The Automation Conundrum: Job Displacement, Wage Stagnation, and the Erosion of the Middle Class in Developed Economies

I. Introduction: The Automation Paradox in Developed Economies

The discourse surrounding automation in developed economies is often characterized by a significant dichotomy. On one hand, automation, which encompasses a range of technologies from industrial robots to sophisticated artificial intelligence (AI), is frequently celebrated as a fundamental driver of productivity, innovation, and economic growth. Proponents and organizations often emphasize automation's capacity to enhance operational efficiency, reduce costs, and liberate human workers from mundane tasks, thereby allowing them to focus on more complex, creative, and higher-value activities. This perspective paints a future where technological advancement seamlessly translates into broad-based prosperity.

However, coexisting with this optimistic view is a pervasive and deeply rooted "automation anxiety". Across developed nations, a substantial segment of the population expresses significant apprehension that the proliferation of automated systems will culminate in widespread job displacement, exacerbate existing inequalities, and render stable, well-paying employment increasingly elusive. This report aims to critically examine the evidence that substantiates these widespread concerns, focusing on the labor market impacts within the United States, Western Europe (including the United Kingdom, France, and Germany), Japan, and Australia.

This report argues that within these developed nations, automation, while potentially maintaining or even nominally increasing headline employment figures, is fundamentally reshaping labor markets in ways that are detrimental to large segments of the workforce. It contends that automation is a significant contributory factor behind the observable trends of vanishing specific job categories, the stagnation or decline of real wages for many, and a pervasive "hollowing out" of the middle class. Consequently, the net impact of automation, when assessed through the crucial lenses of job quality, equitable income distribution, and the overall economic well-being of the workforce, is frequently negative.

The "automation paradox" emerges from this tension: technologies that hold the promise of widespread economic benefits appear to correlate with, and actively contribute to, adverse labor market outcomes for a significant portion of the population in advanced economies. These outcomes include wage stagnation, heightened job insecurity, and deepening inequality. Headline economic indicators,

such as aggregate employment levels or gross domestic product (GDP) growth, may indeed mask these more profound, qualitative deteriorations in the labor market. For instance, observations that the U.S. job market might appear superficially stable can conceal underlying sectoral shifts and growing precarity. Similarly, the International Labour Organization (ILO) has noted that recent employment growth in the global economy has proven insufficient to meaningfully address persistent decent work deficits highlighting a disconnect between job numbers and job quality. This widespread and persistent automation anxiety, therefore, is not merely an irrational fear. It likely reflects a public perception keenly attuned to these nuanced yet critical declines in job quality, the stagnation of real wages, and the increasingly unequal distribution of automation's purported benefits—aspects that broad aggregate statistics often fail to capture.

The contemporary debate on automation is consequently undergoing a significant evolution. It is shifting from a relatively simplistic binary question of "jobs versus no jobs" towards a more sophisticated and complex analysis that prioritizes *job quality, the distributional effects of technological change, and the intrinsic nature of newly created employment*. The increasing emphasis by influential international organizations such as the ILO and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) on concepts like "decent work" ¹⁵ and "job quality" ¹³ is indicative of this crucial analytical shift. This evolution implies a growing recognition that the mere creation of low-wage, precarious, or non-advancing jobs does not adequately compensate for the loss of stable, middle-income employment opportunities that have historically formed the backbone of prosperous societies. The paradox is thus amplified: technological progress might coexist with, or even contribute to, a decline in overall worker well-being if the structural changes it induces are not proactively managed to ensure equitable and beneficial outcomes for the entire workforce.

This report will systematically examine the mechanisms through which automation impacts labor markets. It will analyze the empirical evidence pertaining to job displacement and wage effects across the specified developed nations. Furthermore, it will explore the multifaceted phenomenon of middle-class erosion and discuss the often-overlooked deterioration of job quality. The report will conclude by synthesizing the findings and underscoring the complex, often challenging, realities of automation's impact on contemporary labor markets.

II. The Mechanism: Task Displacement and the Shifting Labor Landscape

Understanding the impact of automation on labor markets necessitates a clear

definition of automation itself and an examination of its core function within the economic system. Automation, in its broadest sense, refers to the application of technology—be it mechanical, electrical, software-based, or driven by artificial intelligence—to perform tasks or entire processes with minimal or no direct human intervention.² This substitution of capital (in the form of machinery or algorithms) for human labor is occurring across an ever-widening array of activities, from traditionally manual tasks in manufacturing, such as welding and assembly performed by industrial robots, to routine cognitive tasks in white-collar professions, like data entry, scheduling, and document processing handled by software automation.¹⁹

The central theoretical framework for comprehending automation's effects on employment and wages revolves around the "task content of production". Production processes are viewed as a collection of tasks, and technologies like automation fundamentally alter the allocation of these tasks between capital and labor.

The Primacy of the Displacement Effect

Seminal research, notably by Daron Acemoglu and Pascual Restrepo, posits that automation's primary impact is through a "displacement effect". This effect occurs when technology enables capital to take over tasks previously performed by human workers. This substitution directly shifts the task content of production against labor, reducing the demand for workers in those specific activities. A critical consequence of this displacement is a consistent reduction in the labor share of value added—the portion of national income paid out as wages and benefits to labor, as opposed to capital. As stated in one foundational paper, "Automation always reduces the labor share regardless of the value of σ [elasticity of substitution]". This is a profound finding, as a declining labor share indicates that even if the overall economic pie is growing due to productivity gains from automation, workers are collectively receiving a smaller slice.

Empirical evidence strongly supports this theoretical mechanism. In the United States manufacturing sector, for example, the decline in the labor share has been most pronounced in industries that have undergone rapid automation. Similarly, firm-level data from France reveals that companies adopting industrial robots tend to experience a decline in their labor share, contributing to an overall reduction in the labor share within the manufacturing sector. Further research by Acemoglu and Restrepo attributes a significant portion—between 50% and 70%—of the changes observed in the U.S. wage structure over the last four decades to the relative wage declines experienced by worker groups who specialized in routine tasks within industries undergoing rapid automation. This underscores the powerful and direct

impact of task displacement on both the distribution of income and the wage levels of affected workers. The displacement effect, therefore, is not merely a neutral economic adjustment but signifies a fundamental power shift in the production process, systematically favoring capital over labor. This structural alteration in the capital-labor dynamic is a primary engine behind the wage stagnation and increased inequality that are central to concerns about automation's impact. By allowing capital to perform tasks previously exclusive to labor, automation inherently reduces the necessity of labor for those specific functions, thereby diminishing labor's bargaining power and its claim on the value generated. This explains how automation can lead to stagnant or declining wages for many workers even if overall economic output (GDP) is growing; the gains from productivity are disproportionately captured by the owners of capital.

The Reinstatement Effect: A Weaker Counterbalance

Theoretically, the displacement effect of automation can be counterbalanced by a "reinstatement effect". This occurs when technological change also leads to the creation of new tasks in which labor has a comparative advantage. These new tasks could, in principle, absorb displaced labor and potentially increase overall labor demand and the labor share. Examples might include new roles related to the design, maintenance, or management of new technologies, or entirely new industries and services enabled by technological progress.

