



# Imagining a climate of equity through a critical theory of love: Using CPAR to identify guiding principles that humanize library work

Rachel M. Barnett<sup>a,\*</sup>, Matthew A. Witenstein<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University Libraries, University of Dayton, 300 College Park, Roesch Library 120, Dayton, OH 45469, United States of America

<sup>b</sup> Department of Educational Administration, University of Dayton, 300 College Park, Fitz Hall 665-A, Dayton, OH 45469, United States of America

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Library employees  
Guiding principles  
Critical theory of love  
Critical whiteness studies  
Critical emotion studies  
Academic library

## ABSTRACT

Diversity is a core value of the American Library Association and diversity standards including cultural competencies have been adopted by the Association of College and Research Libraries. Nevertheless, academic libraries still have obstacles to overcome to embody these principles. Minorities continue to be underrepresented in the field and many encounter barriers within library cultures where cultural competency is lacking and micro aggressions are pervasive and invisible to many white colleagues. This study uses critical participatory action research to identify ways a library diversity and inclusion team can support library employees engaging in equity-minded work at a private, predominantly white Catholic university in the Midwest. The researchers developed a moderator guide, which they used to conduct focus groups with library faculty and staff. Library employees were asked to identify the challenges and opportunities for doing equity-minded work at the institution. What emerged from these conversations led to the development of four guiding principles. The guiding principles outlined are: a) engaging stakeholders; b) building relationships; c) modeling equity-minded practice; and d) demonstrating intercultural competence. These guiding principles are embedded in a critical theory of love as well as critical emotion and critical whiteness studies. These principles promote re-humanizing the ways in which library employees interact with each other and students while resisting the normalization of white cultural practices. Action item examples are offered to demonstrate how library employees can incorporate these principles into their practice. Finally, we offer our next steps moving forward as we plan to implement these guiding principles to support a climate of equity for library employees.

## Introduction

### *Diversity, equity, and inclusion in academic libraries*

Academic libraries have had difficulties overcoming obstacles to becoming more diverse, as evidenced in the continued underemployment of minorities in the profession. About 88% of librarians are white and 83% are female, while only 12% of library professionals are racial or ethnic minorities (ALA, 2012a). Cruz (2019) reviewed the current context and activities related to diversity, equity, and inclusion efforts within academic libraries and found this trend persists despite identifying diversity as a core value of librarianship and developing cultural competency standards for academic libraries (ALA, 2012b), which were adopted in 2012 by the Association for College and Research Librarians. Librarians of color have noticed differences in treatment as compared to non-minorities, noting not only that they experienced micro aggressions regularly but also how often non-

minority librarians failed to notice these negative interactions among peers (Alabi, 2015). This suggests a lack of cultural competency among non-minority librarians. Tenure-track academic librarians of color have also noted additional barriers to obtaining tenure, including lack of esteem for their scholarship (especially when focused on diversity, equity, and inclusion), lack of high-quality mentorship, and explicit racism in their work environments (Damasco & Hodges, 2012). Even for non-minority librarians at academic libraries, issues of low morale have been observed and can result from toxic work environments, leading to mental and physical health impacts on employees that can adversely affect their work (Kendrick, 2017). These findings clearly suggest barriers exist to creating equitable and inclusive work environments for all library employees within academic libraries and especially for minority librarians. To retain minority employees, library leaders must cultivate an anti-racist library culture and engage all employees in the process. These efforts can be facilitated through diversity and inclusion committees. For the purpose of this study, the researchers sought to identify

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [rbarnett2@udayton.edu](mailto:rbarnett2@udayton.edu) (R.M. Barnett), [mwitenstein1@udayton.edu](mailto:mwitenstein1@udayton.edu) (M.A. Witenstein).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacalib.2020.102176>

Received 4 February 2020; Received in revised form 7 May 2020; Accepted 8 May 2020

Available online 27 May 2020

0099-1333/ © 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

ways a library D&I committee could support library employees engaging in equity-minded work at a private, Catholic institution in the Midwest.

Library diversity and inclusion (D&I) committees can aid academic libraries in addressing some of the barriers to performing diversity, equity, and inclusion work within their environments. For example, the University of North Carolina at Greensboro Libraries established a D&I committee to improve communication and develop cultural competency among staff (Duffus, Henry, Jones, & Krim, 2016). Their activities included lunchtime workshops, a film discussion series, establishing a Diversity Resident position, and hosting librarians from China to share their culture with the surrounding community. Another salient example was executed at the joint library of the University of Washington-Bothell and Cascadia Community College, where a D&I committee was established after the ACRL diversity standards came out. D&I members were charged with participating in a campus group or acting as liaison to an underserved library population in addition to representing the library at campus diversity and social justice events (Lazzaro et al., 2014). Additionally, Penn State's University Libraries diversity committee conducted a climate survey, which identified a lack of action among library employees when inappropriate or offensive comments about race, sex, or other identity characteristics were made (Knapp, Snaveley, & Klimczyk, 2012). To address this lack of action, the diversity committee implemented the Southern Poverty Law Center's *Speak Up!* Program to give Penn State University Libraries employees tools to respond to racist, sexist, or offensive remarks. These examples describe how library D&I committees can identify problems and propose solutions to creating more inclusive and equitable work environments by engaging faculty and staff within their academic library.

