
Math 4504: Student Packet

Spring 2018

Contents

1 Earliest Mathematics	5
Readings	5
Questions	5
2 Begin to Count	7
3 Solve Like an Egyptian	8
Readings	8
Questions	8
4 The method of false position	9
5 Babylonian Basics	11
Readings	11
Questions	11
6 Babylonian numbers	13
7 Babylonian Geometry	14
Readings	14
Questions	14
8 The Beginning of the Greek Era	15
Readings	15
Questions	15
9 Rational numbers and similarity	16
10 Pythagorean Mathematics	17
Readings	17
Questions	17
11 Pythagorean means	18
12 Circles and Lunes	20
Readings	20
Questions	20
13 Computing quadratures	21
14 The Three Problems of Antiquity	22
Readings	22
Questions	22
15 It's All Greek To Me!	24
16 Trisecting	26
Readings	26
Questions	26
17 Proofs of the Pythagorean Theorem	27
Readings	27

Questions	27
18 Euclid's Elements	28
19 The Pythagorean Theorem	30
20 Unsolved Problems	32
Readings	32
Questions	32
21 The unique factorization theorem	34
22 On the Infinitude of Primes	36
Readings	36
Questions	36
23 Pi	37
Readings	37
Questions	37
24 Estimating Pi	38
25 Archimedes and Estimation	40
Readings	40
Questions	40
26 Mathematicians after Archimedes	41
Readings	41
Questions	41
27 Heron's formula	43
28 Arabic Mathematics	46
Readings	46
Questions	46
29 The Beginning of the Modern Era	47
Readings	47
Questions	47
30 Solving equations	48
31 Mathematical Notation	50
Readings	50
Questions	50
32 Logarithms and Multiplication	51
Readings	51
Questions	51
33 The binomial theorem and π	53
34 Fermat's Last Theorem	55
Readings	55
Questions	55

35 Newton and Leibniz	57
Readings	57
Questions	57
36 Leibniz and series	58
37 Proofs that the Harmonic Series Diverges	61
Readings	61
Questions	61
38 The Riemann Hypothesis	62
Readings	62
Questions	62
39 Bernoulli, Euler, and series	63
40 Very Large Primes	65
Readings	65
Questions	65
41 Euler and Fermat	66
42 Gauss, The Prince of Mathematicians	67
Readings	67
Questions	67
43 Contemplating the Infinite	68
Readings	68
Questions	68
44 Cantor Can!	69
45 The Twentieth Century and Beyond	71
Readings	71
Questions	71
46 Who's Who	72
47 Limits of axioms	74

1 Earliest Mathematics

We begin our study of the history of mathematics as far back in history as we can. The earliest form of mathematics that we know is counting, as our ancestors worked to keep track of how many of various things they had. and the earliest evidence we have is a prehistoric bone on which have been marked some tallies, which sometimes appear to be in groups of five. You can see a picture of these marks on what is now called the “Ishago bone” at Prehistoric Mathematics. The earliest civilization we know to then develop methods of adding, subtracting, multiplying, and dividing are the ancient Egyptians. In the readings below, we will see some history of the time period, as well as the methods the Egyptians used for counting and basic mathematical operations. The third reading is a timeline, which you might find helpful during this first part of our course.

Readings

First Reading: (Video) The Language of the Universe: Mathematics in Ancient Times

- Watch at least Section 1: Emergence of a New Universe

Second Reading: Egyptian Mathematics

- Section 1: Basic Facts About Ancient Egypt
- Section 2: Counting and Arithmetic: Basics

Third Reading: Egyptian Fractions: Ahmes to Fibonacci to Today

Fourth Reading: Chronology for 30000BC to 500BC

Questions

Question 1 *When are the first symbols for numbers used? 3400BC*

Question 2 *What kind of fractions did the Ancient Egyptians use?*

Multiple Choice:

(a) *They did not use fractions.*

(b) *Unit fractions $\frac{1}{n}$*

Author(s):
 See Prehistoric Mathematics at <http://www.storyofmathematics.com/prehistoric.html>
 See The Language of the Universe: Mathematics in Ancient Times at http://fod.infobase.com.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/p_ViewVideo.aspx?xtid=40029
 See Egyptian Mathematics at <http://www.math.tamu.edu/~don.allen/history/egypt/egypt.html>
 See Egyptian Fractions: Ahmes to Fibonacci to Today at <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/stable/27967280>
 See Chronology for 30000BC to 500BC at http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/Chronology/30000BC_500BC.html

- (c) Only the fractions $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{2}{3}$, and $\frac{3}{4}$
- (d) All fractions that we use today.

Question 3 What are the most important points of this reading?

2 Begin to Count

Activity: Bunt Chapter 1, Part 1

Problem 1 Write the numbers from 1 to 100 (counting by fives) in hieroglyphic.

Problem 2 Compare and contrast the hieroglyphic counting system with our (Hindu-Arabic) system.

Problem 3 Perform the following operations in hieroglyphic, using the method that ancient Egyptians would have:

(a) $2371 + 185$

(b) $3914 - 1609$

Problem 4 Write $\frac{4}{17}$ as a sum of unique unit fractions in both Hindu-Arabic symbols and in hieroglyphic.

Problem 5 Solve the following using the “doubling and adding” method of multiplication. You may use Hindu-Arabic numerals if you’d like!

(a) 13×33

(b) $36 \div 5$

(c) $6 \div 17$

Problem 6 What is the value of the tables that ancient Egyptians often used for their calculations?

Author(s):

3 Solve Like an Egyptian

We have seen how the ancient Egyptians worked through basic arithmetic problems. Our next question should then be: what kinds of problems did they solve? Generally, the ancient Egyptians are known for solving practical, every-day problems that had to do with administering their large empire. Scribes would solve geometry and arithmetic problems as part of their jobs, and other people in the empire would generally not know or use such mathematics. Scribes were trained in scribal schools, where they learned mathematics. The best examples we have come from papyrus rolls which have been preserved and translated. Our goal for the readings below is to understand some examples of how the ancient Egyptians solved arithmetic and geometric problems.

Readings

First Reading: Mathematics Problems from Ancient Egyptian Papyri

Second Reading: Mathematics in Egyptian Papyri

Third Reading: The Moscow Papyrus

Fourth Reading: Summary of Egyptian Mathematics

Questions

Question 1 *What was the Egyptian value for π ? 3.1605*

Question 2 *What is the first step in the Method of False Position?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *Moving everything to one side of the equals sign.*
 - (b) *Labeling a variable.*
 - (c) *Guessing.*
 - (d) *Doubling numbers using a chart.*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points of this reading?*

Author(s):

See Mathematics Problems from Ancient Egyptian Papyri at <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/stable/20876630>

See Mathematics in Egyptian Papyri at http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/HistTopics/Egyptian_papyri.html

See The Moscow Papyrus at <http://www.math.tamu.edu/~don.allen/history/egypt/node4.html>

See Summary of Egyptian Mathematics at <http://www.math.tamu.edu/~don.allen/history/egypt/node5.html>

4 The method of false position

In this activity we will seek to understand the method of false position.

Exercise 1 Solve the following algebra problem:

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 + y^2 &= 52 \\ 2x &= 3y\end{aligned}$$

Question 2 While I am not sure which method you used to solve this problem, ancient Egyptians used the method of *false position* to solve problems like this. Moreover, such a method was taught in American schools until the mid 1800's. Here is the solution using false position—without any explanation!

- (a) Set $x = 3$ and $y = 2$.
- (b) $3^2 + 2^2 = 13$.
- (c) $52/13 = 4$.
- (d) $\sqrt{4} = 2$.
- (e) $x = 2 \cdot 3$ and $y = 2 \cdot 2$.

Explain the algorithm used and give another example to show you know how it is done.

Exploration 3 Can you explain **why** the method of false position works?

Exercise 4 Solve the following problem: One hundred dollars is to be split among four siblings: Ali, Brad, Cara, and Denise where Brad gets four more dollars than Ali, Cara gets eight more dollars than Brad, and Denise gets twice as much as Cara. How much does each sibling get?

Author(s):

Question 5 Here is the solution by double false position:

- (a) Suppose Ali gets 6 dollars.
- (b) The total now is not 100, but 70. We are too low by 30.
- (c) Now suppose Ali gets 8 dollars.
- (d) The total now is not 100, but 80. We are too low by 20.
- (e) Compute

$$\frac{8 \cdot 30 - 6 \cdot 20}{30 - 20} = 12.$$

This is the correct answer.

Explain the algorithm used and give another example to show you know how it is done.

Exploration 6 Can you explain **why** the method of double false position works?

5 Babylonian Basics

The next ancient civilization we will study are the ancient Babylonians. As with the Egyptians, we will begin with their number system and ways of doing arithmetic, then move on in the next section to problem-solving methods. The two readings below have some overlap, which will hopefully help to clarify the material. Especially when it comes to these ancient civilizations, it's also good to have several perspectives, as historians' opinions can differ quite wildly. For instance, historians have long thought that the tablet called "Plimpton 322" was a list of Pythagorean Triples, and used this evidence to cite that the ancient Babylonians knew this theorem long before Pythagoras. However, as recently as 2002, historians have still been looking again at this tablet to determine whether this conclusion is accurate. An article whose author takes a different interpretation is Words and Pictures: New Light on Plimpton 322.

If after you read these selections and still have some questions, the MacTutor articles on this topic may also be helpful. In particular, the Babylonian method of division is often very confusing! The optional third reading about reciprocals of numbers addresses this topic.

