

Knowledge Pool

DAVeMoS team, Institute for Transport Studies (IVe), University of Natural Resources and Life i

2021-01-21

Contents

Preface	7
Table of content	9
1 Introduction	11
2 Physical road infrastructure	13
2.1 Dedicated lanes for connected and automated vehicles (CAV) . .	13
2.2 Cooperative lane control for connected and automated vehicles .	18
2.3 Operational design domains	18
2.4 Rail crossing information system	18
2.5 Electric road system	18
2.6 High occupancy toll lanes	18
2.7 Public transport priority systems	18
2.8 Transformation of public space and digital solutions	18
3 Highway infrastructure management	19
3.1 Unmanned aerial vehicles for infrastructure maintenance	19
3.2 Electric charging stations	23
4 Traffic management	25
4.1 Platooning	25
4.2 Real-time traffic information and monitoring	25
4.3 Cooperative - intelligent transport system	25

4.4	Dynamic route guidance	25
4.5	Variable speed limits and dynamic signage system	25
4.6	Passengers and goods fleet management	29
4.7	Urban access management	29
5	Road pricing	31
5.1	Congestion charging	31
6	Digital road infrastructure and connectivity	33
6.1	Vehicle to infrastructure communication	33
6.2	Infrastructure support levels for automated driving	33
6.3	Vehicle to vehicle communication	33
6.4	Wireless communication	33
7	Passenger information system	35
7.1	Digital journey planner	35
7.2	Rail telematics for passenger services	35
7.3	Multimodal information and route planning	35
7.4	Real-time, location-based information	35
8	Multimodal integrated system	37
8.1	First-last mile solutions	37
8.2	Distance or time-based fares	37
8.3	Mobility as a service	37
8.4	Park and ride	37
8.5	Contactless public transport cards	37
8.6	Information and assistance for people with special needs	41
8.7	Mobility/Freight hubs	41
9	Connected and autonomous driving	43
9.1	Parking infrastructure for autonomous vehicles	43
9.2	Connected vehicles	43
9.3	Automated vehicles	43

<i>CONTENTS</i>	5
10 On-board technology for connected and automated vehicles	45
10.1 Advanced driver assistance system	45
10.2 Parking assistance system	45
10.3 Lane keeping	45
10.4 Distane keeping	45
10.5 Crash avoidance	45
10.6 Mainteinance assistance	45
10.7 Digital maps	45
10.8 E-Horizon	45
10.9 Emergency call	45
11 Freight and commercial transport	47
11.1 Automated road freight	48
11.2 Freight dreyage optimisation	48
11.3 Tracking and tracing of dangerous goods	48
11.4 Intermodal Freight	48
11.5 Real-time disruption management and route planning	48
11.6 Traffic signal control	48
11.7 Urban Deliveries	48
11.8 Parcel load pooling	48
11.9 Intelligent truck parking and delivery space booking	48
11.10Freight drones	48
11.11Commercial vehicle on-board safety systems	48
11.12Truck Platooning	48
11.13Rail telematics for freight services	48
11.14Electric vehicle delivery fleets	48
11.15Multimodal transport management systems	48
11.16Cooperative adaptive cruise control in trucks	48

12 Collective mobility vehicles	49
12.1 Demand responsive transit	49
12.2 Personal rapid transit	49
12.3 Bus rapid transit	49
12.4 Light rail transit	49
12.5 Passenger drones	49
12.6 Automatic train operations	49
13 Big data	51
13.1 Automatic identification system fir maritime transport	51
13.2 Big data lifecycle	51
13.3 Location-based data	51
13.4 Aircraft tracking system	51
13.5 Big data tools for maping and forecasting travel behaviour	51
14 Shared mobility	53
14.1 Car sharing	53
14.2 Bicycle and e-bicycle hire	58
14.3 E-scooter hire	62
14.4 Ride-hailing	62
15 Alternative power sources	63
15.1 Hydrogen fuel cell	63
15.2 Battery electric	68
15.3 Plugin hybrid vehicles	68
16 References	75

Preface

This is a *continuously developing* database, which is a part of DAVeMOS project. It aims at gathering concepts and evidence of the systemic impact of transport digitalisation and automation. Therefore, the authors of this work welcome any feedback, questions and contributions that the readers may have.

For further inputs please contact the corresponding author *Martyna Bogacz* on the following email address: davemos.library@boku.ac.at

The knowledge pool was last compiled on:

[1] "21 January 2021"

Table of content

1. Introduction to the knowledge pool	1
2. Physical road infrastructure	2
3. Highway infrastructure management	3
4. Traffic management	4
5. Road pricing	5
6. Digital road infrastructure and connectivity	6
7. Passenger information system	7
8. Multimodal integrated system	8
9. Connected and autonomous driving	9
10. On-board technology for connected and automated vehicles	10
11. Freight and commercial transport	11
12. Collective mobility vehicles	12
13. Big data	13
14. Shared mobility	14
15. Alternative power sources	15
16. References	16

Chapter 1

Introduction

This work gathers and defines essential concepts related to automation and digitalisation of transport system together with the description of their impact, both negative and positive on **individual**, **systemic** and **economy level**. This knowledge pool is driven by the fact that automation and digitalisation are progressing quickly, although not uniformly across all areas within transport context. Therefore, to understand spectrum of possibilities that they bring, it is necessary to explain key concepts, demonstrate their level of maturity and current market penetration, and finally assess their impact on different levels. Given this approach, the page of each topic contains the following elements: **definition** of the phenomenon, **key stakeholders** who are the main parties responsible for and affected by the given technological development. Then, we include two subsections on **current state of art in research and practice**. The former one summarizes the most recent research in a given topic while the latter explains the current stage of implementation of given technology in the real world. Further, section named **relevant initiatives in Austria** covers the leading initiatives within given topic and potential for Austrian actors. Moreover, we provide the summary table of the impacts of the concept on selected **sustainable development goals** (SDGs). Beyond, to provide an objective measure of technology maturity within each topic we include so-called **technology readiness scale** (Williamson & Beasley, 2011) and **societal readiness scale**, as described below:

Finally, we provide a list of **outstanding questions** and **links to additional sources** on the topic.

References

- Williamson, R., & Beasley, J. (2011). Automotive technology and manufacturing readiness levels: a guide to recognised stages of development within the automotive industry. URN11/672.



Figure 1.1: Technology readiness scale




Figure 1.2: Societal readiness scale

Chapter 2

Physical road infrastructure

2.1 Dedicated lanes for connected and automated vehicles (CAV)

Synonyms

AV-dedicated lanes, dedicated corridors

Definition

Dedicated lane for connected and autonomous vehicles features additional infrastructure or sensors to increase the reliability of Advanced Driver Assistant Systems (ADAS). Only automated driving vehicles are allowed to drive on these lanes. The typical applications include cooperative and adaptive cruise control based on sensors with the infrastructure, lane keeping, fuel use optimization and road pricing possibilities (Broek et al., 2011). The introduction of dedicated lanes for CAV is expected to have direct consequences on the traffic flow on the highways and a nearby road network. In particular, a study conducted in Singapore showed that dedicated lanes on the highways can reduce travel time of CAVs by approximately 25% (if the saturation on the lane is not reached) at the cost of a delay for conventional cars of approximately 7%, due to the reduced capacity (Ivanchev et al., 2017). They were also demonstrated to have a positive effect on fuel consumption. Moreover, the throughput, defined as a number of vehicles passing through the road in a given time interval, increased as a result of introduction of dedicated lanes for AVs (Kumar et al., 2020). This effect, however, was associated with a decrease in throughput of smaller roads due to the preference of AVs for highways because of time savings, which in turn can result in time loss for conventional cars. What is more, the benefits from

increased capacity of AV-only lanes can be further amplified through setting a higher speed limits for these lanes (Ye & Yamamoto, 2018). With respect to the demand for different road types the study found that the introduction of dedicated CAV lanes will increase the demand of conventional cars for major road (but smaller than highways) and minor roads as a substitution for more congested highways due to the dedicated AV lanes. In contrast, study by Chen et al. (2016) showed that the implementation of CAV dedicated lanes has a potential of maximizing traffic capacity on these lanes in a mix-traffic context while having effectively no impact on conventional traffic capacity. Further, in order to use efficiently CAV dedicated lanes, which may be underutilized at the early stage, it is proposed to allow conventional cars to enter the AVs-only lanes after toll payment. This solution stems from currently operational across the world High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes. This joint approach is claimed to improve the throughput of individual road as well as enhance system-wide flow distribution within the network (Liu & Song, 2019).

Key stakeholders

- **Affected:** Conventional Cars' Drivers, Car Manufacturers, Insurers
- **Responsible:** Road Infrastructure Agencies, Local and National Governments

Current state of art in research

Current research focuses on gathering the evidence of the impact of the introduction of dedicated lanes on traffic flow, driver behavior adoption, safety and efficiency. Furthermore, it analyses the factors which influence them, by testing different design and operation configurations, road types and utilization policies (Rad et al., 2020). Both, field operational testing and driving simulator studies have been conducted to investigate the influence of different designs of dedicated lanes on drivers in conventional cars and those featuring some degree of automation (Guin et al., 2008, Zhong, 2018). In particular, a number of studies compared distinct access types of dedicated lanes (Zhong, 2018, Yang et al., 2019). They showed that dedicated lanes with limited access performed better in terms of travel time and throughput compared to dedicated lanes with continuous access. Moreover, the probability of vehicles platooning was significantly higher on dedicated lanes with limited access. On the other hand, it was showed that collision rates near the entry or exit of these limited access lanes are higher (Rad et al. 2020).