However, empirical analysis for the United States suggests that over the past three decades, this reinstatement effect has been notably weaker than the accelerating displacement effect, particularly within the manufacturing sector.²¹ This imbalance is a core reason for many of the adverse labor market trends observed. The current trajectory of technological development, it appears, is not generating new, labor-intensive tasks at a sufficient rate or scale to fully offset the labor-saving consequences of automation for a large portion of the workforce. This contributes to stagnant employment growth in certain areas and persistent downward pressure on the overall labor share of income. The observed weakening of the reinstatement effect suggests that the current wave of automation—characterized by digital technologies, Al, and advanced robotics—may be inherently more labor-saving or less effective at reintegrating labor than previous technological revolutions. Alternatively, it could be occurring within an economic and policy environment that is less conducive to the generation of broad-based, high-quality new employment opportunities. Current technologies, particularly AI, are often described as "general-purpose technologies" capable of automating a wider range of both cognitive and manual tasks than ever before. This broad applicability may make it more challenging to identify and create new niches where human labor retains a distinct comparative advantage.

Concurrently, factors such as globalization, the decline of labor union density, and policy choices emphasizing deregulation and reduced social safety nets may inhibit the creation of new, well-paying jobs for those displaced by automation. This challenges the historically optimistic assumption that "technology always creates more jobs than it destroys," at least in a qualitative sense, suggesting that the *nature* of current automation and the context in which it is deployed can lead to a net negative impact on labor's standing for significant segments of the workforce.

Consequences for Labor's Share of Income

The consistent finding across multiple studies that automation tends to reduce labor's share of value added is fundamental to understanding its broader economic impact.¹⁹ It signifies that even if automation successfully boosts overall productivity and leads to economic expansion, the workers who contribute to this output are, on average, receiving a smaller proportion of the generated wealth. This trend has significant implications for income inequality, consumer demand, and social cohesion. Research from the National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), drawing on the Acemoglu and Restrepo framework, explicitly uses declining labor shares as a "telltale sign of automation" and directly links these declines to wage stagnation or even absolute reductions for workers whose tasks are particularly susceptible to automation.²¹

Analyzing automation's impact at the "task" level, rather than solely at the "job" level, reveals a more insidious and gradual process of labor market degradation. While an entire job, which is typically a collection of various tasks, might not disappear overnight, the automation of its core, value-generating, or skill-intensive tasks can lead to de-skilling, wage erosion, and increased precarity for the incumbent worker.¹ Many analyses indicate that automation often targets specific tasks within an occupation rather than eliminating the entire occupation immediately.²⁴ This explains why mass unemployment is not always the immediate or most visible outcome. However, if the tasks that are automated are those that previously commanded skill premiums or were central to the job's economic value and bargaining power, the remaining tasks may be less skilled, lower paid, or more easily performed by a wider, less specialized pool of labor. This effectively hollows out the job from within, even before the job title formally vanishes from labor market statistics. This internal degradation is a crucial mechanism contributing to wage stagnation and the erosion of middle-class job quality, making the labor market more precarious even if headline job numbers do not immediately reflect the full extent of the damage.

III. The Erosion of Employment Opportunities and Wage

Stagnation

The theoretical mechanisms of task displacement translate into tangible and often severe consequences for employment levels in specific sectors and for particular skill categories across developed nations. While some new jobs are created, particularly in technology development and deployment, the evidence suggests a significant net negative impact on established employment areas, contributing to wage pressures and increased inequality.

A. Evidence of Job Vanishing in Specific Sectors and Skill Categories Across Developed Nations

Manufacturing: This sector has been a primary casualty of automation for decades.

- In the United States, the decline in the labor share of income is most acute in the manufacturing sector, particularly in industries characterized by high rates of automation adoption.¹⁹ A widely cited study attributed 87% of U.S. manufacturing job losses between 2000 and 2010 to automation, even as overall manufacturing output increased.²⁷ More specifically, Acemoglu and Restrepo quantified the loss attributable to the introduction of industrial robots at between 420,000 and 720,000 U.S. manufacturing jobs from 1990 to 2014.²⁸
- In the United Kingdom, a report by the Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS) highlights a significant decline in manufacturing jobs, which historically provided well-compensated employment for individuals without university degrees. This decline has disproportionately affected industrial regions such as the North and Midlands.²⁹
- While some research, such as studies in Germany, suggests that job losses in manufacturing were sometimes absorbed by new labor market entrants rather than leading to mass displacement of incumbent workers ²⁸, the overarching trend in most developed countries is a shrinking share of employment in manufacturing, a phenomenon strongly linked to the increasing capabilities and adoption of automation technologies.²⁷

Administrative and Clerical Roles: These middle-skill, often routine-intensive, white-collar occupations are increasingly vulnerable to automation.

- Software automation, including robotic process automation (RPA) and Al-powered tools, directly targets the routine data processing, scheduling, and communication tasks that form the core of many administrative and clerical positions.¹⁹
- The historical automation of telephone operators serves as a pertinent precedent, demonstrating a permanent decline in such roles, with many displaced workers

- subsequently moving into lower-paying service sector jobs.8
- Contemporary analyses from the World Economic Forum ⁴ and the International Labour Organization ¹⁸ identify clerical and administrative occupations as having the highest exposure to current and emerging AI technologies, especially Generative AI, which excels at tasks like text generation, summarization, and data organization.
- Research by David Autor has extensively documented the declining job opportunities in U.S. middle-skill white-collar clerical, administrative, and sales occupations.²⁹
- In Australia, sectors such as finance, banking, and general administration are reportedly reducing their workforces due to the implementation of automation technologies, with a notable and disproportionate impact on female employment, as women are often concentrated in these roles.³²

Retail and Customer Service: These large employment sectors are undergoing significant automation-driven transformation.

- In the **United States**, NBER research pointed to a substantial 25% decline in retail sales employment over a decade (pre-2024 data) and identified emerging evidence of AI-related disruption in the sector. A 2017 study projected that 6 to 7.5 million U.S. retail jobs, particularly those of cashiers, were at high risk of automation due to technologies like self-checkout kiosks and automated inventory management. 33
- In Customer Service and Call Centers, while AI can augment the capabilities of human agents by providing information or handling simple queries ⁶, the automation of routine inquiries by sophisticated chatbots and Generative AI systems poses a substantial threat to overall job numbers in this area.³⁵ The ILO has listed travel advisors and contact center clerks as occupations particularly at risk from these technological advancements.³⁶

Logistics and Warehousing: Automation is rapidly advancing in this sector, driven by e-commerce growth and the need for efficiency.

 In the United Kingdom, projections indicate that over 85% of warehouses will be automated to a significant degree by 2030. Globally, AI is anticipated to handle over 90% of warehouse logistics tasks by the end of the decade.³⁷ This implies a substantial decline in traditional manual warehouse roles, such as picking and packing, even though new specialized roles in AI and robotics maintenance and supervision may emerge.³⁷

Financial Services: Automation is increasingly impacting roles that involve data

processing, routine analysis, and customer interaction.

• In the **United Kingdom**, a PwC report estimated that around 30% of UK jobs could be automated by the mid-2030s, with financial services identified as being particularly vulnerable in the short term.³⁹ Another study by KPMG suggested that up to 20% of jobs in the financial sector could be automated relatively quickly.³⁹ Roles such as bank tellers, loan officers, and claims processors are prime targets for automation, with the ILO specifically identifying bank clerks as being at risk.³⁶

Journalism and Content Creation: Even fields traditionally reliant on human creativity and analytical skills are not immune to the influence of automation.