Engagement with diverse colleagues can change the way people think about those they consider to be different from themselves, but it can also further polarize differing viewpoints if not implemented well. How diversity is discussed becomes just as important as what is discussed. Understanding and exploring diverse perspectives is a prerequisite to creating more equitable and inclusive environments. In this way, cultural competence becomes an impetus for enacting social change. Discussing social identity, power, and privilege can bring to light normative assumptions in order to break the silence that maintains status quo modes of thinking. Critically examining these concepts in context to the specific roles library staff play and the power library directors, supervisors, faculty, and staff leverage through maintaining normative assumptions creates a path to transform this dynamic. "Norms are shaped by history, context, and power but are lived, legitimated, and enacted by individuals and groups who make decisions about what is known and what the impact of that knowing will be personally and globally (Pusser, 2015, p. 74). Creating equitable work environments requires employees to have cultural competence skills to disrupt status quo relationships of power within systems and structures.

#### *Campus engagement with diversity, equity, and inclusion*

The President at the university in this study has affirmed "that diversity, equity, and inclusion are inextricably linked with excellence" and "align with our mission of building community in the world and working for justice for all people." The campus community is predominantly white and university administrators want to increase racial and socioeconomic diversity among students, faculty, and staff. Community members were asked to advance the institutional mission to create an inclusive and equitable community for all. At the time of this study, 56 library employees (35 staff and 21 faculty) worked in five library departments (collections and operations, public services, cataloging, technology and information systems, and special collections). This private, Catholic university is an excellent site to examine how an academic library D&I team could support equity-minded work as the impetus exists for its transformation through commitments to social justice by those in positions of authority on campus.

Although those in authority may be in support of cultural transformation within this predominantly white campus community, resistance to change generally as well as diversity, equity, and inclusion efforts specifically are expected. While the university is committed to increasing its diversity in employment, it continues to primarily employ white individuals, especially when positions of power and authority are considered. Given that the campus is predominantly white, applying critical theoretical frameworks resisting white cultural norms as 'the way it is' on campus is essential to social transformation and organizational change. As a Catholic community that embraces the education of the whole person, a critical theory of love (Brooks, 2017) that resists dehumanization and supports care for the physical, emotional, psychological, sexual as well as spiritual dimensions of personhood is an essential component to social justice advocacy. Love is what justice looks like in public (Cornel West talks to David Schuster, 2014) and without love, freedom from oppression and exploitation are impossible (Hooks, 1994). A loving heart is the foundation of meaningful spiritual practice (Hooks, 1993) and a critical theory of love centers campus community members' whole selves while supporting individual journeys toward meaningful spiritual practice. Often, white cultural norms allow for and encourage 'power over' dynamics in which objectification, domination, ownership, and aggression toward others is encouraged rather than 'power with' cultural practices where humanization, cooperation, growth, and connection are reinforced (Brooks, 2017). The acceptance of 'power over' cultural practices is subtle and often require one to dig deeper to 'make the invisible visible.' Professed feelings of empathy and care by whites can belie veiled feelings of disgust for people of color (Matias & Zembylas, 2014), which is why declarations of care are inauthentic unless accompanied by action. Matias and Zembylas urge folks to critically evaluate stated feelings of care by whites to unpack the hidden feelings of disgust many whites often convey in these statements, urging us to apply a critical compassion in these situations.

#### *Recognition of power and positionality*

For critical researchers, theory and practice is understood in relation to dominance, power, and authority within sociopolitical and historical contexts; facts cannot be separated from values and mainstream research that reasserts systems of privilege and oppression (Kincheloe, McLaren, & Steinberg, 2013). Critical theorists emphasize the need to analyze the role of power, control, and authority as they seek to empower the marginalized and transform oppressive structures through their research. Critical researchers incorporate methodologies that include multiple voices and perspectives to discover truth as it relates to social power, structures, and systems (Lincoln, Lynham, & Guba, 2013). The researchers worked with participants to amplify their voices and ground theories in their lived experiences in order to imagine more equitable library social structures and systems to take steps toward transformative action. Including participants in the research process lends validity to study results (Borda, 1999) while also empowering participants to work with the researchers to create emancipatory knowledge (Freire, 1970). For researchers to authentically include participants, trust and rapport must be built while the researchers' power to influence the process is minimized and participant voices are elevated. This allows the researchers to live their espoused values and realize their democratic intentions.

