

Linear Algebra: Characteristics of Spaces

Daven Farnham

August 2015

Linear Transformations

I want to try to connect the definition of a linear transformation with the definition of a homomorphism in group theory.

If I have two groups (G, \circ) and (H, \star) and some homomorphism between them $\varphi(x)$, then $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G, \varphi(g_1 g_2) = \varphi(g_1) \varphi(g_2)$ where the operation on the left comes from G and the operation on the right comes from H .

A homomorphism **preserves structural properties** s.t. the identity element in G , e_G , maps to the identity element in H , e_H , the inverse in G maps to the inverse in H , if G is abelian then H is abelian, etc.

Identity:

$$\varphi(e_G) = \varphi(e_G \circ e_G) = \varphi(e_G) \star \varphi(e_G)$$

$$\varphi(e_G) \star e_H = \varphi(e_G) \star \varphi(e_G)$$

$$e_H = \varphi(e_G)$$

Inverse:

$$\varphi(g_1 g_1^{-1}) = \varphi(g_1) \star \varphi(g_1^{-1})$$

$$\varphi(g_1 g_1^{-1}) = \varphi(e_G)$$

$$\varphi(e_G) = \varphi(g_1) \star \varphi(g_1^{-1}) = \varphi(g_1) \star \varphi(g_1)^{-1} \text{ (by the definition of inverses)}$$

$$\varphi(g_1) \star \varphi(g_1^{-1}) = \varphi(g_1) \star \varphi(g_1)^{-1}$$

$$\varphi(g_1^{-1}) = \varphi(g_1)^{-1}$$

This should look very much like the definition of a linear transformation, which says for a transformation $R^m \rightarrow R^n$:

- **(1)** $T(0) = 0$
- **(2)** $T(v + w) = T(v) + T(w)$ for all $v, w \in R^m$

- **(3)** $T(kx) = kT(x)$ for all $x \in R^m$

This is a transformation going from the reals to the reals. The identity element, in both cases, should be 0 since $0 + x = x$, which is the case in (1). This is really just a corner case of (2) (which can also be used to prove (3)).

The second property here is called **additivity** and I think you should look at it in the context of vectors. If I transform one vector, that should be the same as transforming its component vectors. Any vector will be a line, so a linear transformation is one where transforming components is the same as simply transforming the entire vector.

Basis, Image, Kernel

Section 3 in Bretscher is probably the most important in the book. In it, he discusses 3 key characteristics of matrices: basis, image, and kernel.

0.1 Basis

Given some space $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ where each v_n is a column vector, the basis of space V is the minimum number of vectors in V that completely describes the image of the matrix.

Put in other words, if I have a matrix A and I find its basis B , then the $\text{Image}(A) = \text{Image}(B)$.

Furthermore, $\forall v_i \in V$, v_i cannot be created through a **linear combination** of the other vectors $v_n | n \neq i$. This means all vectors in the basis are **non-redundant** and the only **linear relation** between them is the trivial one.

0.1.1 Linear Combination

A linear combination looks like this:

$$a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_n v_n = c$$

In a basis, since no vectors are redundant, given a vector v_i there's no way to create v_i from the remaining vectors. So, regardless what coefficients a_i you pick:

$$a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_{i-1} v_{i-1} + a_{i+1} v_{i+1} + \dots + a_n v_n \neq v_i$$

A linear relation then is just an expansion on the idea of a linear combination. A linear relation is a linear combination that equals 0. So, taking the above example:

$$a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_n v_n = 0$$

is an example of a linear relation. If all vectors are non-redundant, meaning no vector can be created from the others, then it's impossible to get 0 unless all coefficients are 0 (which is the trivial relation). A super simple example involves the standard vectors in R^3 , e_1, e_2, e_3 . Regardless what non-zero coefficients you pick, no summation of the vectors will ever equal zero

$$a_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + a_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + a_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0 \quad (1)$$

which should be obvious from the fact that for each non-zero element in a particular vector, all the other vectors have a zero in that position; so there's no way to eliminate the value.

0.1.2 Unique Representation

On page 120 Bretscher gives a cool, alternative definition of a basis. If I have a basis of some space V in R^n , then how many ways are there to represent a vector $v \in V$?