Current state of art in practice

Currently state of Michigan together with several private partners including Ford and Alphabet Inc. are planning to dedicate 65 km of a highway between

Detroit and Ann Arbor for the sole movement of autonomous vehicles including buses and shuttles (Krisher & Eggert, 2020). Similar initiatives are taking place in other countries, for instance, China set out to build nearly 100 km of 8-lane highway linking Beijing and the Xiongan New Area, from which 2 lanes will be allocated for the automated traffic. The completion of the construction phase is predicted by the end of 2020, while its opening is for traffic is expected in June 2021 (Syncedreview.com, 2020). In Europe, there is on-going SHOW (SHared automation Operating models for Worldwide adoption) project which aims to deploy about seventy automated vehicles in 21 European cities. To assess how they can best be integrated vehicles will be used in different settings in mixed traffic and dedicated lanes. However, for safety reasons the driver will be on-board (CORDIS, 2020).

Relevant initiatives in Austria

- tugraz.at
- ait.ac.at

Impacts with respect to Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Impact level	Indicator	Impact direction	Goal description and number	Source
Individual	Fuel consumption reduced	+	Environmental sustainability (7,12-13,15)	Ivanchev et al., 2017
Individual	Travel time reduced	+	Sustainable economic development (8,11)	Zhong, 2018; Yang et al., 2019
Systemic	Collision rate reduced	+	Health & Wellbeing (3)	Zhang et al., 2020
Systemic	Emissions rate reduced	+	Environmental sustainability (7,12-13,15)	Al Alam et al., 2010
Systemic	Congestion	~	Sustainable economic development (8,11)	Ivanchev et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2020

Impact level	Indicator	Impact direction	Goal description and number	Source
Systemic	Novel designs tested	+	Innovation & Infrastructure (9)	Guin et al., 2008; Zhong, 2018; Krisher & Eggert, 2020
Systemic	SHOW EU initiative	+	Partnership & collaborations (17)	CORDIS, 2020

Technology and societal readiness level

TRL	SRL
5-6	1-3

Open questions

1. What are the potential benefits of dedicated AV lanes when coupled with smart platooning strategies?
2. How and to what degree will joint concepts by automotive sector, fleet and road operators will improve traffic management establishing dynamic traffic regulations even across borders?
3. What are the roles and responsibilities of the different stakeholders of physical infrastructure for connected and automated vehicles?
4. Should the vehicle cope with any road infrastructure, and if not, what demands can be set to adapt the existing infrastructure?
5. How to ensure continuity between those different environments?
6. Which tools (e.g. micro- and macroscopic transport modelling, impact assessment) can enable cities to assess the impact of automated vehicles on their physical road infrastructure and balance the needs of automated vehicles against the needs of existing modes (conventional vehicles, public transport, pedestrians and cyclists). (ERTRAC, 2019)

Further links

- knowledge base
- show project

References

- Al Alam, A., Gattami, A., & Johansson, K. H. (2010, September). An experimental study on the fuel reduction potential of heavy duty vehicle platooning. In 13th International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems (pp. 306-311). IEEE.
- Broek, S. M., van Nunen, E., & Zwijnenberg, H. (2011). Definition of necessary vehicle and infrastructure systems for automated driving. Retrieved January, 3, 2017.
- Chen, Z., He, F., Zhang, L., & Yin, Y. (2016). Optimal deployment of autonomous vehicle lanes with endogenous market penetration. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 72, 143-156.
- CORDIS | European Commission. (20 Apr 2020). Retrieved 13 November 2020, from <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/875530>
- ERTRAC Working Group. (2019). Connected Automated Driving Roadmap. version, 8, 2019-08.
- Guin, A., Hunter, M., & Guensler, R. (2008). Analysis of reduction in effective capacities of high-occupancy vehicle lanes related to traffic behavior. *Transportation Research Record*, 2065(1), 47-53.
- Ivanchev, J., Knoll, A., Zehe, D., Nair, S., & Eckhoff, D. (2017). Potentials and implications of dedicated highway lanes for autonomous vehicles. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.07658.
- Krisher, T., & Eggert, D. (14 Aug 2020). Michigan plans dedicated road lanes for autonomous vehicles. Retrieved 12 November 2020, from <https://abcnews.go.com/Technology/wireStory/michigan-plans-dedicated-road-lanes-autonomous-vehicles-72352758>
- Kumar, A., Guhathakurta, S., & Venkatachalam, S. (2020). When and where should there be dedicated lanes under mixed traffic of automated and human-driven vehicles for system-level benefits?. *Research in Transportation Business & Management*, 100527.
- Liu, Z., & Song, Z. (2019). Strategic planning of dedicated autonomous vehicle lanes and autonomous vehicle/toll lanes in transportation networks. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 106, 381-403.
- Rad, S. R., Farah, H., Taale, H., van Arem, B., & Hoogendoorn, S. P. (2020). Design and operation of dedicated lanes for connected and automated vehicles on motorways: A conceptual framework and research agenda. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 117, 102664.
- Syncedreview.com (31 Aug 2020). Beijing Builds 100km Highway Lanes for Self-Driving Cars with Unmanned Machineries. Retrieved 12 November 2020, from <https://syncedreview.com/2020/08/31/beijing-builds-100km-highway-lanes-for-self-driving-cars-with-unmanned-machineries/>
- Yang, D., Farah, H., Schoenmakers, M. J., & Alkim, T. (2019). Human drivers behavioural adaptation when driving next to a platoon of automated vehicles on a dedicated lane and implications on traffic flow: a driving simulator and microscopic simulation study in the Netherlands. In 98th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board (pp. 19-

00582).

- Ye, L., & Yamamoto, T. (2018). Impact of dedicated lanes for connected and autonomous vehicle on traffic flow throughput. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 512, 588-597.
- Zhang, J., Wu, K., Cheng, M., Yang, M., Cheng, Y., & Li, S. (2020). Safety Evaluation for Connected and Autonomous Vehicles' Exclusive Lanes considering Penetrate Ratios and Impact of Trucks Using Surrogate Safety Measures. *Journal of advanced transportation*, 2020.
- Zhong, Z. (2018). Assessing the effectiveness of managed lane strategies for the rapid deployment of cooperative adaptive cruise control technology.

2.2 Cooperative lane control for connected and automated vehicles

2.3 Operational design domains

2.4 Rail crossing information system

2.5 Electric road system

2.6 High occupancy toll lanes

2.7 Public transport priority systems

2.8 Transformation of public space and digital solutions

Chapter 3

Highway infrastructure management

3.1 Unmanned aerial vehicles for infrastructure maintenance

Synonyms

Drones, remotely piloted vehicles, remotely piloted aircraft, uav

Definition

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), commonly known as drones are promising technologies that can be used in inspection and data gathering for infrastructure maintenance and management purposes. These include, for example, detection of wear and tear, monitoring of the progress at a highway construction site or the analysis of traffic (Frederiksen et al., 2019). UAVs typically include a portable control station for the human operator and under current legislation their operation in urban areas is limited to flying within visual line of sight (VLOS). UAVs typically feature various sensors and recorders, including video, far and near infrared, radar or laser-based range finders and specialized communication devices (Shaghlil & Khalafallah, 2018). Majority of them can transfer real-time data between the UAV and the control station. Moreover, some feature additional onboard data storage capabilities for enhanced data collection (Shaghlil & Khalafallah, 2018). The use of drones for infrastructure-related tasks provide not only savings with respect to time, labor and costs, but they also allow for reduction in risks when dangerous operations usually performed by human can be substituted with drones. Finally, the environmental impact is

diminished when drones, which produce considerably less CO₂, are used instead of currently employed helicopters. Nevertheless, the use of drones as a tool for inspecting infrastructure can also pose certain challenges with respect to current technology, legal framework, privacy concerns and social acceptance.

Key stakeholders

- **Affected:** Direct users of the roads and beneficiaries affected by the supply of transport services
- **Responsible:** Government agencies responsible for planning, executing, and financing of maintenance activities, citizens, contractors and subcontractors, private companies and manufacturers

Current state of art in research

Current research efforts and field trials-based studies are advocating the case of using UAVs for bridge inspection and monitoring. Previous study presented a proof of concept of utilising UAVs for bridge and high mast luminaires. Several experiments in controlled conditions were performed to test UAV response in relation to wind conditions. Moreover, image quality was examined in different flight scenarios, low light conditions, altitude and payload (Otero et al., 2015). Overall, the results are in favour of using drones for infrastructure inspections, not just in terms of saving human labor but also detecting the damages. The advantages of the drone use were also demonstrated in terms of reduced traffic control and decreased use of under bridge inspection vehicles (Zink and Lovelace, 2015). On the other hand, specific skills of the drone operators were found to hinder efficient use of drones for large-scale bridges (Wu et al., 2018). Further, some technological barriers also slow down the popularity of drones in infrastructure inspection, where an average flight time of the drone given its battery life is approximately 30 minutes. Therefore, current research aims at increasing the energy-efficiency by the use of path planning and algorithms to minimize energy utilization while maximizing coverage for traffic monitoring (Outay et al., 2020).

Current state of art in practice

Current use of drones is heavily regulated by national and international governments worldwide where the most considerable restriction is the requirement for drones to remain under VLOS of the controller. Beyond, the regulatory bodies put forward various specification with respect to physical aspects of the drones such as weight or sensors, training requirement of the operators and drones', data acquisition regulations and operation itself such as flight timeframe, altitude etc. (FAA, 2016; Outay et al., 2020). All of them, significantly restrict

3.1. UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES FOR INFRASTRUCTURE MAINTENANCE21

fast and wide application of drones in different areas. Therefore, the authorities attempt to provide regulations to tackle safety and privacy as well as noise concerns of the citizens. At the moment drones are used in oil and gas industry to conduct local surveys in off-shore facilities (Undertaking, 2016). Meanwhile in the transport sector, Danish company Dronops, after safety clearance, has been granted permission from Danish Road Authority to fly along a highway to monitor the traffic, where drone provides data from multiple sensors as well as video recordings. At the moment, the drone can only fly in good weather conditions and it is cable-linked to its power source located on the ground to allow for continuous day-long monitoring at 120 m above the ground. Importantly, the output data is used by Danish Road Authority and local council (Frederiksen et al., 2019).

