

1. *Reservation(sID, age, length, sName, day, cName, rating, cID)*

Function Dependencies, $S = \{sID \rightarrow sName, rating, age; cID \rightarrow cName, length\}$

a.

sID	age	length	sName	day	cName	rating	cID
4	24	8	Davendra	04-07-2021 13:00:00	craft_one	3	2
4	24	8	Davendra	11-09-2021 10:00:00	craft_one	3	2

b. Using BCNF Decomposition

Step 1	<p><i>Reservation(sID, age, length, sName, day, cName, rating, cID)</i></p> <p>$S = \{sID \rightarrow sName, rating, age; cID \rightarrow cName, length\}$</p> <p>Let us find the closure of sID:</p> <p>$sID^+ = \{sID, sName, rating, age\} \Rightarrow \text{Violates BCNF}$</p> <p>Create relation R1:</p> <p>$R1(sID, sName, rating, age)$</p> <p>Create relation R2:</p> <p>$X^+ = \{sID, sName, rating, age\}$</p> <p>$X = \{sID\}$</p> <p>$R2 = Reservation - (X^+ - X)$</p> <p>$R2 = Reservation - \{sName, rating, age\}$</p> <p>$R2(sID, cID, cName, length, day)$</p>
Step 2	<p>Project Functional Dependencies (FD) onto R1 and R2:</p> <p>$R1(sID, sName, rating, age)$</p> <p>$sID^+ = \{sID, sName, rating, age\} \Rightarrow \text{Is a key}$</p> <p>$sID \rightarrow sName, rating, age \Rightarrow \text{Strongest FD}$</p> <p>$R2(sID, cID, cName, length, day)$</p> <p>$sID^+ = \{sID, sName, rating, age\} \Rightarrow \text{Already have } sID \rightarrow sName, rating, age$</p> <p>$cID^+ = \{cID, cName, length\} \Rightarrow \text{We get, } cID \rightarrow cName, length$</p> <p>$cID \rightarrow cName, length \Rightarrow \text{Violates BCNF}$</p>
Step 3	<p>Now, decompose R2 from step 2:</p> <p>$R2(sID, cID, cName, length, day)$</p> <p>Create a new space called S' :</p> <p>$S' = \{cID \rightarrow cName, length\}$</p> <p>$cID^+ = \{cID, cName, length\}$</p> <p>$X^+ = \{cID, cName, length\}$</p> <p>$X = \{cID\}$</p> <p>Given R1',</p>

	$R1'(cID, cName, length)$ Determine $R2'$ to be: $R2' = R2 - (X^+ - X)$ $R2' = R2 - \{cName, length\}$ $R2'(sID, cID, day)$
Step 4	Project FDs in S' onto $R1'$ and $R2'$ $R1'(cID, cName, length)$ $cID^+ = \{cName, length\} \Rightarrow \text{Strongest FD: } cID \rightarrow cName, length$ $R2'(sID, cID, day)$ $cID^+ = \{cName, length\} \Rightarrow \text{Strongest FD: } cID \rightarrow cName, length$ $sID^+ = \{sID\}$
Step 5	Finally we get three relations after the BCNF decomposition: $R1(sID, sName, rating, age)$ $R2(cID, cName, length)$ $R3(sID, cID, day)$

2. Relation F has attributes $R = \{K L M N O P Q R S\}$ and Functional Dependencies (FD)

$G = \{KOQ \rightarrow PS, L \rightarrow KN, KQ \rightarrow RS\}$

a. Now, let us find the closures:

$KOQ^+ = KOPQRS \Rightarrow \text{Not a Superkey, violates BCNF}$

$L^+ = KLN \Rightarrow \text{Not a Superkey, violates BCNF}$

$KQ^+ = KQRS \Rightarrow \text{Not a Superkey, violates BCNF}$

Therefore all FD's violate BCNF:

$KOQ \rightarrow PS$

$KQ \rightarrow RS$

$L \rightarrow KN$

b.

