

Name	RA	Dec	g'	Porb (mins)	T(0) +/- (d)	P +/- (d)	UCAM/USPEC Eclipse?	Done?	Target Notes	Priority	Disc. Paper	In Liam's Paper?
OW J080311.83-291144.6	08 03 11.83	-29 11 44.6	~19.7	140-170	?	?	NO	N	Crude LC and spectrum in Macfarlane et al. 2016. Strong Hell 4686 line, may suggest NL	3	acfarlane et al. (2016)	N
									Boris spotted as candidate from spectrum. Amomrat confirms as eclipsing from USPEC/TNT.			
SDSS J002049.50+280020.3	00 20 49.50	+28 00 20.4	~19.6	~81	?	?	YES	N				
SDSS J015803.41+282303.7	01 58 03.4	+28 23 03.6	~20.4	~127	?	?	YES	N	Boris spotted as candidate from spectrum. Amomrat confirms as eclipsing from USPEC/TNT.			
SDSS J090947.82+110636.9	09 09 47.82	11 06 37.0	~20.8	~115	?	?	YES	N	Boris spotted as candidate from spectrum. Amomrat confirms as eclipsing from USPEC/TNT.			
CSS091009 J010412-031341	01 04 11.63	-03 13 42.4	~19.5	?	?	?	NO	N	CRTS page notes that this could be an eclipser. Needs confirming.	4	Drake et al. (2014)	N
ASASSN-17ay	05 00 45.98	+17 46 16.9	~19.0	?	?	?	NO	N	Candidate eclipser from vsx. High variability in CRTS.	3		
CSS090128 J072211+260255	07 22 10.65	+26 02 54.5	~19.6	?	?	?	NO	N	CRTS page notes that this could be an eclipser. Needs confirming.	4		
MLS110309 J074223+172807	07 42 22.54	+17 28 06.8	~19.9	?	?	?	NO	N	CRTS page notes that this could be an eclipser. Needs confirming.	4	Drake et al. (2014)	N
CSS091017 J081210+040352	08 12 10.22	+04 03 52.0	~18.5	?	?	?	NO	-	From CRTS. Vsnnet-outburst #10665 reports lightcurve to resemble that of a polar. No need to consider this system.	5	Drake et al. (2014)	N
DDE 47	19 22 38.80	-31 16 28.6	~18.0(V)	?	?	?	YES	N	See Atel #9342. Confirmed eclipser with period > 1.2h but exact period not known. Crowded field and sky background an issue for reduction.	2		N
CSS090622 J224253+172538	22 42 53.42	+17 25 38.2	~19.9	?	?	?	NO	N	CRTS page notes that this could be an eclipser. Needs confirming.	4	Drake et al. (2014)	N
Nova Sco 1437	17 01 28.15	-43 06 12.3	~17	769	BJD = 2457599.66277(9)	0.534026(5)	NO	N	Eclipsing DN inside Nova Shell! Low resolution LCs from Domitilla look OK.		rxiv.org/pdf/1704.00	
									In Thorstensen et al. 2016. Very long period system (P~9h!). Very strong contribution from secondary and therefore shallow eclipse. White dwarf eclipse clearly visible and clear BS egress but signs of merged ingresses. Ephemeris from Thorstensen et al. 2016 and USPEC (up to 02/2017) data.			
ASASSN-15aa	10 49 25.91	-21 47 35.9	~16.8	540.78	BMJD = 57773.806750(10)	0.37553966(17)	YES	N	Observed with UCAM in 01/18 - eclipses need checking.	2	orstensen et al. (2016)	N
2MASS J22564784-2743253	22 56 47.84	-27 43 25.3	~16.8	504.14	?	0.3501	NO	N	Long period eclipsing CV from vsx. No good data or ephemeris exists!	3		
									Long period eclipsing CV. Low-res LCs and ephemeris in Bruch & Monard 2017. Low res lightcurves are inconclusive and there is a large ellipsoidal contribution. Worth a look. Observed with UCAM in 01/18 - eclipse looks like ingresses are blended.			
GY Hya	14 30 30.49	-25 52 38.0	~15.5	500.03	BMJD = 57483.7337(7)	0.34723972(6)	YES	N		3		
1RXS J064434.5+334451	06 44 34.36	+33 44 56.7	~13.3	387.90	HMJD = 53403.259533	0.26937446	NO	-	Masses in Hernandez Santisteban et al. 2016. SW Sex system so no need to study.	5	Sing et al. (2007)	N
GSC 04560-02157	15 43 36.65	+75 15 41.2	~15.1*	382.12	HMJD = 57462.88371(5)	0.265360053(23)	YES	N	Long period eclipsing CV. One quiescent USPEC eclipse in March 2016 shows this system has potential, but this eclipse has merged ingresses. Other eclipse in outburst. High priority target. Ephemeris from Khruslov et al. 2015, Han et al. 2016 and USPEC (up to 03/2016).	1	Khruslov et al. 2015	N
SSS120215 J122443-410158	12 24 43.31	-41 01 58.8	~19.6	365.28	HMJD = 55986.1934	0.25367(3)	NO	N	In Coppejans et al. 2014. Long period and shallow eclipse hints at large donor contribution. BS egress visible in low-res LC?	2		
SDSS J154453.60+255348.8	15 44 53.61	+25 53 48.8	~16.6	361.85	BMJD = 54658.1372(2)	0.25128168(2)	NO	-	Literature light curve looks unpromising for mass determinations. Spectroscopic mass determination possible. No clear WD or BS. Ephemeris from Thorstensen 2017. Worth a look at high time resolution?	2	Szkody et al. (2009)	N
DO Leo	10 40 51.23	+15 11 33.8	~18.0	337.70	HMJD = 47225.25578(17)	0.2345142(2)	NO	N	Long period eclipsing CV from vsx. Lightcurve in discovery paper unpromising. Nova-like?	4	vard.edu/abs/1993P	
KM Lac	22 13 49.30	55 28 27.0	15	310.18	BJD = 2457550.9018(7)	0.21542426(2)	NO	N	Ephemeris from https://arxiv.org/pdf/1711.09094.pdf. No LC in literature, looks like John Thorstensen is following up.			