MacTutor articles (optional):

- An overview of Babylonian mathematics
- Babylonian numerals

Readings

First Reading: Counting in Cuneiform

Second Reading: Babylonian Mathematics

Optional Third Reading: Babylonian Mathematical Texts I: Reciprocals of Regular Sexagesimal Numbers

Questions

Question 1 *What base did the Babylonians use for their number system?* 60

Question 2 *Why did the Babylonians make tables? Choose the best answer.*

Multiple Choice:

(a) *For fun.*

Author(s):
 See Words and Pictures: New Light on Plimpton 322 at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2695324>
 See An overview of Babylonian mathematics at http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/HistTopics/Babylonian_mathematics.html
 See Babylonian numerals at http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/HistTopics/Babylonian_numerals.html
 See Counting in Cuneiform at <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/stable/30211866>
 See Babylonian Mathematics at http://www.math.tamu.edu/~dallen/masters/egypt_babylon/babylon.pdf
 See Babylonian Mathematical Texts I: Reciprocals of Regular Sexagesimal Numbers at <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/stable/1359434>

- (b) *For use by non-mathematicians.*
 - (c) *To demonstrate solutions to problems.*
 - (d) *To simplify calculations.*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points of this reading?*

6 Babylonian numbers

In this activity we explore the number system of the ancient Babylonians.

The ancient Babylonians used cuneiform characters to write their numbers.

Exercise 1 *What are the 2 basic ancient Babylonian numerical symbols and what do they mean?*

Exploration 2 *Discuss the limitations of the Babylonian system. Then debate whether these so-called limitations were actually limitations at all.*

Exploration 3 *Is the Babylonian system more of a place-value system or a concatenation system?*

Problem 4 *Fill out the following table, simplifying any calculations.*

<i>Hindu – Arabic</i>	<i>Cuneiform</i>	<i>Hindu – Arabic</i>	<i>Cuneiform</i>	<i>Hindu – Arabic</i>	<i>Cuneiform</i>
5×1		5×2		5×3	
5×4		5×5		5×6	
5×7		5×8		5×9	
5×10		5×20		5×30	
5×40		5×50		$\frac{1}{5}$	
$\frac{1}{4}$		$\frac{1}{9}$		$\frac{1}{10}$	
$\frac{5}{6}$		$\frac{1}{20}$		$\frac{1}{100}$	

Problem 5 *Use your table to make the following calculations. You should work in base sixty, though you may use Hindu-Arabic numerals.*

- (a) 34×5
- (b) $1,47 \div 5$
- (c) $150 \div 4$
- (d) $8,6,15 \div 6,40$

Author(s):

7 Babylonian Geometry

As we began to see in the previous section's readings, the Babylonians could solve many different kinds of problems. In some ways, their methods were more advanced than the Egyptian methods. We would like to study (or look again at) the ways the Babylonians approximated square roots, how they solved quadratic equations, and several different kinds of geometry problems. Again, with material that is repeated in more than one place, it's good to pay attention to the different opinions of different authors!

Readings

First Reading: A Babylonian Geometrical Algebra

Second Reading: Ancient Babylonian Astronomers Calculated Jupiter's Position from the Area Under a Time-Velocity Graph

Third Reading: Pythagoras's Theorem in Babylonian Mathematics

Questions

Question 1 *The time interval over which the ancient Babylonians made observations about the position of Jupiter was how many days long? 60 days.*

Question 2 *Which of the following is not a challenge when translating ancient Babylonian tablets?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *We do not know the ancient Babylonian language.*
 - (b) *Some parts of the documents are broken off or missing.*
 - (c) *Scribes occasionally made errors when copying documents.*
 - (d) *Tables of numbers are not usually labeled with their purpose.*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points of this reading?*

Author(s):
 See A Babylonian Geometrical Algebra at <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/stable/2686867>
 See Ancient Babylonian Astronomers Calculated Jupiter's Position from the Area Under a Time-Velocity Graph at <http://science.sciencemag.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/content/351/6272/482>
 See Pythagoras's Theorem in Babylonian Mathematics at http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/HistTopics/Babylonian_Pythagoras.html

8 The Beginning of the Greek Era

Now that we have looked at the mathematics done by the ancient Egyptians and Babylonians, we turn our attention to the Greeks. Here, we find the first evidence of what we might recognize today as “mathematics”: statements about objects and their properties, followed by proofs of these statements. We will begin by considering two of the most well-known Greek mathematicians: Thales and Pythagoras.

Readings

First Reading: Thales: Our Founder?

Second Reading: Pythagoras biography

Third Reading: A Brief History of Numbers

- Read Section 2.2, pages 28 - 30. (The earlier parts of Section 2.2 are a review of Egypt and Babylon if you'd like to read them.)
- Read Chapter 3, pages 31 - 42

Questions

Question 1 *How many theorems was Thales said to have proven?* 5

Question 2 *What is Pythagoras primarily considered?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *A philosopher.*
- (b) *A mathematician.*
- (c) *A traveler.*
- (d) *An astronomer.*

Question 3 *What are the most important points of this reading?*

Author(s):
 See Thales: Our Founder? at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3615512>
 See Pythagoras biography at <http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/Biographies/Pythagoras.html>
 See A Brief History of Numbers at <http://ebookcentral.proquest.com.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/lib/ohio-state-ebooks/detail.action?docID=2084891>

9 Rational numbers and similarity

In this activity we play a game of “what if” and see a reason that the ancient Greeks might have wanted every number to be rational.

Exploration 1 *Think about plain old plane geometry. What are some theorems that you would want to be true?*

Question 2 *What are the basic theorems involving similar triangles?*

OK—now we are going to do something very strange. Let’s suppose that every number is rational. In essence, let’s put ourselves into the mindset of the ancient Greeks, **before** they knew that irrational numbers existed.

Exploration 3 *Suppose that you have two triangles whose angles are congruent. Can you make a fairly simple argument, using the fact that the sides are rational numbers, that shows that the sides are proportional? Hint: You may need to use ASA.*

Exploration 4 *Suppose that you have two triangles whose sides are proportional. Can you make a fairly simple argument, using the fact that the sides are rational numbers, that shows that the angles are congruent? Hint: You may need to use SSS.*

10 Pythagorean Mathematics

Pythagoras is certainly an interesting historical character, and many people have researched his life to try to divine fact from fiction. For instance, perhaps the most famous mathematical theorem is called “The Pythagorean Theorem”, but scholars debate how early the theorem was known. Perhaps it was known to the Babylonians, with scholars citing Plimpton 322 as evidence. Perhaps some cases were known to the Egyptians, where legend says they used a 3-4-5 triangle to ensure their buildings had square corners. Some people argue that the first known proof of the theorem comes from the school Pythagoras founded, while other scholars find the evidence for this claim to be thin.

In this section, we will focus on the school Pythagoras founded, sometimes called the Pythagorean Society, the School of Pythagoras, or the Pythagorean Cult. We will examine their beliefs, particularly concerning numbers and geometry, and see what came out of what was perhaps the first school of mathematics in the ancient world.

Readings

First Reading: The Cult of Pythagoras

Second Reading: Greek Mathematics - Pythagoras

Third Reading: The End of a Perfect Number

Fourth Reading: Means Appearing in Geometric Figures

Questions

Question 1 What is the harmonic mean of $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$? 0.6

Question 2 Which of the following was not a supposed belief or practice of the Pythagoreans?

Multiple Choice:

- (a) Not eating beans.
- (b) Never passing an ass lying in the street.
- (c) Only wearing the color red.
- (d) Vegetarianism.

Question 3 What are the most important points of this reading?

Author(s):

See The Cult of Pythagoras at <http://classicalwisdom.com/cult-of-pythagoras/>

See Greek Mathematics - Pythagoras at http://www.storyofmathematics.com/greek_pythagoras.html

See The End of a Perfect Number at <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/stable/27957201>

See Means Appearing in Geometric Figures at <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/stable/3219084>

11 Pythagorean means

In this activity we explore the three different means of the ancient Greeks.

The arithmetic mean

The *arithmetic mean* is the good-old mean that we are all familiar with.

Question 1 What is the mean that we are all familiar with? Explain how to compute the mean of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n . Give some examples.

The geometric mean

The *geometric mean* is a bit different. The geometric mean of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n is given by:

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^{1/n}$$

Question 2 Explain an analogy between the arithmetic mean and the geometric mean.

Question 3 Can you explain the geometric mean in terms of geometry? First do it for 2 numbers. Next do it for three.

The harmonic mean

The *harmonic mean* might be the most mysterious of all. The harmonic mean of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n is given by:

$$\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i}}$$

Exploration 4 Can you find a connection between the harmonic mean and music?

Question 5 In the United States, the fuel efficiency of a car is usually given in the units:

$$\frac{\text{miles}}{\text{gallon}}$$

However, in Europe, the fuel efficiency of a car is usually given in the units:

$$\frac{\text{liters}}{100\text{km}}$$

Give some examples of fuel efficiency (both efficient and inefficient) with each set of units.

Author(s):

Question 6 Now suppose that a car gets $60 \frac{\text{miles}}{\text{gallon}}$ and another car gets $20 \frac{\text{miles}}{\text{gallon}}$. What is the average fuel efficiency?

Question 7 Now suppose that a car gets $4 \frac{\text{liters}}{100\text{km}}$ and another car gets $20 \frac{\text{liters}}{100\text{km}}$. What is the average fuel efficiency?

Exploration 8 Compare your answers to the last two questions. Something fishy is going on, what is it?

12 Circles and Lunes

In this section, we begin to read in our textbook for the course. Dunham will begin from the beginning of mathematics as we know it, so much of the first reading will be a brief review of things we have studied so far. Dunham's book is generally organized so that there is one "Great Theorem" in each chapter of the book. The first of these is the earliest mathematical proof we have in its original form, by a Greek mathematician named Hippocrates.

This result was not Hippocrates' only result, and he will play a role in the solutions to the other problems we will discuss in the next two sections. The second reading looks at some of Hippocrates other results from a more modern perspective. I encourage you to try some of the dynamic geometry exercises; as the article mentions, GeoGebra is free to use online. You do not need to be able to recite the proofs of all of the lunes considered, but you should be able to give at least the proof of the Great Theorem in our textbook.