Step 1	Take $KOQ \rightarrow PS$ and compute KOQ^+ $KOQ^+ = KOPQRS$
Step 2	Replace with two relations given relation $R(K L M N O P Q R S)$ $R1 = \{KOPQRS\}$ $X^+ = KOQ^+ = KOPQRS$ $X = KOQ$ $X^+ - X = \{K O P Q R S\} - \{K O Q\}$ $X^+ - X = \{P R S\}$

	$R2 = R - (X^+ - X)$ $R2 = \{K L M N O P Q R S\} - \{P R S\}$ $R2 = \{K L M N O Q\}$
Step 3	<p>Project the FD's on R1(K O P Q R S)</p> $K^+ = K \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $O^+ = O \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $P^+ = P \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $Q^+ = Q \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $R^+ = R \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $S^+ = S \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KO^+ = KO \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KP^+ = KP \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KQ^+ = KQRS \Rightarrow KQ \rightarrow RS$, which violates BCNF since KQ is not a superkey Therefore, stop projection and decompose R1 further.
Step 4	<p>Decompose R1 using $KQ \rightarrow RS$</p> $KQ^+ = KQRS$ $R3(KQRS)$ $X^+ = KQ^+ = KQRS$ $X = KQ$ $X^+ - X = \{K Q R S\} - \{K Q\}$ $X^+ - X = \{R S\}$ $R4 = R1 - (X^+ - X)$ $R4 = \{K O P Q R S\} - \{R S\}$ $R4 = \{K O P Q\}$
Step 5	<p>Project FD's onto R3(K Q R S)</p> $L = \{KQRS\}, S = \{KQ \rightarrow RS\}$ $K^+ = K \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $Q^+ = Q \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $R^+ = R \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $S^+ = S \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KQ^+ = KQRS \Rightarrow \text{Superkey of } R3 \text{ so we can ignore supersets of } KQ$ $KR^+ = KR \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KS^+ = KS \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KRS^+ = KRS \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $QRS^+ = QRS \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ Only $KQ \rightarrow RS$ follows and it is a superkey Therefore R3 satisfies BCNF
Step 6	<p>Project FD's onto R4(K O P Q)</p>

	$K^+ = K \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $O^+ = O \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $P^+ = P \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $Q^+ = Q \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KO^+ = KO \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KP^+ = KP \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KQ^+ = KQRS \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $OP^+ = OP \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $OQ^+ = OQ \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $PQ^+ = PQ \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KOP^+ = KOP \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KOQ^+ = KOPQRS \Rightarrow KOQ \rightarrow P \text{ is a superkey of } R_4$ $KPQ^+ = KPQ \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $OPQ^+ = OPQ \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ Since KOQ^+ is a superkey, R_4 satisfies BCNF
Step 7	Project FD's onto $R_2(K L M N O Q)$ $K^+ = K \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $L^+ = KLN \Rightarrow L \rightarrow KN \text{ is not a superkey of } R_2, \text{ violates BCNF}$ Cancel projection and decompose R_2 using $L \rightarrow KN$
Step 8	Decompose $R_2(K L M N O Q)$ using FD $L \rightarrow KN$ $L^+ = KLN$ $R_5(KLN)$ $X^+ = L^+ = LKN$ $X = L$ $X^+ - X = \{L K N\} - \{L\}$ $X^+ - X = \{KN\}$ $R_6 = R_2 - (X^+ - X)$ $R_6 = \{K L M N O Q\} - \{K N\}$ $R_6 = \{L M O Q\}$
Step 9	Project FD's onto $R_5(K L N)$ $K^+ = K \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $L^+ = KLN \Rightarrow L \rightarrow KN \text{ is a superkey of } R_5, \text{ ignore supersets of } L$ $N^+ = N \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $KN^+ = KN \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ With FD $L \rightarrow KN$, $R_5(KLN)$ satisfies BCNF
Step 10	Project FD's onto $R_6(L M O Q)$ $L^+ = KLN$