Relevant initiatives in Austria

- smartcity.wien

Impacts with respect to Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Impact level	Indicator	Impact direction	Goal description and number	Source
Individual	Employees risk reduced	+	Health & Wellbeing (3)	Outay et al., 2020
Systemic	Road safety increased	+	Health & Wellbeing (3)	Outay et al., 2020
Systemic	Emissions rate reduced	+	Environmental sustainability (7,12-13,15)	Outay et al., 2020
Systemic	Job posts created	+	Sustainable economic development (8,11)	Jenkins & Vasigh, 2013
Systemic	Faster road infrastructure innovation	+	Innovation & Infrastructure (9)	Fan & Saadegh-vaziri, 2019

Technology and societal readiness level

TRL	SRL
3-4	5-7

Open questions

1. What are the factors influencing social acceptability of drones?
2. What actions from the policymakers need to be undertaken to minimize cyber-attacks?
3. What aspects need to be considered by the governments before the integration of more sensors to record other relevant data along with the integration of video data with other geospatial information?

Further links

- rolandberger

References

- FAA News, 2016, Summary of Small Unmanned Aircraft Rule (Part 107), Federal Aviation Authority, Washington DC, 20591, Accessed on May 2020, https://www.faa.gov/uas/media/Part_107_Summary.pdf.
- Fan, J., & Saadeghvaziri, M. A. (2019). Applications of Drones in Infrastructures: Challenges and Opportunities. *International Journal of Mechanical and Mechatronics Engineering*, 13(10), 649-655.
- Frederiksen, M. H., Mouridsen, O. A. V., & Knudsen, M. P. (2019). Drones for inspection of infrastructure: Barriers, opportunities and successful uses.
- Jenkins, D., & Vasigh, B. (2013). The economic impact of unmanned aircraft systems integration in the United States. Association for Unmanned Vehicle Systems International (AUVSI).
- Otero, L.D., Gagliardo, N., Dalli, D., Huang, W.-H., Cosentino, P. (2015). Proof of concept for using unmanned aerial vehicles for high mast pole and bridge inspections (No. BDV28-977-02). Florida. Dept. of Transportation. Research Center.
- utay, F., Mengash, H. A., & Adnan, M. (2020). Applications of unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) in road safety, traffic and highway infrastructure management: Recent advances and challenges. *Transportation research. Part A, Policy and practice*, 141, 116–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2020.09.018>

- Shaghlil, N., & Khalafallah, A. (2018). Automating highway infrastructure maintenance using unmanned aerial vehicles. In *Construction Research Congress* (2-4).
- Undertaking, S. J. (2016). European drones outlook study. *Unlocking the Value for Europe*.
- Wu, W., Qurishee, M. A., Owino, J., Fomunung, I., Onyango, M., Atolagbe, B. (2018). Coupling deep learning and UAV for infrastructure condition assessment automation. In: *2018 IEEE International Smart Cities Conference (ISC2)*. IEEE, pp. 1–7.
- Zink, J. and Lovelace, B., 2015. Unmanned aerial vehicle bridge inspection demonstration project. Research Project. Final Report, 40. Accessed in Nov 2020

3.2 Electric charging stations

Chapter 4

Traffic management

4.1 Platooning

4.2 Real-time traffic information and monitoring

4.3 Cooperative - intelligent transport system

4.4 Dynamic route guidance

4.5 Variable speed limits and dynamic signage system

Synonyms

Variable speed limits (VSL), dynamic speed limits (DSL), Verkehrsbeeinflussungsanlagen (VBA), Changeable Message Signs (CMS), Dynamic Signage System

Definition

Speed limits are based on safety, mobility and environmental considerations. While fixed speed limits represent the appropriate speed for average conditions, variable or dynamic speed limits (DSL) take account of the real time traffic,

or the road and weather conditions. Therefore, the latter reflect the safe speed better (Mobility and Transport, 2020). The road users are typically informed of the current speed limit by electronic signs above or beside the lanes (De Pauw et al., 2018), as shown in figure 1. These can be supplemented with warning signs (dynamic signage system). For example, if the usual speed limit is 100 km/h, the DSL could change to 80 km/h and further to 60 km/h, to limit rear-end collisions, if there is e.g., a traffic jam ahead or weather conditions are difficult.



Figure 4.1: Dynamic signage system in Austria (ASFiNAG, 2019b)

With respect to the impact on the societal level, a Belgian study, by E. De Pauw et al. showed a significant decrease (-18 %) in the number of injury crashes after the introduction of a DSL system (De Pauw et al., 2018). F.G. Habtemichael and L. de Picado Santos (2013) found that a DSL system has the highest safety benefit during highly congested traffic conditions. The operational benefit in turn was the highest during lightly congested traffic conditions. However, the success of DSL is highly dependent on the level of driver compliance (Habtemichael & de Picado Santos, 2013). Besides the safety aspects, the goal of DSL is to harmonize the traffic flow. Heavy traffic can cause shock waves, which result in longer travel times and large variations in the speeds of the vehicles. The latter again may lead to unsafe situations. By using DSL this phenomenon could be reduced (Hegyi et al., 2005). Traffic flow efficiency can be improved more, when DSL is combined with coordinated ramp metering (Carlson, 2010). Speed limits can also be temporary lowered, due to high emission values. If the emission values combined with the amount of traffic, reach a specific level, the DSL-System responds automatically and lowers the speed limit for a certain time. How high that level is, depends on the local policies (ASFiNAG, 2019c).

Key stakeholders

- **Affected:** Motorways users
- **Responsible:** Motorway Infrastructure Agencies, Technology Providers, Policymakers, State authorities

Current state of art in research

Studies show, that in retrospect most DSL implementations in Europe were efficient traffic safety and flow improvement. In the United States the increase in safety was significant as well, but the flow improvement was controversial (Lu & Shladover, 2014). Hassan et al. (2012) discovered that during bad weather conditions the combination of Changeable Message Signs (CMS) and DSL was the best way to improve safety. Current research shows that the benefits of DSL systems could be improved by integrating it in a fully connected vehicles (CV) environment (Wu et al., 2020). Currently, research focuses on the integration of C-ITS, to connect the infrastructure to the vehicles. European standards should be developed during the next years (Erhart, 2019).

Current state of art in practice

DSL systems are implemented and used around the world. The used algorithms differ, however. DSL integrated with C-ITS has been implemented in a test environment (Erhart, 2019). Austrian motorways are managed by the ASFiNAG - currently they have 17 DSL systems in use. That means that about 19 % of the Austrian Motorway-System are currently equipped by an DSL system (ASFiNAG, 2019a). So, there is potential for expansion. One global player in traffic management is the Austrian company Kapsch TrafficCom. Worldwide they have implemented their systems on more than 3.500 km of motorway (Kapsch TrafficCom). Kapsch TrafficCom's approximately 5,000 employees generated revenues of EUR 738 million in the fiscal year 2018/19.

Relevant initiatives in Austria

- Asfinag
- Asifinag blog
- kapsch.net
- strabag-iss.com
- pke.at
- aigner-stahlbau.at

Impacts with respect to Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Impact level	Indicator	Impact direction	Goal description and number	Source
Individual	Fatal collisions reduced	+	Health & Wellbeing (3)	Hegyi et al., 2005
Individual	Travel time reduced	+	Environmental sustainability (7,12-13,15)	Habtemichael & de Picado Santos, 2013
Systemic	Fatal collisions reduced	+	Health & Wellbeing (3)	Hegyi et al., 2005
Systemic	Annual greenhouse gas emissions decrease	+	Environmental sustainability (7,12-13,15)	Schimany, 2011

Technology and societal readiness level

TRL	SRL
7-9	8-9

Open questions

1. Which algorithms for DSL are the most efficient ones?
2. How can DSL be further developed?
3. How can fail-safe operation be improved?
4. How can DSL be combined with C-ITS?

References

- ASFiNAG. (2019a). Handlungsfelder. Retrieved 17th December 2020, from <http://verkehrssicherheit.asfinag.at/aktionsprogramme/handlungsfelder/>
- ASFiNAG. (2019b). Verkehrsbeeinflussungsanlagen – Für mehr Sicherheit: Arten von Verkehrsbeeinflussungsanlagen. Retrieved 11th December 2020, from <https://asfinag.azureedge.net/media/1607/vba-fotomontage.jpg>
- ASFiNAG. (2019c). Verkehrsbeeinflussungsanlagen – Für mehr Sicherheit: Die VBA und der “Lufthunderter”. Retrieved 3rd December 2020,

- from <https://www.asfinag.at/verkehrssicherheit/verkehrsmanagement/verkehrssteuerung/>
- Carlson, R. C., Papamichail, I., Papageorgiou, M., & Messmer, A. (2010). Optimal motorway traffic flow control involving variable speed limits and ramp metering. *Transportation Science*, 44(2), 238-253.
 - De Pauw, E., Daniels, S., Franckx, L., & Mayeres, I. (2018). Safety effects of dynamic speed limits on motorways. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 114, 83-89.
 - Erhart, Jaqueline. (2019). Vernetzte Autos, intelligenter Verkehr: Was C-ITS ist, was es kann und wem es nutzt. Retrieved 17th December 2020, from <https://blog.asfinag.at/technik-innovation/c-its-vernetzte-autos-intelligenter-verkehr/>
 - Habtemichael, F. G., & de Picado Santos, L. (2013). Safety and Operational Benefits of Variable Speed Limits under Different Traffic Conditions and Driver Compliance Levels. *Transportation Research Record*, 2386(1), 7-15. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2386-02>
 - Hassan, H. M., Abdel-Aty, M. A., Choi, K., & Algadhi, S. A. (2012). Driver behavior and preferences for changeable message signs and variable speed limits in reduced visibility conditions. *Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 16(3), 132-146.
 - Hegyi, A., De Schutter, B., & Hellendoorn, J. (2005). Optimal coordination of variable speed limits to suppress shock waves. *IEEE Transactions on intelligent transportation systems*, 6(1), 102-112.
 - Kapsch TrafficCom. Verkehrsmanagement auf Autobahnen. Retrieved 8th January 2021, <https://www.kapsch.net/ktc/Portfolio/IMS/Congestion/Highway-Traffic-Management>
 - Lu, X.-Y., & Shladover, S. E. (2014). Review of Variable Speed Limits and Advisories: Theory, Algorithms, and Practice. *Transportation Research Record*, 2423(1), 15-23. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2423-03>
 - Mobility and Transport | European Commission. (2020). Dynamic speed limits. Retrieved 2nd December 2020, from https://ec.europa.eu/transport/road_safety/specialist/knowledge/speed/new_technologies_new_opportunities/dynamic_speed_limits_en
 - Schimany, H. K. (2011). Blue Globe Foresight.
 - Wu, Y., Abdel-Aty, M., Wang, L., & Rahman, M. S. (2020). Combined connected vehicles and variable speed limit strategies to reduce rear-end crash risk under fog conditions. *Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 24(5), 494-513.