AR Cnc	09 22 07.57	+31 03 14.4	~18.5	309.02	?	0.2146	YES	N	Long period eclipsing CV from vsx. Howell et al published masses based on LC modelling, but not the LC! Observed with USPEC in 02/18 - eclipses need checking.	2		
MASTER OT J232100.42+494614.0	23 21 00.42	+49 46 14.7	~18.6	305.82	HMJD = 56992.62087(10)	0.2123774(8)	YES	N	Found by Liam using pt5m. Long period. Observed with TNT in Nov 2014 - noisy lightcurve but BS egress clearly visible. Two more noisy eclipses obtained in Jan 2015, which show signs of BS ingress when binned. Ephemeris from USPEC data (up to 01/2015).	1		Y
OGLE BLG-ECL-000102	17 59 11.72	-26 24 39.8	~19.5	305.20	HMJD = 56999.8376	0.2119448	NO	N	BLG645.27.1847. Crowded field and sky background may be an issue for reduction. Low-res eclipse looks V-shaped.	4	oszynski et al. (2015)	N
									Long period. Existing mass determinations in literature (Baptista et al. 2000 & Shafter et al. 2003). Values appear to agree but errors very small in S03 and unsure about reliability. Lots of flickering in LC and BS features ambiguous. Existing UCAM data does not include a full eclipse. Good TNT target. Additional data: useful. Ephemeris from Shafter et al. 2003.	3		N
EX Dra	18 04 14.24	+67 54 12.3	~15.3	302.31	HMJD = 48397.9524(2)	0.20993718(2)	NO	N	Long period. Spectrum reveals TiO from donor. Shallow (0.6mag) eclipses suggest grazing eclipse (Shears et al. 2011).	5	Szkody et al. (2002)	N
SDSS J081610.84+453010.2	08 16 10.84	+45 30 10.2	~20.1	301.82	HMJD = 55555.3512(6)	0.2098(4)	NO	-	Long period eclipsing CV from vsx. Low-res lightcurve: https://www.aavso.org/vsx/docs/292437/22/J1343-15f.gif. Observed with UCAM in 01/18 - eclipses need checking carefully but may be a case of blended ingresses.	2		
CSS J134330.9-151858	13 43 31.05	-15 18 58.4	~18.0	290.06	HMJD = 57819.224	0.201429	YES	N	BLG657.26.32587. Crowded field and sky background may be an issue for reduction.	3	oszynski et al. (2015)	N
OGLE BLG-ECL-000209	18 22 16.17	-29 56 39.7	~19.1	284.83	HMJD = 56999.8879	0.1977965	NO	N				
									AKA NSV 14581. Long period and very frequent outbursts. In Pyrzas et al. 2012. Z Cam candidate. One eclipse in UCAM data from Jan 2012, in outburst. Two eclipses from 2013 data, one in outburst (08/13) and one (07/13) in what could be be quiescence but may also be in an intermediate state, see LCs in Pyrzas. Not certain the WD is eclipsed in this system, possibly just BS and disc eclipses. Observed again with WHT in Aug 2014 but found to be in outburst again so moved before eclipse. Ephemeris from Pyrzas et al. 2012 and UCAM data (up to 08/2013).	2	Pyrzas et al. (2012)	Y
HS 2325+8205	23 26 50.30	+82 22 11.2	~16.7	279.84	HMJD = 56487.19545(5)	0.194334532(6)	YES	N	Existing mass determination from RVs and photometric method with large error bars (Southworth et al. 2009). One UCAM eclipse from 2012, a few USPEC eclipses from 2014 and another from late 2015. Missing a usable u band eclipse. Ephemeris from Southworth et al. 2009&2015 (data shifted due to different T0 determination), UCAM (up to 02/2012) and USPEC (up to 02/2017) data. Signs of slight period change? Masses in McAllister's thesis.	3	Szkody et al. (2007)	Y
SDSS J100658.40+233724.4	10 06 58.42	+23 37 24.6	~18.6*	267.71	HMJD = 56682.72973(5)	0.185913107(13)	YES	Y	From Patrick Woudt (priv. comm.). Long period eclipser. Observed one eclipse with NTT in August 2016 - diskly eclipse with merged ingresses. Worth another look. Porb from Woudt (priv. comm.). Observed with UCAM in 01/18 - eclipse needs checking.	2		N
ASASSN-15pw	04 46 39.41	-51 32 54.9	~19.5	264.15	HMJD = 57626.36957(5)	0.183439	YES	N	From Patrick Woudt (Priv. comm.). Not a deep eclipser (0.8 mag). Observed with UCAM in 08/16 but didn't catch an eclipse due to uncertain ephemeris. Observed again in 01/18 - eclipse needs checking.	2		
ASASSN-14ka	04 20 39.77	-62 45 00.8	~17.2	255.11	HMJD = 56974.88457	0.17716	YES	N				

Name	RA	Dec	g'	Porb (mins)	T(0) +/- (d)	P +/- (d)	UCAM/USPEC Eclipse?	Done?	Target Notes	Priority	Disc. Paper	In Liam's Paper?
GY Cnc	09 09 50.55	+18 49 47.5	~16.7*	252.64	BMJD = 55938.263734(22)	0.175442399(6)	YES	Y	AKA HS 0907+1902. Existing mass determination from RV and photometric method (Thorstensen, 2000) but errors large. Long period eclipser. Some ULTRACAM data from May 2003. Also Jan 2012 data and LT/Rise data. Eclipse shape variable due to disc changes and would benefit from more eclipses, but we have enough already to get a mass out. Ephemeris using UCAM (up to 012/2013) and USPEC (up to 03/2017) data. Signs of slight period change? Masses in McAllister's thesis.	3	Sancicke et al. (2000)	Y
CSS1311106 J052412+004148	05 24 12.15	+00 41 48.1	~18.3*	251.52	HMJD = 56990.830611(22)	0.174666454(19)	YES	N	Eclipser discovered by Liam. Observed with TNT from Nov 2014 - Feb 2015 with 6 eclipses obtained. Looks a good system for modelling but need better quality data - NTT? Very high priority given the long period. Ephemeris from USPEC (up to 12/2015) data. Observed with UCAM in 01/18 - eclipses needs checking.	1		Y
V729 Sgr	19 16 49.10	-26 14 33.6	?	249.70	BMJD = 57300.5183(5)	0.173405(2)	NO	-	In K2, although looks to be a partial eclipser. See Ramsay et al. 2017.	5		
GALEX J003535.7+462353	00 35 35.72	+46 23 52.4	~17.0*	248.08	HMJD = 55940.82430(5)	0.172274910(12)	YES	N	Flickery, but hopefully do-able in the long run. Eclipse shape seems to vary with each eclipse. Long period so a priority. Additional data: useful. Good TNT target. Ephemeris from Wils et al. 2011 (data shifted due to different T0 determination), UCAM (up to 08/2014) and USPEC (up to 12/2015) data.	3	Wils (2011)	Y
HBHA 4705-03	22 16 50.33	+46 46 41.4	~15.2	247.41	HMJD = 56865.0658(5)	0.1718136(3)	NO	-	In Yakin et al. 2013 & Rutkowski et al. 2013. Hell emission. Unpromising lightcurve, probably a NL. No WD eclipse can be seen. No point going any further with this system. Rough ephemeris from Rutkowski et al. 2013 & good quality pt5m data. See http://arxiv.org/pdf/1306.4462.pdf & http://arxiv.org/pdf/1210.0423v1.pdf	5	Yakin et al. (2013)	N
SDSS J040714.78-064425.1	04 07 14.78	-06 44 25.0	~17.8	245.09	HMJD = 54396.23376(8)	0.170203913(7)	YES	N	Aka LT Eri. White dwarf and BS ingress blended in 2007 eclipse. Lots of disc emission. Long period. Observed with TNT in Jan 2015 but in outburst, and again in Mar 2015 with signs of BS ingress. More data needed. Ephemeris from pt5m, UCAM (up to 10/07) and USPEC (up to 03/2015) data.	2		Y
OGLE BLG-ECL-000101	17 58 54.07	-31 19 01.3	~17.0	242.39	HMJD = 56999.8117	0.1683248	YES	N	BLG507 27.87397. Crowded field and sky background may be an issue for reduction.	2	Roszynski et al. (2015)	N