 Al tools are increasingly being adopted in newsrooms for various tasks, including back-end news automation (e.g., data processing, content tagging), generating routine news reports (e.g., sports scores, financial summaries), and even assisting with more complex content creation. This trend is raising significant job security concerns among journalists and content creators.⁴⁰

The pattern of job vanishing is not random; it is systematically concentrated in routine-task-intensive occupations. Many of these roles, particularly in manufacturing and certain clerical or administrative positions, were previously the bedrock of middle-class stability, offering decent wages and career progression, often without requiring advanced academic degrees. The targeted destruction of these jobs by automation is therefore a key mechanism through which the middle class in developed economies is being hollowed out, directly linking the "job vanishing" aspect of the labor market transformation to the broader societal concern of middle-class erosion.

B. Analysis of Wage Decline, Stagnation, and Growing Inequality Linked to Automation

The displacement of workers by automation has profound and often negative consequences for wages and income inequality. The evidence suggests that automation not only eliminates jobs but also exerts downward pressure on the wages of those who remain in automatable occupations or are forced into lower-paying alternatives.

The Direct Causal Link (Acemoglu & Restrepo):

Pioneering work by Acemoglu and Restrepo has established a strong causal link between task displacement by automation and adverse wage outcomes in the United States. Their research indicates that automation is a primary driver of relative, and in some instances, real wage declines for worker groups whose jobs are intensive in automatable tasks. This mechanism is

estimated to account for a substantial 50-70% of the changes observed in the U.S. wage structure over the last four decades.19 Further NBER research, building on this framework, found automation responsible for 52% of the increase in between-group wage inequality in the U.S. between 1980 and 2016. This period notably coincided with declining or stagnating real wages for American workers without a college degree.21 Another NBER paper concluded that automation has played a more significant role in U.S. earnings losses over the past 40-50 years than other commonly cited factors such as de-unionization or offshoring, leading to observable wage stagnation or decline in sectors and roles where automation is technologically and economically feasible.23

Broader U.S. Evidence:

A report by the U.S. House Budget Committee Democrats concurs with these findings, suggesting that automation has likely contributed significantly to the loss of middle-income jobs and the stagnation of real incomes for most Americans since the mid-1990s. The report warns that the continued advancement of AI could exacerbate these detrimental trends. One study cited within this report found that each additional industrial robot per thousand workers was associated with a 0.42% reduction in wages.21 International Perspectives:

The wage-suppressing and inequality-inducing effects of automation are not confined to the United States.

- Europe (6 EU Countries): A study by Bruegel, covering six major European Union economies, found that each additional robot per thousand workers reduces the overall employment rate by 0.16-0.20 percentage points, indicating a dominant displacement effect.¹⁹ While the findings on wage growth were less consistently robust across all model specifications, some analyses suggested a negative impact, with a potential reduction in wage growth of as much as 0.63 percentage points for each additional robot per thousand workers.⁴⁴
- United Kingdom: The IFS report on the changing geography of jobs details the
 "hollowing out" of middle-paying occupations, where technological advances
 enable the automation or offshoring of manufacturing and secretarial jobs,
 thereby impacting wage structures.²⁹ Complementing this, the Resolution
 Foundation found that, over a 40-year period, UK jobs highly exposed to
 robotization experienced wage growth that was 10 percentage points lower than
 that of less exposed jobs.⁴⁵
- Japan: Research from the Research Institute of Economy, Trade and Industry (RIETI) indicates that increased investment in Information and Communications Technology (ICT) capital tends to reduce working hours and exert a direct suppressive effect on wages. However, it is important to note a nuance in the Japanese context: another RIETI study did not find evidence that task displacement from automation directly increases wage inequality in Japan, a finding that contrasts with the U.S. experience. This particular study did note, however, that offshoring had progressive distributional consequences. This

- divergence suggests that national institutional frameworks, industrial structures, and specific policy choices can mediate the outcomes of automation.
- **OECD-Wide Trends:** Research spanning multiple OECD countries points towards a trend of wage polarization, where automation contributes to decreasing the relative demand for medium-skilled workers, thereby putting pressure on their wages. A study across 10 Western European nations linked falling IT prices (a proxy for technological advancement) to a reduced employment share in middle-wage occupations. Furthermore, the OECD has recently highlighted that real wages are falling in almost all member countries amidst the current cost-of-living crisis 16, a situation where any existing wage-suppressing effects of automation would be particularly acute and impactful.

The wage impacts stemming from automation are not uniform; they are profoundly unequal. Automation tends to disproportionately suppress wages for workers in automatable roles, which are often middle-skill occupations, while potentially (though not universally or always significantly) complementing the productivity and wages of high-skill workers. This differential impact is a major driver of increased wage inequality. Consequently, looking at "average" wage movements across an entire economy can be highly misleading, as it obscures the diverging fortunes of different segments of the workforce. It is this distributional effect on wages—the growing gap between the top and the bottom, and the pressure on the middle—that is critical to understanding automation's true impact, rather than a simplistic average.

The Role of "Rent Dissipation":

A critical insight from NBER research reveals a deeper, more structural impact of automation on wages through a mechanism termed "rent dissipation".21 This concept refers to situations where automation specifically targets "high-rent" tasks—those tasks or jobs where workers earn wages above their basic opportunity cost, perhaps due to specialized skills, accumulated experience, union bargaining power, or specific job characteristics that historically commanded a premium. By automating these tasks, firms effectively "dissipate" these worker rents, which amplifies the wage losses experienced by the affected groups beyond what might be expected from simple labor supply and demand adjustments.

This process is described as "inefficient rent dissipation" and is estimated to have offset a staggering 60–90% of the productivity gains from automation in the U.S. since 1980.²¹ This suggests that a very large portion of automation's potential economic benefits are not translating into broader societal gains or even straightforwardly into corporate profits, but are effectively "lost" in the erosion of these established wage structures and worker premiums. This is a powerful argument against the notion that automation's productivity benefits will automatically translate into widespread prosperity. It points to a structural flaw in how the gains from

automation are being distributed, or even generated, within the current economic framework, strongly supporting the thesis that automation leads to poorer outcomes for many workers and potentially less overall societal benefit than might be naively anticipated.