4.6 Passengers and goods fleet management

4.7 Urban access management

Chapter 5

Road pricing

5.1 Congestion charging

Chapter 6

Digital road infrastructure and connectivity

6.1 Vehicle to infrastructure communication

6.2 Infrastructure support levels for automated driving

6.3 Vehicle to vehicle communication

6.4 Wireless communication

Chapter 7

Passenger information system

7.1 Digital journey planner

7.2 Rail telematics for passenger services

7.3 Multimodal information and route planning

7.4 Real-time, location-based information

Chapter 8

Multimodal integrated system

8.1 First-last mile solutions

8.2 Distance or time-based fares

8.3 Mobility as a service

8.4 Park and ride

8.5 Contactless public transport cards

Synonyms

Contactless smart card, smart card ticketing

Definition

Smart card ticketing means, that the passenger's entitlement to travel is stored electronically on a chip that is usually embedded in a plastic card and validated when the card is presented to a smart reader (Turner & Wilson, 2010). On the contrary to contact smart cards, which have to be inserted into a smart card reader, contactless smart cards must only be near to the readers (about 10 cm) to exchange data (Mezghani, 2008). There are three types of standards used,

called Type A, Type B (both complying with ISO 14443 standard) and FeliCa, while FeliCa provides faster transmission and is mainly used in Asian countries (Kurauchi & Schmöcker, 2017). Smart and integrated ticketing systems are expected to deliver greater flexibility and simplicity for passengers, by offering increased speed, convenience and security against loss and theft (Turner & Wilson, 2010). Economic and societal benefits from smart cards ticketing include the reduction in costs as a result of fewer paper tickets being sold, reduced queuing time, faster throughput of passengers at ticket gates, reduced boarding time for buses and reduced loss of revenue through fraud (Turner & Wilson, 2010). England's Department of Transport has planned a strategy, to introduce integrated and smart ticketing to the majority of the UK by 2020. Their research suggests that net annual benefits of over £1 billion per year to passengers, operators and local authorities can be the result (Turner & Wilson, 2010). Another advantage of using smart cards ticketing, is the large amount of data on passengers' behaviour, which can be collected with lower cost (Kurauchi & Schmöcker, 2017). In Austria smart cards have not been implemented on a large scale. Only the City of Wales has smart cards for the public transport in use (Wels Linien). ÖBB (ÖBB, 2021) and Wiener Linien (Wiener Linien, 2021) don't have smart cards in use, but online tickets for smart phones, using QR Codes. Wiener Linien are currently researching on a more efficient solution for the usage of digital tickets, since they developed, that ticket controls of digital tickets take longer than for paper tickets (Wiener Linien, 2021b).

Key stakeholders

- **Affected:** Public transport users, ticket inspectors
- **Responsible:** Public transport operators, public transport associations, public transport authorities, smart card producers, Industry suppliers

Current state of art in research

The latest research goes in the direction of using smart phones or other mobile devices for smart ticketing. An initiative, led by NFC Forum and GSMA achieved in 2015 together with global public transport representatives, the Smart Ticketing Alliance and the JR East as well as standards bodies, including CEN and ISO, harmonizing the specifications of mobile device NFC interfaces and public transport readers and cards. Together they established standards for testing mobile devices and public transport equipment (NFC Forum, 2016).

Furthermore current research addresses the issues of big data and how collected data through contactless smart cards can be best analysed (see Kurauchi & Schmöcker, 2017).




Figure 8.1: The evolution of mobile ticketing (NFC Forum, 2016)

Current state of art in practice

Many countries, regions or cities, have smart card ticketing systems in use, like the whole of Netherlands, Helsinki Region, Minsk, Berlin, Auckland, Sydney and many more (see Wikipedia contributors, 2021). The systems itself differ and depend on the local ticketing and fare systems. While London, for instance, is using an access control system, Helsinki's system is trust based. Furthermore, a distinction can be made between pre-paid (debit) and post-paid (credit) systems (Kurauchi & Schmöcker, 2017).

Relevant initiatives in Austria

- taikai.network
- variuscard
- austriacard

Impacts with respect to Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Impact level	Indicator	Impact direction	Goal description and number	Source
Individual	Personal, travel expenditure reduced	+	Sustainable economic development (8,11)	Turner & Wilson, 2010
Individual	Access to digitalised transport	+	Innovation & Infrastructure (9)	Turner & Wilson, 2010
Systemic	Public transport capacity increases	+	Sustainable economic development (8,11)	Turner & Wilson, 2010
Systemic	Facilitates integration of the fare systems of several operators within a city	+	Partnership & collaborations (17)	Kurauchi & Schmoecker, 2017

Technology and societal readiness level

TRL	SRL
7-9	7-9

Open questions

1. How can the large amount of provided data be best used?
2. What advantages and disadvantages would an implementation in the main cities of Austria have?

Further links

- itso

References

- Kurauchi, F., & Schmöcker, J. D. (Eds.). (2017). Public transport planning with smart card data. CRC Press.

- Mezghani, M. (2008). Study on electronic ticketing in public transport. European Metropolitan Transport Authorities (EMTA), 56, 38.
- NFC Forum. (2016). NFC-enabled e-Ticketing in Public Transport: Clearing the Route to Interoperability. December. https://nfc-forum.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/NFC_enabled_eTicketing_in_Public_Transport_White_Paper.pdf
- ÖBB. Ihr Weg zum Ticket. Retrieved 13th January 2021, from <https://www.oebb.at/de/tickets-kundenkarten/weg-zum-ticket>
- Turner, M., & Wilson, R. (2010). Smart and integrated ticketing in the UK: Piecing together the jigsaw. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 26(2), 170-177.
- Wels Linien. Tarife. Retrieved 13th January 2021, from <https://www.welslinien.at/tarife/>
- Wiener Linien. (2021a). Der richtige Fahrschein, der passende Tarif. Retrieved 13th January 2021, from <https://www.wienerlinien.at/eportal3/ep/channelView.do/pageTypeId/66526/channelId/-46648>
- Wiener Linien. (2021b). Digital-Wettbewerb "Vienna Tickethon" gestartet. Retrieved 13th January 2021, from <https://www.wienerlinien.at/eportal3/ep/contentView.do/pageTypeId/66526/programId/74577/contentTypeId/1001/channelId/-47186/contentId/5002360#:~:text=Im%20Rahmen%20eines%20international>
- Wikipedia contributors. (2021, January 8). List of smart cards. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Retrieved 15:30, January 13, 2021, from https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List_of_smart_cards&oldid=999040130

8.6 Information and assistance for people with special needs

8.7 Mobility/Freight hubs

Chapter 9

Connected and autonomous driving

9.1 Parking infrastructure for autonomous vehicles

9.2 Connected vehicles

9.3 Automated vehicles

Chapter 10

On-board technology for connected and automated vehicles

10.1 Advanced driver assistance system

10.2 Parking assistance system

10.3 Lane keeping

10.4 Distane keeping

10.5 Crash avoidance

10.6 Mainteinance assistance

10.7 Digital maps

10.8 E-Horizon

10.9 Emergency call

Chapter 11

Freight and commercial transport

- 11.1 Automated road freight
- 11.2 Freight dreyage optimisation
- 11.3 Tracking and tracing of dangerous goods
- 11.4 Intermodal Freight
- 11.5 Real-time disruption management and route planning
- 11.6 Traffic signal control
- 11.7 Urban Deliveries
- 11.8 Parcel load pooling
- 11.9 Intelligent truck parking and delivery space booking
- 11.10 Freight drones
- 11.11 Commercial vehicle on-board safety systems
- 11.12 Truck Platooning

Chapter 12

Collective mobility vehicles

12.1 Demand responsive transit

12.2 Personal rapid transit

12.3 Bus rapid transit

12.4 Light rail transit

12.5 Passenger drones

12.6 Automatic train operations

Chapter 13

Big data

13.1 Automatic identification system for maritime transport

13.2 Big data lifecycle

13.3 Location-based data

13.4 Aircraft tracking system

13.5 Big data tools for mapping and forecasting travel behaviour

Chapter 14

Shared mobility

14.1 Car sharing

Synonyms

Car-Sharing scheme, CSS

Definition

In recent years, the growth of car sharing services as a new and more sustainable way of travelling has led to a shift in private mobility from ownership to use of services. The basic idea of car sharing is quite simple: the sharing of a fleet of vehicles by members to make trips on a per-trip basis. Although, the first car sharing scheme for economic reasons dates back to 1948 in the city of Zurich, Switzerland, other attempts at public car sharing schemes in the following years were not successful. Several successful car sharing schemes were launched in the 1980s, with a consolidation in the early 1990s, thanks to an increasing awareness of citizens and a real boom due to a greater diffusion of ICT and mobile services in the 2000s. Car sharing increases the mobility of community members to reach destinations otherwise inaccessible by public transport, walking or cycling, while raising citizens' awareness of the social and environmental impacts of using private cars. It encourages and supports multimodal communities by providing an additional transport option. From the point of view of building a sustainable city, the vehicles used in car sharing are usually fuel efficient and lead to positive effects in reducing urban emissions and urban congestion (Martin and Shaheen, 2011).