An awareness of positionality is essential for critical researchers to see beyond the ways of being with which they are familiar to be open, understand, and elevate participant voices. The authors acknowledge opportunities as well as challenges related to studying the institutions' library culture. As a mostly white, heteroflexible cisgender female, Barnett embodies characteristics akin to the predominantly white, female, heterosexual base of academic librarians. As an employee, student, and active campus community member, [she] understands many aspects of community culture and the obstacles to overcome to create a

more diverse, equitable, and inclusive campus environment. As a non-credentialed library employee who is not Catholic, there are cultural elements both within the library (such as the experience of attending library school) and the institution (such as nuanced interpretations or understandings of Catholic teachings) with which [she] remains unfamiliar. To minimize the biases she may bring to her interpretations of participant perceptions, [she] reflected regularly to bring to light and criticize her own assumptions throughout the research process.

As a Jewish, white, fluid, cisgender male who is a middle-aged tenure-line faculty member at the university being studied, Witenstein also has opportunities and challenges related to studying the institutions' library culture. Firstly, he studies higher education contexts both in the US and globally, yet does not typically study academic library culture. However, he does typically study higher education organizational culture and policy. He also has taught Barnett and supervised her in independent study. To enhance his academic library culture context, he has engaged in numerous, prolonged in-depth conversations with Barnett over two academic years. Nevertheless, as an outsider to the library's working culture, he defers to Barnett's keen insight and knowledge. He does bring experience utilizing participatory action research and the critical theory of love to support this project. As Barnett has done, Witenstein regularly reflected on his assumptions and biases throughout the research process and also held regular reflective conversations with Barnett throughout.

#### *Impetus for the study*

The researchers examined library employees' perceptions of the challenges and opportunities to doing equity-minded work in their academic library, with the goal of identifying steps the libraries' diversity and inclusion (D&I) team could take to support such work at a private, Catholic university in the Midwest. The library serves over 11,000 students, of which about 8500 are undergraduates. About 95% of students are full-time and 98% are 24 and under. About 80% of students are white, 6% are Hispanic/Latino, 5% are international, 5% are multiracial, 3% are black/African American, and 1% are Asian. Incorporating a critical approach allowed the researchers to examine how power, systems, and structures influence employees' abilities to approach their work with equity-mindedness. Using participatory action research (PAR), the researchers worked with library employees to create an inclusive process where voices were valued and researchers were transparent, encouraging support for any actions taken while modeling the social justice values inherent in doing diversity, equity, and inclusion work.

This study may benefit academic library administrators at the university as they consider how to better support equity-minded work for those they manage. It may also provide insight to university leaders as to how academic unit leaders live the espoused values of the institution, transforming the ways in which work is performed to better align with an organizational climate that is diverse, equitable, and inclusive. The study may benefit the students, faculty, and staff who frequent the library as new ways of performing work lead to better mechanisms for meeting the diverse needs of library users. Participants may also benefit from their participation as they make meaning of their experiences as employees through discussing and reflecting on their personal history, experiences, and those of their colleagues. While this study explored the unique experiences of library employees at one university, similarly situated colleagues at peer institutions or academic libraries with similar sociohistorical, political, and cultural forces shaping their work environments may also find value in the research findings.

#### **Design and methodology**

##### *Approach and rationale*

Our aim was to identify ways to support equity-minded work for

library faculty and staff while taking into account library employees' perceptions of the current systemic structures that present opportunities as well as challenges to creating a culture of equity in the library. The action-oriented nature of this project coupled with its goal for positive social change through navigating power dynamics and systems makes critical participatory action research an ideal framework within which to conduct the research (Borda, 1991). Because we are using a critical participatory action research approach, an initial plan was developed for the project but the critical theories and action steps identified were developed based on what emerged from engaging with library employees throughout the research process. This approach enhanced the researchers' abilities to authentically involve participants while affirming their voices, increasing buy-in, and inspiring employees to take ownership of and get involved in actions that support equity-mindedness.

The library is situated within a private, Catholic university in the Midwest committed to social justice, equity, and inclusion, values the college President states are aggressively pursued because they align with the university's mission to build community and work toward justice for all people. How institutional leaders frame issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion may shape the way students, faculty, and staff discuss these issues on campus. Indeed, library employees at times framed their discussion of diversity, equity, and inclusion using the shared language and images with which they were familiar from attending workshops and training on campus. The impetus exists for the university to transform itself through critical dialogues about equity and inclusivity, which could serve as models for employees to frame their own thoughts on social justice action, offering an opportunity for real social action.