The following table summarizes key findings on the impact of automation on employment and wages in the specified developed nations:

Table 1: Impact of Automation on Employment and Wages in Key Developed Nations

Country/Regio n	Key Metric	Quantified Impact (if available)	Dominant Effect Noted	Source Snippet(s)
United States	Manufacturing job loss due to industrial robots (1990-2014)	-420,000 to -720,000 jobs	Displacement	28
	Contribution of automation to changes in U.S. wage structure (last 40 yrs)	50-70%	Wage decline for routine task specialists, increased inequality	22
	Contribution of automation to rise in between-group wage inequality (1980-2016)	52%	Increased inequality, wage stagnation/decli ne for non-college educated	21
	Wage impact per additional robot/1000 workers	-0.42% wage reduction (from one cited study)	Wage suppression	21
EU (6 countries)	Employment rate impact per additional robot/1000	-0.16 to -0.20 percentage points	Displacement	19

	workers			
	Potential wage growth impact per additional robot/1000 workers	Up to -0.63 percentage points (in some specifications)	Wage growth suppression (less robust finding)	44
United Kingdom	Employment change in traditionally middle-paying occupations (1993-2022)	-12%	Hollowing out, job polarization	29
	Wage growth for robot-exposed jobs vs. low-exposure jobs (last 40 yrs)	10 percentage points lower	Wage suppression for exposed groups	45
Japan	Impact of ICT capital investment	Reduced working hours, direct suppressive effect on wages	Wage suppression	21
	Automation and wage inequality	No evidence found that task displacement from automation increases wage inequality (contrasts with US)	Nuance: Different inequality outcome	29
Australia	General concerns based on automation susceptibility studies	High percentage of jobs susceptible to automation (older estimates); reports of workforce	Job displacement in vulnerable sectors (qualitative reports and older susceptibility	32

reduction in admin/finance due to automation	data)	
--	-------	--

While the primary focus of this report is to demonstrate the negative impacts of automation, the divergent experience of Japan concerning wage inequality serves as an important reminder. It suggests that the adverse distributional outcomes observed prominently in the United States and parts of Europe are not an inescapable consequence of technological determinism. Instead, they are likely shaped by the specific economic, social, and policy environments in which automation technologies are developed and deployed. National institutions, industrial relations systems, the specific types of automation adopted, and proactive policy choices can significantly mediate these outcomes. Acknowledging such national variations adds analytical depth and can pre-empt counterarguments that might point to isolated positive or neutral cases, thereby strengthening the overall argument that, for the majority of the developed nations under review, the prevailing trend aligns with the concerns about negative impacts on wages and job quality.

C. The Critique of Headline Employment Numbers: Masking the True Impact

A critical aspect of understanding automation's real impact is recognizing the limitations of headline employment statistics, such as national unemployment rates or total job growth figures. These aggregate numbers can often paint an overly optimistic picture, masking significant underlying churn, displacement in specific sectors and occupations, deteriorating job quality, and stagnant wages for many workers. As one analysis aptly noted regarding the U.S. job market, it "still stands tall, but for how long?" and its overall stability "masks a shift in worker sentiment and industry-specific pressures".

One reason for this masking effect is the divergence between firm-level and aggregate employment outcomes. Individual firms that successfully adopt automation technologies might indeed increase their own employment if they gain significant market share, reduce costs, and scale up production. However, these firm-specific gains can often come at the direct expense of non-adopting or less efficient competitor firms, leading to a negative *aggregate* employment effect at the sector-wide or economy-wide level. Research on French firms provides a compelling illustration of this dynamic: while small firms adopting automation showed some employment gains (potentially due to increased competitiveness and scale), the overall impact of automation on aggregate employment at the sectoral level was found to be negative, with displacement effects being particularly dominant in

medium and large firms.⁵¹ This distinction is crucial: isolated success stories of automation within individual corporations do not automatically translate into net beneficial outcomes for the entire labor market or the workforce as a whole.

Furthermore, many optimistic assessments of automation tend to focus narrowly on a quantitative comparison of jobs destroyed versus jobs created, without adequately considering the *quality* of the new jobs, their wage levels, their stability, or the broader impact on the labor share of income.²⁶ This report, in line with the user's query, specifically challenges this narrow, purely quantitative view by emphasizing these qualitative dimensions.

Finally, official unemployment rates may not capture the full extent of labor underutilization or "labor slack." Research by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) suggests that in many economies, greater labor market slack exists than headline figures indicate. This can manifest as involuntary part-time employment, where workers desire full-time hours but can only find part-time positions, or as underemployment, where individuals are working in jobs that do not fully utilize their skills or qualifications. Workers displaced by automation often fall into these categories, taking up part-time positions or jobs below their previous skill level to make ends meet. This "hidden slack" contributes to a weaker bargaining position for labor and exerts persistent downward pressure on wages, even if official unemployment rates appear low.

IV. The Hollowing Out of the Middle Class

One of the most significant and socially damaging consequences of automation in developed economies is the "hollowing out" of the middle class. This phenomenon is characterized by the decline of traditionally stable, middle-income jobs, often due to the automation of routine tasks, leading to a polarized labor market with growth concentrated at the high-skill/high-wage and low-skill/low-wage ends, and a shrinking center.

A. Job Polarization: The Disappearing Middle Ground

Job polarization is a central concept in understanding the erosion of the middle class. It describes a distinct labor market trend where the share of employment in middle-skill, middle-wage occupations declines, while employment shares simultaneously increase in both high-skill, high-wage occupations and low-skill, low-wage occupations.²⁹ This creates a U-shaped pattern of employment growth when occupations are ranked by skill or wage level.

• Evidence in the United States: Extensive research, particularly by David Autor

and his colleagues, has documented this trend comprehensively in the U.S..²⁹ Their work shows contracting job opportunities in middle-skill white-collar roles (such as clerical, administrative support, and sales occupations) and in middle-skill blue-collar positions (including production, craft, and operative occupations). NBER research explicitly states that computerization has "automated away a broad middle-skill stratum of jobs in administrative support, clerical and blue-collar production occupations".¹²

- Evidence in the United Kingdom: A report by the Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS) found that between 1993 and 2022, employment in traditionally middle-paying occupations in the UK fell by 12%. In stark contrast, employment in low-paying occupations grew by 14%, and in high-paying occupations by a dramatic 95%.²⁹ This "hollowing out" is directly linked by the IFS to technological advances that automate or enable the offshoring of tasks prevalent in manufacturing and secretarial jobs. The Resolution Foundation has also analyzed the hollowing out of the UK pay distribution, particularly for male workers, connecting it to changes in working hours and the types of jobs available due to occupational shifts.²⁹
- Evidence across OECD Countries: Research from the OECD confirms that the decline in middle-skill jobs is not an isolated phenomenon but is widespread across the majority of its member countries.²⁹ An OECD policy paper from 2019 specifically noted a "strong and negative" correlation between the adoption of industrial robots and employment levels for occupations situated in the middle of the skills distribution.⁵³ Furthermore, a study focusing on 10 Western European countries found that falling prices of information technology (a proxy for automation and technological advancement) were associated with a diminishing share of employment in middle-wage occupations.²¹

The primary explanatory framework for job polarization is the "routinization hypothesis," developed by Autor, Levy, and Murnane.²⁹ This hypothesis posits that middle-skill jobs are often characterized by a high intensity of "routine tasks"—activities that are procedural, rule-based, and easily codifiable. These types of tasks, whether cognitive (like bookkeeping or standard data processing) or manual (like repetitive assembly line work), are highly susceptible to automation by computer technologies and software, or can be more easily offshored to lower-wage economies. As technology advances, it increasingly encroaches upon these routine tasks, leading to a decline in demand for the human labor that traditionally performed them. This systematic automation of routine tasks is the core driver behind the shrinking middle of the occupational skill and wage distribution. Job polarization is, therefore, a direct outcome of the way current automation technologies interact with

the task content of jobs, disproportionately affecting those in the middle.