Readings

First Reading: Dunham, Chapter 1, pages 1 - 20

Second Reading: Exploring the lunes of Hippocrates in a dynamic geometry environment

Questions

Question 1 *How many types of lunes was Hippocrates able to square? 3*

Question 2 *What does it mean to "square" a particular figure?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *To cut up and rearrange the figure until it looks like a square.*
 - (b) *To use compass and straight edge to produce a triangle with the same area.*
 - (c) *To use compass and straight edge to produce any other figure with the same area which is known to be quadrable.*
 - (d) *To use compass and straight edge to construct a square whose corners intersect with the sides of the figure.*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points of this reading?*


Author(s):
See Exploring the lunes of Hippocrates in a dynamic geometry environment at <http://dx.doi.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/10.1080/17498430.2015.1122301>

13 Computing quadratures

In this activity we will compute some basic quadratures.

When computing a quadrature of a shape in the method of the ancient Greeks, one needs to produce a line segment whose length gives the side of a square of equal area to the original shape.

Question 1 Consider the figure below. Explain how one could construct it and what segment x represents.



Question 2 Construct a rectangle whose side lengths are 8 units and 5 units. Then construct its quadrature. Explain your construction step-by-step, and tell why it works!

Question 3 Construct a triangle whose base has length 8 units and whose height has length 5 units. Then construct its quadrature. Explain your construction step-by-step, and tell why it works!

Question 4 Suppose you have a square whose side length is 8 units and another square whose side length is 15 units. How would you construct the quadrature of the two areas together? Explain how you know.

Question 5 How do you compute the quadrature of a polygon?

14 The Three Problems of Antiquity

Hippocrates' squaring of a particular lune in the Great Theorem of our first chapter of Dunham's book is just the beginning of a discussion about three famous problems on which ancient Greek mathematicians worked. These three problems are:

- (a) Can every circle be squared using only compass and straightedge?
- (b) Can every cube be doubled using only compass and straightedge?
- (c) Can every angle be trisected using only compass and straightedge?

We will find that the answer to each of these questions is actually "no", and that the negative answer is potentially the more interesting answer for this question. As the Greek mathematicians explored these problems, they also began to change the questions slightly as they asked them. Changing the question slightly in order to get a related answer is a very common mathematical method of today, and one of the best ways to begin to chip away at a difficult mathematical problem.

In this section, we will explore briefly the solution to the circle squaring problem, and we will also look at the cube doubling problem. In the next section, we will tackle the angle trisection problem.

Readings

First Reading: Dunham, Chapter 1, pages 20 - 26

Second Reading: Doubling the Cube

Third Reading: A Method of Duplicating the Cube

Questions

Question 1 *How many stories are told in the readings about how the cube doubling problem originated?* 2

Question 2 *What geometric subject did Menaechmus discover while trying to duplicate the cube?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *Conic sections.*
- (b) *Calculus.*
- (c) *Formulas for volumes of pyramids.*
- (d) *Formulas for slopes of lines.*

Author(s):

See Doubling the Cube at http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/HistTopics/Doubling_the_cube.html

See A Method of Duplicating the Cube at <https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/Graef32917.pdf>

Question 3 *What are the most important points of this reading?*

15 It's All Greek To Me!

We investigate solutions to the Problems of Antiquity.

Question 1 Use your compass and straightedge to double a square with side length s . That is, construct a square whose area is twice that of your original square. Why can't we do the same for the cube?

Hippocrates used a "continued mean proportional" to double the cube. Let's let a be the side length of the original cube, and x be the side length of the new, larger cube.

Question 2 Just to check: write an equation relating a and x .

Here is an outline for how Hippocrates might have gotten his mean proportional:

- (a) Start with two cubes of side length a next to each other.
- (b) Rearrange the volume of these two cubes into a rectangular prism so that the height is unchanged, but the base rectangle has one side of length x . Call the other side length y .
- (c) Rearrange the volume again, but this time into a cube. Use a different side as the base, and leave the "height" x unchanged.

Question 3 Draw pictures representing the geometry in the method described above.

Question 4 Write a proportion corresponding to the first rearrangement using the variables x , y , a , and $2a$. Hint: we know the height of the box stays the same. What does this mean about the area of the base?


Question 5 Write a proportion corresponding to the second rearrangement. One of the fractions should be equal to one of the fractions from the previous question! Then write Hippocrates' continued mean proportional by setting three fractions equal to each other.

Question 6 How is this continued mean proportional related to the equation you found in Question 2? How might you use this information to duplicate the cube?

About 420BC, Hippias invented a curve called the “quadratrix”. Here is its construction:

- Start with a square $ABCD$.
- A line segment congruent with AB and coinciding with AB rotates with center A a quarter turn.
- At the same time, and at the same speed, another segment congruent with AB and coinciding with AB moves using straight-line motion through the square until it coincides with CD .
- Points on the quadratrix are where the two moving segments intersect.

Question 7 On the square below, use a ruler and a protractor to construct at least four points on the quadratrix, and then sketch the entire curve.



Question 8 Let X be any point on the quadratrix, and X' be the point directly below X on segment AB . If l is the length of segment AB and n is the length of segment XX' , explain why it's always true that

$$\frac{m\angle XAB}{m\angle DAB} = \frac{n}{l}.$$

Question 9 Use the quadratrix to trisect an angle of 45° , then an angle of 60° . Then, explain how the quadratrix can be used to trisect any angle.

Question 10 Can you use the quadratrix to square the circle? Explain how!

16 Trisecting

We arrive now at our third of three problems of antiquity, the angle trisection problem. Again, we will look at the origin of this problem in history, some ways the ancient Greeks solved it, some modern ways of solving it, and the eventual solution to the problem.

If you are interested in one proof that an arbitrary angle cannot be trisected, you can see Proof of the Impossibility of Trisecting an Angle with Euclidean Tools. The proof we'll look at in class is essentially that of the third reading.

Readings

First Reading: Trisecting an Angle

Second Reading: The Quadratrix, a Simple but Remarkable Curve

Third Reading: Proof that one can not Trisect an angle of 60 degrees with Straight Edge and Compass

Fourth Reading: An Iterative Angle Trisection

Questions

Question 1 *In what year were both the cube duplication and angle trisection problems solved by Wantzel?*
1837

Question 2 *Which of the following is not possible with only straightedge and compass?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *Trisecting an angle of 90° .*
- (b) *Trisecting any line segment.*
- (c) *Trisecting an angle of 45° .*
- (d) *Trisecting an angle of 60° .*

Question 3 *What are the most important points of this reading?*

Author(s):
 See Proof of the Impossibility of Trisecting an Angle with Euclidean Tools at <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/stable/2688093>
 See Trisecting an Angle at http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/HistTopics/Trisecting_an_angle.html
 See The Quadratrix, a Simple but Remarkable Curve at <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/doi/10.1111/j.1949-8594.1952.tb06937.x/abstract>
 See Proof that one can not Trisect an angle of 60 degrees with Straight Edge and Compass at <http://www.math.toronto.edu/rosent/Mat246Y/OLDPDF/week19.pdf>
 See An Iterative Angle Trisection at <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/stable/27646441>

17 Proofs of the Pythagorean Theorem

We will study Euclid for two chapters - the first focused on geometry and the second focused on number theory. Euclid's name is worth knowing because of his work called the "Elements", where he attempts to construct all of the mathematics known at the time from basic assumptions he calls "common notions" and "postulates". By the time we are finished with this chapter, you should be able to state Euclid's Fifth Postulate and say something about why it was controversial.

Two important people who influenced Euclid's thinking are Eudoxus, most famous for his "method of exhaustion", and Aristotle who wrote on what proof in mathematics should be, and may have been the first to use the phrase "common notion".

Readings

First reading: Dunham Chapter 2

Second Reading: Proofs of the Pythagorean Theorem

In the second reading, you should read the introduction, and then pick a few of these proofs to study. You do not need to know all of the proofs on this site! You should be able to give, in full detail, the proof from our textbook (which is also Proof #1 on the site) as well as two other proofs of your choice.

Questions

Question 1 *How many proofs are listed on this site?* 118

Question 2 *Which of the following is NOT a category of proofs of the theorem mentioned in the remarks?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *Proofs by contradiction.*
 - (b) *Algebraic proofs.*
 - (c) *Geometric proofs.*
 - (d) *Trigonometric proofs.*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):

See Eudoxus at <http://www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/history/Biographies/Eudoxus.html>

See Aristotle at <http://www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/history/Biographies/Aristotle.html>

See Proofs of the Pythagorean Theorem at <http://www.cut-the-knot.org/pythagoras/>

18 Euclid's Elements

We prove some propositions from Euclid's Elements. A full list of the definitions, common notions, postulates, and propositions in Book I is posted on Carmen, downloaded from <http://aleph0.clarku.edu/~protect/unhbox/voidb@x/penalty/@M/{d}joyce/java/elements/bookI/bookI.html>

Proposition 1 (I.5). *In isosceles triangles the angles at the base equal one another, and, if the equal straight lines are produced further, then the angles under the base equal one another.*

Question 1 Prove Proposition I.5.


Proposition 2 (I.6). *If in a triangle two angles equal one another, then the sides opposite the equal angles also equal one another.*

Question 2 Prove Proposition I.6.

Proposition 3 (I.15). *If two straight-lines cut one another then they make the vertically opposite angles equal to one another.*

Question 3 Prove Proposition I.15.

Proposition 4 (I.16). *For any triangle, when one of the sides is produced, the external angle is greater than each of the internal and opposite angles.*



Question 4 Prove Proposition I.16.

Proposition 5 (I.27). *If a straight-line falling across two straight-lines makes the alternate angles equal to one another then the (two) straight-lines will be parallel to one another.*

Question 5 Prove Proposition I.27.

Proposition 6 (I.29). *A straight-line falling across parallel straight-lines makes the alternate angles equal to one another, the external (angle) equal to the internal and opposite (angle), and the (sum of the) internal (angles) on the same side equal to two right-angles.*

Author(s):

Question 6 Prove Proposition I.29.