Barnett's research role was complex as an employee of the library, member of the diversity and inclusion team, and researcher. She tried not to lose sight of this throughout the research process. She attempted to create an ideal speech situation (Bevan, 2013; Call-Cummings, 2017) to directly address any perceived power differences, be transparent, and invite participants to engage in every step of the process. She kept a journal to reflect regularly, which allowed her to adjust her practice to align with the findings that emerged from participant discussions. It was also essential for the researchers to build trust with library employees. Participants were asked to follow guidelines that offered each participant an opportunity to share and ensure what was shared would be kept confidential. Participants were able to review, edit, or make suggestions to the findings before they were shared with anyone outside the initial focus group discussion to ensure confidentiality and credibility of the findings.

Key to ensuring authenticity with research participants included building rapport and being aware of one's status and authority as a researcher while patiently probing, actively thinking, and sympathetically listening during interviews or focus groups (Madison, 2012). Analyzing data collected from participants during focus groups should add to emancipatory knowledge by further uncovering how power drives participant thoughts and actions. In connecting data analysis to theory, we tried to consider how findings could give voice to the marginalized and explore how a more just and equitable power dynamic could come from their implications. Participants gave their time and valuable information, which has the power to inform future equity initiatives and critical dialogues on campus. We tried to represent their voice authentically and hope this critical participatory action research process created opportunities for participants to self-reflect further as they continue to think about how to best support equity-minded work in the library.

We obtained appropriate permissions through the institutional review board (IRB) and committed to the Belmont Report guidelines for respect for persons, beneficence, and justice. Our ethical concerns went above and beyond those minimum guidelines as we attempted to be transparent with participants at every step in the process. We clearly stated the research goals and theoretical frame prior to the focus groups

and invited open, honest communication while being respectful of and including participant voices throughout the process. Working with marginalized employees and dialoguing about social justice present their own ethical considerations and we made conscientious efforts to be sensitive to participant needs throughout the process. We involved participants at every step and gave them opportunities to review preliminary findings (member-checking) and any solutions to the problems identified from those findings. This ensured the process was participatory as it enhanced credibility.

It would be naïve of us not to consider how politics could shape the research. The dominant narrative within which social justice issues are framed on this private, Catholic university campus is connected to the institutional mission and core values inherent in the stories of its religious founders. Systemic pressures may exist to conform to a Catholic framework and conforming in this way may enable social justice transformation on campus as employees are encouraged to embrace institutional values. As the university is committed to social justice, equity, and inclusion, it makes sense that these values would be embraced; but given some of the challenges identified by library employees, it is also important to consider how the Catholic identity of the institution creates opportunities as well as barriers to doing social justice work.

#### *Data construction methods*

We designed a moderator guide based on principles outlined in Danner, Pickering, and Pared (2018). The guide included an introduction, where the project goals and researcher positionalities were identified. Community guidelines were also set and participants were reminded that their participation was voluntary but encouraged. We prepared a copy of the library's D&I team charge as well as a list of potential ways equity-minded work could be supported as prompts in case participants asked for more information or examples. Library employees were invited to participate in focus groups via email, first by the chair of the D&I team (who abstained from participation) and then by Barnett. Ten employees expressed interest in participating, but only seven were able to attend the focus groups, which were conducted in September 2019. The seven participants represented all five library units (public services, technical services, cataloging and acquisitions, collections and operations, and special collections) and four (57%) were current members of the D&I team. Participants were female (100%), mostly white (86%), and mostly heterosexual (86%). Non-majority (non-white, non-heterosexual) participants' comments were elevated to ensure their perspectives were included.

The researchers conducted active, semi-structured focus groups about 60 min in duration. Questions centered on the following topics: What does equity mean to you and how do you apply the term to the work you do in the library? What opportunities and challenges do you see to doing equity-minded library work? In what ways do you envision the D&I team supporting your ability to do equity-minded work in the libraries? What might that look like? Participants were given activities to generate ideas individually and then shared their reflections with the group. Participants were also asked to identify their top priority for supporting equity-minded library work. Focus groups were audio-recorded for transcription purposes and copious field notes were taken and used to contextualize findings.

#### *Data analysis procedures*

The researchers went through both focus group transcripts, identifying codes to describe library employee experiences, thoughts, feelings, judgments, and values. This coding process resulted in over 20 codes that were then applied to the text and used to regroup and reorganize the data. Coding the focus group texts revealed that library systems and structures, institutional identity (especially as it conflicts with personal identity), lack of a diverse workforce, and limited

resources were the most frequently mentioned topics in reference to the challenges to doing equity-minded library work. Since this project is focused on action-oriented steps to support equity-minded library work, participant perspectives on opportunities to doing equity-minded library work were used to generate a list of suggestions for the D&I team. Four themes emerged (engaging stakeholders, building relationships, modeling equity-mindedness, and demonstrating intercultural competence) as the guiding principles that focused this critical participatory action research project and these themes were then used to develop a new practice to support a culture of equity within the library.