	$M^+ = M \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $O^+ = O \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $Q^+ = Q \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $LM^+ = KLMN \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $LO^+ = KLNQRS \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $MO^+ = MO \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $MQ^+ = MQ \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $OQ^+ = OQ \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $LMO^+ = KLMNO \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $LMQ^+ = KLMNQRS \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $LOQ^+ = KLNOQRS \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ $MOQ^+ = MOQ \Rightarrow \text{nothing}$ No FD's, R6 satisfies BCNF
Step 11	From R(K L M N O P Q R S) we get: $\Rightarrow R3(KQRS), KQ \rightarrow RS$ $\Rightarrow R4(KOPQ), KOQ \rightarrow P$ $\Rightarrow R5(KLN), L \rightarrow KN$ $\Rightarrow R6(LMOQ), \text{no FD's}$

- c. For the relation $R(K L M N O P Q R S)$, the Functional Dependencies are: $G = \{KOQ \rightarrow PS, L \rightarrow KN, KQ \rightarrow RS\}$

When decomposed into R3, R4, R5, R6:

- $KQ \rightarrow RS$ from R3 is preserved
- $L \rightarrow KN$ from R5 is preserved
- $KOQ \rightarrow S$ has been given by a stronger FD from R3 i.e $KQ \rightarrow S$

Thus, the final schema preserves the dependencies.

- d. Recall $R(K L M N O P Q R S)$ was decomposed into:

- $R3(K Q R S)$
- $R4(K O P Q)$
- $R5(K L N)$
- $R6(L M O Q)$

Let $t = \langle k, l, m, n, o, p, q, r, s \rangle$ be a tuple in the join of projections of some tuples of, one for each $R3, R4, R5, R6$.

Use FD's to show that one of the tuples must be t .

	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
$R3$	k	$l1$	$m1$	$n1$	$o1$	$p1$	q	r	s
$R4$	k	$l2$	$m2$	$n2$	o	p	q	$r2$	$s2$

<i>R5</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>l</i>	<i>m3</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>o3</i>	<i>p3</i>	<i>q3</i>	<i>r3</i>	<i>s3</i>
<i>R6</i>	<i>k4</i>	<i>l</i>	<i>m</i>	<i>n4</i>	<i>o</i>	<i>p4</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>r4</i>	<i>s4</i>

Apply $L \rightarrow KN$:

	<i>K</i>	<i>L</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>Q</i>	<i>R</i>	<i>S</i>
<i>R3</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>ll</i>	<i>m1</i>	<i>n1</i>	<i>o1</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>s</i>
<i>R4</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>l2</i>	<i>m2</i>	<i>n2</i>	<i>o</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>r2</i>	<i>s2</i>
<i>R5</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>l</i>	<i>m3</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>o3</i>	<i>p3</i>	<i>q3</i>	<i>r3</i>	<i>s3</i>
<i>R6</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>l</i>	<i>m</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>o</i>	<i>p4</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>r4</i>	<i>s4</i>

Apply $KOQ \rightarrow PS$:

	<i>K</i>	<i>L</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>Q</i>	<i>R</i>	<i>S</i>
<i>R3</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>ll</i>	<i>m1</i>	<i>n1</i>	<i>o1</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>s</i>
<i>R4</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>l2</i>	<i>m2</i>	<i>n2</i>	<i>o</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>r2</i>	<i>s</i>
<i>R5</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>l</i>	<i>m3</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>o3</i>	<i>p3</i>	<i>q3</i>	<i>r3</i>	<i>s3</i>
<i>R6</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>l</i>	<i>m</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>o</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>r4</i>	<i>s</i>

Apply $KQ \rightarrow RS$:

	<i>K</i>	<i>L</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>O</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>Q</i>	<i>R</i>	<i>S</i>
<i>R3</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>ll</i>	<i>m1</i>	<i>n1</i>	<i>o1</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>s</i>
<i>R4</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>l2</i>	<i>m2</i>	<i>n2</i>	<i>o</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>s</i>
<i>R5</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>l</i>	<i>m3</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>o3</i>	<i>p3</i>	<i>q3</i>	<i>r3</i>	<i>s3</i>
<i>R6</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>l</i>	<i>m</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>o</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>q</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>s</i>

The green indicates a completely unsubscripted row.