MASTER OT J192328.22+612413.5	19 23 28.36	+61 24 13.8	~18.5	241.41	HMJD = 56873.057146(19)	0.16764650(6)	YES	N	Eclipsing CV from MASTER. Observed with WHT in 08/14 - very flickery lightcurve but shows some promise. Good TNT target. Observations in Kennedy et al. 2016 - spectroscopy suggests system is cross between DN and SW Sex? Similarities with Lan 386. Ephemeris calculated from Kennedy et al. 2016, 2014 UCAM and pt5m data. Kennedy et al. 2017 suggest systems is an IP?	2	Kennedy et al. (2016)	Y
KIS J192748.53+444724.5	19 27 48.49	+44 47 24.6	~19.4*	238.04	BMJD = 56205.5845(17)	0.1653077(49)	YES	Y	In the Kepler field. Study by Scaringi et al 2013. Observed with UCAM in 08/2013. Masses in Littlefair et al. 2014. Ephemeris from Scaringi et al 2013.	4	Scaringi et al. (2013a)	N
Lanning 386	21 08 34.03	+39 05 35.3	~18.3	236.23	HMJD = 53625.0867(4)	0.1640517(1)	NO	N	Bit of a weird system. See also unpublished eclipse by http://arxiv.org/pdf/1604.05718v1.pdf . Eclipse LC looks promising. Another eclipse shown in Kennedy et al. 2016 and they state that system is very similar to MASTER OT J1923, possibly a cross between a DN and SW Sex star? X-ray observations by Kennedy et al. 2017 hint at system being an IP.	2	Brady et al. (2008)	N
SDSS J081256.85+191157.8	08 12 56.86	+19 11 57.9	~15.8	230.47	HMJD = 55929.0101(4)	0.16005(5)	NO	-	Eclipsing nova-like from Szkody et al. 2006. No need to consider this object due to its nova-like nature. Ephemeris from Gulsecen & Esenoglu 2014.	5	Szkody et al. (2006)	N
IP Peg	23 23 08.55	+18 24 59.3	~15.3*	227.82	BMJD = 53594.206270(1)	0.1582061029(3)	YES	Y	Masses in Copperwheat et al. 2010. Donor mass larger than expected for orbital period. Ephemeris from Copperwheat et al. 2010.	4	Koranskij et al. (1985)	N
CSS110513 J210846-035031	21 08 46.43	-03 50 31.8	~18.0*	225.97	HMJD = 56489.11448(6)	0.15692657(3)	YES	N	Aka SDSS J2108-0350. Eclipser from CSS - see vsnet-alert #13319. UCAM eclipse from WHT in 07/2013 looks classic! Interesting system as eclipse from 08/13 appears to show outburst starting in inner disk. Two more eclipses obtained in 08/14 but look more like 08/13 eclipse and not much use for masses. Should be doable with one or two additional good eclipses. Ephemeris from UCAM data (up to 08/2014).	1	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
CSS111101 J233003+303301	23 30 03.03	+30 33 00.5	~18.9	224.61	HMJD = 57026.54482(21)	0.1559784(6)	YES	-	From CRTS. Observed with pt5m in 08/14 and confirmed as eclipsing. Observed with TNT in Jan 2015, unusual eclipse shape and probably grazing so no need to revisit this system. Ephemeris calculated from USPEC data (up to 01/2015).	5	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
V447 Lyr	19 00 19.91	+44 27 45.0	~19.6	224.10	BMJD = 55568.9134(2)	0.1556270(1)	NO	-	In the Kepler field. Study by Ramsay et al. 2012. Only partially eclipsing, WD not eclipsed.	5		N
CSS110114 J044903-184129	04 49 03.0	-18 41 29	~17.9	223.98	HMJD = 55591.82760	0.15554(4)	NO	-	In Coppejans et al. 2014. Low-resolution data suggests U Gem like eclipse which may not eclipse white dwarf.	4	Drake et al. (2014)	N
MLS101226 J072033+172437	07 20 32.63	+17 24 37.0	~18.7	216.59	HMJD = 57079.72958(20)	0.15040651(20)	YES	-	From CRTS. Confirmed as eclipser with 2 eclipses observed using pt5m in April 2014. Observed with USPEC in Feb 2015, noisy lightcurve with no obvious BS features visible and not too promising. Thorstensen (priv comm to Liam) says it is SW-Sex-like, so can probably forget this system. Rough ephemeris from pt5m & USPEC (up to 02/2015) data.	5	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
ASASSN-15af	01 57 54.91	-54 30 38.0	~17.0	215.76	HMJD = 57622.17633(8)	0.149834(8)	YES	N	From Elme. Long period eclipser. Ingresses look blended and very disky eclipse. Ephemeris from UCAM (up to 08/2016) data.	3		N
SDSS J092009.54+004245.0	09 20 09.55	+00 42 45.0	~17.4	212.94	HMJD = 55700.10522(4)	0.147875678(7)	YES	N	AKA V524 Hya. Two eclipses from 2011. First eclipse looks disc-dominated, but not bright enough for outburst. Second looks like a primary eclipse. Observed again with TNT in 2015, same story, can leave this system. Ephemeris from UCAM (up to 2011) and USPEC (up to 01/2015) data. For Liam's paper. Schmidtböck (2012) suggest it's a SW Sex (nova-like) system.	5	Szkody et al. (2003)	Y
CSS080324 J122100-102735	12 20 59.77	-10 27 35.1	~19.4	210.46	HMJD = 55590.0743	0.14615(1)	NO	-	In Coppejans et al. 2014. Low-resolution data suggests a shallow, grazing eclipse, but this may be due to poor time resolution.	4	Drake et al. (2009)	N
ASASSN-14ix	22 55 04.18	-34 49 18.0	~17.2	208.02	BMJD = 57008.0425(3)	0.1444610954(1)	YES	N	Deep eclipser. See vsnet-alert #18036. low-res eclipse LC: http://www.kusastro.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~tkato/tmp/ASASSN-14ix_orb.png . CRTS shows DN outbursts. Observed with UCAM in 06/17 and 11/17 - eclipses need checking.	1		
OGLE-BLG-ECL-321270	18 07 48.97	-25 40 25.1	~17.5	202.82	HMJD = 6999.7470	0.1408441	NO	N	Crowded field and sky background may be an issue for reduction. Period could be incorrect.	3		
SDSS J075653.11+085831.8	07 56 53.12	+08 58 32.0	~16.3	197.24	HMJD = 55958.09184	0.1369745(4)	NO	-	Low resolution data. Not that promising. Southworth et al. (2015) present V-shaped eclipse, and spectrum typical of SW Sex stars. Ephemeris from Southworth (priv com). Confirmed as SW Sex star by Tovmassian et al. 2014. Ephemeris from Tovmassian et al. 2014.	5	Szkody et al. (2002)	N
ASASSN-15nv	23 42 26.22	+32 48 19.9	~17.5	194.15	BMJD = 54358.23063(6)	0.134825922(6)	NO	-	From vsnet-alert #18954. Possible SW Sex star? Needs checking. Low-res LC: https://www.aavso.org/vsx/docs/409642/133/ASASSN-15nv.gif	3		
SDSS J075939.79+191417.3	07 59 39.78	+19 14 17.2	~18.2	188.54	HMJD = 53469.1630(6)	0.1309337(8)	NO	-	Reported as eclipsing by Drake (2010) - never published. In Szkody papers - single lined, Hell - SW Sex?	5		N
CSS111003 J054558+022106	05 45 58.00	+02 21 06.0	~19.0	174.20	HMJD = 57730.24240(3)	0.120971471(9)	YES	N	AKA Te 11, CRTS J054558.3+022106. Confirmed eclipser with TNT observations in Jan 2015 although data noisy. Masses published in Miszalski et al. 2015, although errors high and issue with white dwarf radius/mass overestimation due to bug. Needs re-modelling with new data included. Ephemeris from Woudt (Priv comm), USPEC (up to 12/2015) and UCAM (up to 12/2016) data.	2	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
SDSS J143209.78+191403.5	14 32 09.78	+19 14 03.6	~18.4	168.62	?	0.1171	NO	-	Observed with UCAM in 12/16, 11/17, 01/18 - eclipses need checking. Period gap CV from Elme. EFOSC lc sent to Stuart in email. Eclipse is quite V-shaped unfortunately.	5		N

Name	RA	Dec	g'	Porb (mins)	T(0) +/- (d)	P +/- (d)	UCAM/USPEC Eclipse?	Done?	Target Notes	Priority	Disc. Paper	In Liam's Paper?