#### **Findings**

In talking about supporting equity-minded library work, one group focused on the privileged position of librarians and the responsibility of library employees to "seek the truth" and promote equity in their work. One librarian spoke of how learning about whiteness has helped her better understand her privilege:

"I feel like in the last 2 or 3 years, as I've started to understand more about whiteness, it's helped me to understand my own privileges and have consciousness about that so I can create more equitable environments for people who don't have those privileges."

Participants also pointed out the need to be critical of what they were taught in library school, such as the historical orientation of how libraries are neutral:

"I've been realizing more and more that is not true. We are not neutral, we are working towards the greater good and that takes action and engagement and not just neutrality."

Participants pointed out how some issues libraries are currently facing are a direct result of the choices librarians have made when doing their work:

"Archives have a nasty history of not collecting underrepresented, minority voices because we didn't see them as important or worthy of being retained and many of those voices aren't involved in this work."

Librarians must remain aware of "whose stories are not being told and captured and documented," which requires "leaning back from the word 'neutral' to describe what we've always done in recognition that nothing is neutral, nothing is objective, everything reflects choices made." Participants saw how historical decisions have shaped the current state of libraries as well as their role to change it as librarians "are in a position of opportunity to correct it." Themes identified here represent how librarians envisioned equity work could be supported.

#### *Engaging stakeholders*

Most participants (71%) identified the importance of engaging stakeholders in order to effectively support equity-minded library work. The theme that everyone, especially those impacted by decisions, should be a part of conversations and the decision-making process was pervasive in one of the focus groups. A staff member stated, "I'm not often included in making the decisions because I am not the decision maker" and everyone agreed with a statement made by a participant that "hierarchy distracts from equity." Participants felt they were receiving mixed messages as to how they can interact with students given their library roles. Two participants discussed the "perception that only some staff can talk and have interactions with students" and that if this is the case, "how can student concerns [be] supported equitably?" Diversity, equity, and inclusion workshops were discussed and one participant remembered "a great session" where all library employees participated and she wanted to find ways to involve all employees in equity activities. Participants also suggested that library staff reach out to students outside of the library to "meet students where they are at."

Due to limited resources, these participants thought it was important to partner with colleagues to help students.” In the other focus group, participants recognized that “libraries can level the playing field for everybody, “but we’re only reaching those students that “come into the library and interact with us and approach us.” Conducting outreach might also allow us to “begin to overcome [our negative history] ... and rebuild that relationship and repair that trust.”

### *Building relationships*

Building relationships is key to having effective interactions with stakeholders and most participants (71%) identified aspects of relationship building as essential to doing equity-minded library work. In fact, the top priority identified to support equity-minded work for most participants (60%) was relationship building with students. However, participants also recognized the importance of building relationships among library employees. One participant recognized that “we can’t work cohesively together unless we are all on the same page and respect one another too” and that equity is about “having a mutual respect for everyone and what they bring to the organization.” Another participant was concerned that “better decisions may not come forward because people may not feel like everyone is getting equitable treatment and may not voice [their views].” Furthermore, participants felt it was important to recognize that some library employees have relationships with students that might not be related to their role in the library. Unfortunately, often “assumptions may be made about what work colleagues are doing when dialoguing with students” and existing relationships between library employees and students are not always understood or recognized by supervisors. These relationships become particularly important when we consider how library anxiety might impact some students.

“Library anxiety is real and if you don’t grow up going to libraries and see these spaces as for you then why would you see it as a space you can work in? We’ve reached out to students to break down barriers of library anxiety and intimidation.”

Rather than assume we know what students want, especially those currently underserved by the library, “we need to reach out and ask them what they want.” At the same time, we cannot expect these students to open up and trust us now just because we want to engage with them.

“It may take a long time because of the centuries of not doing it right, it’s not going to change overnight that minority populations are suddenly going to have trust in these institutions... It’s a very long game.”

In this way, participants recognized the responsibility library employees have to treat colleagues and patrons with respect in order to create a welcoming library environment where everyone’s needs are supported and individuals are valued for what they bring to the organization.

### *Modeling equity-mindedness*

Although fewer participants (57%) directly mentioned examples of the ways they model equity-mindedness through their work, the examples mentioned highlight how some equity-minded practices are already in place and could serve as models for library employees. A supervisor shared how “I lean a lot on my team to make decisions. Therefore, showing we are all equitable because I can’t do my job without them doing theirs.” A staff member mentioned how “I’m trying to be mindful about how I treat people and interact with them. I am always searching for ways to be more equitable in our office.” Another staff member mentioned how we make “eye contact, [and a] first introduction that opens the door [to engaging students],” all of which highlight everyday actions library employees take to show respect,

which is an essential element of including diverse perspectives and creating a culture of equity. Additionally, many ideas listed under the previous themes of engaging stakeholders and building relationships also demonstrate ways library employees can model equity-mindedness.

