The Global Landscape of Inclusive Capital: A Survey of Policies and Interventions for Broad-Based Wealth Creation

Executive Summary

This report provides a comprehensive global survey of inclusive capital sources, defined as policies and interventions designed to increase capital-based income and wealth for households. Amidst rising wealth inequality, traditional reliance on wage income and government transfers is proving insufficient for ensuring broad-based economic security. This analysis explores a diverse array of mechanisms that directly augment the "capital" component of household income, moving beyond conventional assets like stocks and rental properties. The report is structured to guide policymakers, philanthropic organizations, and other stakeholders in understanding and implementing these models.

The survey begins with an examination of large-scale, state-managed **Social Wealth Funds (SWFs)**. It contrasts the direct citizen dividend model of the **Alaska Permanent Fund**, which distributes investment returns as an annual cash payment to all residents, with the public goods funding model of **Norway's Government Pension Fund Global**, which uses returns to stabilize the national budget. This comparison highlights a fundamental choice between direct capital distribution to individuals and indirect social investment.

Next, the report analyzes **child-focused capital endowments**, which seed assets at birth to mature by adulthood. **Baby Bonds**, as pioneered in U.S. states like Connecticut, provide progressively funded trust accounts to narrow the racial wealth gap. Parallel models like **Child Development Accounts (CDAs)** also build future assets, with research indicating positive behavioral and health impacts long before funds are accessed.

The analysis then shifts to models of **democratized enterprise**. **Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs)** offer a tax-advantaged pathway for workers to accumulate company stock as a retirement benefit. **Worker Cooperatives** provide a deeper form of economic democracy,

granting employees both ownership stakes and direct governance control. This model is now extending into the digital economy through **Platform Cooperatives**, which offer an equitable alternative to the gig economy.

The report also explores **place-based, community-owned assets**. **Community Land Trusts (CLTs)** and limited-equity housing cooperatives create permanently affordable housing by separating ownership of the home from the land and restricting resale prices. This approach deliberately prioritizes community stability over maximum individual wealth extraction. Emerging **Community Investment Vehicles (CIVs)** further this trend by allowing local residents to purchase equity shares in neighborhood real estate developments.

Finally, the report examines the **enabling environment** of tax policies, direct government support, and catalytic private capital that underpins these models. It concludes with a comparative framework of all interventions and offers strategic recommendations for federal, state, and local governments, as well as for philanthropic and impact investors, to foster a more inclusive and equitable economic system.

Introduction: Defining and Framing Inclusive Capital

The global economy is characterized by a persistent and widening gap in wealth, a reality that challenges the foundations of economic stability and social mobility.[1] For decades, policy discussions have centered on two primary levers for improving household financial well-being: wages earned from labor and transfers from government safety nets. However, a crucial third component—income derived from capital—has remained highly concentrated, accessible primarily to those who already possess significant assets.[4] This report addresses this imbalance by providing a global survey of "inclusive capital" interventions—a portfolio of strategies designed to broaden the ownership of productive assets and increase capital-based income for all households.

Defining the Scope

The term "inclusive capital" is subject to a semantic divide in contemporary discourse. In some academic and sociological fields, it is defined as a qualitative, non-economic asset related to social integration and a sense of belonging, particularly in the context of cultural institutions and disability studies.[5] This framework views inclusive capital as something acquired through networks and access, contributing to a feeling of being valued within society.[6]

While acknowledging the importance of social inclusion, this report adopts the distinct economic definition used by financial institutions, development organizations, and policymakers, which aligns with the user's query. In this context, inclusive capital refers to the **flow of financial resources to individuals and communities that have been historically excluded from wealth-building opportunities**.[7] It is a framework for transforming economic systems to achieve more equitable outcomes by changing not only how capital flows, but also who controls it and who benefits from it.[7] This report is therefore focused on tangible, implementable mechanisms that directly increase household ownership of income-generating assets. This approach is situated within the broader intellectual movements of "inclusive capitalism," which seeks to create a system that produces equitable and sustainable long-term growth for all stakeholders [1]; "asset building," which encompasses strategies to increase resources like savings, homeownership, and education [13]; and "wealth democratization," which aims to provide the general public with the same opportunities for income generation as the wealthy.[18]

The Rationale for Inclusive Capital

The necessity for these interventions is underscored by the limitations of an income-only approach to economic security. Income, which can be unpredictable, is not sufficient for long-term stability; assets provide a secure foundation from which families can weather financial emergencies, invest in education, start businesses, and plan for the future.[15] The current economic landscape, marked by decades of rising inequality, demonstrates that market forces alone have failed to distribute capital ownership broadly.[1]

This report systematically surveys the interventions designed to correct this imbalance. It is structured to move from the macro to the micro, beginning with large-scale, state-level interventions and progressing to enterprise-level, community-based, and individual-focused models. By examining real-world examples from around the globe, it provides a strategic playbook for policymakers and private actors seeking to build a more resilient and equitable economy where capital ownership is a right, not a privilege.

I. Collective Wealth and Citizen Dividends: The Social Wealth Fund Model

One of the most ambitious approaches to creating inclusive capital involves the establishment of large-scale, publicly owned investment funds, often known as Social or Sovereign Wealth Funds (SWFs). These funds harness collective wealth—typically derived from natural resource revenues or foreign exchange surpluses—and manage it to generate returns that can be distributed for public benefit. This model operates at the highest level of government and represents a direct mechanism for converting state assets into household capital income.

A. Global Landscape of Sovereign Wealth Funds (SWFs)

SWFs are state-owned investment funds that invest in a diversified portfolio of real and financial assets, including stocks, bonds, real estate, and private equity.[24] With global assets under management exceeding $13 trillion, they are major players in international financial markets.[25] SWFs are typically created by governments with budgetary surpluses, often from commodity exports, and serve several distinct purposes.[24] A common typology distinguishes between three main categories of funds [26]:

1. **Stabilization Funds:** Also known as "rainy-day funds," these are designed to insulate a government's budget from volatile commodity prices or other economic shocks. They prioritize liquidity, investing heavily in public stocks and bonds.
2. **Savings Funds:** Also called "future generations funds," these are long-term investment vehicles designed to convert non-renewable resource wealth into a permanent financial endowment for future generations.
3. **Development or Strategic Funds:** These funds invest with the goal of furthering domestic economic development, often taking equity stakes in projects or firms within their own country.

The success and integrity of an SWF depend critically on its governance structure. To avoid becoming a vehicle for politically motivated investments or mismanagement, a robust system of checks and balances is essential, including a sound legal mandate, professional management, and transparent processes that separate the fund's operations from short-term political pressures.[27]

B. The Citizen Dividend in Practice: The Alaska Permanent Fund

The Alaska Permanent Fund stands as a premier global example of a social wealth fund that directly distributes capital returns to citizens. Established in 1976 by a constitutional amendment, the fund was designed to save at least 25% of the state's oil royalty revenue for future generations.[30] The fund's structure is divided into a non-spendable Principal, which can only be used for income-producing investments, and a spendable Earnings Reserve Account, from which appropriations are made.[31]

The fund's most distinctive feature is the Permanent Fund Dividend (PFD), an annual cash payment made to nearly every resident of the state. To be eligible, an individual must have been an Alaska resident for the entire preceding calendar year and intend to remain a resident indefinitely.[32] Certain criminal convictions can render an individual ineligible.[33] The application process is conducted annually, and the dividend amount, which has often averaged between $1,000 and $2,000 per person, is considered taxable income by the federal government.[30]

A significant body of research has analyzed the socio-economic impacts of the PFD. The findings indicate that the dividend has had a profound effect on reducing poverty, particularly among rural Alaska Natives and the elderly.[36] Contrary to concerns that such payments might disincentivize work, studies have found no negative effect on aggregate employment levels, though there is evidence of an increase in part-time work.[37] This suggests that while some individuals may reduce their hours, any negative labor supply effects are offset by the increased local demand for goods and services generated by the dividend payments, especially in non-tradable sectors.[37] Furthermore, the PFD has been linked to positive health outcomes, including modest increases in birth weight (especially for low-income mothers) and a reduction in childhood obesity.[36] The program is overwhelmingly popular with Alaskans, who view the dividend as an entitlement to a share of the state's wealth and an important source of income for basic needs.[30]