Proposition 7 (I.32). *In any triangle, (if) one of the sides (is) produced (then) the external angle is equal to the (sum of the) two internal and opposite (angles), and the (sum of the) three internal angles of the triangle is equal to two right-angles.*

Question 7 Prove Proposition I.32.

Question 1 *Remind us, what is the most famous theorem of all and what exactly does it assert?*

Euclid's proof

Question 2 What would one need to prove about the following diagram to prove the Pythagorean Theorem?



Let's see if we can do this!

Question 3 Draw a line perpendicular to \overline{AB} that passes through both C and $\overline{A''B''}$. Call the intersection between this line and \overline{AB} , point E ; call the intersection point between this line and $\overline{A''B''}$, point E' . Explain why $\triangle ACA''$ has half the area of rectangle $AEE'A''$.

Question 4 Explain why $\triangle ABA'$ has half the area of square $ACC'A'$.

Question 5 Explain why $\triangle ACA''$ is congruent to $\triangle ABA'$.

Author(s):

Question 6 Explain why area of square $ACC'A'$ is equal to the area of rectangle $AEE'A''$.

Question 7 Use similar ideas to complete a proof the Pythagorean Theorem.

The converse

Question 8 What is the converse to the Pythagorean Theorem? Is it true? How do you prove it?

20 Unsolved Problems

Our next chapter is the first where we'll discuss number theory, a branch of mathematics wherein we study properties of whole numbers and relationships between them. Number theory is sometimes used as an introduction to "higher mathematics", because the definitions are usually easy to grasp. Examples are often easy to come by, and conjectures seem to follow naturally.

Once we begin to ask questions about the relationships between numbers, we quickly realize that many of these questions are incredibly easy to state, but incredibly difficult to prove! In fact, some of the most well-known unsolved problems in mathematics come from the branch of number theory.

In the second reading, we'll be introduced to some famous unsolved problems in mathematics. Some of these problems are mentioned in the first reading, and some of them are not. As you look at this article, make sure to click on some of the links to get more information about topics that look interesting to you. The Collatz problem, for instance, is very easy to try out for yourself. The notion that 10 is a solitary number is related to some things we read about the Pythagoreans, and of course we've already discussed the case of odd perfect numbers.

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 3, pages 61-73

Second reading: Difficult Problems.

In the second reading, please click on the links on the page to get more information about these problems. You should read at least the following.

- (a) The Goldbach Conjecture
- (b) Twin Primes
- (c) The Twin Prime Conjecture

Questions

Question 1 *How many versions or types of Goldbach's conjecture are listed in the article on that topic?* 7

Question 2 *Which of the following are twin primes?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) 1 and 3
- (b) 11 and 23

Author(s):

See Difficult Problems at <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/UnsolvedProblems.html>

See The Goldbach Conjecture at <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/GoldbachConjecture.html>

See Twin Primes at <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/TwinPrimes.html>

See The Twin Prime Conjecture at <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/TwinPrimeConjecture.html>

(c) 23 and 46

(d) 29 and 31

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

21 The unique factorization theorem

In this activity we investigate unique factorization theorems.

Consider this proposition from Euclid's *Elements*:

Proposition 8 (IX.14). *If a number is the least that is measured by prime numbers, then it is not measured by any other prime number except those originally measuring it.*

Question 1 Explain what the proposition above is saying.

Question 2 Now consider Euclid's proof:

Let the number A be the least that is measured by the prime numbers B , C , and D . I say that A is not measured by any other prime number except B , C , or D . If possible, let it be measured by the prime number E , and let E not be the same as any one of the numbers B , C , or D .

Now, since E measures A , let it measure it according to F , therefore E multiplied by F makes A . And A is measured by the prime numbers B , C , and D . But, if two numbers multiplied by one another make some number, and any prime number measures the product, then it also measures one of the original numbers, therefore each of B , C , and D measures one of the numbers E or F . Now they do not measure E , for E is prime and not the same with any one of the numbers B , C , or D . Therefore they measure F , which is less than A , which is impossible, for A is by hypothesis the least number measured by B , C , and D . Therefore no prime number measures A except B , C , and D . Therefore, if a number is the least that is measured by prime numbers, then it is not measured by any other prime number except those originally measuring it.

Can you explain what this proof is saying?

Now let's consider a crazy set of numbers—all multiples of 3. Let's use the symbol $3\mathbb{Z}$ to denote the set consisting of all multiples of 3. As a gesture of friendship, I have written down the first 100 nonnegative integers in $3\mathbb{Z}$:

Author(s):

0	3	6	9	12	15	18	21	24	27
30	33	36	39	42	45	48	51	54	57
60	63	66	69	72	75	78	81	84	87
90	93	96	99	102	105	108	111	114	117
120	123	126	129	132	135	138	141	144	147
150	153	156	159	162	165	168	171	174	177
180	183	186	189	192	195	198	201	204	207
210	213	216	219	222	225	228	231	234	237
240	243	246	249	252	255	258	261	264	267
270	273	276	279	282	285	288	291	294	297

Question 3 Given any two integers in $3\mathbb{Z}$, will their sum be in $3\mathbb{Z}$? Explain your reasoning.

Question 4 Given any two integers in $3\mathbb{Z}$, will their difference be in $3\mathbb{Z}$? Explain your reasoning.

Question 5 Given any two integers in $3\mathbb{Z}$, will their product be in $3\mathbb{Z}$? Explain your reasoning.

Question 6 Given any two integers in $3\mathbb{Z}$, will their quotient be in $3\mathbb{Z}$? Explain your reasoning.

Definition 1. Call a positive integer **prime** in $3\mathbb{Z}$ if it cannot be expressed as the product of two integers both in $3\mathbb{Z}$.

As an example, I tell you that 6 is prime number in $3\mathbb{Z}$. You may object because $6 = 2 \cdot 3$, but remember—2 is not in $3\mathbb{Z}$!

Question 7 List all the prime numbers less than 297.

Question 8 Can you give some sort of algebraic characterization of prime numbers in $3\mathbb{Z}$?

Question 9 Can you find numbers that factor completely into prime numbers in two different ways? How many can you find?

22 On the Infinitude of Primes

The great theorem of this chapter is, essentially, that there are infinitely many primes. In our readings, we'll see Euclid's proof of this fact as well as another proof by a mathematician named Hillel Furstenberg. Furstenberg is probably most famous for his contributions to an area of mathematics called "ergodic theory", in which we study moving systems.

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 3, pages 73-83

Second reading: On Furstenberg's Proof of the Infinitude of Primes

Questions

Question 1 *What is the example given of an arithmetic progression? $2 + 7\mathbb{Z}$*

Question 2 *To which branch of mathematics is Furstenberg's proof method most related? In other words, what makes his approach different from Euclid's?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *Number Theory*
 - (b) *Calculus*
 - (c) *Topology*
 - (d) *Ergodic Theory*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):

See On Furstenberg's Proof of the Infinitude of Primes at <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/stable/40391095>

23 *Pi*

We are now introduced to perhaps the greatest mathematician of antiquity, Archimedes. There are many things for which he is famous, but for our Great Theorem, Dunham has chosen his proof that the area formula for circles is $A = \pi r^2$. Of course, Archimedes won't write the formula quite this way, and we will see what he does to get around the notation. Another circle-related result for which Archimedes is quite famous is his approximation for π , which we still sometimes use today. Our second reading will be a history of this constant throughout history. People are still studying π today! For more information about modern estimations of π , see the third reading. The optional fourth reading has more detail than the second one.

In case you are curious: the current (as of July 2017) record for digits of π computed is 22.4 trillion. See "Chronology of computation of π " on Wikipedia (and its linked sources) for an overview.

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 4, pages 84 - 99

Second reading: A History of Pi

Third reading: 12.1 Trillion Digits of Pi

Fourth reading: π : A Brief History

Questions

Question 1 *How long did the computation of 12.1 trillion digits of π take? 94 days*

Question 2 *Which of the following had the most accurate estimation for π ?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) Archimedes
 - (b) Ptolemy
 - (c) Zu Chongzhi
 - (d) al-Khwarizmi
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):

See A History of Pi at http://www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/~history/HistTopics/Pi_through_the_ages.html

See 12.1 Trillion Digits of Pi at http://www.numberworld.org/misc_runs/pi-12t/

See π : A Brief History at http://www.math.tamu.edu/~dallen/masters/alg_numtheory/pi.pdf

24 Estimating Pi

Question 1 List as many ways as you can think of for estimating the value of π .

Draw a (fairly large) circle on a blank sheet of paper. We'll think of this as a unit circle.

Problem 2 Divide the unit circle into $2^2 = 4$ equal wedges each with its vertex at the center of the circle O . On each wedge, call the two corners of the wedge that lie on the circle A and B_2 . Let \mathcal{A}_2 denote the area of the triangle $\triangle OAB_2$ and let θ_2 denote the measure of the angle at O . Explain how to estimate the area of the circle with triangle $\triangle OAB_2$. What is your estimate?

Problem 3 Divide the unit circle into $2^3 = 8$ equal wedges each with its vertex at the center of the circle O . On each wedge, call the two corners of the wedge that lie on the circle A and B_3 . Let \mathcal{A}_3 denote the area of the triangle $\triangle OAB_3$ and let θ_3 denote the measure of the angle at O . Explain how to estimate the area of the circle with triangle $\triangle OAB_3$. What information do you need to know to actually do this computation?

Problem 4 Given an angle θ , explain the relation of $\sin(\theta)$ and $\cos(\theta)$ to the unit circle. How could these values help with the calculation described above?