→ Any tuple in the project-join is in the original relation R

→ From the Chase Test, BCNF guarantees a lossless join

3. R has attributes ABCDEFGH

$S = \{ACDE \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CF, CD \rightarrow AF, BCF \rightarrow AD, ABF \rightarrow H\}$

a.

Step 1	<p>Split the RHS to get our initial set of FD's, S':</p> <p>(a) $ACDE \rightarrow B$</p> <p>(b) $B \rightarrow C$</p> <p>(c) $B \rightarrow F$</p> <p>(d) $CD \rightarrow A$</p> <p>(e) $CD \rightarrow F$</p> <p>(f) $BCF \rightarrow A$</p> <p>(g) $BCF \rightarrow D$</p> <p>(h) $ABF \rightarrow H$</p>
Step 2	<p>For each FD, try to reduce the LHS using closures over the set S'.</p> <p>(a) $ACDE \rightarrow B$</p> <p>$A^+ = A$</p> <p>$C^+ = C$</p> <p>$D^+ = D$</p> <p>$E^+ = E$</p> <p>$AC^+ = AC$</p> <p>$AD^+ = AD$</p> <p>$AE^+ = AE$</p> <p>$CD^+ = ACDF$</p> <p>$CE^+ = CE$</p> <p>$DE^+ = DE$</p> <p>$ACD^+ = ACDF$</p> <p>$ACE^+ = ACE$</p> <p>$ADE^+ = ADE$</p> <p>$CDE^+ = ACDEF$</p> <p>None of the LHS yields B so therefore we cannot reduce the LHS of this FD</p> <p>(b) $B \rightarrow C$</p> <p>There is nothing to reduce since we look at where $x \geq 2$ in FD $x \rightarrow y$</p> <p>(c) $B \rightarrow F$</p> <p>There is nothing to reduce here</p> <p>(d) $CD \rightarrow A$</p> <p>$C^+ = C$</p> <p>$D^+ = D$</p> <p>No singleton LHS yields anything, thus we cannot reduce the LHS of this FD</p> <p>(e) $CD \rightarrow F$</p>

	$C^+ = C$ $D^+ = D$ <i>No singleton LHS yields anything, thus we cannot reduce the LHS of this FD</i> (f) $BCF \rightarrow A$ $B^+ = ABCDFH$ <i>We can reduce the LHS of the FD, yielding the new FD to: $B \rightarrow A$</i> (g) $BCF \rightarrow D$ $B^+ = ABCDFH$ <i>By the same argument as above, we can reduce this FD to: $B \rightarrow D$</i> (h) $ABF \rightarrow H$ $A^+ = A$ $B^+ = ABCDFH$ <i>We can reduce this FD to: $B \rightarrow H$</i> Now our new set of FD's S'': (a) $ACDE \rightarrow B$ (b) $B \rightarrow C$ (c) $B \rightarrow F$ (d) $CD \rightarrow A$ (e) $CD \rightarrow F$ (f) $B \rightarrow A$ (g) $B \rightarrow D$ (h) $B \rightarrow H$
Step 3	Try to eliminate the redundant FD's: (a) $ACDE^+_{S'' - (a)} = ACDEF \Rightarrow$ We need this FD (b) $B^+_{S'' - (b)} = ABDFH \Rightarrow$ We need this FD (c) $B^+_{S'' - (c)} = ABCDFH \Rightarrow$ We can remove FD $B \rightarrow F$ (d) $CD^+_{S'' - \{(c),(d)\}} = CDF \Rightarrow$ We need this FD (e) $CD^+_{S'' - \{(c),(e)\}} = ACD \Rightarrow$ We need this FD (f) $B^+_{S'' - \{(c),(f)\}} = ABCDH \Rightarrow$ We can remove FD $B \rightarrow A$ (g) $B^+_{S'' - \{(c),(f),(g)\}} = BCH \Rightarrow$ We need this FD (h) $B^+_{S'' - \{(c),(f),(h)\}} = ABCDF \Rightarrow$ We need this FD The final set FD's (renumbered) which is the minimal basis S''' is: (a) $ACDE \rightarrow B$ (b) $B \rightarrow C$ (c) $B \rightarrow D$ (d) $B \rightarrow H$ (e) $CD \rightarrow A$ (f) $CD \rightarrow F$