Nowadays, there are different variants of car sharing available on the market. These include:

- Station based

In station-based CarSharing, the cars are parked in fixed parking spaces as close to home as possible. Customers pick up the car there and return it after the journey. Only with this variant, the reservations are possible several days or weeks in advance, but the end time of the booking must also usually be planned in advance. This ensures a high degree of predictability in vehicle availability. Station-based CarSharing is also the cheapest CarSharing variant. The largest providers in Germany (by fleet size) are stadtmobil, cambio, teilAuto and book-n-drive.

- Free-floating

With free-floating CarSharing, the cars are randomly distributed within a defined business area. Users locate and book them via smartphone. The booking is only possible shortly before the start of the journey and until booking, availability and exact location of the vehicle are uncertain. After the journey, the cars can be parked within the business area. All bookings are open-ended. With this variant, reservations in advance are not possible. Both the availability and the location of the vehicle are therefore difficult to predict. Free-floating, however, allows one-way journeys within the business area. Prices are higher than those of station-based CarSharing. The largest providers in Germany are ShareNow, Sixt share and We share.

- Combined sharing

Since 2011, combined CarSharing offers were established that offer station-based and free-floating vehicles from a single source. Combined offers in Germany are available, for example, from stadtmobil, book-n-drive, teilAuto and cambio. The prices are usually based on the lower prices of station-based CarSharing. Free-floating users, on the other hand, largely keep their car. Their motorisation at the time of the study was 485 private cars per 1,000 inhabitants (Bundesverband CarSharing e.V., 2020).

Key stakeholders

- **Affected:** Citizens
- **Responsible:** Authorities, Municipalities, International lobbyists, Private Companies

Current state of art in research

The CarSharing variants have different traffic-reducing effects. The EU research project STARS investigated the traffic-relieving effect of different CarSharing

variants under uniform framework conditions. The study shows that many users of station-based and combined CarSharing get rid of private cars shortly before or during CarSharing participation. At the time of the study, the households, therefore, only had a motorisation rate of 108 and 104 cars per 1,000 people in the surveyed households. These values are already below the target of 150 cars per 1,000 people recommended by the Federal Environment Agency Germany for climate and environmentally friendly urban transport in the future.

The replacement rates in different CarSharing studies from Germany vary. On the one hand, this is due to different survey methods. Only in the studies since 2018 have used a largely uniform survey method in Germany. On the other hand, the latest research has shown that the replacement rate depends strongly on the CarSharing variant studied. For station-based CarSharing and combined CarSharing, there are exclusively positive replacement rates. For pure free-floating CarSharing, both positive and negative replacement rates can be observed. In some cases, fewer private cars were removed by free-floating CarSharing than were put on the road by the CarSharing service.

According to calculations made by Finanztip together with the ADAC, car sharing is already profitable if the number of kilometres driven per year is less than 10,000, or less than 800 kilometres per month. The costs for a private vehicle with 10,000 annual kilometres driven are identical to the costs that would be incurred for car sharing. Other studies see the limit only at 11,250 kilometres (Hoyer, 2013) or 15,600 kilometres (Seipp, 2014). At 5,000 kilometres per year with one's own medium-sized vehicle, one would save on average between 900 and 1,500 euros per year with a car-sharing provider. In summary, according to Evers (2018) car sharing is profitable, if one:

- does not depend on a car every day
- does not regularly drive longer distances over 100 kilometres
- drives a total of less than 10,000 kilometres a year

Current state of art in practice

Europe is currently the most important market for car sharing providers. In 2016, 5.8 million people used the 68,000 carsharing vehicles here. Recently, car manufacturers also started to enter the market directly, such as Daimler, BMW and the FCA Group, which are directly involved in car sharing activities, in order to find new channels to market the cars they produce. The market is growing fast and with this increasing demand comes the need for better understanding and control of the system. In fact, car sharing is not just a matter of business or fleet optimisation, but forms a complex system consisting of different actors, including citizens, authorities and municipalities, businesses. The system becomes complex because of the strong links between the actors as well as the impact on the governance of a city when a large car sharing service is introduced, such as the integration with the existing public transport network

and the policies that allow different companies to compete in the same urban area (Ferrero et al., 2018).

Relevant initiatives in Austria

- VCÖ
- ÖBB

Impacts with respect to Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Impact level	Indicator	Impact direction	Goal description and number	Source
Individual	Uniform access to car in the population	+	Equality (5,10)	VCOE - Mobilität mit Zukunft, 2018
Individual	Cost reduced	+	Sustainable economic development (8,11)	Evers, 2018
Systemic	Reduced traffic and improved air quality	+	Health & Wellbeing (3)	Martin and Shaheen, 2011
Systemic	Car-free households are no longer disadvantaged	+	Equality (5,10)	VCOE - Mobilität mit Zukunft, 2018
Systemic	Reduced emissions	+	Environmental sustainability (7,12-13,15)	Martin and Shaheen, 2011
Systemic	Car sharing fleet grows steadily	+	Innovation & Infrastructure (9)	Stadt Wien, Strassenverwaltung und Strassenbau, no date

Technology and societal readiness level

TRL	SRL
7-9	5-7

Open questions

1. What is the role of policymakers and municipalities in supporting car sharing in addressing challenges associated with long-term strategic decisions such as operation area, parking locations or size and type of the fleet, considering specific characteristics of a given city?

Further links

- `share_now`

References

- Bundesverband CarSharing (2020) CarSharing in Deutschland 2020.
- Bundesverband CarSharing e.V. (2020) Verkehrsentlastung durch Car-Sharing - Factsheet.
- Evers, H. (2018) Carsharing günstiger als eigenes Auto? Available at: <https://www.computerbild.de/artikel/cb-Tipps-Connected-Car-Kostenvergleich-Ab-wann-lohnt-sich-Carsharing-20041131.html> (Accessed: 14 January 2021).
- Ferrero, F. et al. (2018) ‘Car-sharing services: An annotated review’, Sustainable Cities and Society, 37, pp. 501–518. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2017.09.020>.
- Hoyer, N. (2013) Mobilität: Lohnt sich Ihr Auto? Available at: <https://www.wiwo.de/technologie/mobilitaet/mobilitaet-lohnt-sich-ihr-auto/8681062-all.html> (Accessed: 14 January 2021).
- Martin, E. W. and Shaheen, S. A. (2011) ‘Greenhouse Gas Emission Impacts of Carsharing in North America’, IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 12(4), pp. 1074–1086. doi: 10.1109/TITS.2011.2158539.
- Seipp, B. (2014) Carsharing: Für wen sich das geteilte Auto wirklich lohnt - WELT. Available at: <https://www.welt.de/motor/article128304929/Fuer-wen-sich-das-geteilte-Auto-wirklich-lohnt.html> (Accessed: 14 January 2021).
- Stadt Wien | Straßenverwaltung und Straßenbau (no date) Carsharing in Wien: Nutzung nimmt zu. Available at: <https://www.wien.gv.at/verkehr/kfz/carsharing/evaluierung.html> (Accessed: 17 January 2021).
- VCÖ - Mobilität mit Zukunft (2018) Mehr als 100.000 Carsharing-Haushalte in Österreich – Potenzial um ein Vielfaches höher -

Mobilität mit Zukunft. Available at: <https://www.vcoe.at/presse/presseaussendungen/detail/carsharing-haushalte-potential-2018> (Accessed: 18 January 2021).

14.2 Bicycle and e-bicycle hire

Synonyms

bike-sharing schemes (BSS), station-based bike-sharing schemes (SBBSS), free-floating bike-sharing schemes (FFBSS)

Definition

Bike-sharing schemes have become a key component of urban transport policy over the past decade, as shown by the increase in the number of bicycles recently seen in major cities around the world. The concept of bike-sharing is a service for individuals to get around comfortably by bike without owning one. The bicycle is an energy-efficient, safe, CO₂-neutral and space-saving means of transport. It has a low environmental footprint (when used). In urban areas it is a good alternative to the car for short journeys. For longer journeys or for getting to work in an urban environment, it is an excellent complement to public transport. Although at the beginning of the 21st century most BSSs were docked, today's BSSs consist of both docked and dockless BSSs, which have recently emerged in several cities such as London, New York, San Francisco, Beijing and many others (El Arbi and Stephane, 2020). Modern urban short-term bicycle rental systems or public BSSs offer 24-hour access to bicycles, can be picked up and returned at self-service docking stations, and are distributed throughout the city (Midgley, 2011). As technology advances, global positioning systems (GPS) allow operators to track the bikes and reposition them if necessary, while user registration and credit card identification reduce anonymity and theft. The development of, mainly European, BSSs has typically been categorized into four different generations, which in some properties merge into each other. The use of the 1965 “White Bikes” in Amsterdam was possible without personal registration and the bikes could be found all over the city without fixed stations. The model collapsed within a few days due to vandalism and theft. The second generation used locks and heavy bikes. Vandalism rates decreased, but bikes were still stolen because of the anonymity of the customers (DeMaio, 2009). The third generation became smarter and more attractive through technological improvements such as automated smart cards, electronic bike locks and payment systems. Users received a code via SMS to unlock the bikes. The current fourth generation, could include movable solar-powered docking stations, GPS-based real-time availability apps on mobile phones and more electric bikes (Zademach and Musch, 2018). In Austria, there are multiple companies currently offering