It turns out there is only **one**. Since I have a basis, there'll be at least one way to represent v since that's the definition of a basis. Let's now use linear combinations to rewrite v and *assume* there are multiple ways to represent it:

$$\begin{aligned} v &= a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_n v_n \\ v &= b_1 v_1 + b_2 v_2 + \dots + b_n v_n \\ 0 &= (a_1 - b_1)v_1 + (a_2 - b_2)v_2 + \dots + (a_n - b_n)v_n \end{aligned}$$

Since the only linear relation among basis vectors is the trivial one, $a_n = b_n$ for all coefficients in the above combinations, meaning there can be only a single representation for a vector $v \in V$.

0.2 Image

The image of a linear transformation is everything in the target space the transformation maps to. Specifically, it's a linear combination of matrix A's column vectors. Consider a transformation $T(Ax)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

In matrix multiplication, this simplifies to:

$$x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

which means it maps $R^2 \rightarrow R^3$, going from a two dimensional domain to a three dimensional target space where every vector in the target space can be represented by a linear combination of the two vectors $[1 \ 4 \ 7]$ and $[2 \ 5 \ 8]$. These two vectors **span** the target space, creating a plane (since there are only two vectors it would be impossible to span all of R^3).

To succinctly describe the image, it's good to eliminate any redundant vectors in A; that is, make sure all vectors in A are **linearly independent**. Otherwise, there's redundancy.

For example, in the above matrix A if there was another vector $[3 \ 12 \ 21]$, well that's just $3 * [1 \ 4 \ 7]$. You could describe

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 12 \\ 7 & 8 & 21 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

like this:

$$x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 12 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

but $[1 \ 4 \ 7]$ and $[3 \ 12 \ 21]$ are multiples of each other and lie along the same line. Therefore, as x_1 varies from $-\infty$ to ∞ , it will consume $[3 \ 12 \ 21]$ whatever it is.

Eliminating this redundant vector, we get the **basis**.

0.3 Kernel

The kernel of an n by m matrix A are all vectors x in m that map to zero in the range. So the kernel is the set of all vectors in the domain that map to zero; put more succinctly:

$$\ker(A) = \{x \in R^m \mid Ax = 0\}$$

Something that should be remembered is that **invertibility** implies bijectivity. If I have an invertible matrix A from $R^n \rightarrow R^m$, then I can pick any vector $y \in R^m$ and $\{\exists x \in R^n \mid A^{-1}[y] = x\}$. When it comes to the kernel, this means the kernel of an invertible matrix = $\{0\}$.

This should make sense, since an invertible matrix can be row reduced into the identity matrix. The identity matrix, then, is just the standard vectors and above I showed that the standard vectors are linearly independent, meaning

there's no way to eliminate the value from e_1 with any combination of the other vectors e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n .

The dimension of some subspace V is the number of vectors in its basis.

The **rank** of a matrix is the number of columns in the matrix with a leading variable. This matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

then, has a rank of 3. You can also think of this as the number of linearly independent vectors in a matrix.

If the rank of a n by m matrix A equals m , then there are leading variables in each column of the matrix and thus, the kernel of the matrix has to be zero. Note, this doesn't immediately imply bijectivity though, if $m \neq n$ then the matrix isn't invertible.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x \quad (7)$$

$$x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

which means this is a transformation from $R^2 \rightarrow R^4$, so I can't pick any vector in R^4 and have it map back to a vector in R^2 . For example, if I picked vector $[0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1]$ there's absolutely no vector $x \in R^2$ that maps to it. Therefore, although the above transformation is **injective**, it is not **surjective** and thus not bijective.

A couple things to keep in mind:

- if $m > n$ and every column vector in m is non-trivial (i.e. not the zero vector) then there has to be free variables and thus some vector in the kernel.
- You can think of the above, also, in the frame of going from a higher dimension to a lower dimension, and thus you have to *collapse* the vectors of the domain into a smaller dimension. Therefore, some of the vectors from the higher dimension have to be relegated to the kernel and map to zero.

- If you go in the other direction, like the above example when I went from $R^2 \rightarrow R^4$, the kernel won't always be zero (like if you have redundant vectors) but there's the possibility the kernel will equal zero. In this case, the matrix is kind of **expanding** upon the dimension of the domain and thus there's no need to cram multiple vector types into the kernel.

0.4 Rank-Nullity

Given some matrix A in R^n , the number of vectors that make up its image ($\dim(\text{Im}(A))$ or $\text{rank}(A)$) + the number of vectors in its kernel ($\text{Nullity}(A)$) = n .

Thinking about this in terms of the vectors in the matrix A , all column vectors with a leading 1 end up in the image whereas all column vectors with free variables are in the kernel. Number of Leading variables + number of Free variables = total number of columns, so the $\text{Dim}(\text{Im}(A)) + \text{Nullity}(A) = n$.