ASASSN-18je	13 08 05.02	-41 46 24.5	~18.0	151.66	?	0.105329	NO	N	New eclipsing CV in period gap. Details from vsnet-alert #22133.	1		
SDSS J170213.24+322954.2	17 02 13.25	+32 29 54.3	~17.9*	144.12	HMJD = 53593.89209(4)	0.10008209(9)	YES	Y	AKA Y1239 Her. Studies by Boyd et al. 2006 and Golyshveva et al. 2015. In the period gap. Additional data: timing only. Masses in Littlefair et al 2006 and S11.	5	Szkody et al. (2004)	N
CzeV404 Her	18 30 01.76	+12 33 46.1	~17.0*	141.15	BMJD = 56871.91730(4)	0.098021254(2)	YES	N	Newly discovered eclipsing CV in the period gap. Observed with USPEC in 03/14 but in outburst. Observed with WHT in 08/14 - in quiescence but very flickery. Clear BS egress and maybe sign of an ingress. Observed again with TNT in Feb 2015. More data is useful. Ephemeris from USPEC data, pt5m data and UCAM data.	1	Cagas & Cagas (2014)	Y
1RXS J003828.7+250920	00 38 28.70	+25 09 20.5	~19.1 (V)	136.09	HMJD = 55798.98867(8)	0.09451001(4)	NO	-	Eclipses in the period gap, although looks like the white dwarf isn't eclipsed.	4	Kavlenko et al. (2016)	
ASASSN-15pb	20 14 22.92	-63 37 58.6	~19.5	134.34	HMJD = 57626.142035(14)	0.09328967(3)	YES	N	From Patrick Woudt. Orbital period puts it right at the start of the period gap. Eclipse shape looks classic! Should have enough data for modelling.	3		N
SDSS J075059.97+141150.1	07 50 59.98	+14 11 50.2	~19.0*	134.16	HMJD = 56658.955303(16)	0.093165497(3)	YES	N	Edge of period gap. In Southworth et al. 2010 & 2015. WHT/ACAM data shows lovely eclipse shape. UCAM data in Jan 2012 less promising; on decline from outburst with BS appearing at the end. Still don't have enough good eclipses for mass to be determined, more data useful. Ephemeris calculated from Southworth et al. 2010 & 2015 (data shifted due to different T0 determination), UCAM (up to 01/2014) and USPEC (up to 01/2014) data.	2	Szkody et al. (2007)	Y
TCP J08461690+3115554	08 46 16.90	+31 15 55.4	~21.8	131.59	BMJD = 56006.83870(6)	0.091383(6)	NO	N	Very faint, deeply eclipsing CV from Kato et al. 2013. GTC target and potential epsilon-q calibrator.	2		
SDSS J092444.48+080151.0	09 24 44.47	+08 01 51.0	~19.3	131.24	HMJD = 54879.004552(93)	0.09114078(10)	YES	-	AKA HU Leo. In Southworth et al. 2010. Observed NTT 2011. Polar. Additional data: timing only. Ephemeris from Chris Savoury's thesis. For Liam's paper.	5	Szkody et al. (2005)	Y
CTCV J1300-3052	13 00 29.05	-30 52 57.1	~18.6*	128.07	HMJD = 54262.099166(18)	0.0889406998(17)	YES	Y	AKA Y1258 Cen. In Tappert et al. 2004. Masses measured (UCAM/XShooter) and published in S11/S12. Photometric mass from small number of eclipses and flickering is moderate. Error bars on M2 large. Variable eclipse profile on timescale of months. Revisited using GPs in McAllister's thesis - decreased errors on M2. Ephemeris from Tappert et al. (2004) and UCAM data (up to 05/11).	5	Tappert et al. (2004)	N
SDSS J155656.92+352336.6	15 56 56.93	+35 23 36.6	~18.8	126.85	HMJD = 56046.02626(5)	0.088091485(9)	YES	N	AKA BT Crb. One UCAM observation (01/12) in outburst. Others show blended BS/WD ingress but BS egress clear. 2015 TNT data shows BS ingress finally but egress no longer clear. Near lower edge of period gap. Additional data: priority. Ephemeris from pt5m UCAM (up to 08/2014) and USPEC (up to 02/2015) data. Frequent outbursts!	2	Szkody et al. (2006)	Y
ASASSN-15sl	07 23 12.73	+50 50 07.7	~20.2	125.35	BMJD = 57340.73671(7)	0.0870484(7)	NO	N	New eclipsing CV from vsnet-alert #19254. Low-res deep eclipses in Kato et al. 2016. Faint system. GTC target and potential epsilon-q calibrator.	2	Kato et al. (2016)	N
IR Com	12 39 32.02	+21 08 06.2	~18.3	125.34	HMJD = 49485.9818691(26)	0.08703862787(20)	YES	N	Observed in low state by Manser & Gaensicke (2014). No obvious BS features in 2003 UCAM data published in Feline et al. 2005 and same story with USPEC data from 2014/2015. Very flickery system. Might eventually show features with continued observation. Ephemeris from Feline et al. 2005.	3	Wenzel et al. (1995)	N
DV UMa	09 46 36.65	+44 46 45.1	~18.7*	123.63	HMJD = 52782.973948(10)	0.0858526308(7)	YES		AKA US 943. Previous study by Nogami et al. 2001. Beautiful eclipser. Masses in Feline et al 2004a, S11 and McAllister's thesis. Additional data: timing only. Ephemeris from Howell et al. (1988), Patterson et al. (2000), Nogami et al (2001) and UCAM (up to 10/2007) data.	5	Howell et al. (1988)	N
V713 Cep	20 46 38.70	+60 38 02.8	~18.5*	123.00	HMJD = 56176.936402(7)	0.0854185080(12)	YES	Y	Quiescent light curve in Boyd et al. 2011 is classic, although low-resolution. Observed with WHT in 2011, 2012 & 2013 - in general BS ingress clear but egress harder to spot. A handful of eclipses should be usable, however. No BS seen in 2014 WHT data. Observed again with WHT in 06/2015 and found to have entered a rare low state!! Only the second system, after IR Com (Manser & Gaensicke 2014), to have been observed in such a state. Interestingly, both systems have very similar periods. Ephemeris from UCAM (up to 09/15) and LT (up to 09/14) data. Signs of slight period change? Masses in McAllister's thesis.	3	Antipin & Kroll (2003)	Y
OGLE-BLG-ECL-294117	18 05 07.07	-27 43 09.0	~17.4	121.82	HMJD = 57000.2054	0.0846	NO	N	MACHO 104.20906.960. Crowded field and sky background may be an issue for reduction.	3		
V1032 Oph	16 26 09.71	-03 53 24.2	~17.5	116.71	BMJD = 57795.90439(17)	0.081051(7)	YES	N	See vsnet-alert #11904. Eclipses in Bakowska and Olech (2015) show enough promise to have a look with UCAM? Porb from Kato et al. 2010.	3	Bakowska & Olech (2015)	
ASASSN-13cx	00 02 22.40	+42 42 14.2	~18.6*	114.70	HMJD = 56989.689133(17)	0.079650060(9)	YES	N	An eclipser Liam heard about through vsnet-alert #17684. In Kato et al. 2015. Observed with TNT in Nov 2014 - BS egress clear but ingress less so, definitely a system to observe further. Eclipses from Jan 2015 show same story. Higher quality eclipse observed with WHT in Jun 2015, BS egress very clear but ingresses merged annoyingly. Still a system to monitor. Ephemeris from USPEC (up to 01/2015) and UCAM (up to 06/2015) data.	2		Y