### *Demonstrating intercultural competence*

All participants (100%) discussed aspects of intercultural competence throughout the focus group discussions. Once participant recognized that “we are culturally unaware,” and becoming aware of cultures globally is essential to doing equity-minded work. Another recognized that intercultural competence also requires self-work and that “becoming aware of our own privilege” is an important first step. One focus group in particular talked extensively about the library’s lack of a diverse workforce, even questioning what role our workforce plays in that reality. “It takes more humans and we need more diversity, but we can’t keep librarians. Is that a flaw? Is it us that’s driving them away?” If we are engaging with students, we need to “learn more about how to pronounce names and recognize cultural traditions” and empower staff by giving them tools so they can “step in and help when [they] witness confusion and issues with addressing students by their names.” One participant mentioned that having a “consistent message from the library staff in their signature line would be helpful” to demonstrate cultural competence and several participants referred to having preferred pronouns in their email signature. Another participant recognized the value of a new space in the library to work on intercultural competencies. “The Dialogue Zone will open up conversations that we’ve never had before and can be a space where we can do it.” Another participant connected intercultural competence to how we should “think and talk about policies,” mentioning an anti-racist speaker who shared how we can determine if policies are anti-racist.

### *Catholic identity*

It is important to note that participants also recognized how the Catholic identity of the institution sometimes gets in the way of creating equitable and inclusive environments for all. One focus group had an in-depth discussion of how “it’s hard to work here and be at odds with the Catholic principles.” One participant did not want colleagues to know when she divorced as she felt that “some people who are Catholic would judge me.” Another shared how inequity is “baked into our DNA” and that “the huge elephant in the room is ‘yes, this is a Catholic Marianist institution and the Church is so incredibly patriarchal and committed to being that way.’” Inequity in sexual identity was also discussed as one focus group member questioned whether it was safe for her queer child to attend the institution and another focus group member shared their conflicted feelings about authentically identifying within this Catholic community:

“I identify as a [non-majority] person ... and I still work with some very conservative people that I don’t feel comfortable exposing that information to ... and so when I’m thinking about this work, I’m thinking about how I can’t even really fully be myself here, so how can I help students do that? And I feel very torn between those two things.”

Other focus group members shared their perceptions of how Catholic teachings did not always align with values of diversity, equity, and inclusion. After describing the call to celibacy, one focus group member shared:

“I cannot live with that anymore but there are church documents that they have to comply with and this call to celibacy ... I feel duplicitous in saying I am working here and supporting the Catholic identity ... and yet I am so at odds with that.”

Another participant resolved their challenge with accepting certain



ideals by becoming what their grandmother calls a 'Cafeteria Catholic.' 'I pick and choose the social justice part of Catholicism and I feel there is no place left in the Church for me.' For another participant, this strategy is in and of itself problematic:

"It's really hard to think that people are picking and choosing cafeteria style what Catholic teachings, and that's a challenge we have as a library at a Catholic institution."

## Discussion of findings

Focus group participants recognized the importance of engaging stakeholders to embody the values of diversity, equity, and inclusion. Stakeholders identified included colleagues, students, and managers as well as underserved or marginalized populations. In order to effectively engage stakeholders, behaviors that embody relationship building were identified as essential. Having a mutual respect for colleagues was essential to working cohesively together. Employees who did not feel respected did not always share their views and their silence might have led to a less optimal solution to problems identified by management. Participants also recognized how library systems and structures get in the way of doing equity-minded work and how at times, supervisors reprimanded them for not following procedures when employees were assisting library users. In this way, a lack of relationship building between managers and those they manage disrupts employees' abilities to help library users, which could enhance rather than reduce library anxiety or intimidation experienced by some library users. It could also create the kind of toxic work environments that lower morale as well as negatively impact the mental and physical health of library employees (Kendrick, 2017).

Some participants shared ways they model equity-mindedness in their work, while all identified ways in which intercultural competence is lacking among library employees. While some of the participants were examining their privileges as white librarians, others recognized how many current library employees have not examined their privilege and lack understanding about the role it plays in academic library settings. Focus group members expected the dean to send the message to all employees that equity work is everybody's work. Participants saw humanization, cooperation, personal growth, and connection as important cultural practices to support equity-mindedness – the same 'power with' cultural practices typically absent in predominantly white settings (Brooks, 2017). Participants were also looking for direction from leaders to provide a framework for consistent and inclusive messages in their electronic communications as well as tools or training to effectively engage when they observed issues between colleagues and students, such as properly addressing students by their names. Rethinking how spaces are used and revisiting library structures and policies to ensure they are anti-racist were important to participants in order to improve equity-minded library practices. The library has a dedicated space where sustained dialogues can occur and this space could be used for further engagement on these topics. Librarians could also reach out to students underserved by the library to identify specific ways they can meet the needs of diverse library users. Additional training for library professionals in providing information services to diverse populations and developing culturally competency (Cooke, 2017) could also support librarians and build their confidence in performing equity-minded work. A follow up study could explore non-majority student perceptions of how library professionals provide information services.

