Thursday, January 21, 2016 6:01 PM If a and b are rational numbers, b +0, and vis an irrational humber, then a+br is irrational (gi) e + (e) (h)

(gi) e + (e) (h)

gid + eth berause eqi + 0 a+br must be
e gi

theorem is to a Suppose not That is, suppose that a tor is irrational when is cational. lets assume a = & where e +0
b = fg where g +0
r = k where i +0 the supposition is false and the proposed theorem is true 4.6-16 For all odd integer a,b, eag, if 2 is a solution of ax2+bx+c=0 then 2 is irrational. Suppose not. ax2+bx+c=0 where 2 is a solution and is rational 7= = where exo $a(\frac{d}{e})^{2} + b(\frac{d}{e}) + c = 0$ $a(\frac{d}{e})^{2} + b\frac{d}{e} + c = 0$ $e^{2}(\frac{d}{e^{2}}) + e^{2}(\frac{b}{e}) + e^{2}(c) = e^{2}(0)$ $ad^{2} + ebd + e^{2}c = 0$ of d = 2m cover a 4m² + eb 2m +e²c =0 e = In : even a 4m2 + b(2n/2m) + 4n2 c = 0 a4m2 + 4 bnm + 4m2c = 0 4 (am2 + bnm + n2 c) = 0 the only way for this equation to equal ois it a 151 and c are 0 the supposition is false and the proposed theorem is time, Suppose n is any integer such that 5 n [we must show that 5 hz]. By definition of 4.6-18 divisibility, n = 5k for some integer k. By substitution in2 = (tik) = 5 (tiz). This is an integer because it was the product of integers. Hence no = 5. (an integer), and also In2 (as was to be shown !