CRTS J035905.9+175034	03 59 05.9	+17 50 34	~18.5	114.6	BMJD = 57069.4825(2)	0.079555131(15)	NO	N	Eclipsing SU UMa (superhumps). Eclipses found with K2 data (Littlefield et al 2018). Classed as CV in Drake et al (2014). A useful epsilon-q calibrator.	2	Drake et al. (2014)	N
ASASSN-15cw	08 08 18.98	+00 59 00.1	~18.0	113.94	BMJD = 57777.68106(3)	0.079126006(19)	YES	N	In Thorstensen et al. 2016. Low-res eclipse doesn't look promising. Observed with TNT in Jan 2017 but no signs of bright spot features and very flickery. Ephemeris from Thorstensen et al. 2016 and USPEC (up to 01/2017) data.	4	Thorstensen et al. (2016)	N
SDSS J155531.99-001055.0	15 55 31.99	-00 10 55.0	~19.0*	113.54	HMJD = 54260.226214(5)	0.0788455502(3)	YES	N	AKA V489 Ser. In Southworth et al. 2007. WD dominated eclipse, with U-shaped bottom (disc?). BS ingress is not at all obvious in early eclipses but possible signs of BS ingress and egress in 2013 & 2014 data. Additional data: useful. More data needed when BS visible. Ephemeris from Southworth et al. 2007 (data shifted due to different T0 determination), UCAM (up to 06/2015) and USPEC (up to 02/2015) data.	2	Szkody et al. (2002)	Y
SDSS J090103.94+480911.0	09 01 03.94	+48 09 11.0	~19.5*	112.15	HMJD = 55942.116358(8)	0.0778805321(5)	YES	Y	AKA PU UMa. In Dillon et al. 2008. Bright spot clear in 2006 but data quality poor. Bright spot less apparent in 2010. Banker for masses in Jan 2012 with WHT. Fit 2006 data and 2012 data separately. Has shown outburst too. Masses in Savoury and McAllister's thesis. Ephemeris from Dillon et al. 2008 and UCAM data (up to 01/2012).	4	Szkody et al. (2003)	Y
CSS080227 J112634-100210	11 26 33.98	-10 02 10.1	~18.8*	111.49	HMJD = 55333.986155(18)	0.0774215733(11)	YES	N	Also known as CSS J112634-100210 (Woudt & Warner 2010, Southworth et al. 2015). Observed with NTT in 2010 & 2011. Data has potential - BS ingress just visible but egress hard to see with flickering. TNT data from 02/2015 looks a little more promising. Ephemeris from Southworth et al. 2015, UCAM (up to 05/2011) and USPEC (up to 02/2015) data. Additional data: useful.	2	Drake et al. (2009)	Y
ASASSN-15bu	02 54 43.68	+22 44 02.7	~17.8	110.64	HMJD = 57080.523351(25)	0.076830311(8)	YES	N	From vsnet-alerts #18228 & #18234. In Kato et al. 2015. Observed with TNT in 02/15, noisy data so hard to make any real conclusions. Needs more eclipses of higher quality. Ephemeris from USPEC (up to 03/2015) and INT(WFC, up to 07/16) data.	2		Y
CSS090419 J162620-125557	16 26 19.83	-12 55 56.5	~20.5*	108.64	HMJD = 56498.927851(19)	0.07544698(8)	YES	N	In Woudt et al. 2012. Seven eclipses from 2013 UCAM data. Low SNR data but probably ready for modelling. Ephemeris calculated from Woudt (Priv. comm.) and UCAM data (up to 08/2014).	4	Woudt et al. (2012)	Y

Name	RA	Dec	g'	Porb (mins)	T(0) +/- (d)	P +/- (d)	UCAM/USPEC Eclipse?	Done?	Target Notes	Priority	Disc. Paper	In Liam's Paper?
AY For	02 42 34.82	-28 02 44.0	~18.2	107.44	HMJD = 57701.108854(5)	0.07461448(26)	YES	N	AKA Halpha 0242-2802. Eclipse in Woudt, Warner & Pretorius (2004) looks classic, but can't find any existing UCAM observations of this system. Spectroscopic masses in Mason & Howell (2005) but no errors shown? Observed with NTT in Nov 2016 and clear BS features visible. Should have enough for modelling. Ephemeris from UCAM data (up to 11/16).	2	Howell et al. (2002)	N
Z Cha	08 07 27.75	-76 32 00.7	~15.6*	107.28	HMJD = 53498.011471(4)	0.0744992631(3)	YES	Y	Classic eclipser with numerous existing masses published. Attempted improved masses through fitting 2010/11 UCAM data. Ephemeris calculated from UCAM (up to 05/2011) data. Masses in McAllister's thesis.	5		N
ASASSN-14hq	06 38 19.59	-48 59 16.1	~18.1	107.03	HMJD = 57703.277456(14)	0.074326968(10)	YES	N	From Patrick Woudt (Priv. comm.). Deep eclipser (2 mag). Observed with NTT in August 2016 but was in outburst. Observed again in Nov 2016 and obtained 2 classic eclipses, however the first seems to be affected by flickering at egress. Further useful eclipses have since been obtained, so should now have enough data for modelling. Ephemeris from Woudt (priv. comm.) and UCAM (up to 11/2016) data.	3		N
IY UMa	10 43 56.73	+58 07 31.9	~17.1*	106.43	HMJD = 56746.6395010(9)	0.07390892818(21)	YES	Y	Existing mass determination in literature (Steeeghs et al. 2003), but seems a little low for the period, and errors high. Worth revisiting. Observed with TNT in 2014 (3 very good KG5 eclipses) and Jan/Feb 2015 (g' & r' eclipses). Need u' band eclipse to constrain WD temp. Ready for modelling. Ephemeris from Steeghs et al. 2003 and USPEC (up to 03/2016) data. Masses in McAllister thesis.	4	Jemura et al. (2000)	Y
HT Cas	01 10 13.13	+60 04 35.4	~16.5	106.05	HMJD = 56874.050584(16)	0.0736471745(5)	YES	N	Existing mass determination in literature (Horne et al. 1991), but seems very low for the period, and errors high. Worth revisiting. UCAM observations presented in Feline et al. (2005), but no BS ingress visible. May be visible in future as disc radius changes. Plenty of LT eclipses available from Madelon. 2 eclipses with WHT in 2014 have clear BS ingress but egress lost in flickering. Ephemeris from UCAM (up to 09/2015) and USPEC (up to 01/2015) data. Signs of period change. Eclipses obtained with USPEC in 12/17 and 02/18 appear to show strongest BS features yet, and system should finally be ready for modelling, despite significant flickering.	3		Y
OU Vir	14 35 00.22	-00 46 06.3	~18.2*	104.70	HMJD = 51724.53283(7)	0.072706113(5)	YES	Y	Masses in Feline et al 2004b and S11. Additional data: timing only. Ephemeris from Feline et al 2004b	5	Mason et al. 2002	N
OGLE BLG-ECL-000082	17 54 16.19	-35 26 39.5	~18.0	103.58	HMJD = 57623.033843(4)	0.0719308072(14)	YES	N	BLG510.16.126296. Crowded field and sky background may be an issue for reduction. Classic system! Only a handful of eclipses, but they are of high enough quality to be ready for modelling. Ephemeris from Soszynski et al. (2015&6) and UCAM (up to 08/2016) data.	3	Soszynski et al. (2015)	N