- b. If an attribute is not found anywhere in the FD's, it has to be in every key. Also, if an attribute appears in the FD but never appears on the RHS, it has to be in every key. On the other hand, if an attribute appears only on the RHS of the FD's, never the left, it does not help in computing any key since it can be removed and will still get the same closure.

Given our attributes: A B C D E F G H and considering the minimal basis

$$S''' = \{ACDE \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow C, B \rightarrow D, B \rightarrow H, CD \rightarrow A, CD \rightarrow F\}$$

- G is not found anywhere hence, G must be in every key
- E appears in an FD but never on the RHS thus, E must be in every key
- H and F appear only on the RHS of the FD's, but never the left, thus, H and F are not in any key

To summarize:

Attribute	Appears on		Conclusion
	LHS	RHS	
G	—	—	Must be in every key
E	✓	—	Must be in every key
H, F	—	✓	Not in any key
A, B, C, D	✓	✓	Must check

We only have to consider all combinations of A, B, C, D. For each combination, we must add E and G as they must be in every key.

$$AEG^+ = AEG \Rightarrow \text{does not yield every attribute, therefore not a key}$$

$$BEG^+ = ABCDEFGH \Rightarrow \text{Yields every attribute, therefore BEG is a key}$$

\Rightarrow can ignore supersets of BEG as they will be superkeys, but not minimal

$$CEG^+ = CEG \Rightarrow \text{does not yield every attribute, therefore not a key}$$

$$DEG^+ = DEG \Rightarrow \text{does not yield every attribute, therefore not a key}$$

$$ACEG^+ = ACEG \Rightarrow \text{does not yield every attribute, therefore not a key}$$

$$ADEG^+ = ADEG \Rightarrow \text{does not yield every attribute, therefore not a key}$$

$$CDEG^+ = ABCDEFGH \Rightarrow \text{Yields every attribute, therefore CDEG a key}$$

Keys for R: BEG, CDEG

- c. Recall the minimal FD's : $S_5 = \{ACDE \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CDH, CD \rightarrow AF\}$

Step 1	Construct a minimal basis, which is $S_5 = \{ACDE \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow CDH, CD \rightarrow AF\}$
--------	--

Step 2	<p>For each FD $X \rightarrow Y \in S_s$, define a new schema $X \cup Y$ The resulting relations are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ R1(A B C D E) ○ R2(B C D H) ○ R3(A C D F)
Step 3	<p>If no relation is a superkey for $L = A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H$ add a relation whose schema is some key. None of the relations in Step 2 contains the key of relation R, so we add a new relation R4(B E G)</p> <p>The final set of relations are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ R1(A B C D E) ○ R2(B C D H) ○ R3(A C D F) ○ R4(B E G)

- d. Clearly, $CD \rightarrow AF$ will be projected onto R1 and $CD^+ = ACDF$
 $\Rightarrow CD$ is not a superkey for R1 (violates BCNF) $\Rightarrow FD$'s with a non – superkey on the LHS
 \Rightarrow Therefore the schema allows for redundancy