C. Comparative Models: Norway's Pension Fund and Other State Funds

While the Alaska model provides a direct citizen dividend, it is not the only approach to managing social wealth. The Norway Government Pension Fund Global, the world's largest SWF with assets over $1.9 trillion, offers a powerful counter-example.[40] Like Alaska's fund, it was established to invest surplus petroleum revenues for the long term.[40] However, its purpose is fundamentally different. It is not a pension fund in the conventional sense and does not pay direct dividends to citizens. Instead, its returns are used to support the national budget, allowing the government to spend only a small fraction (around 3%) of the fund's value each year.[40] This approach ensures intergenerational equity and macroeconomic stability by smoothing government spending over time, effectively providing a social wage through robust public services rather than a direct cash transfer.[42]

Other U.S. states have also established permanent funds, but they typically follow a model closer to Norway's. For instance, Texas's Permanent School Fund and Permanent University Fund, and Oregon's Common School Fund, use revenues from land and resource sales to generate funding for public education, not for individual payments.[24]

The contrast between the Alaskan and Norwegian models reveals a fundamental divergence in social contract philosophy. The Alaskan PFD treats the state's natural resource wealth as a direct, annual entitlement for *current* citizens, functioning as a form of universal basic capital that empowers individual consumption and financial choice. This aligns most directly with the goal of increasing the household capital income bucket. The Norwegian model, conversely, treats this wealth as a national endowment to be preserved for the benefit of *future* generations, used to collectively fund and stabilize public services. This choice is not merely administrative; it is a deep-seated political and philosophical decision about how to distribute the returns from shared wealth. A policymaker considering an SWF must first address this foundational question: should the capital return be delivered as a direct household income stream or as an indirect social benefit through government spending? The answer determines the entire structure, purpose, and measurable impact of the fund.

II. Seeding Future Assets: Child-Focused Capital Endowments

A second major category of inclusive capital interventions focuses on creating capital endowments for individuals at the beginning of their lives. These policies, often referred to as "Baby Bonds" or "Child Development Accounts," are designed to provide every child with a nest egg that grows over time, maturing into a significant asset that can be used to finance wealth-building activities in early adulthood. This approach represents a direct, proactive strategy to combat intergenerational poverty and narrow wealth disparities before they become entrenched.

A. The "Baby Bonds" Proposition: A Birthright to Capital

The concept of "baby bonds" was developed and championed by economists Darrick Hamilton and William "Sandy" Darity Jr. as a means of providing every child with an "economic birthright to capital".[44] The core of the policy is the creation of a universal, publicly funded trust account for every child at birth. A key feature of the design is its progressive funding structure: while every child receives an account, the initial seed deposit is scaled based on the family's wealth or income, with children from the lowest-wealth households receiving the largest endowments.[44]

These funds are typically managed by a public entity and invested to grow over time. Upon reaching adulthood (usually age 18), the beneficiary can access the capital for specific, asset-enhancing purposes, such as pursuing higher education, making a down payment on a home, or providing seed funding to start a business.[44] The primary policy goal is to disrupt the cycle of intergenerational poverty and make a substantial impact on the racial wealth gap, which is largely driven by disparities in inherited wealth and asset ownership.[44]

B. Implementation and Innovation in the United States

While federal baby bonds legislation, such as the American Opportunity Accounts Act (AOAA), has been proposed but has not advanced, a growing number of states and localities have begun to implement their own versions, turning theory into action.[45]

- **Case Study: Connecticut:** In 2021, Connecticut became the first state in the nation to pass and fully fund a baby bonds program.[44] Under the "CT Baby Bonds" program, the state automatically invests $3,200 on behalf of every baby born on or after July 1, 2023, whose birth is covered by the state's Medicaid program (Husky Health). Beneficiaries can claim their funds between the ages of 18 and 30, provided they are a Connecticut resident at the time of the claim and have completed a financial literacy course. The allowable uses are strictly for wealth-building: purchasing a home in Connecticut, starting or investing in a Connecticut business, paying for education or job training, or saving for retirement.[50]
- **Case Study: Washington, D.C.:** The District of Columbia also initiated a program in 2021, creating trust funds for children born to families with low incomes. The program provides an initial $1,000 deposit with potential additional annual contributions, with some projections suggesting the accounts could grow to as much as $25,000 by the time the child turns 18.[44]
- **Other State Initiatives:** The momentum for baby bonds is growing. California has established a more targeted program for children who have lost a parent to COVID-19 or are in long-term foster care.[44] Vermont is developing a pilot program focused on several counties with high poverty rates.[53] Legislation has been introduced and considered in at least eight other states, including New Jersey, Iowa, Washington, and Massachusetts, demonstrating broad and increasing interest in the policy across the country.[44]

C. Child Development Accounts (CDAs): A Parallel Model

Child Development Accounts (CDAs) represent a closely related but distinct model of child-focused asset building. Like baby bonds, CDAs are savings or investment accounts that begin as early as birth.[54] However, they often place a greater emphasis on encouraging ongoing family savings through matching contributions and are more narrowly targeted toward funding post-secondary education.[54]

Statewide CDA programs are typically built on ten key design elements to ensure inclusivity and effectiveness: universal eligibility, automatic enrollment, an at-birth start, an automatic initial deposit, progressive subsidies for lower-income families, a centralized platform (often the state's 529 college savings plan), investment growth potential, targeted investment options, restricted withdrawals for educational purposes, and exclusions from asset limits for public benefits.[54] Research from programs like SEED for Oklahoma Kids (SEED OK) has shown that even small initial deposits can have significant effects, positively influencing family savings behavior and improving the emotional health of mothers.[56]

D. Projected Impacts on Generational Wealth

The potential of baby bonds to reshape the landscape of wealth inequality is significant. Microsimulation modeling by the Urban Institute on a national program similar to the proposed AOAA projects that it would substantially reduce the racial wealth gap.[47] Because of the progressive funding structure, the average account balance at age 18 would be highest for those from the lowest-income families. Projections estimate an average balance of around $26,000 for Black young adults and $27,000 for Hispanic young adults, compared to $18,000 for white young adults.[47] This would reduce the median wealth gap between white and Black families from a ratio of 2.4-to-1 to 2.1-to-1.[47] The policy is also projected to decrease the share of young adults taking on student loans and reduce the total amount of debt held by borrowers.[47]

Beyond these direct financial impacts, there is a powerful second-order effect to consider. The impact of a baby bond policy may begin long before the funds are ever disbursed. A groundbreaking research initiative at Yale School of Medicine is currently evaluating the early-stage impacts of Connecticut's program on the health and wellbeing of participating families.[59] The central hypothesis is that the

expectation of capital—the knowledge that a financial floor exists for a child's future—can itself be a powerful intervention. This expectation has the potential to reduce parental stress, encourage more optimistic long-term planning, and foster higher educational aspirations for children throughout their formative years. When evaluating the costs and benefits of such programs, policymakers should therefore consider not only the direct wealth-building impact at age 18 but also the potential for positive externalities in health, education, and family stability during the 18 years prior. This provides a more holistic and compelling argument for the policy that transcends simple balance-sheet calculations.

III. Democratizing Enterprise: Models of Shared Business Ownership

Another powerful set of interventions for building inclusive capital focuses on democratizing the ownership of productive enterprises. Rather than relying on the state to distribute wealth, these models restructure businesses so that the workers who create value are also the ones who own the capital and share in the profits. These approaches range from providing employees with a financial stake in the company as a retirement benefit to full democratic control over the workplace.

A. Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs)

An Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) is a federally regulated, tax-qualified retirement plan designed to invest primarily in the stock of the sponsoring employer.[60] An ESOP is structured as a trust that holds company shares on behalf of employees. Over time, employees accrue a beneficial ownership stake in the company, typically based on salary and tenure, without having to contribute their own money.[60] When an employee retires or leaves the company, their vested shares are bought back, providing them with a cash payout that serves as a retirement asset.[65]

The prevalence of ESOPs in the United States—with over 6,500 plans covering nearly 15 million participants—is driven by significant federal tax incentives.[65] For owners of privately held C corporations, Section 1042 of the Internal Revenue Code allows them to defer capital gains tax on the sale of their stock to an ESOP if they reinvest the proceeds in qualified replacement property.[63] For S corporations, the portion of the company's profits attributable to the ESOP's ownership stake is exempt from federal income tax, meaning a 100% ESOP-owned S corporation operates essentially tax-free.[64] Federal legislation, such as the Main Street Employee Ownership Act, has further supported ESOPs by improving access to Small Business Administration (SBA) loans for transitions to employee ownership.[68]

The wealth-building impact of ESOPs is well-documented. Multiple studies have found that

ESOP participants have substantially higher household net wealth, higher median incomes, and greater retirement assets compared to their peers in non-ESOP companies.[66] A 2021 study found that employees in typical ESOPs have approximately twice the assets in their accounts as comparable employees have in their 401(k) plans.[66]

B. Worker Cooperatives

Worker cooperatives represent a deeper form of enterprise democratization. In a worker co-op, the business is owned and controlled by its workers, who govern the enterprise on a democratic, one-person, one-vote basis.[73] This model fundamentally alters the traditional corporate structure by placing capital at the service of labor, rather than the other way around.[74] Profits, or surplus, are distributed among the worker-owners, providing a direct share in the value they help create.[75]

While the worker cooperative sector in the U.S. is relatively small, with around 612 firms, it is a growing and dynamic part of the economy, often driven by women and workers of color.[75] Research indicates that worker co-ops are more resilient to economic downturns, experience lower employee turnover, and can achieve higher profit margins than conventional businesses.[75] For worker-owners, the model has led to significant increases in family income and asset building through profit sharing.[77]

Recognizing this potential, governments at various levels have begun to create supportive ecosystems. New York City's Worker Cooperative Business Development Initiative provides training and support for starting and growing co-ops.[78] At the federal level, legislation like the National Worker Cooperative Development and Support Act aims to establish an interagency council and provide dedicated SBA lending.[73] The Worker Ownership, Readiness, and Knowledge (WORK) Act, passed as part of the SECURE 2.0 Act, directs the Department of Labor to create an Employee Ownership Initiative to support state-level outreach and technical assistance programs.[80] Additionally, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) offers a range of grant and loan programs to support the development of cooperatives in rural areas.[84]

C. The Digital Frontier: Platform Cooperatives

The principles of cooperative ownership are now being applied to the digital economy through the emergence of platform cooperatives. These are websites or mobile apps that are collectively owned and governed by their members—the workers or users who participate on the platform.[86] This model is a direct response to the extractive nature of "platform capitalism," where companies like Uber, Airbnb, and DoorDash extract significant value from workers and communities while centralizing profits for outside investors.[89]

Platform cooperatives are appearing across the globe in a variety of sectors. Examples include:

- **Ride-Sharing:** Eva in Canada and the Green Taxi Cooperative in Denver offer services similar to Uber but are owned by their drivers, resulting in better pay and working conditions.[89]
- **Short-Term Lodging:** Fairbnb.coop is a community-owned alternative to Airbnb that dedicates a portion of its revenue to local community projects, aiming to mitigate the negative impacts of mass tourism.[89]
- **Online Marketplaces:** Fairmondo in Germany is a cooperative alternative to Amazon or eBay, focused on ethical goods and services.[89]
- **Data Cooperatives:** Midata, based in Zurich, is a platform where members can securely manage and collectively control their personal medical data, deciding how it is shared with researchers or doctors.[89]

These models seek to build a more equitable and caring digital economy by ensuring that the value generated on the platform is shared fairly among those who create it.[91]

A critical distinction exists between these models of shared business ownership. They operate on a spectrum of employee empowerment. ESOPs primarily offer employees a *financial stake* in the company's success, functioning as a powerful tool for retirement wealth accumulation and business succession. However, they are governed by ERISA and a fiduciary trust, and typically do not alter the traditional hierarchical management structure of the firm; direct employee voting rights are limited.[61] Worker cooperatives, in contrast, offer both a financial stake

and direct *democratic control*. The one-person, one-vote structure fundamentally shifts power within the enterprise, making workers not just shareholders but citizens of their workplace.[73] Platform cooperatives extend this principle of democratic control into the digital realm. This distinction is vital for policymakers and advocates. ESOPs are more scalable and more easily integrated into existing financial and legal systems, making them an effective tool for wealth accumulation. Cooperatives represent a more transformative model of wealth and power redistribution, requiring a deeper commitment to democratic practice and education. The choice between them depends on the ultimate policy objective: creating employee-shareholders or creating employee-citizens.

IV. Building Equity Through Place: Community-Owned Assets

Beyond individual endowments and enterprise ownership, a third pillar of inclusive capital focuses on collective ownership of place-based assets, particularly real estate. These models empower residents to build wealth and stability by gaining control over the land and housing in their own neighborhoods. By decommodifying essential assets like housing, these interventions aim to protect communities from displacement pressures caused by market speculation and gentrification, while creating opportunities for shared equity.

A. Shared Equity Homeownership and Community Land Trusts (CLTs)

The Community Land Trust (CLT) is a proven model for creating and preserving permanently affordable housing.[93] A CLT is a nonprofit, community-based organization that acquires land and holds it in trust for the benefit of the community. The CLT then sells the homes on that land to low- or moderate-income families at an affordable price while retaining ownership of the land itself. The homeowner enters into a long-term, renewable ground lease (often for 99 years) with the CLT.[94]

This separation of the ownership of the home from the land is the core mechanism for ensuring long-term affordability. The initial purchase price is lower because it does not include the cost of the land.[97] The ground lease contains a resale formula that restricts the price at which the home can be sold in the future. This formula is designed to balance two goals: allowing the selling homeowner to build some equity, while preserving the public subsidy so that the home remains affordable for the next low-income buyer.[93] This structure has proven to be highly effective at promoting housing stability; during the 2008 financial crisis, foreclosure rates for CLT homes were as much as 90% lower than in the conventional mortgage market.[99]

Governance of a CLT is typically structured around a tripartite board composed of CLT residents, residents of the broader community, and public representatives, ensuring that the organization remains accountable to its mission and the community it serves.[93]

The CLT model represents a deliberate and fundamental trade-off between maximizing individual wealth and ensuring long-term community affordability. In the conventional American model of homeownership, a home is often seen as the primary vehicle for personal wealth accumulation through market-rate appreciation. The CLT model challenges this paradigm. By implementing resale formulas that cap equity gains, CLTs intentionally prioritize the collective good of permanent affordability over the potential for an individual to realize a market-rate windfall.[97] This reframes homeownership from a purely speculative investment to a form of community stewardship. For policymakers, promoting this model requires a narrative shift that emphasizes the value of stable communities and the creation of modest, predictable wealth for generations of families, rather than focusing solely on individual enrichment.

B. Housing and Consumer Cooperatives

Cooperatives offer another powerful vehicle for collective ownership of place-based assets.