MASTER OT J001400.25-561735.0	00 14 00.25	-56 17 35.0	~18.3	103.00	HMJD = 57625.295956(11)	0.071529488(22)	YES	N	From Patrick Woudt (Priv. comm.). Observed with NTT in August 2016. Flickery system but strong bright spot feature and therefore a promising system. More data needed. Ephemeris calculated from UCAM data (up to 11/2016).	1		
CSS090622 J215636+193242	21 56 36.34	+19 32 41.5	~19.3*	102.14	HMJD = 56874.201951(21)	0.07092930(12)	YES	N	From CRTS. In Thorstensen et al. 2016. Observed with WHT in Aug 2014, obtained 3 classic eclipses. This should be enough for masses. Ephemeris calculated from UCAM (up to 08/14) and USPEC (up to 11/14) data.	3	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
MASTER OT J003059.39+301634.3	00 30 59.40	+30 16 34.4	~18.1	101.18	HMJD = 57199.18598(3)	0.07026252(3)	YES	N	Eclipses confirmed by Liam with p5m. Observed with TNT in Nov 2014 and Jan 2015 - noisy eclipses but may have potential. Observed with WHT in Jun 2015 and Sep 2015, no clear BS egress seen. Ephemeris from USPEC (up to 01/2015) and UCAM (up to 09/2015) data.	3		Y
1RXS J180834.7+101041	18 08 35.82	+10 10 29.8	~19.5	100.07	HMJD = 55316.3610(3)	0.06949063(5)	YES	-	From Atels #1640 & #1648. Lots of flickering and only a partial eclipse. Ephemeris from Southworth et al. 2011 and UCAM data. Additional data: no. For Liam's paper.	5		Y
ASASSN-15au	07 44 08.57	+15 39 10.1	~17.8	99.29	HMJD = 57076.54612(3)	0.068949738(14)	YES	N	Confirmed as eclipsing. Observed with TNT in Feb/Mar 2015, possible signs of a BS ingress but egress not clear. More data needed. Ephemeris from USPEC (up to 12/2015) data.	2		Y
CSS130324 J150904+465057	15 09 03.74	+46 50 57.4	~21.0	98.55	BMJD = 56376.4751(6)	0.068440(8)	NO	N	From CRTS. Confirmed eclipser by vsnet-alerts #15545 & #15548 and Kato et al. 2013. Faint system. Intermediate polar. Existing RV mass determination in literature (Hoogerwerf et al. 2004), but seems a little low for the period, and errors high. Echevarria et al. 2016 measure masses much more in line with expectations.	3		N
EX Hya	12 52 24.40	-29 14 56.7	~13.2	98.26	HMJD = 37699.44177	0.0682338422	NO	N	AKA OGLE-LMC529.30.114. Low-res lightcurve shows prominent bright spot hump: http://ogle.astrouw.edu.pl/CVs/OGLE-LMC529.30.114-ASASSN-14kb.pdf . Observed with UCAM in 01/18 - looks like a banker for modelling and no more data should be needed.	5		N
ASASSN-14kb	04 46 50.01	-71 22 56.0	~18.6	98.07	HMJD = 58143.1605(5)	0.0681057	YES	N	Masses in S11 measured from small number of eclipses. In Southworth et al. 2010. Error bars large and donor radius apparently low for period. Beautiful system. New data in 2014 could provide improved mass determination. Ephemeris and period in S11 incorrect, but corrected using Southworth et al. 2010 (data shifted due to different T0 determination), UCAM (up to 03/2014) and USPEC (03/2014) data. In McAllister's thesis but could still use more data.	3		
SDSS J115207.00+404947.8	11 52 07.01	+40 49 48.0	~19.5*	97.56	HMJD = 55204.101279(6)	0.0677497026(3)	YES	Y	Most likely an intermediate polar.	3	Szkody et al. (2007)	Y
SDSS J093249.57+472523.0	09 32 49.57	+47 25 23.0	~17.8	95.52	BMJD = 55639.9043	0.06633	YES	N		4		
CSS110113 J043112-031452	04 31 12.45	-03 14 51.6	~19.5*	95.11	HMJD = 55942.014642(15)	0.0660508707(18)	YES	Y	AKA OT J0431-0314. 2011 NTT data in outburst with superhump period shown. Beautiful quiescent data in 2012 & 2014 that will allow masses to be determined. Ephemeris from UCAM (up to 03/2014) and USPEC (up to 02/2014) data. Masses in McAllister's thesis.	4	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
CSS081220 J011614+092216	01 16 13.76	+09 22 16.0	~19.1*	94.81	HMJD = 56489.176270(14)	0.065843016(3)	YES	N	In Coppejans et al. 2014. Looks promising in quiescence. 7 eclipses from 2013/14 using UCAM. Existing eclipses don't appear to have clear enough BS features for modelling. More eclipses welcome. Ephemeris calculated from Coppejans (Priv. comm.), UCAM (up to 08/2014) and USPEC (up to 11/2014) data.	2	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
SSS130413 J094551-194402	09 45 51.00	-19 44 00.8	~16.7*	94.71	HMJD = 56683.673971(12)	0.0657692903(12)	YES	Y	AKA NSV 4618. From vsnet-alerts #15611-15615. In Kato et al. 2015. SALT lightcurve looks very clean with well separated BS and WD. USPEC data from 2014 show that masses can easily be obtained for this system. Ephemeris from USPEC (up to 02/2017) and SALT data. Masses in McAllister's thesis - M2 looks a little high so a low priority backup and one to potentially revisit with the inclusion of additional data.	4		Y
CTCV J2354-4700	23 54 20.4	-47 00 20.0	~19.6*	94.39	HMJD = 54261.383885(5)	0.065550270(1)	YES	Y	AKA DI Phe. In Tappert et al. 2004. Masses in S11. Ephemeris from S11.	4	Tappert et al. (2004)	N
CSS100218 J043829+004016	04 38 29.10	+00 40 15.9	~19.5	94.31	HMJD = 58142.0562(5)	0.0654948(8)	YES	N	In Coppejans et al. 2014. 3 eclipses in 2013/14 show lots of flickering. Need more eclipses as while BS ingress is clear, egress is lost in the flickering. Ephemeris from UCAM data (up to 01/2014), although missed eclipse in 11/14 shows an issue (now fixed). UCAMNTT in Jan 2018 eclipses look hopeless.	2	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
SDSS J152419.33+220920.0	15 24 19.33	+22 09 20.1	~19.1*	94.06	HMJD = 56486.913762(4)	0.0653187306(8)	YES	N	Studied in Southworth et al. 2010 & Michel et al. 2013. Observed with NTT in 2011 - showed weak BS, visible but noisy egress and ingress doubtful. Looked again in April 2012 - obvious BS ingress on one night but egress hard to see! WHT 2013 data looks very good with clear BS ingress and decent egress. Very changeable system. Should now have enough data to get a mass out. Ephemeris calculated from Southworth et al. 2010 (data shifted due to different T0 determination), UCAM (up to 03/2014) and USPEC (up to 03/2014) data.	3		Y

Name	RA	Dec	g'	Porb (mins)	T(0) +/- (d)	P +/- (d)	UCAM/USPEC Eclipse?	Done?	Target Notes	Priority	Disc. Paper	In Liam's Paper?