Problem 5 Divide the unit circle into 2^n equal wedges each with its vertex at the center of the circle O . On each wedge, call the two corners of the wedge that lie on the circle A and B_n . Let \mathcal{A}_n denote the area of the triangle $\triangle OAB_n$ and let θ_n denote the measure of the angle at O . Explain why someone would be interested in the value of:

$$\sin\left(\frac{\theta_n}{2}\right)$$

Problem 6 Recalling that:

$$\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \cos(\theta)}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos(\theta)^2 + \sin(\theta)^2 = 1$$

Explain why:

$$2\mathcal{A}_{n+1} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - (2\mathcal{A}_n)^2}}{2}}$$

Author(s):

Problem 7 Let's fill out the following table (a calculator will help!):

n	\mathcal{A}_n	Approx. Area	$\sqrt{1 - (2\mathcal{A}_n)^2}$	$\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - (2\mathcal{A}_n)^2}}{2}$	$2\mathcal{A}_{n+1} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - (2\mathcal{A}_n)^2}}{2}}$
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					

What do you notice?

25 Archimedes and Estimation

As we look at the Great Theorem of this chapter, one question many people have when they look at Archimedes' work is how he came to his conclusions. In particular, Archimedes spent a lot of time estimating values that today we would usually find using a calculator. There are a number of different ways to estimate things like π , which we talked about in the previous readings, and square roots. In the second reading, we consider some ways that Archimedes might have arrived at his conclusions. For the second reading, you should read at least the introduction and Section 4.

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 4, pages 99 - 112

Second reading: Archimedes' calculations of square roots

Questions

Question 1 *The fourth step in the interpolation method places $\sqrt{3}$ between $5/3$ and $7/4$*

Question 2 *The author believes that the interpolation method shows that Archimedes understood what topic?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *Astronomy*
 - (b) *Derivatives*
 - (c) *Geometry*
 - (d) *Limits*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):

See Archimedes' calculations of square roots at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1101.0492>

26 Mathematicians after Archimedes

Archimedes was certainly a mathematical genius, and following in his footsteps would certainly have been challenging. Our subject now is these mathematicians, living in changing times. It is relatively fitting to the story of mathematics that Archimedes was killed by the Romans, as the Roman takeover of much of the Greek world changed the way that people thought about and did mathematics for many years.

Here are some names of mathematicians whose contributions we will discuss at least briefly in class. By the end of our time on this chapter, you should be able to say a few words about what each person did.

- Eratosthenes (the subject of our second reading)
- Apollonius
- Heron (the subject of our first reading)
- Ptolemy
- Diophantus

The second reading is a little more history focused than mathematically focused. You should make sure to first read Dunham's version of Eratosthenes' proof in the first reading. This reading helps us to see some of the challenges that historical scholars face with mathematical works.

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 5, pages 113 - 121

Second reading: The Origin and Value of the Stadion Unit used by Eratosthenes in the Third Century B.C.

Questions

Question 1 *How Greek feet are in one stadion? 600*

Question 2 *What does the author suggest was the usual precision for measuring long distances?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) Round to the nearest inch.
- (b) Round to the nearest foot.
- (c) Round to the nearest stadion.
- (d) Round to the nearest 10 stadia.

Author(s):

See The Origin and Value of the Stadion Unit used by Eratosthenes in the Third Century B.C. at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41133819>

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

27 Heron's formula

In this activity we will give two proofs of Heron's formula.

We'll start by giving a proof using synthetic geometry.

Part I

Proposition 9. *The bisectors of the angles of a triangle meet at a point that is the center of the triangle's inscribed circle.*

Question 1 *How can we prove this?*

Question 2 *Now draw a triangle with vertices A , B , and C . Draw the incircle. Explain why the radii of the incircle touch the sides of the triangle at right angles.*

Question 3 *Label the intersection of the radii with D between A and B , E between B and C , and F between C and A . Compute the areas of the following triangles:*

$$\triangle AOB, \quad \triangle BOC, \quad \triangle COA.$$

Use this to express the area of $\triangle ABC$.

Part II

Question 4 *Explain why*

$$\triangle AOD \cong \triangle AOF, \quad \triangle BOD \cong \triangle BOE, \quad \triangle COF \cong \triangle EOF.$$

Question 5 *If $AG \cong CE$, explain why $|BG|$ is the semiperimeter.*

Question 6 *Find segments in your drawing equal to the length of*

$$s - a, \quad s - b, \quad s - c.$$

Author(s):

Part III

Proposition 10. *If quadrilateral AHBO has diagonals AB and OH with $\angle HAB$ and $\angle HOB$ being right angles, then AHOB can be inscribed in a circle.*

Question 7 *Can you prove this proposition?*

Proposition 11. *The opposite angles of a cyclic quadrilateral sum to two right angles.*

Question 8 *Can you prove this proposition?*

Question 9 *Now we need to decorate our triangle even more:*

- (a) Draw OL perpendicular to OB cutting AB at K .
- (b) Draw AM perpendicular to OB .
- (c) Call the intersection of OL and OM , H .
- (d) Draw BH .

Consider quadrilateral AHBO, explain why opposite angles sum to two right angles.

Question 10 *Explain why $\triangle COF$ is similar to $\triangle BHA$. Use this to explain why*

$$\frac{|AB|}{|AG|} = \frac{|AH|}{r}.$$

Question 11 *Explain why $\triangle KAH$ is similar to $\triangle KDO$. Use this to explain why*

$$\frac{|AK|}{|KD|} = \frac{|AH|}{r}.$$

Question 12 *Now we see*

$$\frac{|AB|}{|AG|} = \frac{|AK|}{|KD|}.$$

Add 1 to both sides to obtain

$$\frac{|BG|}{|AG|} = \frac{|AD|}{|KD|}.$$

Question 13 *Explain why $\triangle KDO$ is similar to $\triangle ODB$. Use this to explain why*

$$|KD| \cdot |BD| = r^2.$$

Question 14 Multiply both sides of

$$\frac{|BG|}{|AG|} = \frac{|AD|}{|KD|}$$

by $\frac{|BD|}{|BD|}$ to obtain

$$r^2 |BG|^2 = |AG| \cdot |BG| \cdot |AD| \cdot |BD|.$$

Question 15 Explain how to deduce Heron's formula.

A modern proof

Question 16 Now give a modern proof that a high school student might give.

Question 17 Which proof was harder? Why didn't the ancient Greeks use our modern proof?

28 Arabic Mathematics

Dunham leaves a pretty big gap after Chapter 5, which he covers just a little bit in the epilogue to the chapter. During the years between Heron (we'll say after 150 AD) and Cardano (in the 1500s), people were still doing mathematics, of course! During this period, mathematics was being studied mostly in the Middle East and India. Our second reading helps us get a better sense of the contributions of Arabic mathematicians during this time period.

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 5, pages 121 - 132

Second reading: Arabic Mathematics: forgotten brilliance?

Questions

Question 1 *How many of Archimedes' works were translated into Arabic?* 2

Question 2 *Why did Arabic mathematicians use trigonometric tables?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *For examples of algebra problems.*
 - (b) *To teach school children.*
 - (c) *In astronomy.*
 - (d) *In building projects.*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):
 See Arabic Mathematics: forgotten brilliance? at http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/HistTopics/Arabic_mathematics.html

29 The Beginning of the Modern Era

Our final reading associated with Chapter 5 helped bridge the gap in years between Heron and Cardano by looking more closely at Arabic mathematics and mathematicians. Europeans were also doing some mathematics during this period, and one of the most famous such is Fibonacci. Much of the foundation for the mathematics that was developed in Europe starting in the 1500s and 1600s was laid by merchants and other such professionals in the 1200s through the 1400s. These people, including Fibonacci, helped to bring knowledge from the intellectual center of the world in the Middle East to Europe.

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 6, pages 133 - 142

Second reading: Fibonacci Biography

Questions

Question 1 *Fibonacci defines the concept of a congruum, and then proves that such a number must be divisible by 24.*

Question 2 *In what context were the Fibonacci numbers introduced?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *In a problem about a spider climbing the wall.*
 - (b) *In a problem about a ship's voyages.*
 - (c) *In a problem about rabbit breeding.*
 - (d) *Without any context; simply a list of numbers.*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):

See Fibonacci Biography at <http://www-history.mcs.st-andrews.ac.uk/Biographies/Fibonacci.html>

30 Solving equations

In this activity we will solve second and third degree equations.

Finding roots of quadratic polynomials is somewhat complex. We want to find x such that

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0.$$

I know you already know how to do this. However, pretend for a moment that you don't. This would be a really hard problem. We have evidence that it took humans around 1000 years to solve this problem in generality, with the first general solutions appearing in Babylon and China around 2500 years ago. Let's begin with an easier problem: make $a = 1$ and try to solve $x^2 + bx = c$.

Problem 1 *Geometrically, you could visualize $x^2 + bx = c$ as an $x \times x$ square along with a $b \times x$ rectangle. Make a blob for c on the other side. Draw a picture of this!*

Question 2 *What is the total area of the shapes in your picture?*

Problem 3 *Now draw a new picture: take your $b \times x$ rectangle and divide it into two $(b/2) \times x$ rectangles.*

Question 4 *What is the total area of the shapes in your picture?*

Problem 5 *Draw a next picture in your sequence: take both of your $(b/2) \times x$ rectangles and snuggie them next to your $x \times x$ square on adjacent sides. You should now have what looks like an $(x + \frac{b}{2}) \times (x + \frac{b}{2})$ square with a corner cut out of it.*

Question 6 *What is the total area of the shapes in your picture?*

Finally, your big $(x + \frac{b}{2}) \times (x + \frac{b}{2})$ has a piece missing, a $(b/2) \times (b/2)$ square, right? So if you add that piece in on both sides, the area of both sides of your picture had better be $c + (b/2)^2$. From your picture you will find that:

$$\left(x + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 = c + \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2$$

Question 7 *Can you find x at this point?*

Question 8 *Explain how to solve $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$.*

Author(s):

Cubic Equations

While the quadratic formula was discovered around 2500 years ago, cubic equations proved to be a tougher nut to crack. A general solution to a cubic equation was not found until the 1500's - and under some pretty strange circumstances! See your text for the low-down on all of the drama.

We'll show you the Ferro-Tartaglia method for finding at least one root of a cubic of the form

$$x^3 + px + q.$$

All I can tell you are these three steps:

- (a) Replace x with $u + v$.
- (b) Set uv so that all of the terms are eliminated except for u^3 , v^3 , and constant terms.
- (c) Clear denominators and use the quadratic formula.