bicycle or e-bicycle sharing services such as *Citybike*, *Ofo* or *oBike*. Nevertheless, about a year after their launch, the Asian providers of stationless rental bikes in Vienna (start-ups *Ofo* and *oBike*) are in retreat from the federal capital (Rachbauer, 2018b). This has been a result of strict rules announced stationless rental bikes in Vienna on 1st August 2018. A failure to comply with the rules results in the bikes being removed for a fee. Previously, the traffic road act (*StVO*) allowed the placement of rental bikes in public spaces and the city lacked the means of action against the providers. With the help of a so-called local police ordinance, the city hall requires that the bike-sharing companies pick up objectionable bikes on weekdays within four hours and at night and on weekends within twelve hours after notification. If they do not comply, the bikes are removed for a fee. In addition, administrative fines of up to 700 euros are possible. Moreover, a maximum number of 1500 bicycles per provider is also set. The bikes are given a number and each one has to be registered with the city. According to Blum, setting up several companies to circumvent the upper limit is not allowed. The rental companies themselves also have to meet some criteria now. In particular, there must be a company headquarters in Vienna and a service hotline. *Ofo* and *oBike* already meet these requirements (Rachbauer, 2018d). Across Austria the situation with rental bikes differs significantly, for example in Innsbruck, rental bikes were first introduced in 2014 and are successfully operating. Meanwhile, in Linz rental bike system has been approved in 2017 and the docking stations are currently under construction. Further, in Salzburg the S-Bike rental system is operating and linked to federal funding (Affenzeller, 2020, Citybike Salzburg, no date a). On the other hand in Graz there is no uniform bike rental system and these services are provided by shops and hotels (Rachbauer, 2016).

Key stakeholders

- **Affected:** Mobile citizen, pedestrians,
- **Responsible:** National Governments, International lobbyists, (Public) Transport Agency, Non-Profit-Organizations, Private For-Profit Companies, Private Companies (e. g. outdoor advertising companies)

Current state of art in research

Current state of art in practice

Relevant initiatives in Austria

- citybikewien
- citybikesalzburg
- nextbike
- tpis.at

Impacts with respect to Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Technology and societal readiness level

Open questions

Further links

References

- Affenzeller, J. (2020) Linzer Radverleih startet im Frühjahr an 40 Standorten. Available at: <https://www.tips.at/nachrichten/linz/landleute/523512-linzer-radverleih-startet-im-fruehjahr-an-40-standorten> (Accessed: 18 January 2021).
- El Arbi, A. A. and Stephane, C. K. T. (2020) ‘Intelligent Management of Bike Sharing in Smart Cities using Machine Learning and Internet of Things’, *Sustainable Cities and Society*. Elsevier B.V., p. 135907. doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2020.102702.
- Campbell, A. A. et al. (2016) ‘Factors influencing the choice of shared bicycles and shared electric bikes in Beijing’, *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*. Elsevier Ltd, 67, pp. 399–414. doi: 10.1016/j.trc.2016.03.004.
- Cheng, L. et al. (2020) ‘How could the station-based bike sharing system and the free-floating bike sharing system be coordinated?’, *Journal of Transport Geography*. Elsevier Ltd, 89(March 2019), p. 102896. doi: 10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2020.102896.
- Cheng, X. and Gao, Y. (2018) ‘The Optimal Monthly Strategy Pricing of Free-Floating Bike Sharing Platform’, *Modern Economy*. Scientific Research Publishing, Inc, 09(02), pp. 318–338. doi: 10.4236/me.2018.92021.
- Citybike Salzburg (no date a) Citybike Salzburg. Available at: <http://www.citybikesalzburg.at/hanuschplatz.php> (Accessed: 12 January 2021).
- Citybike Salzburg (no date b) Citybike Salzburg - Tarife. Available at: <http://www.citybikesalzburg.at/tarife.php> (Accessed: 18 January 2021).
- Citybike Wien (2019) 10 Millionen Fahrten bei Citybike Wien! Available at: <https://www.citybikewien.at/de/news/595-neuer-meilenstein-bei-citybike-wien-erreicht> (Accessed: 12 January 2021).
- Citybike Wien (no date) Tarife - Citybike Wien. Available at: <https://www.citybikewien.at/de/tarife> (Accessed: 18 January 2021).
- DeMaio, P. (2009) ‘Bike-sharing: History, Impacts, Models of Provision, and Future’, *Journal of Public Transportation*. University of South Florida Libraries, 12(4), pp. 41–56. doi: 10.5038/2375-0901.12.4.3.
- Eillie, A. (2016) Oxford Test Drives Peer-to-Peer Bike Sharing. Available at: <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2016-09-13/cycle-land-is-a-new-peer-to-peer-bike-sharing-platform> (Accessed: 8 January 2021).

- Fishman, E. et al. (2014) ‘Barriers to bikesharing: An analysis from Melbourne and Brisbane’, *Journal of Transport Geography*. Elsevier Ltd, 41, pp. 325–337. doi: 10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2014.08.005.
- Fishman, E. (2016) ‘Bikeshare: A Review of Recent Literature’, *Transport Reviews*. Routledge, 36(1), pp. 92–113. doi: 10.1080/01441647.2015.1033036.
- der Grazer (2019) 100 Millionen Euro für Fahrrad-Offensive im Großraum Graz – Der Grazer. Available at: <https://grazer.at/de/uHAnk5t2/100-millionen-euro-fuer-fahrrad-offensive-im-graz/> (Accessed: 18 January 2021).
- Gu, T., Kim, I. and Currie, G. (2019) ‘To be or not to be dockless: Empirical analysis of dockless bikeshare development in China’, *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*. Elsevier Ltd, 119, pp. 122–147. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2018.11.007.
- Hillebrand, T. (2019) Mietradsystem ‘Stadtrad Innsbruck’ - VCÖ Vorbildhafte Mobilitätsprojekte. Available at: <https://mobilitaetsprojekte.vcoe.at/mietradsystem-stadtrad-innsbruck-2019> (Accessed: 18 January 2021).
- Li, H. et al. (2019) ‘Effects of dockless bike-sharing systems on the usage of the London Cycle Hire’, *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*. Elsevier Ltd, 130, pp. 398–411. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2019.09.050.
- Ma, X. et al. (2020) ‘A comparison in travel patterns and determinants of user demand between docked and dockless bike-sharing systems using multi-sourced data’, *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*. Elsevier, 139(June), pp. 148–173. doi: 10.1016/j.tra.2020.06.022.
- Midgley, P. (2011) ‘Bicycle-Sharing Schemes: Enhancing Sustainable Mobility in Urban Areas’, *Commission on Sustainable Development, Nineteenth(8)*, p. 24. Available at: http://www.un.org/esa/dsd/resources/res_pdfs/csd-19/Background-Paper8-P.Midgley-Bicycle.pdf.
- Nextbike Niederösterreich (no date) Nextbike Niederösterreich - Tarife. Available at: <https://www.nextbike.at/de/niederoesterreich/preise/> (Accessed: 18 January 2021).
- Rachbauer, S. (2016) Bikesharing in Österreich: Leihräder auf der Überholspur | kurier.at. Available at: <https://kurier.at/chronik/oesterreich/bikesharing-in-oesterreich-leihraeder-auf-der-ueberholspur/400067369> (Accessed: 12 January 2021).
- Rachbauer, S. (2018a) Bikesharing in Österreich: Leihräder auf der Überholspur. Available at: <https://kurier.at/chronik/oesterreich/bikesharing-in-oesterreich-leihraeder-auf-der-ueberholspur/400067369>.
- Rachbauer, S. (2018b) Leihräder: Wiener wollen es aufgeräumt. Available at: <https://kurier.at/chronik/wien/leihraeder-wiener-wollen-es-aufgeraeumt/400067357> (Accessed: 12 January 2021).
- Rachbauer, S. (2018c) Leihräder: Wiener wollen es aufgeräumt. Available at: <https://kurier.at/chronik/wien/leihraeder-wiener-wollen-es-aufgeraeumt/400067357>.
- Rachbauer, S. (2018d) Wien führt strenge Regeln für stationslose Leihräder ein. Available at: <https://kurier.at/chronik/wien/wien-fuehrt-strenge-regeln-fuer-stationslose-leihraeder-ein/312.944.617> (Accessed: 12

January 2021).

- Schöffel, R., Zierer, M. and Kühne, S. (2017) Nutzerdaten offen im Netz: BR deckt Datenleck beim Fahrradverleiher Obike auf. Available at: <https://www.br.de/nachricht/datenleck-obike-100.html> (Accessed: 8 January 2021).
- Sun, S. and Ertz, M. (2021) ‘Contribution of bike-sharing to urban resource conservation: The case of free-floating bike-sharing’, *Journal of Cleaner Production*. Elsevier Ltd, 280, p. 124416. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124416.
- Tech & Nature (2020) Niederösterreich modernisiert Sharing-Bike-Flotte ‘nextbike’ - Tech & Nature. Available at: <https://www.techandnature.com/niederosterreich-modernisiert-sharing-bike-flotte-nextbike/> (Accessed: 18 January 2021).
- Zademach, H. M. and Musch, A. K. (2018) ‘Bicycle-sharing systems in an alternative/diverse economy perspective: a sympathetic critique’, *Local Environment*. Taylor & Francis, 23(7), pp. 734–746. doi: 10.1080/13549839.2018.1434494.
- Zhang, Y., Lin, D. and Mi, Z. (2019) ‘Electric fence planning for dockless bike-sharing services’, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 206, pp. 383–393. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.09.215.