MLS120517 J152507-032655	15 25 06.87	-03 26 54.9	~18.2 (V)	92.71	HMJD = 56864.97026(18)	0.06438167(19)	YES	-	From CRTS. Observations with pt5m in April & July 2014 seemed to show superhumps as well as eclipses. UCAM data in 08/14 shows that this system is actually a polar and not much use to us. Ephemeris from pt5m data. For Liam's paper.	5	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
SDSS J093537.46+161950.8	09 35 37.47	+16 19 50.8	~19.1	92.24	HMJD = 55251.06671(17)	0.06405513(28)	YES	-	In Southworth et al. 2015. Observed NTT 2011. "Really" strange eclipse shape with post-eclipse hump. I'ded by SL and CS as a polar based on this shape but spectrum does not suggest this (Southworth et al. 2015) - old nova?. System not suitable for modelling. Ephemeris from Savoury's thesis. For Liam's paper.	5	Szkody et al. (2009)	Y
OY Car	10 06 22.07	-70 14 04.6	~15.6*	90.89	HMJD = 55353.996477(3)	0.06312092545(24)	YES	Y	Mass determinations in L06, but using old data from Wood & Horne 1990. Revised mass determinations possible using 2010/11 UCAM data. Ephemeris calculated using UCAM (up to 11/2016) data. Masses in McAllister's thesis.	4		N
SDSS J122740.82+513925.0	12 27 40.82	+51 39 25.0	~18.8*	90.66	BMJD = 53796.2482451(5)	0.062959041(7)	YES	Y	AKA GP CVn. Lovely eclipse shape. Masses in L08, S11. Additional data: timing only.	5	Szkody et al. (2006)	N
SDSS J105754.25+275947.5	10 57 54.25	+27 59 47.5	~19.6*	90.42	HMJD = 56046.002389(8)	0.0627919557(6)	YES	N	Two eclipses in 2012 and another in 2014. In Southworth et al. 2015. Shows very short eclipse, almost all WD but bright spot just about seen. Observed again with WHT in 06/2015 and BS feature clearest yet. Confirmed substellar donor. Masses in McAllister et al. 2017. Ephemeris from UCAM (up to 06/2015) and USPEC (up to 03/2015) data.	5	Szkody et al. (2006)	N
V2051 Oph	17 08 19.08	-25 48 31.7	~15.7*	89.90	BMJD = 55314.156237(4)	0.06242785751(8)	YES	N	Existing mass determinations in literature (Baptista et al. 1998), but large donor mass for period and large error bars. Horrible flickering, many eclipses obtained. 2007 VLT data might be usable. Data from 2010/11. Data from the TNT in Feb/Mar 2015 shows. Ephemeris from Baptista et al. 2003, Vrielmann & Offutt 2002 (shift added to data), Qian et al. 2015 (shift added to data), UCAM (up to 06/2011) and USPEC (up to 03/2015) data. Signs of period change. Additional data: useful.	3	Warner & Cropper (1984)	Y
CSS090102 J132536+210037	13 25 36.06	+21 00 36.8	~19.8*	89.83	HMJD = 55943.120678(5)	0.0623849110(5)	YES	N	AKA SDSS 1325+2100. In Breedt et al. 2014 and Southworth et al. 2015. Observed with NTT in 2011, WHT in 01/2012 & 08/2014. Now have sufficient eclipses for masses. Ephemeris from Southworth et al. 2015 (data shifted due to different T0 determination) and UCAM data (up to 01/2012). Additional data: low priority.	4	Breedt et al. (2014)	Y
ASASSN-18mp	23 17 29.7	52 20 20.7	>18.2	89.80	?	0.06236(1)	NO	N	CV candidate, matches to GAIA DR2 G=17.9, g>18.2 on 2018-06-05.28, g=17.1 on 2018-06-07.99, g=17.3 on 2018-06-10.95, g=17.5 on 2018-06-12.94, g=17.8 on 2018-06-14.16. Eclipses first recorded by Tony Vanmunster on CV Facebook group. Porb from vsnet-alert #22234			
ASASSN-17fo	11 38 35.7	+04 44 54.5	~19.6	88.61	HMJD = 58143.243(5)	0.0615364(3)	YES	N	From vsnet-alerts #20977, #21000. Deeply eclipsing. Observed with UCAM in 01/18 - looks like a banker for modelling and further data may not be required.	2		
V4140 Sgr	19 58 49.70	-38 56 13.3	~17.8	88.46	BMJD = 46261.17145(6)	0.0614296779(9)	YES	N	Masses in Borges & Baptista 2005 but errors a little large. UCAM data from 2010 shows large amount of flickering and no BS features. Ephemeris from Baptista et al. 2003.	4	Jonski & Steiner (1998)	N
MASTER OT J220559.40-341434.9	22 05 59.48	-34 14 33.9	~18.0	88.25	BMJD = 57658.22016(3)	0.0612858(3)	NO	N	AKA ASASSN-16kr. New eclipsing CV from Kato et al. 2017. Low (rough) SH period excess, evidence for period bouncer? High priority.	1		
XZ Eri	04 11 25.76	-15 23 24.3	~19.0*	88.07	HMJD = 52667.54099(2)	0.061159491(5)	YES	Y	In Woudt & Warner 2001. Previous study by Uemura et al. 2004. Masses in Feline et al 2004a and S11. Additional data: timing only. Ephemeris from Feline et al 2004a.	5	Woudt & Warner (2001)	N
ASASSN-14ag	08 13 18.45	-01 03 27.7	~16.0*	86.85	HMJD = 56990.867004(12)	0.060310665(9)	YES	Y	An eclipser Liam heard about through vsnet-alerts #17041 & #17044. In Kato et al. 2015 & Thorstensen et al. 2016. Observed with TNT in Nov 2014 - two quiescent eclipses look classic. More classic lightcurves obtained in early 2015. Flickery system but has clear BS features, making it a good candidate for testing GP code. Ephemeris from USPEC data (up to 12/2015). Masses in McAllister et al. 2017. revised in McAllister's thesis.	4		N
CSS080306 J082655-000733	08 26 54.70	-00 07 33.1	~19.9*	86.06	HMJD = 56693.898770(13)	0.0597644249(19)	YES	N	In Woudt et al. 2012. Lo-res light curve looks classic; confirmed with 2012 UCAM data but conditions meant poor data. 2014 data from SALT. Additional data (especially in u') needed. Ephemeris from UCAM (up to 04/2012), USPEC (up to 11/2014) & SALT data. Observed with UCAM in 01/18 - eclipses need checking.	1	Drake et al. (2009)	Y
SDSS J090403.49+035501.2	09 04 03.48	+03 55 01.3	~19.3	86.00	HMJD = 56045.89841(1)	0.059722(1)	YES	-	In Woudt et al. 2012. Strong evidence for being a partial eclipser. Observed with UCAM/WHT April 2012, indeed a partial eclipser. How dull. Ephemeris from Woudt and UCAM data (up to 04/12). For Liam's paper.	5	Szkody et al. (2004)	Y
CSS080623 J140454-102702	14 04 53.97	-10 27 02.3	~19.5*	85.79	HMJD = 55329.234631(13)	0.059578971(3)	YES	Y	From Woudt et al. 2012. Observed with NTT in 2010 & 2011. Beautiful eclipse shape. Masses in McAllister's thesis. Additional data low priority. Ephemeris from UCAM data (up to 05/2011).	4	Woudt et al. (2012)	Y