Question 9 *How many solutions are we supposed to have in total?*

Question 10 *Use the Ferro-Tartaglia method to solve $x^3 + 9x - 26 = 0$.*

Question 11 *How many solutions should our equation above have? Where/what are they? Hint: Make use of an old forgotten foe...*

Question 12 *Is the method described here the same as the one in our text as the proof of the "great theorem"? Explain why or why not.*

Question 13 *Use the Ferro-Tartaglia method to solve $x^3 = 15x + 4$. What do you notice?*

Question 14 *How do we do this procedure for other equations of the form*

$$x^3 + px + q = 0?$$

Give an algebraic formula as your solution.

Question 15 *Would Ferro, Tartaglia, Cardano, or Ferrari have answered the previous question differently than you might?*

31 Mathematical Notation

As we consider Cardano's proof of our Great Theorem, one important concept to keep in mind is that much of our modern algebraic notation has not yet been developed. You can see an example in the text on page 146. An important following question is then: when was our modern algebraic notation developed, and by who? The second reading sheds some light on this question. An optional third reading gives a concise list of sources for the earliest uses of some common symbols.

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 6, pages 142 - 154

Second reading: A Brief History of Algebraic Notation

Third reading: Earliest Uses of Various Mathematical Symbols

Questions

Question 1 *In the Greek system, what was the value of the symbol π ? 80*

Question 2 *What kind of algebra was Cardano using in his proof?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *Rhetorical algebra.*
 - (b) *Syncopated algebra.*
 - (c) *Modern algebra.*
 - (d) *An early form of symbolic algebra.*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):
 See A Brief History of Algebraic Notation at <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/doi/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2000.tb17262.x/epdf>
 See Earliest Uses of Various Mathematical Symbols at <http://jeff560.tripod.com/mathsym.html>

32 Logarithms and Multiplication

In the late 1500s and early 1600s, astronomy was really taking off. (Kepler, for instance, lived from 1571 - 1630.) The more accurate astronomy became, the more mathematicians needed to do computations with ever-larger numbers. The invention of the logarithm (published in 1614 and 1619) by John Napier, with assistance from Henry Briggs, was designed to shorten these calculations. In Napier's own words:

Seeing there is nothing (right well-beloved Students of the Mathematics) that is so troublesome to mathematical practice, nor that doth more molest and hinder calculators, than the multiplications, divisions, square and cubical extractions of great numbers, which besides the tedious expense of time are for the most part subject to many slippery errors, I began therefore to consider in my mind by what certain and ready art I might remove those hindrances. And having thought upon many things to this purpose, I found at length some excellent brief rules to be treated of (perhaps) hereafter. But amongst all, none more profitable than this which together with the hard and tedious multiplications, divisions, and extractions of roots, doth also cast away from the work itself even the very numbers themselves that are to be multiplied, divided and resolved into roots, and putteth other numbers in their place which perform as much as they can do, only by addition and subtraction, division by two or division by three.

Our second reading is a video explaining some ways we know to speed up multiplication, including the use of a logarithm. These methods are an important backdrop to our first reading, which is about the life and mathematics of Isaac Newton.

Sources:

- <http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/history/Biographies/Napier.html>
- <http://ualr.edu/lasmoller/napier.html>
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Johannes_Kepler

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 7, pages 155 - 174

Second reading: How Can We Multiply Quickly?

Questions

Question 1 The video considers the multiplication problem 17×37 .

Question 2 Which of the following is not mentioned in the video as a way to speed up multiplication?

Multiple Choice:

- (a) Using Roman Numerals.

Author(s):

See How Can We Multiply Quickly? at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LcJPGsWErdQ>

- (b) *Using quarter squares.*
 - (c) *Using logarithms.*
 - (d) *Using a slide rule.*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

33 The binomial theorem and π

In this activity we investigate a generalization of the binomial theorem and its connection to an approximation of π .

Question 1 The binomial theorem states

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^k.$$

Why would we be interested in this?

Question 2 Newton says

$$(1 + x)^r = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x^k}{k!} \prod_{\ell=0}^{k-1} (r - \ell) \right).$$

How did Newton come up with this? Hint: Calculus!


Now we're going to use this to approximate π .

Question 3 Come up with a function of x for the semicircle of radius $1/2$ centered at $(1/2, 0)$.

Question 4 Use Newton's binomial theorem to show your function above is equal to:


$$x^{1/2} - \frac{x^{3/2}}{2} + \frac{x^{5/2}}{8} - \frac{x^{7/2}}{16} + \frac{5x^{9/2}}{128} - \frac{7x^{11/2}}{256} + \dots$$

Question 5 Use calculus to compute the area of the shaded region:




Author(s):

Question 6 Use proportional reasoning to compute the area of the sector below:



Question 7 Use the previous problem, along with the area of a certain 30-60-90 right triangle to give a different computation of the area below.



Question 8 Use your work from above to give an approximation of π .

34 Fermat's Last Theorem

As we consider the Great Theorem of this chapter in our first reading, we'll also talk a bit more about one of the mathematicians we have mentioned a few times this semester: Pierre de Fermat. A little of Fermat's life story was mentioned in the first reading from Dunham in this chapter, as well as his famous "Last Theorem". Our second reading does a good job of explaining the basic ideas of the theorem and the history of its proof. Notice that Dunham's text was published before Wiles' proof! So, Dunham no longer correct that this is an unsolved problem in mathematics.

The article is still a little older than I'd like, however! Here is a bit more information to bring our story into the present.

- Since the article above was published pretty close to the publication of Wiles' proof, it ends with some uncertainty about the proof. That uncertainty has been removed - the proof has been reviewed and accepted.
- Wiles has since won a number of awards for his proof. Most recently, in 2016 he received the Abel Prize in Mathematics.
- Wiles' proof was essentially to verify a relevant case of the TaniyamaShimuraWeil conjecture. The full conjecture was proven in 2001, and is usually referred to as the "modularity theorem". The MathWorld article says a little more.
- Wiles originally gave the proof in about three lectures; now there are instances of entire courses being devoted to the matter. If you're interested in seeing more details for the proof, you can read a very short summary, a relatively short summary, or an entire textbook. You will likely need to have had a significant algebra course to understand much of the proof.
- The Wikipedia page for Fermat's Last Theorem is heavily referenced and also not a bad place to get general information about the theorem. (I know, I said you have to be careful with Wikipedia! Be sure to double-check the information in a non-open-source location.)

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 7, pages 174 - 183

Second reading: Fermat's Last Theorem

Questions

Question 1 *Into how many cases did Sophie Germain divide the problem? 2d*

Author(s):

See Abel Prize at <http://www.abelprize.no/>

See MathWorld article at <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Taniyama-ShimuraConjecture.html>

See a very short summary at <https://www.math.wisc.edu/~boston/boston1.pdf>

See a relatively short summary at <http://math.stanford.edu/~lekheng/flt/gouvea.pdf>

See an entire textbook at <https://www.math.wisc.edu/~boston/869.pdf>

See Wikipedia page at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermat's_Last_Theorem

See Fermat's Last Theorem at http://www-gap.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/~history/HistTopics/Fermat's_last_theorem.html

Question 2 *Where was Wiles working when he solved Fermat's Last Theorem?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *Stanford.*
 - (b) *Princeton.*
 - (c) *Cambridge.*
 - (d) *Oxford.*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

35 Newton and Leibniz

The first part of Chapter 8 details an unfortunate phase in the history of mathematics: the debate over who actually “invented” calculus. Part of the first reading will tell the story of this debate, while the second reading gives a concise comparison of the works of these two famous mathematicians. After looking through these readings, you should be able to form your own opinion on the matter!

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 8, pages 184 - 196

Second reading: Newton and Leibniz: The Calculus Controversy.

Questions

Question 1 *In what year (BC) do we find the first evidence of the ideas of calculus?* 1600

Question 2 *In what country did Leibniz study law?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *England*
 - (b) *Germany*
 - (c) *Russia*
 - (d) *Switzerland*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):

See Newton and Leibniz: The Calculus Controversy at https://www.fitchburgstate.edu/uploads/files/Undergraduate_Research_Conference/Sample-Math-Poster.pdf

36 Leibniz and series

In this activity we investigate some of the series that Leibniz investigated.

Series pop-up at an early age. I distinctly remember being in fourth grade, sitting at my desk, staring at my ruler, wondering how $1/3$ of a foot could simultaneously be 4 inches (clearly a finite number) and $0.333333\dots$ of a foot (a number that somehow seemed finite and infinite at the same time). I was struggling with the implicit concept that

$$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{3}{10} + \frac{3}{100} + \frac{3}{1000} + \frac{3}{10000} + \dots$$

Leibniz (and other mathematicians of the era) had similar feelings regarding series. Leibniz's mentor, Christian Huygens, suggested that Leibniz work on computing the sum of the reciprocal of the triangular numbers. Recall that the triangular numbers are the number of dots in discrete equilateral triangles:



Question 1 Consider Leibniz's "proof."

$$\begin{aligned} S &= 1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{21} + \dots \\ \frac{S}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{30} + \frac{1}{42} + \dots \\ \frac{S}{2} &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5}\right) + \dots \\ \frac{S}{2} &= 1 + \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\right) + \dots \\ \frac{S}{2} &= 1 \\ S &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

Can you explain what is going on here? Where might Leibniz want to be a bit more rigorous?

Question 2 What does

$$1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + \dots$$

sum to?

Question 3 Now consider this summation of

$$1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{21} + \dots$$

Author(s):

Write

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k(k+1)} &= 1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{21} + \cdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2}{k(k+1)} &= \sum_{k=1}^n 2 \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2}{k(k+1)} &= 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2}{k(k+1)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k(k+1)} &= 2.\end{aligned}$$

What's going on here? How does this compare to Leibniz's proof?

