

2/27/2020 Week 7 Module 2

Virtual screening

- This module will consist of
 - an introduction to virtual screening
 - a “tour” of a virtual screening calculation using AutoDock Vina on XSEDE Bridges
- At the end of this module, you should be able to answer the following questions:
 - What is virtual screening and why is it used?
 - What types of chemical libraries are used in virtual screening?
 - How is a chemical library prepared for virtual screening?
 - What is a virtual screening hit and what are some desirable properties of a hit?
 - How are virtual screening programs assessed?
- After the tour, you are encouraged to set up a virtual screening calculation with your own target

What is virtual screening and why is it used?

- Virtual screening
 - use of computation to estimate the activity (e.g. binding or inhibition) of a database of chemical compounds against a target
 - “virtual” in contrast to experimental high-throughput screening (HTS)
 - usually based on molecular docking, but machine learning in vogue
- Why is it used?
 - to help obtain leads for drugs and chemical probes
 - prioritizing compounds for experimental follow-up
 - faster predictions of specificity
 - compared to HTS
 - cheaper, accessible to academic laboratories
 - can screen larger libraries, increasing likelihood of good hit
 - HTS suffers from pan-assay interference compounds (PAINS)

Single Docking

Library Screen

Use GUI

Use scripts

Data in one directory

Data in tree structure

Single ligand PDBQT

Several ligand PDBQT

One interactive calculation

Submit jobs to cluster


Visually inspect all results

Visually inspect best results

based on Morris et al, 2008

How should we decide what to screen?

- Chemical space is vast and largely unexplored
 - Possible small organic molecules estimated $> 10^{60}$
 - Generated and collected in a database (GDB)
 - GDB-11: 26.4 compounds with up to 11 atoms of C, N, O, and F
 - GDB-13: ~1 billion compounds with up to 13 atoms of C, N, O, S, and Cl
 - Exhaustive search not necessarily feasible or useful
 - Different types of chemical libraries may be suitable



Generated by MQN-Maplet (<http://gdb.unibe.ch/tools/>)
[Awale et al, 2013]

What types of chemical libraries are used?

Type of library	Analogy	Examples
Comprehensive	Search in the dark	<u>ZINC15</u> :~1 billion compounds in vendor catalogs. ~11 million in stock.
Combinatorial	Search in the dark	<u>Enamine REAL</u> :13 billion “readily accessible” molecules.
Diverse	Efficient search in the dark	<u>Diverse REAL drug-like</u> :15 million. <u>NCI Diversity Set VI</u> :1548 free.
“Focused” or “Targeted” for lead identification	Search with a flashlight	Filtered for a structural motif or pharmacophore
“Focused” or “Targeted” for lead optimization	Focusing the spotlights	Riboflavin analogues

Analogies from Morris et al, 2008

Molecular weight is an important factor

Class	Weight	Why do virtual screening?
Fragments	< 300 Da	to join together into leads
Lead-like	300-375 Da	low potency compounds that can be optimized
Drug-like	<500 Da	potential to be highly potent and suitable for preclinical testing

Zinc is not Commercial (ZINC15)

- a free database of commercially-available compounds for virtual screening
- divided into “tranches” by molecular weight and LogP, a measure of predicted hydrophobicity
 - predefined subsets of ZINC15 include fragments, lead-like, and drug-like
- organized into catalogs, notably
 - by vendor
 - BindingDB.org - binding affinity database
 - DrugBank-approved - approved drugs, helpful for repurposing
 - NCI HTS libraries: plated 2007 and diversity 3.
 - Sigma Aldrich building blocks
- can search for analogs of a particular compound (SAR by catalog)
- buyer beware!

How is a chemical library prepared for virtual screening?

- Chemicals in libraries are usually specified by a string of characters
 - Simplified Molecular-Input Line-Entry system (SMILES)
 - Element abbreviation, possibly in square brackets
 - Bonds between atoms
 - IUPAC International Chemical Identifier (InChI)
- Docking requires 3D structures and molecular mechanics parameters
 - Conformer generation programs such as OpenEye Omega or Balloon can be used to create 3D structures. ZINC provides 3D structures based on Omega.
 - In AutoDock, parameters for each ligand atom are the partial charge and atom type

What is a virtual screening hit and what are some desirable properties of a hit?


- A virtual screening hit has a low docking score
- If there is a positive control, the docking score is comparable or lower than the positive control
- Ideally, a virtual screening hit should
 - have a number of clear contacts with the receptor
 - have most atoms in contact with the receptor, opposed to in solvent

How are virtual screening program assessed?