The following table summarizes evidence of middle-class hollowing out in developed nations:

Table 2: Evidence of Middle-Class Hollowing Out in Developed Nations

Country/Regio n	Indicator of Polarization	Associated Wage Trend for Middle-Skill/In come Earners	Key Driver Cited	Source Snippet(s)
United States	Decline in middle-skill white-collar (clerical, admin, sales) & blue-collar (production) jobs.	Stagnation or decline of real wages for middle-class workers; declining real wages for low-skill males.	Automation of routine tasks; computerization .	12
United Kingdom	Employment in traditionally middle-paying occupations fell by 12% (1993-2022).	"Hollowing out" of male pay distribution; wage growth for robot-exposed jobs 10ppt lower than less exposed.	Technological advances automating/offs horing manufacturing & secretarial jobs; automation of routine tasks.	29
	Low-paying occupations grew +14%; high-paying occupations grew +95% (1993-2022).			29
OECD (General)	Decline in the share of middle-skill jobs observed in the majority of	Middle-skill jobs increasingly fail to deliver traditional relative income	Automation (e.g., robots, IT) of routine tasks; job polarization.	17

	OECD labour markets.	status; real wage declines in many countries.		
Western Europe (10 countries)	Falling IT prices associated with lower share of employment in middle-wage occupations.	Implied pressure on middle-wage occupations.	Automation of routine tasks by information technologies.	21

B. The Consequent Growth (and Potential Stalling) of Low-Wage Service Jobs

A significant and widely observed consequence of the decline of middle-skill, middle-income jobs is the displacement of affected workers, many of whom subsequently find employment in lower-wage service occupations. These service jobs—often in sectors like food service, personal care, cleaning, and retail—typically require non-routine manual tasks or interpersonal skills that have historically been more difficult to automate. As opportunities in manufacturing and routine administrative work diminish, these low-wage service roles have often become the default employment option for those without advanced degrees or specialized skills. NBER research indicates that in the United States, the 60% of adults who do not hold a bachelor's degree have been increasingly "relegated to non-expert, low-paid service jobs" as traditional pathways into the middle class through manufacturing or clerical work have narrowed due to automation and globalization. 12

However, a critical and more recent development, also highlighted by NBER research, casts doubt on the long-term viability of this absorption mechanism. This research reveals that the previously rapid growth of these low-paid service jobs in the U.S. stalled completely after 2010, following several decades of robust expansion. This stalling is a significant finding, suggesting that the traditional "safety net" or absorption capacity of the low-wage service sector may itself be weakening or becoming saturated. Potential reasons for this slowdown could include rising labor costs in these sectors (perhaps due to minimum wage increases), making automation more attractive even for some service tasks, or the early-stage deployment of automation technologies specifically designed for service applications (e.g., self-service kiosks, automated cleaning, food preparation robots). If this trend of stalling growth in low-wage service employment persists, it would have profound implications, as it would mean that even the lower rungs of the occupational ladder are becoming less accessible or less capable of absorbing workers displaced from the middle, potentially leading to higher rates of non-employment or more precarious

forms of work. This shift from a growing low-wage service sector to a stagnating one represents a crucial change in the dynamics of job polarization and the hollowing out of the middle class.

C. Geographical Implications of Middle-Class Erosion

The economic restructuring driven by automation and the consequent erosion of the middle class do not occur uniformly across geographical space; instead, they often create and exacerbate significant regional inequalities. The decline of middle-skill manufacturing jobs, which were historically a cornerstone of middle-class prosperity in many developed nations, often has severe and highly concentrated impacts on specific regions and communities that were dependent on these industries. For example, in the **United Kingdom**, former industrial heartlands, particularly in the North and the Midlands, have been disproportionately affected by the loss of manufacturing employment, leading to localized economic distress and limited opportunities for those displaced.²⁹

In stark contrast, the new high-paid service jobs that are emerging, often in fields like technology, finance, and specialized professional services, tend to cluster in major metropolitan centers and dynamic urban agglomerations. These roles typically require advanced degrees and specialized skills, and their concentration in large cities (such as London, as highlighted in the UK's IFS report ²⁹) further widens the economic gap between thriving urban hubs and struggling regional areas. While low-paid service jobs tend to emerge more ubiquitously across all regions, they do not offer the same level of income or stability as the lost middle-skill jobs. This geographical bifurcation of employment opportunities—high-skill, high-wage jobs in select cities, and a broader dispersion of low-skill, low-wage jobs elsewhere, with a shrinking middle everywhere—contributes significantly to regional economic disparities, social stratification, and political tensions.

The IFS report for the UK also uncovers further nuances in these geographical impacts.²⁹ It notes that even individuals with university degrees who reside outside major urban centers may struggle to secure graduate-level employment that fully utilizes their qualifications, potentially leading to underemployment or out-migration. Furthermore, within these thriving urban centers, low-skilled workers can find themselves in "occupationally segregated" workplaces. For instance, cleaning, security, or catering staff in large corporate buildings may be employed by specialized agencies rather than directly by the primary firm, limiting their interaction with higher-skilled professionals and potentially curtailing their opportunities for informal learning, networking, and career progression. This suggests that even within

economically dynamic cities, the benefits of growth may not be evenly distributed, and pathways for upward mobility for those in lower-skilled roles can be constrained.

D. The Direct Link to Income Inequality and the Shrinking Middle Class

The disappearance of well-paid, stable middle-skill jobs, coupled with the polarization of employment opportunities towards the high and low ends of the wage spectrum, is a direct and powerful contributor to rising income inequality and the erosion of the traditional middle class in developed nations. As the rungs on the ladder of economic opportunity that once allowed workers to achieve middle-class status are removed or become less accessible, the gap between high earners and low earners widens, and the proportion of the population securely in the middle shrinks.

The U.S. House Budget Committee Democrats report explicitly states that Al-enabled automation could fuel further wage inequality and contribute to a shrinking middle class, as the jobs most susceptible to automation are often those that have historically provided middle-income wages.²¹ An OECD paper provides a nuanced but ultimately concerning perspective on this issue.²⁹ While their analysis of 18 OECD countries found that job polarization has not necessarily led to a decline in the share of households classified as middle-income (this could be due to factors such as increased female labor force participation, more dual-earner households, or changes in household formation), the same paper crucially notes that this polarization has fundamentally changed the relationship between occupational skill levels and household income. Specifically, both middle-skill and even some high-skill jobs are "increasingly fail[ing] to deliver on the promise of the relative income status traditionally associated with their skill level." This implies a significant decline in the economic security, stability, and status afforded by traditional middle-class jobs, even if some households manage to maintain a middle-income threshold through other means, such as working more hours or having more earners. The 2019 OECD Employment Outlook echoed this sentiment, voicing a "very real concern of a 'hollowing out' of the middle-class as technological advancements have been accompanied by the emergence of many lower-quality and precarious jobs". 13 This decline in the quality and income security of middle-skill work is central to the erosion of the middle class, representing a qualitative shift that aggregate household income statistics might not fully capture.

V. Deterioration of Job Quality and the Rise of Precarious Work

The impact of automation extends beyond the quantitative measures of job creation or destruction and wage levels; it significantly affects the qualitative aspects of work, often leading to a deterioration in job quality and fostering an environment where

precarious work arrangements become more common. This shift is crucial for understanding why headline employment figures can be misleading, as a job retained or created may not offer the same security, satisfaction, or developmental opportunities as those lost.