- **Housing Cooperatives:** In a housing cooperative, residents do not own their individual units directly. Instead, they own shares in a corporation that owns the entire property, and their shares grant them the right to occupy a specific unit.[101] This model can provide housing that is significantly more affordable than renting or traditional ownership because the cooperative operates on an at-cost basis, collecting fees from members only to cover the building's operating expenses, mortgage, and reserves.[101] **Limited-equity cooperatives** function similarly to CLTs by placing restrictions on the resale price of shares to maintain long-term affordability for future low- and moderate-income residents.[101] Governments at the municipal and state levels have created programs to provide low-interest loans and grants to support the development of affordable housing cooperatives.[104]
- **Consumer Cooperatives:** These businesses are owned by the people who use their services, such as grocery stores, credit unions, or hardware stores.[107] While not a direct form of real estate ownership for members, consumer co-ops contribute to community wealth by keeping capital local. Profits, or surplus, are not extracted by outside investors but are returned to members in the form of patronage refunds (based on how much they use the co-op) or are reinvested in the community.[108] By providing essential goods and services in areas underserved by traditional businesses, they anchor local economies and build social cohesion.[108]

C. Emerging Frontiers: Community Investment Vehicles (CIVs)

A new and innovative frontier in place-based capital is the emergence of Community Investment Vehicles (CIVs). CIVs are hyperlocal, democratically governed entities that allow community members, including non-accredited investors, to purchase equity shares in a portfolio of local commercial or residential real estate.[111] These models are designed to give residents a direct financial stake in the economic development of their own neighborhoods, allowing them to benefit from rising property values that have historically enriched only outside developers and investors.[112]

CIVs can take various legal forms, including real estate investment trusts (REITs), for-profit cooperatives, or perpetual purpose trusts.[112] Pioneering examples include:

- **Market Creek Plaza** in San Diego, an early model where residents of surrounding zip codes were eligible to buy shares in a commercial real estate development.[112]
- The **East Bay Permanent Real Estate Cooperative (EBPREC)** in California, which uses a cooperative structure to acquire and steward land and housing for the community.[113]
- The **Kensington Corridor Trust** in Philadelphia, a perpetual purpose trust dedicated to acquiring commercial and residential properties along a key corridor to ensure community control and preserve long-term affordability.[113]

These models, along with related innovations like diaspora funding platforms that channel investment from expatriates back to their home communities, represent a powerful new direction in community wealth building, aiming to ensure that the financial benefits of neighborhood revitalization flow to the people who live there.[114]

V. The Enabling Environment: Policy Levers and Catalytic Capital

The success and scalability of the inclusive capital models discussed in this report do not occur in a vacuum. They depend on a robust and supportive ecosystem of public policies, government programs, and private and philanthropic investment. This enabling environment provides the legal frameworks, financial incentives, and crucial startup funding necessary to create and sustain these interventions.

A. Tax Policy as a Tool for Capital Accumulation

Tax policy is one of the most powerful tools governments have to either exacerbate or mitigate wealth inequality. The current U.S. federal tax system, while progressive overall, contains features that disproportionately benefit existing wealth holders. For example, preferential tax rates on long-term capital gains and qualified dividends overwhelmingly accrue to high-income, white households, reinforcing existing disparities.[115] While federal taxes do reduce income inequality to some extent, their mitigating effect has remained largely unchanged for decades, failing to counteract the sharp rise in pre-tax income concentration.[23]

However, tax policy can also be designed to specifically encourage capital accumulation for low- and moderate-income households. Key examples include:

- **The Saver's Credit:** This federal tax credit is designed to incentivize retirement savings among low- and moderate-income workers by providing a credit for contributions made to an IRA or an employer-sponsored plan like a 401(k).[81] The credit rate is highest for those with the lowest incomes. A significant limitation, however, is that the credit is non-refundable, meaning it can only reduce tax liability to zero and provides no benefit to the millions of low-income households who owe little or no federal income tax.[121]
- **The Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC):** This is the federal government's primary program for financing the development and rehabilitation of affordable rental housing.[123] It provides developers with a 10-year stream of tax credits, which are then sold to private investors to raise equity for construction. This equity reduces the project's debt burden, making it financially feasible to offer rent-restricted units to low-income tenants.[125]
- **The New Markets Tax Credit (NMTC):** This program is designed to spur private investment in economically distressed communities. It provides individual and corporate investors with a federal tax credit in exchange for making equity investments in certified Community Development Entities (CDEs), which then use that capital to finance businesses and development projects in low-income areas.[125]

B. Direct Support for Asset Acquisition

Beyond tax incentives, governments at all levels provide direct financial support and technical assistance to help households acquire key assets.

- **Homeownership Assistance:** Down payment assistance programs are a common tool used by state and local governments to help first-time homebuyers overcome the significant barrier of the initial down payment and closing costs.[127] These programs are often funded by federal block grants from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), such as the HOME Investment Partnerships Program and the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program. The assistance is typically provided as a grant or a forgivable loan structured as a second mortgage.[127] The Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) homeownership program also allows families receiving rental assistance to use their vouchers to meet monthly homeownership expenses.[131]
- **Entrepreneurship and Small Business Creation:** The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) and its network of resource partners provide a critical infrastructure

of support for entrepreneurs. Programs delivered through Small Business Development Centers (SBDCs), SCORE, Women's Business Centers, and Veterans Business Outreach Centers offer free or low-cost counseling, training, and technical assistance on topics ranging from business planning to accessing capital.[132] While the SBA does not typically provide direct grants for starting a business, it facilitates loan programs and offers targeted support for underserved entrepreneurs, including women, minorities, and veterans.[135]

C. The Role of Philanthropy and Impact Investing

Private and philanthropic capital plays an indispensable role in nurturing and scaling inclusive capital models. Foundations and impact investors often provide the "catalytic capital" that is patient, flexible, and willing to take on higher risk than traditional financial institutions.[9]

- **Philanthropic Support:** Major foundations like the Ford Foundation, Rockefeller Foundation, and Kresge Foundation have long supported initiatives aimed at reducing poverty and building assets in marginalized communities.[140] They do so not only through traditional grants but also through Program-Related Investments (PRIs), which are low-interest loans, equity investments, or guarantees made to advance a charitable purpose.[140] This type of funding is crucial for capacity building, innovation, and de-risking new models so they can later attract mainstream investment. Funder networks also play a key role in sharing knowledge and coordinating action to advance inclusive economic development.[144]
- **Impact Investing for Community Wealth:** The field of impact investing is explicitly dedicated to generating measurable social and environmental impact alongside a financial return.[145] Impact investing funds channel capital into Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFIs), credit unions, affordable housing projects, and small businesses in underserved communities.[146] This provides a vital source of financing for entities that are often overlooked by conventional capital markets.

The most effective and durable inclusive capital interventions arise from a symbiotic relationship between these sectors. Public policy creates the *structure* and *incentives* for a model—for example, the tax credits for ESOPs or the legal framework for CLTs. However, these models often struggle to get off the ground due to perceived risks or a lack of startup funding. This is where philanthropic and impact-investing capital provides the crucial *activation energy*. A foundation might provide a grant to cover the initial operating costs of a new worker cooperative development center, while an impact investor provides a low-interest loan to help the first co-op in its portfolio acquire equipment. This initial, catalytic investment proves the model's viability, builds a track record, and de-risks it for more conventional lenders and investors. Neither public policy nor catalytic capital is sufficient on its own; they work in tandem. Policy creates the field of play, and catalytic capital gets the first players onto the field, demonstrating to the market that the game is viable. This understanding is critical for developing holistic and effective strategies for building inclusive capital.

VI. Emerging Models and Future Frontiers

As the economy evolves, new sources of value are created, presenting novel opportunities for designing inclusive capital mechanisms. One of the most debated and conceptually innovative frontiers is the idea of treating personal data as an asset that should generate a direct financial return for the individuals who create it.

