductive implicit resolution
- `cachedImplicit`

▼ *Two primary use cases:*

- Type calculation ("lemma" pattern)
- Type class derivation

▼ *Examples:*

- SprayJSON Shapeless

<https://github.com/fommil/spray-json-shapeless/blob/master/src/main/scala/fommil/sjs/RecordFormats.scala>

- Pureconfig

<https://github.com/pureconfig/pureconfig/blob/master/core/src/main/scala/pureconfig/DerivedConverters.scala>

- Cartographer map indices

<https://bitbucket.org/untyped/cartographer/src/5cb3dfffdfcd585b88a43b3bbf43b98c926d3a283/api/api/src/main/scala/cartographer/map/urs/UrsIndex.scala?fileviewer=file-view-default#UrsIndex.scala-41:112>

▼ *Design points:*

- Again, we're only using shapeless as a thin layer
- The underlying mechanism is a type class
- We can use the type class independently of shapeless
- We can even mix the type class and shapeless

▼ **Code generation**

▼ *Why talk about this?*

- ▼ There are clear down-sides to macros and shapeless:

- Macros can be difficult to get right in some cases

▼ *What is it?*

- ▼ Two forms:

- generating Scala code from another language
- generating code in other languages from Scala