A. Beyond Job Numbers: Declining Quality of Work

The focus of labor market analysis is increasingly shifting from the sheer quantity of jobs to the *quality* of employment, a concern highlighted by international organizations like the ILO and OECD.⁵ Automation plays a significant role in this qualitative shift. While some proponents argue that automation frees workers from tedious tasks for more engaging work ¹, evidence also points to negative impacts on job satisfaction, worker autonomy, work intensity, and mental well-being.¹⁶

The OECD's 2023 Employment Outlook, based on surveys in manufacturing and finance sectors across seven countries, found that while AI use at work *can* lead to positive outcomes for workers regarding job satisfaction, health, and wages, there are also considerable risks concerning privacy, increased work intensity, and algorithmic bias. Workers reported fears about AI threatening jobs and wages in the future, even if current applications were sometimes perceived positively. The reallocation of tasks due to automation can lead to stress associated with adapting to new systems, feelings of being overwhelmed by technology-related demands, or a sense of being replaced or relegated to less fulfilling tasks. This can diminish job satisfaction and increase anxiety about job security.

David Autor's work on expertise and automation provides further insight. ⁶⁰ He argues that the impact of technology like AI is not simply about task replacement but about how it reconfigures expertise. If automation devalues existing human expertise or displaces workers from expert tasks, they are likely to "move downward" into less skilled, lower-paid work, even if they remain employed. ⁶⁰ If an occupation's expert tasks become automated, productivity might rise, but the remaining inexpert work becomes accessible to a wider pool of labor, potentially leading to rising employment in that occupation but with falling wages. Conversely, if inexpert tasks are automated, the remaining work might require higher expertise, potentially raising wages but reducing employment for those who cannot meet the new skill demands. ⁶¹ This dynamic suggests that automation can lead to a decline in the intrinsic quality and economic reward of work for those whose expertise is devalued, even if they are not rendered unemployed. The overall effect is a potential degradation of job quality for a significant portion of the workforce, where jobs become less autonomous, more intensely monitored, or stripped of their most rewarding components.

B. The Growth of Non-Standard Work Arrangements and the "Precariat"

There is a discernible link between the displacement and labor market restructuring caused by automation and the growth of non-standard work arrangements, including gig work, temporary contracts, and various forms of precarious employment. As traditional, stable employment opportunities, particularly in middle-skill sectors, diminish due to automation, displaced workers or new labor market entrants may find themselves in less secure, lower-paid, and benefits-poor positions. The OECD's 2019 Employment Outlook explicitly noted that technological advancements have been accompanied by the emergence of many lower-quality and precarious jobs, contributing to the hollowing out of the middle class and necessitating a reshaping of social protection provisions to cover workers in these non-standard forms of employment.

The concept of the "Precariat," popularized by Guy Standing, describes an emerging class of people facing lives of insecurity, frequently moving in and out of jobs that offer little meaning, stability, or social protection.⁶⁴ While Standing's work encompasses broader socio-economic shifts beyond just automation, the displacement of workers from automatable routine tasks into a more fragmented and insecure labor market aligns with the characteristics of the precariat. The ILO has also observed that the nature of work itself is changing, with a potential increase in autonomous, output-based, and project-oriented tasks, suggesting that self-employment and freelance work could become more prevalent. 63 While this offers flexibility for some, for many others, particularly those pushed out of more stable employment, it can mean greater income volatility and a lack of traditional employment benefits and protections. The Fraser Institute report, while arguing that gig work is not inherently inferior and often reflects worker preference, acknowledges the narrative that these jobs can involve low pay and unstable employment for a segment of these workers. 62 The critical point is that automation, by reducing demand for certain types of stable employment, contributes to an environment where more workers may be compelled to accept these non-standard, often more precarious, arrangements.

C. Underemployment and Labor Slack

Beyond outright unemployment, automation contributes to underemployment and hidden labor slack within developed economies. Displaced workers, even if they find new employment, may end up in jobs that do not fully utilize their skills or experience, or they may be forced to accept part-time work when they would prefer full-time positions.⁵² This underutilization of human capital represents a loss to both the

individual and the broader economy.

IMF research has indicated that there appears to be greater labor market slack than headline unemployment rates suggest, with involuntary part-time employment, for example, weakening wage growth even in economies with ostensibly low unemployment.⁵² Workers displaced by automation who take jobs for which they are overgualified, or who can only secure limited hours, contribute to this "hidden slack." This surplus of available or underutilized labor exerts downward pressure on wages across the board, as employers face less competition for workers. McKinsey notes that while tight labor markets (low unemployment) can empower job seekers, persistent labor shortages in some areas (which could be exacerbated if automation displaces workers into sectors where their skills don't match) can also stress businesses and that deploying technology is one way businesses can power productivity growth in such scenarios. 66 However, if this technological deployment primarily displaces labor without adequate retraining or creation of comparable quality new jobs, it feeds back into the cycle of underemployment and wage stagnation for those affected. The presence of significant underemployment and labor slack means that even if nominal job numbers appear healthy, the labor market may be far weaker and offer fewer quality opportunities than these figures suggest, directly contributing to the wage stagnation and economic insecurity that characterize the experience of many workers in the age of automation.

VI. Conclusion: Reassessing the Net Impact of Automation

The evidence synthesized in this report paints a complex and often troubling picture of automation's impact on labor markets in developed nations—specifically the USA, Western Europe, the UK, Japan, and Australia. While automation technologies, from industrial robots to artificial intelligence, undoubtedly offer the potential for increased productivity and innovation, their current trajectory of deployment within existing economic and policy frameworks appears to be contributing significantly to several adverse trends for large segments of the workforce.

The core mechanism driving these changes is **task displacement**. Automation enables capital to perform tasks previously undertaken by human labor, leading to a structural shift in the production process that systematically favors capital over labor. This is evidenced by a declining labor share of income in many developed countries, particularly in sectors undergoing rapid automation, such as manufacturing.¹⁹ While a "reinstatement effect" through the creation of new tasks for labor is theoretically possible, empirical evidence, particularly from the U.S., suggests it has been weaker

than the accelerating displacement effect in recent decades.²¹

This imbalance has tangible consequences. Specific job categories, especially those intensive in routine manual and cognitive tasks, are **vanishing or significantly shrinking**. Manufacturing, administrative and clerical roles, and increasingly, segments of retail, customer service, logistics, and even financial services have seen substantial automation-driven job displacement.²⁷ This targeted destruction of jobs that historically formed the backbone of middle-class employment is a primary contributor to the **hollowing out of the middle class**. Job polarization is evident across numerous developed economies, with employment growth concentrated at the high-skill/high-wage and low-skill/low-wage ends of the spectrum, while middle-skill, middle-wage opportunities contract.²⁹ Displaced middle-skill workers are often relegated to lower-wage service jobs, although recent evidence suggests even the growth of these roles may be stalling in some economies like the U.S..⁷

Furthermore, automation is strongly linked to **wage stagnation and growing income inequality**. The displacement of workers from routine tasks, particularly those in middle-skill occupations, puts downward pressure on their wages. Research, especially from the U.S., attributes a significant portion of the rise in wage inequality and the stagnation of real wages for less-educated workers to automation.²² The "rent dissipation" mechanism, where automation erodes previously established wage premiums in certain jobs, further amplifies these wage losses and diminishes the societal share of productivity gains.²¹ While the experience is not uniform across all developed nations, with Japan presenting some contrasting findings on wage inequality ²⁹, the predominant trend in the U.S. and Western Europe points towards these negative wage impacts.