In addition to (co)inventing calculus, Leibniz, dreamed up this beast while trying to solve problems like the one posed to him by Huygens:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \frac{1}{1} & & \\ & & & & \frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} \\ & & & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \\ & & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{4} & \\ & \frac{1}{5} & \frac{1}{20} & \frac{1}{30} & \frac{1}{20} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{5} \end{array}$$

Question 4 What relationships can you find between the entries of the triangle as we move from row to row?

Question 5 What are the next two rows? Clearly articulate how to produce more rows of the harmonic triangle.

Question 6 Explain how the following expression

$$\frac{1}{r \cdot \binom{r-1}{c-1}}$$

corresponds to entries of the harmonic triangle. Feel free to draw diagrams and give examples.

Question 7 Explain how the harmonic triangle is formed. In your explanation, use the notation

$$\frac{1}{r \cdot \binom{r-1}{c-1}}$$

If you were so inclined to do so, could you state a single equation that basically encapsulates your explanation above?

Question 8 Can you explain why the numerators of the fractions in the harmonic triangle must always be 1?

Question 9 Explain how to use the harmonic triangle to go from:

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{20} + \cdots$$

to

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5}\right) + \cdots$$

Conclude by explaining why Leibniz said:

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{20} + \cdots = 1$$

Question 10 Can you generalize the results above? Can you give a list of infinite sums and conjecture what they will converge to?

37 Proofs that the Harmonic Series Diverges

Our Great Theorem of Chapter 8 is Johann Bernoulli's proof that the Harmonic Series diverges. We'll talk about why this is a surprising result, as well as some other attempts that were made at the proof, particularly by Leibniz.

As we saw with the Pythagorean Theorem, mathematicians often like to find several different ways of proving theorems - particularly when the result of the theorem is surprising or important. Sometimes this seems silly to non-mathematicians, since the result of the theorem is already known. But using different methods of proof can help us understand connections we've never before seen between seemingly different areas of mathematics, or shed light on related problems that are not yet solved.

Again, as with the Pythagorean Theorem, you are not expected to know all of the proofs given in the articles below. Choose one or two other than the proof given as the Great Theorem.

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 8, pages 196-206

Second reading: The Harmonic Series Diverges Again and Again.

Third reading: An Exceedingly Short Proof that the Harmonic Series Diverges

Questions

In the list of proofs that the Harmonic Series diverges, in what year was the earliest one given?

Question 1 1350

Question 2 The "exceedingly short proof" is done by what method?

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *Guessing.*
- (b) *Computing an integral.*
- (c) *Evaluating the series directly.*
- (d) *Comparison with another series.*

Question 3 What are the most important points from this reading?

Author(s):

See The Harmonic Series Diverges Again and Again at <http://scipp.ucsc.edu/~haber/archives/physics116A10/harmapa.pdf>

See An Exceedingly Short Proof that the Harmonic Series Diverges at http://projecteuclid.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/download/pdf_1/euclid.mjms/1449161372

38 The Riemann Hypothesis

In Chapter 9, we meet perhaps the most prolific mathematician of all time: Euler. Dunham has written a lot in his career about Euler, and so we have two chapters to study his work. In this first chapter, our Great Theorem will investigate how Euler succeeded where Leibniz and Bernoulli failed to find the sum of $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$. Euler didn't stop adding up series here, though, and has an impressive list of results similar to this one.

Euler's results, however, quickly bring up another question in the form of "how far can we really go, here?" It's natural at this point to introduce what's known as the Riemann hypothesis. Riemann himself, as well as the hypothesis and Euler's work surrounding it are all described in the second reading. The reading itself appears to be long, but should hopefully be a quick read. Keep in mind, though, that the mathematician who wrote it is joking about just about everything other than the mathematics!

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 9

Second reading: A Friendly Introduction to the Riemann Hypothesis

Questions

Question 1 *How many problems are in Hilbert's list in total? 23*

Question 2 *What is the value of $\zeta(-2k)$ for k a positive integer?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *No one knows.*
 - (b) *The function blows up to infinity there.*
 - (c) *A purely imaginary number.*
 - (d) *0*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):

See A Friendly Introduction to the Riemann Hypothesis at <http://www.math.jhu.edu/~wright/RH2.pdf>

39 Bernoulli, Euler, and series

Here we see some topics that both Bernoulli and Euler were interested in.

Finding the sum of the following series is called “The Basel Problem” as it interested several mathematicians with connections to the city of Basel, Switzerland. (Who were they?)

$$1 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{25} + \frac{1}{36} + \frac{1}{49} \cdots$$

Notice: we are asking for the sum of the reciprocals of the square numbers.

Question 1 Consider:

$$f(x) = 1 - \frac{x^2}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{5!} - \frac{x^6}{7!} + \frac{x^8}{9!} - \frac{x^{10}}{11!} + \cdots$$

Can you explain why

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin(x)}{x} \quad x \neq 0?$$

Question 2 Let $g(x)$ be a polynomial with roots a_1, \dots, a_n . Suppose also that $g(0) = 0$. What are the factors of $g(x)$?

Question 3 Let $g(x)$ be a polynomial with roots a_1, \dots, a_n . Suppose also that $g(0) = 1$. What are the factors of $g(x)$?

Question 4 What exactly are the roots of $f(x)$? What is $f(0)$? Explain why:

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{-\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{2\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{-2\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{3\pi}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{-3\pi}\right) \cdots$$

Question 5 Explain why:

$$f(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{n^2\pi^2}\right)$$

Question 6 Explain why:

$$f(x) = 1 - x^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2\pi^2} + x^4 \left(\cdots \right) - x^6 \left(\cdots \right) + \cdots$$

Author(s):

Question 7 *Explain why:*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

Exploration 8 *Compute*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3}.$$

40 Very Large Primes

Being one of the most prolific mathematicians in history, Euler considered many subjects. Here, we look at Euler's work with some of Fermat's conjectures in number theory. In an unusual turn of events, we consider the Great Theorem as part of the first reading for this chapter. One of the consequences of this Great Theorem is that people began using Euler's proof method to look for larger and larger primes. This was one of the first ways to look for primes other than simply considering all of their factors. Different methods have since been invented, so Euler's method isn't necessarily used anymore, but our second reading explores "records" for the largest known primes. The article also does a nice job detailing how things changed after the modern computer was invented! You can also click the links in the article if you're interested in learning more about how people search for primes.

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 10, pages 223 - 235

Second reading: The Largest Known Primes by Year

Questions

Question 1 *How many digits are in the largest prime mentioned in the article?* 22338618

Question 2 *Who has the record for the largest prime found without using a machine?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) Lucas
 - (b) Euler
 - (c) Ferrier
 - (d) Miller & Wheeler
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):

See The Largest Known Primes by Year at http://primes.utm.edu/notes/by_year.html

41 Euler and Fermat

Question 1 Remind me: what are the necessary ingredients for a proof by induction?

Our goal is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Suppose that a is an even number and p a prime which does not divide a . Suppose that p does divide $a^{2^n} + 1$. Then p is of the form $p = 2^{n+1}k + 1$ for some positive integer k .

In order to prove this theorem, we'll need the so-called "Little Fermat Theorem". You can find Euler's proof of this theorem in your text.

Theorem 2 (Little Fermat Theorem). Let a be a whole number and p a prime which does not divide a . Then p divides $a^{p-1} - 1$.

Question 2 Remind me: what is the definition of "divides"?

Question 3 Prove that Theorem 1 is true in the case that $n = 0$.

Question 4 Suppose that A is any whole number, and that you divide A by some number C . What are the possible remainders? What are the possibilities for how you could write A as related to a multiple of C ?

Question 5 Repeat question 4, but related to Theorem 1 and the case $n = 1$. What are the possibilities for the prime p when you divide by $2^2 = 4$? Eliminate all but two of these cases.

Question 6 Use proof by contradiction to eliminate the case you don't want.

Question 7 Repeat questions 5 and 6, but for the case $n = 2$. If you're confident you understand what's going on, move to the next question!

Question 8 Prove Theorem 1.

Question 9 Euler used Theorem 1 to prove that $2^{2^5} + 1$ is not prime. How did he do this? Check his work. Could you use his method to prove that $2^{2^6} + 1$ is not prime?

Author(s):

42 Gauss, The Prince of Mathematicians

One of the downsides of using a textbook like Dunham's is that we only have time to talk about a small number of mathematicians. At this point in history, we begin to see an increasing number of names you would recognize from your studies, both in mathematics as well as other subjects. To put Gauss in the epilogue of a chapter about Euler is, in my opinion, a disservice to this great mathematician. Some people even consider him to be the greatest mathematician since antiquity - greater even than Euler. You should, of course, form your own opinions after doing these readings.

We begin with Dunham's biography of Gauss, and then our second reading discusses Gauss's proofs of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra. You don't need to be able to give these proofs exactly as they are described, but you should be able to talk about the main ideas. Finally, an optional third reading is the Mathematics Genealogy Project, beginning with Gauss. The genealogy project has a page for most modern mathematicians listing both their advisor as well as their advisees. So, you can click through either forward in time or backward in time to see how mathematicians are connected to one another!

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapter 10, pages 235 - 244

Second reading: Gauss's Proofs of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Third reading: Mathematics Genealogy Project

Questions

Question 1 *How many proofs of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra did Gauss give? 4*

Question 2 *Which of the following did not attempt a proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) Gauss
 - (b) d'Alembert
 - (c) Leibniz
 - (d) Euler
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):

See Gauss's Proofs of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra at math.huji.ac.il/~ehud/MH/Gauss-HarelCain.pdf

See Mathematics Genealogy Project at <https://genealogy.math.ndsu.nodak.edu/id.php?id=18231>

43 Contemplating the Infinite

If you've never thought much about the idea of "infinity" before, then the Great Theorems of Chapters 11 and 12 might be a little surprising. If you've seen them before, it's always good to stop and think a bit about why these theorems are surprising. The historical context in the readings in Dunham should help.