A. Data Dividends: Monetizing Personal Information

The concept of a "data dividend" has gained prominence through proposals from political figures like former California Governor Gavin Newsom and entrepreneur Andrew Yang.[149] The core premise is that in the digital economy, personal data is a valuable commodity—the "new oil"—that is currently harvested and monetized by large technology companies with little to no compensation for the users who generate it.[149] A data dividend seeks to rectify this by establishing a mechanism to return a portion of this value to the public.[154]

Several implementation models have been proposed:

1. **A Tax on Data-Driven Companies:** One approach, advocated by a working group in California, is to levy a tax on data-dependent companies. The revenue generated from this tax would not be paid directly to individuals but would instead be used to fund public goods that provide broad benefits, such as education, public computing infrastructure, or even seeding a statewide baby bonds program.[149] This treats data as a *collective* resource, with the value returned to the public collectively.
2. **Data as a Property Right:** Another approach, championed by Andrew Yang's Data Dividend Project, seeks to establish data as a form of personal property.[150] This model would leverage privacy laws like the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) to empower individuals to demand payment from companies in exchange for the use of their data. The goal is to create a system where users receive direct cash payments—a "dividend"—for their data.[154]

Despite the intuitive appeal of getting paid for one's data, the concept faces significant practical and philosophical challenges. Critics argue that it risks commodifying what should be a fundamental human right to privacy.[151] Framing privacy as a transaction could create a two-tiered system where wealthier individuals can afford to protect their privacy, while those with lower incomes are incentivized to sell it for a small payment.[158] Furthermore, the value of any single individual's data is minuscule; its economic power comes from aggregation. Consequently, any dividend paid out to individuals would likely be very small, perhaps only a few dollars per year, while legitimizing the broader system of surveillance capitalism.[154] Finally, such a system could perversely create incentives to value some individuals' data (e.g., that of affluent consumers) more than others, thereby reinforcing existing inequalities.[154] These critiques suggest that a more effective approach may be to strengthen privacy regulations and use broader tax mechanisms, such as a Value-Added Tax (VAT) as proposed by Yang for his Freedom Dividend, to capture the value generated by the tech economy and redistribute it through universal programs.[159]

VII. Synthesis and Strategic Pathways

This report has surveyed a diverse and expanding landscape of inclusive capital interventions, from state-managed wealth funds to hyperlocal real estate investment vehicles. While each model possesses a unique structure and targets different aspects of the economy, they share a common goal: to broaden the base of capital ownership and ensure that the benefits of economic growth are shared more equitably. This concluding section synthesizes these findings into a comparative framework and offers strategic recommendations for key stakeholders.

A. A Comparative Framework for Inclusive Capital Interventions

To facilitate strategic decision-making, the various models analyzed in this report can be compared across several key dimensions: the mechanism of capital generation, the governance structure, the primary target population, and the main vector through which they impact household wealth. The following table provides a high-level overview.

Table 1: Comparative Framework of Inclusive Capital Models

Model	Primary Mechanism	Governance Structure	Target Population	Key Implementation	Primary Impact Vector

				Examples	
Social Wealth Fund (w/ Dividend)	Distribution of returns from a large, publicly-owned investment portfolio.	State-managed public corporation with a board of trustees.	Universal (all residents of a jurisdiction).	Alaska Permanent Fund (USA).	Direct cash transfer (capital income).
Baby Bonds	Progressively-endowed, publicly-funded trust account provided at birth.	State Treasury or designated public agency manages investments.	Universal at birth, with larger endowments for lower-wealth families.	Connecticut, Washington D.C. (USA).	Seed capital for adult asset purchase.
Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP)	Tax-advantaged retirement trust that buys and holds company stock for employees.	Fiduciary trust governed by ERISA; management structure of company often unchanged.	Employees of the sponsoring company.	Over 6,500 companies, primarily privately-held (USA).	Retirement wealth accumulation.
Worker Cooperative	Democratic ownership and control of an enterprise by its workers.	One worker, one vote. Workers elect board and govern the business.	Worker-owners of the cooperative.	Cooperative Home Care Associates (USA), Mondragon Corporation (Spain).	Profit sharing and workplace democracy.
Community Land	Nonprofit ownership	Tripartite board of	Low- to moderate-i	Champlain Housing	Access to affordable

Trust (CLT)	of land with resale-restricted homeownership on leased parcels.	residents, community members, and public representatives.	ncome first-time homebuyers.	Trust, Dudley Street Neighborhood Initiative (USA).	homeownership and housing stability.
Community Investment Vehicle (CIV)	Pooled investment from community members into a local real estate portfolio.	Varies (REIT, cooperative, trust); often includes resident investors in governance.	Local residents, including non-accredited investors.	Market Creek Plaza, East Bay Permanent Real Estate Cooperative (USA).	Direct equity stake in local development.

B. Pathways to Implementation: Recommendations for Action

Building a more inclusive economy requires concerted action from stakeholders across the public, private, and philanthropic sectors. Based on the findings of this report, the following strategic pathways are recommended:

For Federal Policymakers:

1. **Establish a National Baby Bonds Program:** Federal action is necessary to ensure universal and equitable access to seed capital for all American children. A program modeled on the American Opportunity Accounts Act would make the most significant and direct impact on closing the racial wealth gap from birth.
2. **Strengthen and Expand Incentives for Shared Enterprise Ownership:** Enhance the existing tax advantages for ESOPs and create more robust federal support for worker cooperative transitions through expanded SBA lending programs and dedicated funding for technical assistance centers, as envisioned in the WORK Act and the National Worker Cooperative Development and Support Act.
3. **Reform Tax Policy to Favor Broad-Based Capital Accumulation:** Re-examine tax expenditures that disproportionately benefit existing wealth, such as the preferential rates on capital gains. Consider making the Saver's Credit refundable to extend its

benefits to the lowest-income workers and expanding tax credits like the LIHTC and NMTC that channel private investment into underserved communities.

For State and Local Governments:

1. **Serve as Laboratories for Innovation:** Continue to pioneer and pilot inclusive capital models, particularly baby bonds and community investment vehicles. States can establish task forces to design programs tailored to their specific demographics and economic conditions.
2. **Create Supportive Local Ecosystems:** Municipal and state governments can actively foster the growth of CLTs and cooperatives through favorable policies, such as dedicating public land for CLT development, offering property tax abatements, and implementing procurement policies that favor worker-owned businesses.
3. **Leverage Federal Funding Streams:** Maximize the use of federal funds, such as HUD's HOME and CDBG programs, to capitalize down payment assistance programs and provide startup funding for affordable housing cooperatives and other place-based initiatives.

For Philanthropic and Impact Investors:

1. **Provide Patient and Catalytic Capital:** Recognize the critical role of philanthropy in de-risking and seeding new inclusive capital models. Prioritize providing flexible, long-term funding in the form of general operating support grants and low-interest Program-Related Investments (PRIs) to build the capacity of community-based organizations.
2. **Invest in the "Soft Infrastructure":** Fund the technical assistance, legal support, research, and advocacy networks that are essential for the success of these models. Support for intermediary organizations that provide these services can have a multiplier effect across the entire sector.
3. **Champion Narrative Change and Build the Evidence Base:** Use philanthropic platforms to shift the public narrative from a focus on income to a broader understanding of wealth and ownership. Fund rigorous, longitudinal studies to evaluate the long-term impacts of these interventions on household wealth, health, and well-being, thereby building the evidence base needed to attract mainstream investment and scale what works.

Works cited

1. Advancing Inclusive Capitalism | GIC ThinkSpace, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.gic.com.sg/thinkspace/sustainability/advancing-inclusive-capitalism/
2. Racial wealth inequality: Social problems and solutions - Brookings Institution, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.brookings.edu/events/racial-wealth-inequality-social-problems-and-solutions/
3. Inequality: Is the Fed to blame? - Brookings Institution, accessed September 19,