Critically, headline employment figures can be misleading, masking these deeper qualitative deteriorations.¹⁴ Aggregate job numbers may remain stable or even grow, yet conceal significant sectoral job losses, rising underemployment, and a decline in the overall quality of work. Job quality itself is under pressure, with concerns about increased work intensity, reduced autonomy, and negative impacts on worker well-being, even for those who remain employed.¹⁷ The rise of non-standard work arrangements and the gig economy, while offering flexibility for some, represents increased precarity for many others pushed out of more stable employment by automation.¹³

In conclusion, the assertion that automation in developed nations is failing to create *more beneficial* employment for large segments of the population, and is instead contributing to declining real wages for many, the vanishing of specific job types, and

the hollowing out of the middle class, is substantially supported by the available evidence. The distributional consequences of automation are paramount. Without proactive and robust policy interventions aimed at shaping the direction of technological development, investing in human capital, strengthening social safety nets, and ensuring a more equitable distribution of automation's gains, the current trends are likely to persist, further entrenching economic insecurity and inequality in the developed world. The future of work is not predetermined by technology alone; it will be significantly shaped by the policy choices made in response to these profound technological transformations.

Works cited

- 1. Automation and the Employment Market Studying Economics, accessed June 5, 2025.
 - https://www.studyingeconomics.ac.uk/blog/automation-and-the-employment-market/
- 2. What Is Automation? IBM, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/automation
- 3. Automation Wikipedia, accessed June 5, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Automation
- Artificial Intelligence Impact on Labor Markets International Economic Development Council (IEDC), accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.iedconline.org/clientuploads/EDRP%20Logos/Al_Impact_on_Labor_M arkets.pdf
- 5. The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Employment: Replacing Jobs or Creating Opportunities? SAGE University Bhopal, accessed June 5, 2025, https://sageuniversity.edu.in/blogs/impact-of-artificial-intelligence-on-employment
- 6. How real-world businesses are transforming with AI with 261 new stories The Official Microsoft Blog, accessed June 5, 2025, https://blogs.microsoft.com/blog/2025/04/22/https-blogs-microsoft-com-blog-2-024-11-12-how-real-world-businesses-are-transforming-with-ai/
- NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES TECHNOLOGICAL DISRUPTION IN THE LABOR MARKET David J. Deming Christopher Ong Lawrence H. Summers Worki, accessed June 5, 2025,
 - https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w33323/w33323.pdf
- 8. NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES AUTOMATION AND THE FUTURE OF YOUNG WORKERS, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w28061/revisions/w28061.rev1.
 - https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w28061/revisions/w28061.rev1
- 9. Don't blame it on the machines: Robots and employment in Europe CEPR, accessed June 5, 2025, https://cepr.org/voxeu/columns/dont-blame-it-machines-robots-and-employment-europe

- The Risk of Automation for Jobs in OECD Countries: A Comparative Analysis -World Employment Confederation, accessed June 5, 2025, https://wecglobal.org/uploads/2019/07/2016 OECD Risk-Automation-Jobs.pdf
- 11. Basic Income, Automation, and Labour Market Change University of Bath, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.bath.ac.uk/publications/basic-income-automation-and-labour-market-change.pdf
- 12. Applying AI to Rebuild Middle Class Jobs National Bureau of Economic Research, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.nber.org/system/files/working-papers/w32140/w32140.pdf
- 13. THE FUTURE OF WORK OECD Employment Outlook 2019, accessed June 5, 2025, https://abdigm.meb.gov.tr/meb_iys_dosyalar/2019_06/13160416_OECD_EMPLOYMENT OUTLOOK 2019.pdf
- 14. US Job Market Holds Steady Till Now, Even After Trump Tariff Trade War, But Travel, Airlines and Hospitality Grapple With Rising Economic Crosswinds, This is a Fact, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.travelandtourworld.com/news/article/us-job-market-holds-steady-till-now-even-after-trump-tariff-trade-war-but-travel-airlines-and-hospitality-grapple-with-rising-economic-crosswinds-this-is-a-fact/">https://www.travelandtourworld.com/news/article/us-job-market-holds-steady-till-now-even-after-trump-tariff-trade-war-but-travel-airlines-and-hospitality-grapple-with-rising-economic-crosswinds-this-is-a-fact/
- 15. World employment and social outlook trends 2025 International Labour Organization, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.ilo.org/sites/default/files/2025-01/WESO25_Trends_Report_EN.pdf
- 16. OECD Employment Outlook 2023, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/oecd-employment-outlook-2023_08785bb a-en.html
- 17. Artificial intelligence, job quality and inclusiveness OECD, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/oecd-employment-outlook-2023_08785bb a-en/full-report/artificial-intelligence-job-quality-and-inclusiveness_a713d0ad.ht ml
- 18. Generative AI Is Reshaping, Not Replacing Jobs. Standards-led Digitalization Toolkit, accessed June 5, 2025, https://digital-toolkit.bsigroup.com/blogs/entry/51-generative-ai-is-reshaping-not-replacing-jobs/
- 19. economics.mit.edu, accessed June 5, 2025, https://economics.mit.edu/sites/default/files/inline-files/Gorman%20lecture%201 %202020.pdf
- 20. Artificial Intelligence, Robots and Unemployment: Evidence from OECD Countries Cairn, accessed June 5, 2025, https://shs.cairn.info/journal-of-innovation-economics-2022-1-page-117?lang=en
- 21. Automation and New Tasks: How Technology Displaces and ..., accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/jep.33.2.3
- 22. economics.mit.edu, accessed June 5, 2025, https://economics.mit.edu/sites/default/files/2022-10/Tasks%20Automation%20an

- d%20the%20Rise%20in%20US%20Wage%20Inequality.pdf
- 23. 40 Years of Automation Has Pushed Down Us Wages More Than De-Unionization or Offshoring Unite.Al, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.unite.ai/40-years-of-automation-has-pushed-down-us-wages-more-than-de-unionization-or-offshoring/
- 24. No, Robots Aren't Destroying Half of All Jobs | LSE Executive Education, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.lse.ac.uk/study-at-lse/executive-education/insights/articles/no-robots-arent-destroying-half-of-all-jobs
- 25. The Impact of Automation on Employment: Just the Usual Structural Change? MDPI, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/10/5/1661
- 26. Growth trends for selected occupations considered at risk from automation, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.bls.gov/opub/mlr/2022/article/growth-trends-for-selected-occupations-considered-at-risk-from-automation.htm
- 27. The Impact of Automation on Employment Part I, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.ncci.com/Articles/Pages/II_Insights_QEB_Impact-Automation-Employment-Q2-2017-Part1.aspx
- 28. Keeping workers safe in the automation revolution Brookings Institution, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.brookings.edu/articles/keeping-workers-safe-in-the-automation-revolution/
- 29. The changing geography of jobs | Institute for Fiscal Studies, accessed June 5, 2025, https://ifs.org.uk/publications/changing-geography-jobs
- 30. Generative Al and Jobs: A Refined Global Index of Occupational Exposure, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.ilo.org/publications/generative-ai-and-jobs-refined-global-index-occupational-exposure
- 31. The Polarization of Job Opportunities in the U.S. Labor Market: Implications for Employment and Earnings - Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.frbsf.org/wp-content/uploads/Cl_Incomelnequality_Autor.pdf
- 32. Chapter 4 Impacts of AI on industry, business and workers Parliament of Australia, accessed June 5, 2025,

 https://www.aph.gov.au/Parliamentary_Business/Committees/Senate/Adopting_Ar_tificial_Intelligence_AI/AdoptingAI/Report/Chapter_4_-_Impacts_of_AI_on_industr_y_business_and_workers
- 33. 6 to 7.5 Million U.S. Retail Jobs At Risk Due To Automation, accessed June 5, 2025, https://weinberg.udel.edu/6-to-7-5-million-u-s-retail-jobs-at-risk-due-to-automation/
- 34. Within 10 years, almost 50 percent of retail jobs may disappear to automation EDI Weekly, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.ediweekly.com/56307-2/
- 35. How Will AI Impact Call Center Jobs? Forbes, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.forbes.com/councils/forbesbusinessdevelopmentcouncil/2025/02/25/how-will-ai-impact-call-center-jobs/