For fun, you might try to explain to a (non-mathematician) friend that there are infinite sets which are the same "size", and infinite sets which are different "sizes". You'll first have to explain what you mean by "size", of course! The second reading might help your explanation, since it can be used effectively in a high school classroom for talking about this topic.

Readings

First reading: Dunham, Chapters 11 and 12

Second reading: The Infinite Hotel.

Questions

Question 1 *After the hotel appears to be full, a family arrives and asks for a room. After moving the patrons around, which room is the new family assigned? 1*

Question 2 *In the story of "Hotel Infinity", what does George build, other than the hotel?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *A fleet of buses.*
 - (b) *A courtroom.*
 - (c) *Billboards.*
 - (d) *An infinite parking garage.*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):

See The Infinite Hotel at <http://www.jstor.org.proxy.lib.ohio-state.edu/stable/20876420>

44 Cantor Can!

In this activity we look at Cantor's diagonal argument.

It took until the 1700's to get algebra and number systems in place in a workable way. But there was still trouble understanding what infinity was. Was the set of counting numbers really infinite, or was it only as big as the highest number that anyone had ever counted, or as big as the number of atoms in the universe, or...? But even if the set of counting numbers was infinite, then the set of real numbers was also infinite. But then again, were they the same infinity? Some math grad student in Germany around 1850 shocked the math world by saying 'no.'

Question 1 *Here is a table of rational numbers:*

...	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	...
...	$-\frac{5}{2}$		$-\frac{3}{2}$		$-\frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{3}{2}$		$\frac{5}{2}$...
...	$-\frac{5}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{3}$		$-\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$		$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$		$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{5}{3}$...
...	$-\frac{5}{4}$		$-\frac{3}{4}$		$-\frac{1}{4}$		$\frac{1}{4}$		$\frac{3}{4}$		$\frac{5}{4}$...
...		$-\frac{4}{5}$	$-\frac{3}{5}$	$-\frac{2}{5}$	$-\frac{1}{5}$		$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{4}{5}$...
	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	

- What does the 12th row of the table look like?
- Name three different rational numbers. Will they (eventually) appear on the table?
- Will every rational number eventually appear in the table above?
- Can you figure out how to "enumerate" the rationals?

Question 2 *The question: Are the set of counting numbers and the set of real numbers between 0 and 1 the same size?*

Author(s):

Cantor's answer: Suppose they were, then you could make a one-to-one, onto match-up:

1 : 0.22343798784 ...
 2 : 0.85984759348 ...
 3 : 0.11290293980 ...
 4 : 0.03432340563 ...
 5 : 0.93928498239 ...
 6 : 0.79788937833 ...
 ⋮

So, you think you did it, eh? I will find a real number between zero and one that is not on your list. How will I do it?

Question 3 Explain why the same argument does not show that the rationals cannot be enumerated.

Exploration 4 Is the cardinality of \mathbb{R} equal to the cardinality of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$?

45 The Twentieth Century and Beyond

Dunham's story ends with Cantor, but the story of mathematics certainly doesn't. Hopefully, as we've talked about the history of mathematics this semester, you've seen some themes emerging. Mathematics is always growing and changing, and the way mathematicians think about the subject is also growing and changing. The first reading is an attempt to add to these themes. It is written by Michael Atiyah, a famous topologist who won the Fields Medal in 1966. You don't need to read this entire article in detail, but be sure to read enough to get a sense of the themes that Atiyah is describing and how they are similar to (or different from) some of the themes we have discussed previously.

The Fields Medal is the highest award given to mathematicians, and is sometimes referred to as the "Nobel Prize of Mathematics". The second reading is a bit of history on this prize, and the third reading a list of past winners of the prize. It's worthwhile to note that the first woman to win the Fields Medal was Maryam Mirzakhani, who won the prize in 2014 and passed away in 2017.

Readings

First reading: Mathematics In The Twentieth Century

Second reading: The Fields Medal

Third reading: List of Fields Medalists

Questions

Question 1 *The Fields Medal is awarded every 4 years.*

Question 2 *Which of the following is not a theme discussed by Atiyah?*

Multiple Choice:

- (a) *Local to Global*
 - (b) *Geometry versus Algebra*
 - (c) *Techniques in Common*
 - (d) *Increase in Precision*
-

Question 3 *What are the most important points from this reading?*

Author(s):

See Mathematics In The Twentieth Century at <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2695275>

See The Fields Medal at <http://www.mathunion.org/general/prizes/fields/details/>

See List of Fields Medalists at <http://www.mathunion.org/general/prizes/fields/prizewinners/>

46 Who's Who

You may have heard of many of the mathematicians below! As time has marched forward, more and more mathematicians have made their mark on the subject. So many, in fact, that we hardly have time to talk about them all! Instead, we'll try to build a yearbook of sorts to learn a little bit about the mathematicians whose work you might use!

For each of the mathematicians below, write the years they were born and died (if applicable), and then one reason we could have studied their work. What did they study? What theorems are they famous for? Why are they remembered in the mathematical community? Who did they work with? You'll likely have to look up much of this information online.

Author(s):

Mathematician	Years	One reason you might know the name
Niels Abel		
Maria Agnesi		
Augustin-Louis Cauchy		
Abraham DeMoivre		
Gotthold Eisenstein		
Paul Erdős		
Joseph Fourier		
Évariste Galois		
Joseph-Louis Lagrange		
Adrien-Marie Legendre		
Grigori Perelman		
Henri Poincaré		
Srinivasa Ramanujan		
Karl Weierstrauss		

47 Limits of axioms

In this activity, we discuss how statements can be independent of axioms.

We will motivate our discussion with questions about cardinality.

Question 1 *Given any finite set S , can you prove that the power set of S has a larger cardinality?*

Consider $S = \mathbb{N}$. We wish to show that $|\mathbb{N}| < |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})|$. Proceed as follows: Seeking a contradiction, suppose that they are equinumerous and imagine a bijection between every element of \mathbb{N} and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$. Call a natural number **selfish** if by your bijection it is paired with a set containing itself. Call a natural number **nonselfish** if it is paired with a set not containing itself.

Question 2 *Give part of an example map between \mathbb{N} and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ and clearly identify selfish numbers and nonselfish numbers based on your map.*

Question 3 *Let B be the set of all nonselfish numbers. Explain why $B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$. Arrive at a contradiction. Hint: Which integers map to B ?*

Question 4 *So far we have only shown $|\mathbb{N}| \neq |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})|$. How do you conclude that $|\mathbb{N}| < |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})|$?*

Question 5 *Given any set S , can you prove that the power set of S has a larger cardinality? Hint: repeat the argument above.*

We know that \mathbb{N} is countable and that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is uncountable. Define:

$$\begin{aligned}\beth_0 &:= |\mathbb{N}| \\ \beth_1 &:= |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})| \\ \beth_2 &:= |\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}))| \\ \beth_3 &:= |\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})))| \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}$$

and so on. These are *beth* numbers. From our work above we see that

$$\beth_0 \leq \beth_1 \leq \beth_2 \leq \beth_3 \leq \dots$$

Question 6 *Let*

$$\varphi : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

Author(s):

via the map

$$A \mapsto \sum_{x \in A} \frac{1}{2^x}$$

where $A \subset \mathbb{N}$. Explain how every element in the image of φ can be associated to exactly one number $0.a_1a_2a_3\dots$ where $a_i = 0$ or $a_i = 1$.

Question 7 Argue that $\aleph_1 = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) = |[0, 1]| = |\mathbb{R}|$.

On the other hand there are also *aleph* numbers. Here

$$\aleph_0 := |\mathbb{N}|$$

but \aleph_1 is defined to be the *smallest* infinite cardinal number larger than \aleph_0 . In general, \aleph_n is the smallest infinite cardinal number larger than \aleph_{n-1} . So from this definition we find:

$$\aleph_0 \leq \aleph_1 \leq \aleph_2 \leq \aleph_3 \leq \dots$$

Question 8 Say everything you can about the relationship between the aleph numbers and the beth numbers.

Hilbert's first problem

In 1900 Hilbert made a list of problems to guide the mathematicians of the 20th Century. Here is the first problem on the list:

Prove the continuum hypothesis.

What is this so-called continuum hypothesis? It states

$$\aleph_1 = \beth_1 = |\mathbb{R}|.$$

Hilbert's second problem

I speculate that finding the “holes” in Euclid's arguments led Hilbert to question the validity of our own proofs. This speculation is supported by the fact that in 1900 the second problem in Hilbert's list of problems was:

Prove that the axioms of arithmetic are consistent.

Question 9 What would a counterexample to this claim imply? What would an affirmative proof imply?

With Hilbert's second problem in mind, in 1901 Bertrand Russell showed that the naive set theory of Cantor cannot be used to answer Hilbert's second problem. Russell proposed that one consider the set of all sets that do not contain themselves.

Question 10 How does one express this set in “set-builder” notation?

Question 11 *What is the problem with this set?*

Question 12 *Does this set remind you of anything you've seen before?*

The reader should rest assured that the foundations of mathematics will not come collapsing upon our heads. Russell himself has a resolution based on something called *type theory*, though we cannot discuss this at the moment.

(In)completeness

Now we will turn our attention to Kurt Gödel. In 1931, Gödel proved his (first) incompleteness theorem. To paraphrase:

Any set of axioms powerful enough to describe “elementary number theory” will have statements that are *true* but *unprovable*, and hence this set of axioms is incomplete.

In 1940, Gödel proved that the continuum hypothesis cannot be disproved using the standard axioms of set theory. Around 1964, Paul Cohen showed that the continuum hypothesis cannot be proved using the standard axioms of set theory. To use the language of vector-spaces,

The continuum hypothesis is outside the “span” of our standard axioms!

Hence the continuum hypothesis is one of these unprovable statements. There are in fact, many others. Once upon a time, mathematical statements were either true or false. Now we have a third option, the statement could be undecidable. We have matured much since the birth of numbers.