Employees had concerns about how the Catholic identity of the institution might limit their ability to do equity-minded work, especially as it relates to gender and sexuality. The patriarchal organization of the Church as well as its support of homophobic policies was perceived barriers to doing equity-minded work. Participants identified some of the conflicts they personally suffer while trying to navigate being their authentic selves and supporting institutional values. At

times, the institutional values of diversity, equity, and inclusion were perceived by participants to be at odds with Catholic social teachings as well. This presents an opportunity for university leaders to engage these employee concerns with love to demonstrate care while remaining true to meaningful spiritual practice. It also offers university leaders an opportunity to further define and demonstrate what equity means at their religious institution.

Participant views fit with the critical theory of love in important ways. When talking about the challenges as well as opportunities to doing equity-minded work, participants recognized how aspects of their full personhood (mental, physical, emotional, spiritual, and sexual) were impacted. Their emphasis on care and relationship building are essential elements of incorporating a social justice framework so long as that care is authentic. Actions speak louder than words and demonstrating care toward others should be prioritized (Matias & Zembylas, 2014). Participants identified the importance of engaging all library employees when doing equity-minded work. As a result of engaging with library employees for this action research project, the D&I team is leading efforts to create opportunities for all library employees to engage diversity, equity, and inclusion topics. This includes working with colleagues to identify how equity applies to the work performed within departments. A case study activity was developed to examine library-specific scenarios where issues of equity arise to encourage active discussion, participation, and reflection as colleagues work together to identify equitable and inclusive solutions. The D&I team is also collaborating with campus partners to hold workshops with library administrators that encourage inclusive and equitable leadership practices.

It is important to note that these findings are generated from mostly white, heterosexual, and female perspectives. The researchers were careful to ensure minority voices were elevated in the sharing of findings and their perspectives affirmed. Often, these perspectives shed light on systemic issues both within the library culture and the institution that create barriers to doing equity-minded work. However, the prevalence of white (as well as female/heterosexual) participants within the focus groups may have influenced the ways in which white cultural practices (as well as gender and sexuality) was (or was not) discussed. Future research that explores minority library professional perspectives could complement the findings of this study.

## Conclusion

This study represents an iterative process of acting, reflecting, and applying critical theory to improve the ability for employees to do equity-minded work at an academic library. Four themes (engaging stakeholders building relationships, modeling equity-minded practices, and demonstrating intercultural competence) were identified as the guiding principles for supporting equity-minded library work (see Table 1) as these concepts were mentioned regularly by participants in both focus groups as they discussed how to best support equity-minded work. Action item examples in the table were generated from participant responses as well as from tips identified in Skrla, McKenzie, and Scheurich (2009), questions from the Racial Impact Assessment Guide (2009), critical assessment ideas in Magnus, Faber, and Belanger (2018), and the application of the critical theory of love (Brooks, 2017), critical whiteness and critical emotion studies (Matias & Zembylas, 2014) to the guiding principles that emerged. These guiding principles and example action items were then used to develop a framework for creating equity activities (i.e. case studies) for library employees to engage in within their work divisions.

## Author statement

Rachel Barnett: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Investigation, Writing- Original draft preparation, Writing - Reviewing and Editing, Project Administration, Validation, Witenstein: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Curation, Investigation,

**Table 1**  
Guiding principles with action item examples

Guiding principle	Example action items
Engaging stakeholders	Identify what group(s) are impacted by a new policy, practice, or proposal and include representative(s) from the group(s) in your decision-making process Authentically or meaningfully involve different perspectives (esp. from those most adversely impacted by decisions) to changes in policy or practice or with proposal development, etc. Involve individuals who are valued and respected by the groups they represent or serve
Building relationships	Listen to understand, not just respond Center individuals' experiences by affirming their mental, physical, emotional, spiritual, and sexual dimensions (i.e. full personhood) Acknowledge participants' feelings or beliefs
Modeling equity-mindedness	Reflect on how your identity, institutional role(s) and perspectives shape your work Take action to support someone in need Work with those most adversely impacted by policies, practices, or processes to find an equitable solution to a policy/practice change
Demonstrating intercultural competence	Seek out perspectives different from your own to solve problems or make decisions Consider alternative(s) to complete tasks that reduce disparities or advance equity Consider the underlying values, bases or assumptions emphasized in the decision-making process and its solution