SDSS J090350.73+330036.1	09 03 50.73	+33 00 36.1	~19.2*	85.07	BMJD = 53799.894707(2)	0.059073543(9)	YES	Y	Masses in L08 and S11. Additional data: timing only. Ephemeris from L08.	5	Szkody et al. (2005)	N
SSS120402 J134015-350512	13 40 15.0	-35 05 12	~18.5	84.96	HMJD = 56037.8492	0.059(1)	YES	-	Quiescent eclipse shape in Coppejans et al. 2014 looked promising. Observed with TNT in Jan 2015 and confirmed as partial eclipser and of no use to us. Ephemeris from Coppejans et al. 2014. For Liam's paper.	5	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
SDSS J150240.98+333423.9	15 02 40.98	+33 34 23.9	~17.4*	84.83	BMJD = 53799.140607(3)	0.05890961(5)	YES	Y	AKA NZ Boo. Additional data: timing only. Masses in L08 and S11.	5	Szkody et al. (2006)	N
SDSS J125023.85+665525.5	12 50 23.85	+66 55 25.5	~18.5*	84.58	HMJD = 55204.136356(12)	0.0587356812(5)	YES	N	AKA OV Dra. In Dillon et al. 2008. Tricky system as light curve morphology varies dramatically. No clear sign of BS in egress. Occasionally BS features seem to be visible, but lots of flickering so hard to tell for sure. May yield to continued monitoring. Potentially a low-mass WD. Ephemeris from Dillon et al. 2008 (data shifted due to different T0 determination), UCAM (up to 01/2010) and USPEC (up to 02/2015) data.	4	Szkody et al. (2003)	Y
SSS100615 J200331-284941	20 03 31.27	-28 49 41.3	~19.6*	84.53	HMJD = 56873.023625(5)	0.0587045(4)	YES	Y	In Coppejans et al. 2014. Observed with WHT in 08/14 and found to be classic eclipser. Should be ready for modelling. Ephemeris from UCAM data (up to 08/14). Masses in McAllister's thesis.	4	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
SDSS J074859.55+312512.6	07 48 59.56	+31 25 12.7	~17.8	83.97	HMJD = 57808.629530(21)	0.05831114(5)	YES	N	CV candidate from Wils et al. 2010 and confirmed eclipsing by Kato et al. 2016. Low-res eclipses look promising. A priority as a period minimum system. Great TNT target. Observed with TNT and while BS egress is clear, ingress is mostly merged. One eclipse on way to outburst that should be modelable. Classic eclipse taken with TNT in Dec 2017. Ephemeris calculated from USPEC (up to 02/2017) data.	1	Kato et al. (2016)	N
SDSS J103533.03+055158.4	10 35 33.02	+05 51 58.4	~18.8*	82.09	BMJD = 53798.981469(8)	0.0570067(2)	YES	Y	Masses in Littlefair et al. 2006b, L08, S11. Studied in Southworth et al. 2006. Beautiful eclipser. Substellar donor. Additional data: timing only.	5	Szkody et al. (2006)	N
SDSS J150137.22+550123.4	15 01 37.24	+55 01 23.5	~19.0*	81.85	HMJD = 56178.870444(8)	0.05684126603(21)	YES	Y	Masses in L08, S11, revised in McAllister's thesis. Bright spot signature variable and very weak. Ephemeris from UCAM data (up to 09/2012). Signs of slight period change, which is remarkable for a period bouncer. More eclipses needed to investigate this further.	1	Szkody et al. (2004)	N
ASASSN-17jf	20 29 17.13	-43 40 19.8	~20.2	81.78	?	0.056789(2)	NO	N	Confirmed as eclipsing in vsnet-alert #21257. Period minimum system.	1		
MASTER OT J005740.99+443101.5	00 57 40.99	+44 31 01.5	~20.9	80.91	BMJD = 56616.86772(4)	0.0561904(3)	NO	N	See Kato et al. 2014 and vsnet-alert #16651. GTC target and potential epsilon-q calibrator.	2		
ASASSN-15ux	06 52 26.66	+47 10 56.5	>21.0	80.77	BMJD = 57400.32908(10)	0.056109(2)	NO	N	New eclipsing CV from vsnet-alert #19423. Eclipses in Kato et al. 2016 look shallow. Quiescent magnitude unknown but expected to be a very faint system (g>21). GTC target and potential epsilon-q calibrator.	2	Kato et al. (2016)	N

Name	RA	Dec	g'	Porb (mins)	T(0) +/- (d)	P +/- (d)	UCAM/USPEC Eclipse?	Done?	Target Notes	Priority	Disc. Paper	In Liam's Paper?
ASASSN-18do	04 59 08.88	+75 34 14.8	~20.4	79.17	?	0.054982(6)	NO	N	Confirmed as eclipsing in vsnet-alert #21906. Period minimum system.			
SDSS J2141+0507	21 41 40.44	+05 07 30.1	~18.9	78.72	HMJD = 56214.89865(3)	0.05467(3)	YES	-	Discovered by Nicola in DR9. In Szkody et al. 2014. Elme' got some UCAM data in Oct 2012, which shows a double-humped sine-like modulation of the out-of-eclipse flux, that is not in any plausible phase relation to the eclipse. Additional data not much use. Ephemeris from UCAM+USPEC data (up to 11/13) - bad cycle ambiguity. For Liam's paper.	5	Szkody et al. (2014)	Y
SDSS J143317.78+101123.3	14 33 17.79	+10 11 23.5	~18.5*	78.11	BMJD = 53858.35689(2)	0.054240679(2)	YES	Y	Masses in L08, S11. Lovely eclipse. Substellar donor. Additional data: timing only. Other studies include Tulloch et al. 2009 and Hernandez Santisteban et al. 2016.	5	Szkody et al. (2007)	N
PHL 1445	02 42 42.86	-11 46 45.5	~18.0*	76.30	HMJD = 55867.123983(12)	0.0529848892(11)	YES	Y	AKA KN Cet. Very short period. Two eclipses in 2011 (one in outburst), eight eclipses in 2012, one eclipse in 2013 (all with WHT). Further eclipses in 2013/14. Interesting system. Masses in McAllister et al. 2015. Ephemeris calculated from UCAM (up to 01/2014) and USPEC (up to 01/2014) data.	5	Wils (2011)	N
SDSS J150722.30+523039.8	15 07 22.26	+52 30 40.2	~18.3*	66.61	BMJD = 53798.239587(3)	0.04625828(4)	YES	Y	AKA OV Boo. Masses in Littlefair et al 2007, L08, S11. Halo CV and substellar donor. Other studies include Patterson et al. 2008 and Uthas et al. 2011.	5	Szkody et al. (2005)	N
CSS111019 J233313-155744	23 33 13.00	-15 57 44.3	~20.3	61.70	HMJD = 56874.147753(23)	0.04285020(11)	YES	-	Eclipses from CSS. Data in email from CS to Ultracam group. Ultracam photometry from WHT(11/11) in 2" seeing and light cloud. Very faint, so 5s exposures necessary. Tiny shallow eclipse. Observed with WHT again in 08/14 but system in outburst. Quiescent eclipses in 08/14 with WHT reminiscent of SDSS 0926 - suspect this is an AM CVn system. Ephemeris from UCAM data (up to 08/14). For Liam's paper.	5	Drake et al. (2014)	Y
ASASSN-18pz	20:48:59.75	59:18:35.9	~21.7				NO	N	Dply eclipsing system from vsnet-alert #22340			