2025, https://www.brookings.edu/articles/inequality-is-the-fed-to-blame/
4. Why Baby Bonds, Why Now? - JPMorgan Chase, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.jpmorganchase.com/newsroom/stories/why-baby-bonds-why-now
5. Learning to Include Yourself as a Person With a Disability, accessed September 19, 2025, http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/100701/1/Hayhoe_Learning_to_include.pdf
6. Chapter 4: Inclusive Capital and Human Value - University of Bath ..., accessed September 19, 2025, https://blogs.bath.ac.uk/senseculture/wp-content/uploads/sites/146/2019/04/CHADI_Chapter4.pdf
7. Our Work - Living Cities, accessed September 19, 2025, https://livingcities.org/work/
8. Gender Equality and Economic Inclusion - International Finance Corporation (IFC), accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.ifc.org/en/what-we-do/sector-expertise/gender
9. Episode 5 - The Inclusive Capital Council & Catalytic Capital: Advancing Income and Wealth Equity - Living Cities, accessed September 19, 2025, https://livingcities.org/podcast/episode-5-the-inclusive-capital-council-catalytic-capital-advancing-income-and-wealth-equity/
10. Inclusive Capitalism | Milken Institute, accessed September 19, 2025, https://milkeninstitute.org/topics/finance/inclusive-capitalism
11. Inclusive Capitalism in the New Reality, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.inclusivecapitalism.com/news-insights/inclusive-capitalism-in-the-new-reality/
12. Embankment Project for Inclusive Capitalism | EY, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.ey.com/content/dam/ey-unified-site/ey-com/en-gl/insights/long-term-value/documents/ey-gl-epic-report-05-2024.pdf
13. Asset Building - Native Nations Institute - The University of Arizona, accessed September 19, 2025, https://nni.arizona.edu/our-work/research-policy-analysis/asset-building
14. Asset Building - VAWnet, accessed September 19, 2025, https://vawnet.org/sc/asset-building
15. What is Asset Building? Asset Funders Network-build assets to build ..., accessed September 19, 2025, https://assetfunders.org/the-issue/what-is-asset-building/
16. Asset Building: About - New America, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.newamerica.org/asset-building/about/research-areas/
17. WHAT IS ASSET BUILDING?, accessed September 19, 2025, https://assetfunders.org/wp-content/uploads/AFN_WhatisAssetBuildin.pdf
18. www.ust.com, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.ust.com/en/insights/democratizing-wealth-transforming-financial-access-for-all#:~:text=The%20democratization%20of%20wealth%20is,is%20an%20opportunity%20for%20all.
19. Wealth trends 2021: Democratization of wealth - Tietoevry, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.tietoevry.com/en/blog/2021/05/democratization-of-wealth/
20. Democratizing wealth: Transforming financial access for all - UST Global, accessed September 19, 2025,

https://www.ust.com/en/insights/democratizing-wealth-transforming-financial-access-for-all
21. Democratizing Wealth: Fintech Platforms and the Future of Financial Inclusion - International Journal of Social Impact, accessed September 19, 2025, https://ijsi.in/wp-content/uploads/2025/08/18.02.24.20230802-1.pdf
22. wealth democratization Archives - European Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology (EJCSIT), accessed September 19, 2025, https://eajournals.org/ejcsit/tag/wealth-democratization/
23. How do taxes affect income inequality? - Tax Policy Center, accessed September 19, 2025, https://taxpolicycenter.org/briefing-book/how-do-taxes-affect-income-inequality
24. Sovereign wealth fund - Wikipedia, accessed September 19, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sovereign_wealth_fund
25. Sovereign Wealth Research | IE Center for the Governance of Change, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.ie.edu/cgc/research/sovereign-wealth-research/
26. Sovereign wealth funds in the post-pandemic era - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed September 19, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10092925/
27. Publication: Sovereign Wealth Funds and Long-Term Development Finance : Risks and Opportunities - Open Knowledge Repository, accessed September 19, 2025, https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/entities/publication/dfac9fdd-7e42-5ecd-b108-a26173b0742a
28. A comparative study of sovereign investor models: Sovereign fund profiles - Projects at Harvard, accessed September 19, 2025, https://projects.iq.harvard.edu/files/sovereignwealth/files/fund_profiles_final.pdf
29. How Citizens REALLY Benefit with Sovereign Wealth Funds - YouTube, accessed September 19, 2025, https://m.youtube.com/shorts/AC841tymRc4
30. Social Wealth Funds - The Democracy Collaborative, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.democracycollaborative.org/social-wealth-funds
31. Frequently Asked Questions-APFC, accessed September 19, 2025, https://apfc.org/frequently-asked-questions/
32. ALASKA PERMANENT FUND DIVIDEND CERTIFICATION, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.ahfc.us/iceimages/manuals/vf-0011_alaska_pfd_cert.pdf
33. Permanent Fund Dividend - FAQ - State of Alaska, accessed September 19, 2025, https://pfd.alaska.gov/FAQ
34. Clarification about Alaska Permanent Fund Dividends | Internal Revenue Service, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.irs.gov/forms-pubs/clarification-about-alaska-permanent-fund-dividends
35. Which Countries Have a Sovereign Wealth Fund and How Much Are They Worth?, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.newsweek.com/which-countries-have-sovereign-wealth-fund-how-much-are-they-worth-2025791
36. New ISER report: What is the PFD's effect on socio-economic well ..., accessed

September 19, 2025, https://www.uaa.alaska.edu/news/archive/2019/06/iser-research-pfd-effect-socio-economic-well-being.cshtml
37. The Labor Market Impacts of Universal and Permanent Cash Transfers: Evidence from the Alaska Permanent Fund - Knowledge Base, accessed September 19, 2025, https://home.uchicago.edu/j1s/Jones_Alaska.pdf
38. The Labor Market Impacts of Universal and Permanent Cash ..., accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w24312/w24312.pdf
39. THE ALASKA PERMANENT FUND DIVIDEND PROGRAM: ECONOMIC EFFECTS AND PUBLIC ATTITUDES by Gunnar Knapp, Scott Goldsmith, Jack Kruse,, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.akleg.gov/basis/get_documents.asp?session=29&docid=41050
40. Government Pension Fund of Norway - Wikipedia, accessed September 19, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Government_Pension_Fund_of_Norway
41. 5 Largest Sovereign Wealth Funds - Investopedia, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/news/5-largest-sovereign-wealth-funds/
42. About the fund | Norges Bank Investment Management, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.nbim.no/en/about-us/about-the-fund/
43. Government Pension Fund of Norway (GPFN): What it is, Overview - Investopedia, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/g/government-pension-fund-norway.asp
44. Baby Bonds: A Path Toward Prosperity for Future Generations | ACLU, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.aclu.org/news/racial-justice/baby-bonds-a-path-toward-prosperity-for-future-generations
45. As Baby Bonds Gain Momentum, States Must Grapple with These Four Implementation Questions | Urban Institute, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.urban.org/urban-wire/baby-bonds-gain-momentum-states-must-grapple-these-four-implementation-questions
46. Baby Bonds: A Worthwhile Step To Reduce the Racial Wealth Gap, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.americanprogress.org/article/baby-bonds-a-worthwhile-step-to-reduce-the-racial-wealth-gap/
47. Modeling the Impacts of a Federal Baby Bonds ... - Urban Institute, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/2024-12/Modeling-a-National-Baby-Bonds-Program_0.pdf
48. Baby bonds | County Health Rankings & Roadmaps, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/strategies-and-solutions/what-works-for-health/strategies/baby-bonds
49. Innovations in Baby Bonds - FEDERAL RESERVE BANK of NEW YORK, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.newyorkfed.org/newsevents/events/regional_outreach/2025/0116-20

25
50. CT Baby Bonds - CT.gov, accessed September 19, 2025, https://portal.ct.gov/ott/debt-management/ct-baby-bonds
51. Baby Bonds - Institute on Race, Power and Political Economy, accessed September 19, 2025, https://racepowerpolicy.org/baby-bonds/
52. The State of Baby Bonds | Urban Institute, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.urban.org/research/publication/state-baby-bonds
53. Baby Bonds | Office of the State Treasurer - Vermont State Treasurer, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.vermonttreasurer.gov/baby-bonds
54. Child Development Accounts | Center for Social Development ..., accessed September 19, 2025, https://csd.wustl.edu/child-development-accounts/
55. Child development accounts | County Health Rankings & Roadmaps, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/strategies-and-solutions/what-works-for-health/strategies/child-development-accounts
56. Child Development Accounts - Kids Win Missouri, accessed September 19, 2025, https://kidswinmissouri.org/policy-priorities/child-development-accounts/
57. International Child Development Accounts | Encyclopedia of Social Work, accessed September 19, 2025, https://oxfordre.com/socialwork/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780199975839.001.0001/acrefore-9780199975839-e-1261
58. Child Development Accounts expand resources for higher education, accessed September 19, 2025, https://csd.wustl.edu/fs22-20-news/
59. Transforming Futures: New Research Initiative Evaluates the Impact of Baby Bonds on Health and Wellbeing - Yale School of Medicine, accessed September 19, 2025, https://medicine.yale.edu/news-article/transforming-futures-new-research-initiative-evaluates-the-impact-of-baby-bonds-on-health-and-wellbeing/
60. ESOP FAQs: Frequently Asked Questions Related to Employee Stock Ownership Plans, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.bdo.com/insights/tax/frequently-asked-questions-related-to-employee-stock-ownership-plans-(esops)
61. Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs): An Overview | Congress.gov, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/IF13104
62. www.irs.gov, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.irs.gov/retirement-plans/employee-stock-ownership-plans-esops#:~:text=An%20ESOP%20must%20be%20designed,jurisdiction%20over%20some%20ESOP%20features.
63. What Are Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs)? | J.P. Morgan, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.jpmorgan.com/insights/wealth-planning/taxes/what-are-employee-stock-ownership-plans-esops
64. What is an ESOP? | The ESOP Association, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.esopassociation.org/articles/what-is-an-esop
65. Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs) | Schechter Benefits Law Group LLP,

accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.sblgllp.com/practiceareas/esop.html
66. FAQs on ESOPs and Employee Ownership, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.esop.org/articles/faqs-esops-employee-ownership.php
67. Employee Ownership Financing Act Factsheet - Senator Bernie Sanders, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.sanders.senate.gov/wp-content/uploads/Employee-Ownership-Financing-Act-Factsheet-Final.pdf
68. Main Street Employee Ownership Act, accessed September 19, 2025, https://smlr.rutgers.edu/sites/smlr/files/Documents/Faculty-Staff-Docs/3-21-18_main_street_employee_ownership_act_summary_5_copy.pdf
69. The Main Street Employee Ownership Act becomes law!, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.veoc.org/blog/the-main-street-employee-ownership-act-becomes-law
70. H.R.9347 - 117th Congress (2021-2022): Main Street Employee Ownership 2.0 Act of 2022, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/9347
71. H.R. 5236, Main Street Employee Ownership Act of 2018 | Congressional Budget Office, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.cbo.gov/publication/54128
72. accessed December 31, 1969, httpshttps://smlr.rutgers.edu/sites/smlr/files/Documents/Faculty-Staff-Docs/3-21-18_main_street_employee_ownership_act_summary_5_copy.pdf
73. New bill supports worker-owned cooperatives | Project Equity, accessed September 19, 2025, https://project-equity.org/news/employee-ownership-insider/new-bill-supports-worker-owned-cooperatives/
74. Worker cooperatives - The Next System Project, accessed September 19, 2025, https://thenextsystem.org/learn/stories/worker-cooperatives
75. Wealth Building through Worker Cooperatives - The Chicago Community Trust, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.cct.org/stories/wealth-building-through-worker-cooperatives/
76. Building and Sustaining Worker Cooperatives in the US - Aspen Institute, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.aspeninstitute.org/blog-posts/building-and-sustaining-worker-cooperatives-in-the-us/
77. Video: The Role, Opportunities, and Challenges of Worker ..., accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.aspeninstitute.org/events/democratizing-work-the-role-opportunities-and-challenges-of-worker-cooperatives-in-the-us/
78. Worker Cooperative Business Development Initiative - NYC.gov: Business, accessed September 19, 2025, https://nyc-business.nyc.gov/nycbusiness/business-services/initiatives/worker-cooperative-business-development-initiative
79. RELEASE: REPS. KHANNA AND BOWMAN UNVEIL NEW BILL TO SUPPORT WORKER CO-OP BUSINESSES, accessed September 19, 2025,

https://khanna.house.gov/media/press-releases/release-reps-khanna-and-bowman-unveil-new-bill-support-worker-co-op-businesses
80. Funding the WORK Act: how you can take action - U.S. Federation of Worker Cooperatives, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.usworker.coop/blog/fy26-workact/
81. Employee Ownership Initiative | U.S. Department of Labor, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.dol.gov/agencies/ebsa/about-ebsa/our-activities/programs-and-initiatives/employee-ownership-initiative
82. www.dol.gov, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.dol.gov/agencies/ebsa/about-ebsa/our-activities/programs-and-initiatives/employee-ownership-initiative#:~:text=What%20is%20the%20Employee%20Ownership,SECURE%202.0%20Act%20of%202022.
83. Major Wins for Employee Ownership in New Spending Bill, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.nceo.org/employee-ownership-blog/major-wins-employee-ownership-new-spending-bill
84. Cooperative Services | Rural Development, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/services/cooperative-services
85. For Cooperatives - USDA Rural Development, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.rd.usda.gov/programs-services/cooperatives
86. Platform cooperatives and employment - OECD, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/platform-cooperatives-and-employment_3eab339f-en.html
87. Cooperatives and the Digital Commons: Governance, Sustainability, and Shared Infrastructure | Platform Cooperativism Consortium, accessed September 19, 2025, https://platform.coop/blog/cooperatives-and-the-digital-commons-governance-sustainability-and-shared-infrastructure/
88. Mapping out Cooperative Digital Platforms: A Conceptual View | Cureus Journals | Article, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.cureusjournals.com/articles/1904-mapping-out-cooperative-digital-platforms-a-conceptual-view
89. Platform cooperative - Wikipedia, accessed September 19, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Platform_cooperative
90. 11 Platform Cooperatives Creating a Real Sharing Economy - Shareable, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.shareable.net/11-platform-cooperatives-creating-a-real-sharing-economy/
91. Platform cooperatives ensure caring in the sharing economy - Eurofound - European Union, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/en/commentary-and-analysis/all-content/platform-cooperatives-ensure-caring-sharing-economy
92. What Is Employee Ownership?, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.nceo.org/what-is-employee-ownership

93. Community Land Trusts | Lincoln Institute of Land Policy, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.lincolninst.edu/publications/articles/community-land-trusts/
94. Community Land Trusts - Grounded Solutions Network, accessed September 19, 2025, https://groundedsolutions.org/strengthening-neighborhoods/community-land-trusts/
95. Community Land Trusts 101 Factsheet, accessed September 19, 2025, https://pschousing.org/community-land-trusts-101-factsheet/
96. Community Land Trusts: Investing in Lasting Housing Affordability and Local Voice, accessed September 19, 2025, https://assetfunders.org/blog/short-take-community-land-trusts/
97. [PSC] CLT: Community Land Trusts 101, accessed September 19, 2025, https://pschousing.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/Community_Land_Trusts_101.pdf
98. Community Land Trusts and Housing Affordability, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.lincolninst.edu/app/uploads/2024/04/2127_1449_LP2006-ch13-Community-Land-Trusts-and-Housing-Affordability_0.pdf
99. Community Land Trusts (CLTs), accessed September 19, 2025, http://staging.community-wealth.org/strategies/panel/clts/index.html
100. Community Land Trusts (CLT) - City of San Antonio, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.sa.gov/Directory/Departments/NHSD/Partners-Developers/Community-Land-Trusts
101. Housing Cooperatives: A Unique Type of Home Ownership - Investopedia, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/pf/08/housingco-op.asp
102. Why housing co-ops are better than homeownership • Co-operatives ..., accessed September 19, 2025, https://cooperativesfirst.com/blog/2025/02/18/why-housing-co-ops-are-better-than-homeownership/
103. Housing | Research on the Economic Impact of Cooperatives, accessed September 19, 2025, https://reic.uwcc.wisc.edu/house/
104. Affordable Neighborhood Cooperative Program (ANCP) - HPD - NYC.gov, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.nyc.gov/site/hpd/services-and-information/affordable-neighborhood-cooperative-program-ancp.page
105. NYS HOME Program | Homes and Community Renewal - NY.Gov, accessed September 19, 2025, https://hcr.ny.gov/nys-home-program
106. Affordable Housing Corporation | Homes and Community Renewal - NY.Gov, accessed September 19, 2025, https://hcr.ny.gov/affordable-housing-corporation-0
107. Consumer cooperative - Wikipedia, accessed September 19, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Consumer_cooperative
108. Cooperatives | Community-Wealth.org, accessed September 19, 2025, https://community-wealth.org/strategies/panel/coops/index.html