## Supervision, Writing-Reviewing and Editing.

## References

- Alabi, J. (2015). Racial microaggressions in academic libraries: Results of a survey of minority and non-minority librarians. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 41(1), 47–53.
- American Library Association (2012a). Diversity counts 2012 tables [data file]. Retrieved from <http://www.ala.org/aboutala/sites/ala.org/aboutala/files/content/diversity/diversitycounts/diversitycountstables2012.pdf>.
- American Library Association (2012b). Diversity standards: Cultural competency for academic libraries. Retrieved from <http://www.ala.org/acrl/standards/diversity>.
- Bevan, A. (2013). Creating communicative spaces in an action research study. *Nurse Researcher*, 21(2), 14–17.
- Borda, O. F. (1991). Remaking knowledge. In O. F. Borda, & M. A. Rahman (Eds.). *Action and knowledge: Breaking the monopoly with participatory action-research* (pp. 146–164). New York: Apex Press.
- Borda, O. F. (1999). Kinsey dialogue series #1: The origins and challenges of participatory action research. *Participatory Research & Practice*, 10, 1–30.
- Brooks, D. N. (2017). (Re)conceptualizing love: Moving towards a critical theory of love in education for social justice. *Journal of Critical Thought and Praxis*, 6(3), 102–114.
- Call-Cummings, M. (2017). Establishing communicative validity: Discovering theory through practice. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 23(3), 192–200.
- Cooke, N. A. (2017). *Information services to diverse populations: Developing culturally competent library professionals*. Libraries Unlimited (an imprint of ABC-CLIO, LLC).
- Cornel West talks to David Schuster. Al Jazeera America. Retrieved December 13, 2019 from <http://america.aljazeera.com/watch/shows/talk-to-al-jazeera/interviews-and-more/2014/2/24/cornel-west-talkstodavidshuster.html>.
- Cruz, A. M. (2019). Intentional integration of diversity ideals in academic libraries: A literature review. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 45, 220–227.
- Damasco, I. T., & Hodges, D. (2012). Tenure and promotion experiences of academic librarians of color. *College & Research Libraries*, 73(3), 279–301.
- Danner, M. J. E., Pickering, J. W., & Pared, T. M. (2018). *Using focus groups to listen, learn, and lead in higher education*. Sterling, Virginia: Stylus Publishing.
- Duffus, O. A., Henry, T., Jones, J., & Krim, S. (2016). Diversity from the inside out: Eight years of the UNCG libraries diversity committee. *North Carolina Libraries*, 74(1), 25–29.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. (M. B. Ramos, Trans.). New York: Herder & Herder (Original work published 1968).
- Hooks, B. (1994). *Outlaw culture: Resisting representations*. New York: Routledge.
- Hooks, B. (1993). Reflections on faith and politics. *ReVision*, 15(3), 99.
- Kendrick, K. D. (2017). The low morale experience of academic librarians: A phenomenological study. *Journal of Library Administration*, 57, 846–878.
- Kincheloe, J. L., McLaren, P., & Steinberg, S. R. (2013). Critical pedagogy and qualitative research: Moving to the bricolage. In N. K. Denzin, & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.). *The landscape of qualitative research* (pp. 339–370). (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Knapp, J., Snavey, L., & Klimczyk, L. (2012). Speaking up: Empowering individuals to promote tolerance in the academic library. *Library Leadership & Management*, 26(1), 1–17.
- Lazzaro, A. E., Mills, S., Garrad, T., Ferguson, E., Watson, M., & Ellenwood, D. (2014). Cultural competency on campus: Applying ACRL's diversity standards. *College and Research Libraries News*, 75(6), 332–335.
- Lincoln, Y. S., Lynham, S. A., & Guba, E. (2013). Paradigmatic controversies, contradictions, and emerging confluences. In N. K. Denzin, & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.). *The landscape of qualitative research* (pp. 199–266). (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Madison, D. S. (2012). *Critical ethnography: Methods, ethics, and performance*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Magnus, E., Faber, M., & Belanger, J. (2018). Towards a critical assessment practice. *In the Library with the Lead Pipe*. Retrieved from <http://www.inthelibrarywiththeleadpipe.org/2018/towards-critical-assessment-practice/>.
- Matias, C. E., & Zembylas, M. (2014). "When saying you care is not really caring:" Emotions of disgust, whiteness ideology, and teacher education. *Critical Studies in Education*, 55(3), 319–337.
- Pusser, B. (2015). A critical approach to power in higher education. In A. M. Martinez-Aleman, B. Pusser, & E. M. Bensimon (Eds.). *Critical approaches to the study of higher education* (pp. 59–79). Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press.
- Racial Impact Assessment Guide (2009). Retrieved from [https://www.raceforward.org/sites/default/files/RacialJusticeImpactAssessment\\_v5.pdf](https://www.raceforward.org/sites/default/files/RacialJusticeImpactAssessment_v5.pdf).
- Skrila, L., McKenzie, K. B., & Scheurich, J. J. (Eds.). (2009). *Using equity audits to create equitable and excellent schools*. Corwin Press.