14.3 E-scooter hire

14.4 Ride-hailing

Chapter 15

Alternative power sources

15.1 Hydrogen fuel cell

Definition

Hydrogen Fuel Cells are systems that use hydrogen as fuel to generate electrical energy in a Fuel Cell and drive the vehicle with electrical structure. In a technical manner, they show similarities with electric vehicles. The advantages of Fuel Cell Electrical Vehicles (FCEV) are emission-free (water only), fast refuelling, noiseless driving, more economical fuel consumption and efficiency, easy maintenance. Regardless of these benefits, FCEV has some disadvantages, such as limited range, lack of hydrogen refuelling stations, safety problems, low profitability for car manufacturers, high prices and lower awareness and acceptance (Tanç et al., 2019; Borgstedt et al., 2017; Iribarren et al., 2016). Moreover, FCEVs have higher energy density than electric batteries which enables them to drive further with heavier loads. At the same time, it raises constraints on weight and size of the energy storage in the vehicles. Consequently, FCEVs are more suitable for freight transport, commercial vehicles, buses, trains, ships and aircrafts, where the performance requirements are higher. Prototypes of all the examples mentioned already exist (Eichlseder et al, 2018). In terms of private cars, the FCEVs are likely to provide advantage for long-distance travelling (Roadmap Europe, 2019).

Key stakeholders

- **Affected:** Conventional Cars' Drivers, Citizen
- **Responsible:** National Governments, Car Manufacturers, International lobbyists, Private Companies

Current state of art in research

The goal of alternative propulsion systems is to minimize or eliminate completely the climate-damaging CO₂ emissions, consequently the European Community Research Program proposes electromobility as a priority research area. In particular, the most substantial research is carried out on methods of hydrogen production using biological and photochemical processes because 95% of hydrogen currently produced on an industrial scale comes from fossil hydrocarbons and only 5% from water by electrolysis. Where the only emission-free production process of hydrogen is the electrochemical water splitting in electrolysis, when the required electricity is generated from wind-, water or solar energy. This process results in high degrees of purity and usually achieves efficiencies of up to 85% (Eichlseder et al., 2018). Moreover, the electric vehicle policy aims at technology optimization, market development, durability and capacity of the batteries and charging stations (Alvarez-Meaza et al., 2020).

Current state of art in practice

Hydrogen in transport is only at the beginning of its development (in 2013 the first light FCEVs were introduced for leasing only). Compared to other alternative propulsion systems such as battery electric vehicles (BEVs), which were introduced to the vehicle market earlier, FCEVs show a similar upward trend. At the end of 2017, the total number of FCEVs in Europe reached 799 vehicles, of which 602 were passenger cars and 197 light commercial vehicles, while the total number of BEVs reached 447,150 vehicles. At the end of 2018, the number of FCEVs in Europe rose to about 1,110 (Apostolou and Xydis, 2019). At the end of October 2019, 41 fuel cell passenger cars were registered in Austria. Worldwide, about 12,900 fuel cell vehicles were in operation at the end of 2018, 11,200 of them passenger cars. 46 percent of the vehicles are on the road in the USA, 43 percent in Asia and 11 percent in the EU (1,110 cars). In terms of commercial vehicles, China dominates with over 400 buses, followed by the USA with 55 and the EU with around 80 (Eichlseder et al., 2018). In terms of the number of hydrogen refuelling stations (HRS) worldwide, just about 375 stations are in operation today, compared to 320 in 2017. Most of these are publicly available, the rest are demonstration/research projects and are used to supply hydrogen to private fleets. At the end of 2018, Europe was the region with the most HRS in operation with more than 170 HRS, while Asia (mainly Japan) was second with about 130 HRS and America (mainly the US) third with more than 70 stations installed. Figure below shows the number of HRS by country at the end of 2018 (Apostolou and Xydis, 2019):

The European Strategic Energy Technology Plan proposes hydrogen and fuel-cell technologies as crucial for obtaining green-house gases reduction goals by 2050 (Roadmap Europe H., 2019; Alvarez-Meaza et al., 2020).




Figure 15.1: Number of hydrogen refuelling stations worldwide (Apostolou and Xydis, 2019)

Relevant initiatives in Austria

- hydrogen train

Impacts with respect to Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Impact level	Indicator	Impact direction	Goal description and number	Source
Individual	Improved air quality	+	Health & Wellbeing (3)	Colella, Jacobson and Golden, 2005
Individual	High prices of hydrogen cars and hydrogen fuel	-	Equality (5,10)	Kanna and Paturu, 2020

Impact level	Indicator	Impact direction	Goal description and number	Source
Individual	Cost for individuals	~	Sustainable economic development (8,11)	Apostolou and Xydis, 2019
Systemic	Emissions reduced, improved air quality	+	Health & Wellbeing (3)	Colella, Jacobson and Golden, 2005
Systemic	Distribution and allocation of goods worsens	-	Equality (5,10)	Kanna and Paturu, 2020
Systemic	Reduced emissions, replacement of fossil fuels, energy transition	+	Environmental sustainability (7,12-13,15)	Colella, Jacobson and Golden, 2005
Systemic	Not yet profitable for manufacturers	+	Sustainable economic development (8,11)	Roadmap Europe, 2019
Systemic	Number of hydrogen refuelling stations increases	+	Innovation & Infrastructure (9)	Apostolou and Xydis, 2019
Systemic	Sharing technologies internationally	+	Partnership & collaborations (17)	International Partnership for Hydrogen and Fuel Cells in the Economy, no date

Technology and societal readiness level

TRL	SRL
7-8	6-8

Open questions

1. Who will drive the progress of hydrogen technology in heavy duty mobility in the future?
2. How to store large amounts of energy at low weight and in a restricted space within the vehicle? (Roadmap Europe, 2019)

Further links

- europalement
- ec.europa
- fch.europa

References

- Alvarez-Meaza, I., Zarrabeitia-Bilbao, E., Rio-Belver, R. M., & Garechana-Anacabe, G. (2020). Fuel-Cell Electric Vehicles: Plotting a Scientific and Technological Knowledge Map. *Sustainability*, 12(6), 2334.
- Apostolou, D. and Xydis, G. (2019) ‘A literature review on hydrogen refuelling stations and infrastructure. Current status and future prospects’, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. Elsevier Ltd, 113(May), p. 109292. doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2019.109292.
- Borgstedt, P., Neyer, B., & Schewe, G. (2017). Paving the road to electric vehicles—A patent analysis of the automotive supply industry. *Journal of cleaner production*, 167, 75-87.
- Colella, W. G., Jacobson, M. Z. and Golden, D. M. (2005) ‘Switching to a U.S. hydrogen fuel cell vehicle fleet: The resultant change in emissions, energy use, and greenhouse gases’, *Journal of Power Sources*, 150, pp. 150–181. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2005.05.092>.
- Doppelbauer, M. (2020) Grundlagen der Elektromobilität, Grundlagen der Elektromobilität. doi: 10.1007/978-3-658-29730-5.
- Eichlseder, H., Klell, M. and Trattner, A. (2018) Wasserstoff in der Fahrzeugtechnik, Wasserstoff in der Fahrzeugtechnik. doi: 10.1007/978-3-8348-9674-2. International Partnership for Hydrogen and Fuel Cells in the Economy (no date) No Title. Available at: <https://www.iphe.net/>.
- Iribarren, D., Martín-Gamboa, M., Manzano, J., & Dufour, J. (2016). Assessing the social acceptance of hydrogen for transportation in Spain: an unintentional focus on target population for a potential hydrogen economy. *International journal of hydrogen energy*, 41(10), 5203-5208.

- Kanna, I. V. and Paturu, P. (2020) ‘A study of hydrogen as an alternative fuel’, *International Journal of Ambient Energy*. Taylor & Francis, 41(12), pp. 1433–1436. doi: 10.1080/01430750.2018.1484803.
- Lehmann, J. and Luschinetz, T. (2014) *Wasserstoff und Brennstoffzellen*.
- Pötscher, F. et al. (2014) *Ökobilanz alternativer Antriebe – Elektrofahrzeuge im Vergleich*.
- Roadmap Europe (2019). *A sustainable pathway for the European energy transition*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- Schabbach, T. and Wesselak, V. (2020) *Energie - Den Erneuerbaren gehört die Zukunft*.
- Tanç, B. et al. (2019) ‘Overview of the next quarter century vision of hydrogen fuel cell electric vehicles’, in *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, Volume 44, Issue 20, pp. 10120–10128.
- Töpler, J. and Lehmann, J. (2017) *Wasserstoff und Brennstoffzelle - Technologien und Marktkonzepte*, Springer Vieweg.

15.2 Battery electric

15.3 Plugin hybrid vehicles

Synonyms

Hybrid, HEV, PHEV

Definition

Plug-in hybrid vehicles effectively combine the electric drive with either a conventional combustion engine or other engines that use alternative fuels. This has the advantage that the vehicles can travel long distances, but consumption and emissions are reduced. An energy management system ensures that the optimum amount of energy is drawn from the two energy sources while driving. The ratio of energy consumption is influenced by the driving cycle. The faster the vehicle drives, the more energy is needed (Aswin and Senthilmurugan, 2018).

A hybrid vehicle is superior in terms of the reduced carbon footprint, better mileage than conventional vehicles, financial assistance for purchase, lower annual cost and a regenerative braking system. At the same time, the disadvantages include high purchasing cost, lower fuel efficiency because the extra parts installed take up more space and add weight, and higher maintenance costs due to the dual engine. Additionally, some concerns have been risen about the risk that the battery may explode in the event of an accident (Aswin and Senthilmurugan, 2018).

However, the safety aspect referred to above can be neglected, as the results in the EuroNCAP crash test show that hybrid vehicles are just as safe as vehicles with conventional drive systems. Toyota's Hybrid Synergy Drive technology (HSD) also serves as an example, where Toyota's hybrid system - based on the airbag trigger signal - immediately switches off all electrical systems in the event of an accident and interrupts the battery contact (ADAC, 2019).

Currently, there are several types of hybrid vehicles available on the market:

- Series hybrid

The series hybrid model consists of an internal combustion engine that drives a generator instead of driving the wheels directly. The wheels of the car get their power from the electric motors. The generator powers both the charging battery and the wheels of the car. Series hybrids generate the maximum energy at the time of acceleration and return the energy at the time of regenerative braking. The electric vehicles are designed in such a way that a motor is connected to each wheel. The combination of motor and wheel has the disadvantage of increasing mass and thus affecting handling, but the advantage of improved traction control.

