

DATABASE CONSTRAINTS

INTEGRITY CONSTRAINTS

- are a set of rules that ensure that data insertion/updating/ other processes have to be performed in such a way that data integrity is not affected.
- determine what tuples can be stored in the database
- Instances that satisfy the constraints are called legal
- We will look into the special cases of more general constraints :

1) FUNCTIONAL DEPENDENCIES (FD)

The values for X attributes determine the values for Y attributes

- are constraints of the form $X \rightarrow Y$ where X, Y are sets of attributes

- A relation R satisfies $X \rightarrow Y$ if for every 2 tuples $t_1, t_2 \in R$

$$\pi_X(t_1) = \pi_X(t_2) \Rightarrow \pi_Y(t_1) = \pi_Y(t_2)$$

If the 2 tuples agree on the value
that they assign to attributes in X...

...then they must also agree on
the value they assign to attributes in Y

- FDs are trivial ($X \rightarrow Y$) when $Y \subseteq X$

R

Employee	Department	Manager
John	Finance	Smith
Mary	HR	Taylor
Susan	HR	Taylor
John	Sales	Smith

Are the ft. FDs satisfied by the above relation?

- $\{\text{Department}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Manager}\}$ YES! See red square above.
- $\{\text{Employee}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Department}\}$ NO! See green square above.
- $\{\text{Employee, Manager}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Department}\}$ NO!
 - As RC query, it's written as $\{() \mid \forall x, y, y', z \ R(x, y, z) \wedge R(x, y', z) \rightarrow y = y'\}$
 - Output can be $\{()\}$ (FALSE) or $\{()\}$ (TRUE)
- $\{\text{Manager}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Department}\}$ NO!
 - $\{() \mid \forall x, y, z, x', y' \ R(x, y, z) \wedge R(x', y', z) \rightarrow y = y'\}$

→ **Key constraint** is a special case of FD $X \rightarrow Y$ where

Y is the whole set of attributes of a relation

↳ A key for a table is a set of attributes that uniquely identify a row

↳ A set of attributes X is a key for relation R if for every $t_1, t_2 \in R$

$$\pi_X(t_1) = \pi_X(t_2) \rightarrow t_1 = t_2$$

i.e.

A		
A	B	C
1	2	3
2	1	1
1	2	4

Let A be key for R , then $A \rightarrow A, B, C$.

The instance on the left does not satisfy the key constraint, bc. we have 2 rows that have the same value for A , but different values for $B & C$ together.

2) INCLUSION DEPENDENCIES (IND)

→ are constraints of the form $R[X] \subseteq S[Y]$

where R, S are relations and X, Y are sequences of attributes

→ R and S satisfy $R[X] \subseteq S[Y]$ if

for every $t_1 \in R$ there exists $t_2 \in S$ such that $\pi_X(t_1) = \pi_Y(t_2)$

NOTE: The projection must respect the order of attributes [Sequences]

→ INDs are referential constraints

↳ Link the contents of one table w/ the contents of another table

→ **Foreign key constraint** is the conjunction of 2 constraints:

1) An IND — $R[X] \subseteq S[Y]$

2) A key constraint — Y is key for S

i.e.

Employees	
Name	Dep
John	Finance
Mary	HR
John	HR
Linda	Finance
Susan	Sales

Which of the ff. INDs would the relation satisfy:

• Employees [Dep] \subseteq Departments [Name] YES!

↳ Employees must work for a department that is listed in Departments table

• Employees [Name] \subseteq Departments [Mgr] NO!

↳ Every employee is a manager; Susan is not a manager.

• Departments [Mgr] \subseteq Employees [Name] YES!

↳ Every manager is an employee

ORDER is
IMPORTANT!

• Departments [Mgr, Name] \subseteq Employees [Name, Dep] NO!

↳ (Linda, Sales) is not in Employees table

Departments	
Name	Mgr
Finance	John
HR	Mary
Sales	Linda

BASIC SQL CONSTRAINTS

1) NOT NULL — to disallow null values

e.g. CREATE TABLE Account (
accnum VARCHAR(12) NOT NULL,
branch VARCHAR(30),
custid VARCHAR(10),
balance NUMERIC(14,2) DEFAULT 0);

The ff. insertion would fail:
INSERT INTO Account (branch, custid)
VALUES ('London', 'cust1');
accnum & ↑
balance will take default value,
but accnum has no default value
hence it'll be NULL

2) UNIQUE — to declare keys

e.g. CREATE TABLE Account (
accnum VARCHAR(12) UNIQUE,
branch VARCHAR(30),
custid VARCHAR(10),
balance NUMERIC(14,2));

ERROR (X) INSERT INTO Account
VALUES [1, 'London', 'cust1', 100],
[1, 'Edi', 'cust3', 200];
(✓) INSERT INTO Account
VALUES [NULL, 'London', 'cust1', 100],
[NULL, 'Edi', 'cust3', 200];
UNIQUE allows ↪
null values and SQL doesn't consider it the same

To declare compound keys, we use the ff. syntax:

```
CREATE TABLE Movies(  
m-title VARCHAR(30),  
m-director VARCHAR(30),  
m-year SMALLINT,  
UNIQUE (m-title, m-year));
```

This declares the set {m-title, m-year}
as a key for Movies

↳ You can have repetitions in within each single column, but no repetitions when you consider two values together

3) PRIMARY KEY — UNIQUE + NOT NULL

```
CREATE TABLE Account(  
accnum VARCHAR(12) PRIMARY KEY,  
:)
```

```
CREATE TABLE Account(  
accnum VARCHAR(12) NOT NULL UNIQUE,  
:)
```

Same as

4) FOREIGN KEY - to reference attributes in other tables

CREATE TABLE <table1>

<attr> <type>,

...

<attr> <type>,

FOREIGN KEY (<list1>) REFERENCES <table2> (<list2>);

[lists w/ same no. of attributes]

attributes here are
unique in table2

- ↳ This does not enforce anything to be NOT NULL, this depends on the attributes tht. you are referencing (primary key / unique?).

REFERENTIAL INTEGRITY

- Deletions can cause problems w/ foreign keys

i.e.

Customer	
ID	Name
1	John
2	Mary

Account	
Num	IDCust
123456	1
654321	2

This value
is not among
the values of other table

What happens if you delete (1, John) from Customer?

↳ Foreign key constraint is violated!

- 3 ways to deal w/ this:

1) Reject the deletion operation

2) Propagate deletion into Account by deleting also (123456, 1)

↳ FOREIGN KEY... REFERENCES... ON DELETE CASCADE

3) "Don't know" approach

↳ keep tuple in Account but set the IDCust value to NULL

↳ ON DELETE SET NULL