- Binding poses
 - Similarity of predicted poses to solved structures
 - Root mean square deviation (RMSD) < 2 Å considered good
- Docking scores
 - Correlation between scores and measured affinities
 - Active molecules should have lower scores than
 - inactive compounds
 - decoys, which are have similar chemical properties but different connectivity than active molecules
 - Quantified by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, area under the ROC curve (AUC), enrichment factor
- Reported values can be biased
 - Computational chemists, especially methods developers, tempted to tweak approach (e.g. parameters) until experiments are reproduced.
 - Blinded challenges (e.g. D3R Grand Challenge) reduce bias

The Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve

score	fraction of actives	fraction of inactives
-53.4	0.2	0
-50.2	0.2	0.2
-49.2	0.4	0.2
-45.7	0.6	0.2
-42.1	0.6	0.4
-35.2	0.6	0.6
-30.0	0.6	0.8
-21.3	0.8	0.8
-20.7	1.0	0.8
-4.2	1.0	1.0



Molecular docking is often an unreliable binary classifier



Cross et al, 2009


Tour: Virtual screening of FDA-approved drugs against HIV protease

Overview

- In terms of clinical impact, there are many advantages of docking an existing drug against a new target
 - Clinical trials have already been performed
 - Demonstrated safety
 - Understanding of pharmacokinetics
 - Even before a new clinical trial, doctors can prescribe an existing drug for off-label use
- I will describe how I docked the FDA approved drugs against HIV protease
 - Protease is not a new target, but the same procedure can be followed for a new target
 - The steps include downloading the library, converting to AutoDock's ligand format, transferring files to XSEDE Bridges, submitting a job that runs AutoDock Vina, transferring files back to my computer, and performing some analysis
 - Files for this tour are [on GitHub](#)

Preparing a chemical library

- First, I went to the ZINC15 web site and downloaded all substances in the “DrugBank FDA only” catalog in SDF format. It was a 3.8 MB file.



<http://zinc15.docking.org/catalogs/dbfda/substances/subsets/world/>

File formatting

- ZINC provides the library in a file format that AutoDock Tools is unfamiliar with, SDF
- To convert to the format that AutoDock uses, I used [Open Babel](#) with the command
 - `obabel dbfda-world.sdf -O dbfda.pdbqt -m`
 - -m means that the molecule is split into multiple files
- This generated 1657 pdbqt files in the same directory

Virtual Screening Scripts

- I wrote a few scripts to manage the virtual screening
 - sync_virtual_screen.sh, to transfer files back and forth between my computer and XSEDE's Bridges
 - create_vina_sh.py, a python script to create a shell script, script0.sh, to run vina on every ligand file in a directory and use a specified number of cores
 - vina_multithread.job, a SLURM batch script to
 - run create_vina_sh.py based on the number of cores that SLURM provides
 - run the resulting shell script0.sh

```
Minh-IIT-MBP2018:[~/Documents/GitHub/Chem456/static_files/tutorials/hivpr-docking]: more sync_virtual_screen.sh
rsync -Cuavz virtual_screen/ dminh@bridges.psc.xsede.org:~/virtual_screen/
rsync -Cuavz dminh@bridges.psc.xsede.org:~/virtual_screen/ virtual_screen/
```

Virtual Screening Procedure

- First, I transferred the files to Bridges using sync virtual screen.sh
- Then, I logged onto Bridges and executed the command `sbatch vina_multithread.job'
- Next, I transferred the results to my own computer using sync virtual screen.sh
- Finally, I performed some preliminary analysis on an ipython notebook, AnalyzeVS.ipynb, also exported to html format.

References

- Awale, M.; van Deursen, R.; Reymond, J.-L. MQN-Maplet: Visualization of Chemical Space with Interactive Maps of DrugBank, ChEMBL, PubChem, GDB-11, and GDB-13. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 2013, 53 (2), 509–518. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ci300513m>.
- Cross, J. B.; Thompson, D. C.; Rai, B. K.; Baber, J. C.; Fan, K. Y.; Hu, Y.; Humblet, C. Comparison of Several Molecular Docking Programs: Pose Prediction and Virtual Screening Accuracy. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* 2009, 49 (6), 1455–1474. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ci900056c>.
- [Morris et al, 2008a] Presentation: Using AutoDock 4 for Virtual Screening (Handouts, PDF document, 1.1 MB)
 - [http://autodock.scripps.edu/faqs-help/tutorial/using-autodock4-for-virtual-screening/
VSTutorial2.2008.pdf](http://autodock.scripps.edu/faqs-help/tutorial/using-autodock4-for-virtual-screening/VSTutorial2.2008.pdf)
 - This presentation also describes some virtual screening success stories
- [Morris et al, 2008b] Instructions: Using AutoDock 4 for Virtual Screening (PDF document, 464 KB)
 - [http://autodock.scripps.edu/faqs-help/tutorial/using-autodock4-for-virtual-screening/
UsingAutoDock4forVirtualScreening_v4.pdf](http://autodock.scripps.edu/faqs-help/tutorial/using-autodock4-for-virtual-screening/UsingAutoDock4forVirtualScreening_v4.pdf)
- Sterling, T.; Irwin, J. J. ZINC 15 – Ligand Discovery for Everyone. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 2015, 55 (11), 2324–2337. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.5b00559>.