- Parallel hybrid

The parallel hybrid vehicle is an integration of an electric motor and an internal combustion engine connected in parallel to the mechanical transmission. The parallel hybrid architecture incorporates both the engine and the electric generator into one unit located between the transmission and the combustion engine. The battery is recharged by regenerative braking. There is a mechanical coupling between the engine and the wheel, recharging the battery cannot occur when the car is moving.

- Combine hybrid

The combined hybrid vehicle is a fusion of parallel and series hybrid (series-parallel hybrid). There is a double connection (electrical and mechanical) between the drive axle and the engine. The power transmission to the wheels can be either electric or mechanical. At low speeds it behaves like a series hybrid electric vehicle, but at higher speeds series drive trains are less likely to be preferred and the vehicle motor takes over. This model is significantly more expensive than parallel models as they require a mechanically split drive system, an additional generator and high computing power for dual control (Aswin and Senthilmurugan, 2018).

Key stakeholders

- **Affected:** Conventional Cars' Drivers
- **Responsible:** National Governments, Car Manufacturers, International lobbyists, Private Companies

Current state of art in research

Current research efforts focus on the reduction of battery size while maintaining the electric driving performance. Therefore, the study by Song et al. (2018) suggest 30.4 kWh as an optimal battery capacity. Another, large research developments are performed with respect to reduction of emissions and continuous testing of environmental efficiency in comparison to conventional cars. In an ADAC test of plug-in hybrids, just two cars scored well in the Ecotest, namely Hyundai Ioniq and Volvo V60. The Hyundai was very energy-efficient, while the Volvo consumed more energy and emitted more carbon dioxide, but its exhaust gases were cleaner. This allowed it to score well in terms of pollutants. However, the test results leave no doubt that large and heavy cars like the BMW X5 and Mercedes GLE, even as plug-in hybrids, consume a lot of energy and therefore cannot be counted as eco-mobiles (Kroher, 2020). Interestingly, recent test conducted on the newest models of PHEV showed that they pollute the environment two to four times more than the manufacturers claim which undermined the public opinion on the environmental advantages offered by the PHEV (Plötz et al., 2020; Bannon, 2020).

Current state of art in practice

The development of the hybrid electric vehicle is evolving into the next generation of the mode of transport, in line with EU's policy aims of reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Nevertheless, current market penetration is still relatively low, contributing to 1% of total car registrations (as of 2019). In Europe, the leaders in the uptake of plug-in hybrid vehicles are Finland and Sweden followed by the United Kingdom (European Environment Agency, 2020).

In Austria the number of plug-in hybrid vehicles quadrupled in 2020 from January to October compared to the same period last year. By the end of October, about 5,500 new vehicles of this type had been registered. Of the approximately 14,700 applications for e-car subsidies so far this year, 90 percent are purely electric, ten percent are plug-in hybrids and range extenders (Ortner, 2020). The results of a study by the Fraunhofer Institute show that the actual climate balance of plug-in hybrid passenger cars is poor, the real CO₂ emissions are twice as high as the values determined in the test cycle, for company cars the real CO₂ emissions are even three to four times as high. The VCÖ calls for a rapid change in the subsidies for plug-in hybrid cars in Austria (VCÖ, 2020).

By 2020, there are already 800 public e-charging points in Vienna, with number close to a thousand, Vienna is one of the leading e-mobility cities in Europe (Fischer, 2020). The Austrian energy companies - members of the BEÖ - have driven the expansion of the public charging infrastructure in recent years. With over 5,000 charging points between Vienna and Bregenz, Austria provides one of the densest charging networks in Europe (Sitte, 2020b). Until now, all building owners had to agree to the installation of an e-charging station. This unanimity is to fall soon. Accordingly, the installation of charging stations in apartment buildings will be made easier from autumn onwards (Sitte, 2020a).

Relevant initiatives in Austria

- oesterreich.gv
- vcoe.at

Impacts with respect to Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Impact level	Indicator	Impact direction	Goal description and number	Source
Individual	Carbon dioxide emissions reduced	+	Health & Wellbeing (3)	Koellner, 2020
Individual	Number of e-charging points increases	+	Innovation & Infrastructure (9)	Sitte, 2020a
Systemic	Emissions reduced for small and light vehicles only	~	Environmental sustainability (7,12-13,15)	Kroher, 2020; VCOE, 2020
Systemic	Exhaust gas treatment strategies are developed	+	Innovation & Infrastructure (9)	Schaefer, 2020

Technology and societal readiness level

TRL	SRL
8-9	7-9

Open questions

Further links

- europa.parlament
- ec.europa
- fch.europa

References

- ADAC (2019) Hybridantrieb: Funktionsweise sowie Vor- und Nachteile. Available at: <https://www.adac.de/verkehr/tanken-kraftstoff-antrieb/alternative-antriebe/hybridantrieb/> (Accessed: 7 January 2021).
- Aswin, A. and Senthilurugan, S. (2018) ‘A survey on power levels of battery charging and infrastructure for plug-in electric and hybrid vehicles’, IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 402(1). doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/402/1/012154.
- Bannon, E., (2020). Plug-In Hybrids In New Emissions Scandal As Tests Show Higher Pollution Than Claimed | Transport & Environment. [online] Transportenvironment.org. Available at: <https://www.transportenvironment.org/press/plug-hybrids-new-emissions-scandal-tests-show-higher-pollution-claimed> [Accessed 19 January 2021].
- European Environment Agency. (2020). INDICATOR ASSESSMENT New Registrations Of Electric Vehicles In Europe. [online] Available at: <https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/proportion-of-vehicle-fleet-meeting-5/assessment> [Accessed 19 January 2021]
- Fischer, S. M. (2020) Ökostrom an jeder Ecke: Ladestellen-Ausbau auf Zielgeraden | Wien Energie GmbH, 02.09.2020. Available at: https://www.ots.at/presseaussendung/OTS_20200902_OTS0138/oekostrom-an-jeder-ecke-ladestellen-ausbau-auf-zielgeraden (Accessed: 14 January 2021).
- Köllner, C. (2020) Das sollten Sie über Plug-in-Hybride wissen. Available at: <https://www.springerprofessional.de/plug-in-hybrid/antriebsstrang/das-sollten-sie-ueber-plug-in-hybride-wissen/18235362>.
- Kroher, T. (2020) Plug-in-Hybrid: Modelle, Verbrauch, Technik, Kosten, Ökobilanz. Available at: <https://www.adac.de/rund-ums-fahrzeug/autokatalog/marken-modelle/auto/plug-in-hybrid/>.
- Ortner, M. (2020) Plug-in-Hybride sind nur so umweltfreundlich wie ihre Fahrer - Wiener Zeitung Online. Available at: <https://www.wienerzeitung.at>

- [//www.wienerzeitung.at/nachrichten/wirtschaft/oesterreich/2084730-Plug-In-Hybride-nur-so-umweltfreundlich-wie-ihre-Fahrer.html](http://www.wienerzeitung.at/nachrichten/wirtschaft/oesterreich/2084730-Plug-In-Hybride-nur-so-umweltfreundlich-wie-ihre-Fahrer.html) (Accessed: 14 January 2021).
- Plötz, P., Moll, C., Biecker, G., Mock, P., & Li, Y. (2020). Real-World Usage of Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles: Fuel Consumption, Electric Driving, and CO Emissions.
 - Schäfer, P. (2020) Empa errechnet beste Kaltstart-Strategie für Hybridfahrzeuge. Available at: <https://www.springerprofessional.de/hybridtechnik/abgasnachbehandlung/empa-errechnet-beste-kaltstart-strategie-fuer-hybridfahrzeuge/17751190>.
 - Sitte, P. (2020a) BEÖ: Strom laden in eigener Garage wird einfacher. Wichtiger Schritt für E-Mobilität. | Bundesverband Elektromobilität Österreich (BEÖ), 15.07.2020. Available at: https://www.ots.at/presseaussendung/OTS_20200715_OTS0148/beoe-strom-laden-in-eigener-garage-wird-einfacher-wichtiger-schritt-fuer--e-mobilitaet (Accessed: 14 January 2021).
 - Sitte, P. (2020b) BEÖ begrüßt E-Mobilitätsförderung 2020 | Bundesverband Elektromobilität Österreich (BEÖ), 29.06.2020. Available at: https://www.ots.at/presseaussendung/OTS_20200629_OTS0144/beoe-begruesst-e-mobilitaetsfoerderung-2020 (Accessed: 14 January 2021).
 - Song, Z. et al. (2018) ‘Component sizing optimization of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles with the hybrid energy storage system’, *Energy*. Elsevier Ltd, 144, pp. 393–403. doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2017.12.009.
 - VCÖ (2020) VCÖ: Neue Studie zeigt schlechte Klimabilanz von Plug-In-Hybrid Pkw - Mobilität mit Zukunft. Available at: <https://www.vcoe.at/presse/presseaussendungen/detail/vcoe-neue-studie-zeigt-schlechte-klimabilanz-von-plug-in-hybrid-pkw> (Accessed: 14 January 2021).

Chapter 16

References

- Lovelace, R., Nowosad, J., Muenchow J. (2021) *Geocomputation with R*. <https://geocompr.robinlovelace.net/>
- South, A., Lovelace, R., Bogacz, M. *Afrimapr book*. <https://github.com/afrimapr/afrimapr-book>
- Xie, Y. (2015). *Dynamic Documents with R and Knitr*. 2nd ed. Boca Raton, Florida: Chapman; Hall/CRC. <http://yihui.org/knitr/>.
- Xie, Y. (2021). *bookdown: Authoring Books and Technical Documents with R Markdown*. <https://bookdown.org/yihui/bookdown/>