- 36. Generative AI could potentially automate up to 75 million global jobs, ILO Study Finds, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.godofprompt.ai/blog/generative-ai-could-potentially-automate-up-t-o-75-million-global-jobs-ilo-study-finds
- 37. Automation Boom: Over 85% of UK Warehouses to Use AI by 2030 CXM Today, accessed June 5, 2025, https://cxmtoday.com/news/automation-boom-over-85-of-uk-warehouses-to-use-ai-by-2030/
- 38. The Impact of Automation and Technology on Logistics Careers, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.jobsinlogistics.com/html/articles/20250415-the-impact-of-automation-and-technology-on-logistics-careers.html
- 39. By the numbers: how automation is affecting financial services jobs Barclay Simpson, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.barclaysimpson.com/by-the-numbers-how-automation-is-affecting-financial-services-jobs/
- 40. 4. News automation in UK newsrooms | Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, accessed June 5, 2025, https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/uk-journalists-2020s/4-news-automation-uk-newsrooms
- 41. Journalism, media, and technology trends and predictions 2024 Reuters Institute, accessed June 5, 2025, https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/journalism-media-and-technology-trends-and-predictions-2024
- 42. Automation and Rent Dissipation: Implications for Wages, Inequality, and Productivity National Bureau of Economic Research, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.nber.org/system/files/working-papers/w32536/w32536.pdf
- 43. Machines, Artificial Intelligence, & the Workforce: Recovering & Readying Our Economy for the Future | House Budget Committee Democrats, accessed June 5, 2025, https://democrats-budget.house.gov/resources/reports/machines-artificial-intelligence
 - https://democrats-budget.house.gov/resources/reports/machines-artificial-intellgence-workforce-recovering-readying-our-economy-future
- 44. www.bruegel.org, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.bruegel.org/sites/default/files/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Working-paper_02_2018.pdf
- 45. Technology has not been the swift 'job destroying tsunami' many predicted, and more of it will be needed to raise pay and productivity for everyone Resolution Foundation, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.resolutionfoundation.org/press-releases/technology-has-not-been-the-swift-job-destroying-tsunami-many-predicted-and-more-of-it-will-be-need-ed-to-raise-pay-and-productivity-for-everyone/">https://www.resolutionfoundation.org/press-releases/technology-has-not-been-the-swift-job-destroying-tsunami-many-predicted-and-more-of-it-will-be-need-ed-to-raise-pay-and-productivity-for-everyone/">https://www.resolutionfoundation.org/press-releases/technology-has-not-been-the-swift-job-destroying-tsunami-many-predicted-and-more-of-it-will-be-need-ed-to-raise-pay-and-productivity-for-everyone/
- 46. The Impact of AI and Robots on Employment and Wages, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.rieti.go.jp/en/publications/summary/25040010.html
- 47. Automation and Offshoring on Wage Inequality in Japan RIETI, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.rieti.go.jp/en/publications/summary/24040003.html

- 48. The race between offshoring and automation in explaining wage polarization | Macroeconomic Dynamics Cambridge University Press, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/macroeconomic-dynamics/article/race-between-offshoring-and-automation-in-explaining-wage-polarization/0E4354AB25B62A452C36C903652A249B
- 49. Automation and Job Polarization: On the Decline of Middling Occupations in Europe, accessed June 5, 2025, https://ibs.org.pl/app/uploads/2017/11/B1.-Vahagn-Jerbashian.pdf
- 50. Mechanical boon: will automation advance Australia? Department of Industry Science and Resources, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.industry.gov.au/sites/default/files/June%202018/document/pdf/mechanical-boon will automation advance australia.pdf
- 51. The dynamics of automation adoption: Firm-level heterogeneity and aggregate employment effects EconStor, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/297145/1/2023-37.pdf
- 52. More Slack than Meets the Eye? Recent Wage Dynamics in Advanced Economies, WP/18/50, March 2018 International Monetary Fund (IMF), accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.imf.org/-/media/Files/Publications/WP/2018/wp1850.ashx
- 53. Determinants and impact of automation | OECD, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/determinants-and-impact-of-automation_ef425cb0-en.html
- 54. What is happening to middle skill workers? OECD, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/what-is-happening-to-middle-skill-workers https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/what-is-happening-to-middle-skill-workers https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/what-is-happening-to-middle-skill-workers
- 55. Job polarisation and the middle class OECD, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/job-polarisation-and-the-middle-class_4bf 722db-en.html
- 56. Job polarisation and the decline of middle-class workers' wages CEPR, accessed June 5, 2025, https://cepr.org/voxeu/columns/job-polarisation-and-decline-middle-class-workers-wages
- 57. How automation and other forms of IT affect the middle class: Assessing the estimates Brookings Institution, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Siu-Jaimovich_Automation-and-the-middle-class.pdf
- 58. Don't Blame the Robots: Assessing the Job Polarization Explanation of Growing Wage Inequality | Economic Policy Institute, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.epi.org/publication/technology-inequality-dont-blame-the-robots/
- 59. Counting the hours: two decades of changes in earnings and hours worked Resolution Foundation, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.resolutionfoundation.org/app/uploads/2018/01/Counting-the-hours.pdf
- 60. Feed Drop: How AI Will Change Your Job With MIT's David Autor, accessed June 5, 2025, https://sloanreview.mit.edu/audio/feed-drop-how-ai-will-change-your-job-with-

mits-david-autor/

- 61. Does automation replace experts or augment expertise? The answer is yes IAB-Forum, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.iab-forum.de/en/does-automation-replace-experts-or-augment-expertise-the-answer-is-yes/
- 62. The Gig Economy and Precarious Work Fraser Institute, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.fraserinstitute.org/sites/default/files/2025-04/gig-economy-and-precarious-work.pdf
- 63. UNDERSTANDING THE FUTURE OF WORK International Labour Organization, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.ilo.org/es/media/61516/download
- 64. The Precariat: The New Dangerous Class: Standing, Guy: 9781472536167 Amazon.com, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.amazon.com/Precariat-New-Dangerous-Class/dp/1472536169
- 65. The PrecariaT The new dangerous class Guy STandinG OAPEN Library, accessed June 5, 2025, https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/58691/9781849664547.pd f?sequence=1&isAllowed=v
- 66. Labor markets: Tight in advanced economies McKinsey, accessed June 5, 2025, https://www.mckinsey.com/mgi/our-research/help-wanted-charting-the-challeng-e-of-tight-labor-markets-in-advanced-economies