109. (PDF) Cooperatives and Wealth Accumulation: Preliminary Analysis, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/4980961_Cooperatives_and_Wealth_Accumulation_Preliminary_Analysis
110. How is community wealth building practiced? - The Democracy Collaborative, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.democracycollaborative.org/blogs/how-is-community-wealth-building-practiced
111. Community Investment Vehicles Playbook, accessed September 19, 2025, https://communitydeskchicago.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/CommunityDesk_CIVReport_Updated-10-7-24.pdf
112. New Models for Community Shareholding - Urban Institute, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/103341/new-models-for-community-shareholding_0.pdf
113. Community Investment Vehicles - The Democracy Collaborative, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.democracycollaborative.org/community-investment-vehicles
114. INVESTMENT VEHICLE INNOVATIONS - Saïd Business School, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.sbs.ox.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2019-01/impact-innovative-vehicles.pdf
115. Advancing Equity through Tax Reform: Effects of the Administration's Fiscal Year 2025 Revenue Proposals on Racial Wealth Inequ, accessed September 19, 2025, https://home.treasury.gov/system/files/131/Advancing-Equity-through-Tax-Reform-FY2025.pdf
116. Taxing Wealth and Capital Income | Cato Institute, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.cato.org/tax-budget-bulletin/taxing-wealth-capital-income
117. Reducing Tax Impediments to Capital Formation - Joint Economic Committee, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.jec.senate.gov/public/_cache/files/e5ddc6ec-8ff4-4fcb-ba2a-f82572697191/reducing-tax-impediments-to-capital-formation-02-08-06.pdf
118. Tax Credit Programs - Other Tax Credits - Benefits Plus Learning Center, accessed September 19, 2025, https://bplc.cssny.org/pbm/tax-credits/tax-credit-programs/other-tax-credits
119. Tax Incentive for Low Income Savers | Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS), accessed September 19, 2025, http://www.mnretire.gov/tax-incentive
120. Tax credit for low- and middle-income taxpayers - GuideStone, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.guidestone.org/taxcredit
121. The Retirement Savings Contribution Credit and the Saver's Match ..., accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/IF11159
122. Federal Saver's Credit - National Institute on Retirement Security, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.nirsonline.org/resources/federal-savers-credit/
123. An Introduction to the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit | Congress.gov,

accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/RS22389
124. Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC): Property and Tenant Level Data - HUD User, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/lihtc.html
125. What is the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit and how does it work ..., accessed September 19, 2025, https://taxpolicycenter.org/briefing-book/what-low-income-housing-tax-credit-and-how-does-it-work
126. New Markets Tax Credit Program | Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.cdfifund.gov/programs-training/programs/new-markets-tax-credit
127. Down Payment Assistance | National Housing Conference, accessed September 19, 2025, https://nhc.org/policy-guide/homebuyer-education-and-counseling/down-payment-assistance/
128. Homebuyers Loan Program - California Housing Finance Agency - CA.gov, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.calhfa.ca.gov/homebuyer/programs/index.htm
129. First-Time Homebuyers – LAHD - Los Angeles Housing Department, accessed September 19, 2025, https://housing.lacity.gov/housing/first-time-homebuyers
130. Down Payment Assistance | Colorado Department of Local Affairs, accessed September 19, 2025, https://cdola.colorado.gov/down-payment-assistance
131. HCV Homeownership Program | HUD.gov / U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.hud.gov/helping-americans/public-indian-housing-hcv-homeownership
132. Entrepreneurial Development Programs - U.S. Committee on Small ..., accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.sbc.senate.gov/public/index.cfm/entrepreneurialdevelopmentprograms
133. SBA Learning Platform | U.S. Small Business Administration, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.sba.gov/sba-learning-platform
134. Small Business Development Centers (SBDC), accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.sba.gov/local-assistance/resource-partners/small-business-development-centers-sbdc
135. Grants | U.S. Small Business Administration, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.sba.gov/funding-programs/grants
136. How to start and fund your own business | USAGov, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.usa.gov/start-business
137. Resources for Self-Employment - Wisconsin Department of Workforce Development, accessed September 19, 2025, https://dwd.wisconsin.gov/dvr/policy-guidance/ipe/self-employment-resource-guide.htm
138. Small Business Assistance - Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity, accessed September 19, 2025,

https://dceo.illinois.gov/businesshelp/smallbusinessassistance.html
139. Small Business Assistance in PA - PA Department of Community & Economic Development, accessed September 19, 2025, https://dced.pa.gov/business-assistance/small-business-assistance/
140. How we make grants - Ford Foundation, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.fordfoundation.org/work/our-grants/how-we-make-grants/
141. FAQs - Kresge Foundation, accessed September 19, 2025, https://kresge.org/about-us/faqs/
142. Ford Foundation - The Council for Inclusive Capitalism, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.inclusivecapitalism.com/organization/ford-foundation/
143. Tax Policy | RF, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.rockefellerfoundation.org/initiatives/tax-policy/
144. Inclusive Economies - The Funders Network, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.fundersnetwork.org/inclusive-economies/
145. Impact Investing - Ann Arbor Area Community Foundation, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.aaacf.org/donors/areas-of-impact/impact-investing
146. Community Impact Investing - Trillium Asset Management, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.trilliuminvest.com/community-investing
147. Impact Investing - Greater Milwaukee Foundation, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.greatermilwaukeefoundation.org/tools-for-change/impact-investing/
148. Impact Investing - Central New York Community Foundation, accessed September 19, 2025, https://cnycf.org/about-us/impact-investing/
149. The Data Dividends Initiative, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.datadividends.org/
150. Andrew Yang Wants You to Own and Sell Your Data, accessed September 19, 2025, https://slate.com/technology/2020/06/yang-launches-data-dividend-project.html
151. The Payoff From California's "Data Dividend" Must Be Stronger Privacy Laws, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2019/02/payoff-californias-data-dividend-must-be-stronger-privacy-laws
152. On 'data dividend' concept, California's Gov. Newsom searches for workable strategy, accessed September 19, 2025, https://statescoop.com/on-data-dividend-concept-californias-gov-newsom-searches-for-workable-strategy/
153. Data Dividend Proposals Would Leave Consumers As the Ones Who Pay - Foundation, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.ntu.org/foundation/detail/data-dividend-proposals-would-leave-consumers-as-the-ones-who-pay
154. Andrew Yang's Data Dividend Isn't Radical, It's Useless - VICE, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.vice.com/en/article/andrew-yangs-data-dividend-isnt-radical-its-use

less/
155. A Data Dividend that Works: Steps Toward Building an Equitable Data Economy - Berggruen Institute, accessed September 19, 2025, https://berggruen.org/news/a-data-dividend-that-works-steps-toward-building-an-equitable-data-economy
156. Andrew Yang unveils Data Dividend Project to make tech companies pay for your personal information - Private Internet Access, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.privateinternetaccess.com/blog/andrew-yang-unveils-data-dividend-project-to-make-tech-companies-pay-for-your-personal-information/
157. Your Personal Data Is Worth Money. Andrew Yang Wants to Get You Paid - SingularityHub, accessed September 19, 2025, https://singularityhub.com/2020/06/28/your-personal-data-is-worth-money-and-andrew-yang-wants-to-get-you-paid/
158. Why Getting Paid for Your Data Is a Bad Deal | Electronic Frontier Foundation, accessed September 19, 2025, https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2020/10/why-getting-paid-your-data-bad-deal
159. The Freedom Dividend, Defined - Yang2020 - Andrew Yang for President, accessed September 19, 2025, https://2020.yang2020.com/what-is-freedom-dividend-faq/
160. Does Andrew Yang's "Freedom Dividend" Proposal Add Up? - Tax Foundation, accessed September 19, 2025, https://taxfoundation.org/blog/andrew-yang-value-added-tax-universal-basic-income/