Threading Ecology: A Coherence-Based Framework for Ecosystem Dynamics with Disturbance Cycling

David D. Zelenka
Independent Researcher

October 12, 2025

Abstract

We propose a fundamental reconceptualization of ecological systems based on coherence dynamics and threading cycles rather than energy transfer. The Coherence Web reframes the traditional trophic relationships with coherence architectures where organisms function as memory activators, resonance amplifiers, and beauty gradient navigators within natural disturbance cycles. This approach integrates information theory, quantum coherence principles, and dynamical systems to model ecosystems as threaded networks of memory, agency, and phase alignment that undergo predictable threading-disturbance-redistribution cycles. We derive mathematical formulations for ecosystem health based on global resonance, beauty gradient flow, threading density, and disturbance cycling dynamics. Our framework predicts emergent cooperation, bidirectional influence across hierarchical levels, self-organizing restoration dynamics, and the essential role of disturbances in maintaining system threading vitality. We demonstrate how this approach resolves long-standing paradoxes in ecology and provides new metrics for conservation and restoration based on threading cycle health.

Keywords: ecological theory, coherence dynamics, information ecology, systems biology, quantum biology, network theory, disturbance ecology

1 Introduction

Classical ecological theory, grounded in energy flow and competitive exclusion principles [1, 2], increasingly struggles to explain ecosystem complexity, resilience, and the emergence of cooperative behaviors [3, 4]. The traditional trophic pyramid, while effective for describing biomass relationships, fails to capture the informational and organizational dynamics that define living systems [5, 6]—particularly the essential role of disturbance in maintaining systemic vitality [7, 8].

Recent advances in quantum biology [9, 10], network ecology [11, 12], and the application of information theory to biological organization [13, 14] suggest that coherence and information processing may constitute more fundamental organizing principles than energy transfer alone. At the same time, growing recognition of agency and choice in biological systems [15, 16] challenges purely mechanistic interpretations of ecological dynamics.

We propose the Threading Ecology framework, which reconceptualizes ecosystems as coherence architectures undergoing natural threading–disturbance cycles. Organisms "thread" together through memory activation, resonance alignment, and beauty gradients, while disturbances act as mechanisms of memory redistribution that prevent systemic lock-in and sustain renewal. This framework reframes the energy-based trophic pyramid as a Coherence Web, emphasizing functional roles in maintaining and enhancing system coherence through both creative threading and periodic disturbance-driven reorganization.

2 Theoretical Foundation: The Fabric Framework

Our approach builds on the **Fabric Framework** [17], which proposes that reality emerges from light threading itself into coherent geometries through agency. The core equations are:

2.1 Fundamental Equations

2.1.1 Coherence Rate

$$c = \frac{\Delta\Phi}{\Delta\tau} \tag{1}$$

where c is the local coherence rate, Φ represents system configuration, and τ is the threading depth parameter. *Example:* rate of reorganization in a recovering forest following disturbance.

2.1.2 Memory–Energy Relationship

$$E = Mc^2 \tag{2}$$

where M represents memory density, linking stored information to energetic potential. In ecology, c denotes the rate at which new ecological connections are thread (e.g., symbioses or nutrient pathways).

2.1.3 Memory States

$$M = M_{\text{active}} + M_{\text{latent}} \tag{3}$$

distinguishing between expressed constraints (M_{active}) and dormant potential (M_{latent}). *Example:* seeds and microbial spores represent M_{latent}; living biomass represents M_{active}.

2.1.4 Agency–Memory Activation

$$M_{\text{latent}} + A \to M_{\text{active}} \tag{4}$$

where A represents unmeasurable agency that activates dormant memory. *Example:* rainfall or disturbance triggers seed germination, activating latent ecological potential.

2.1.5 Resonance

$$R = \sum \cos(\Delta\phi) \tag{5}$$

measuring phase alignment among system components. *Example:* stable predator–prey cycles or synchronized nutrient turnover indicate high resonance (R).

2.1.6 Beauty Gradient

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla C, \quad \mathbf{B} = 0 \text{ (stable)} \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{B}$ represents the gradient of ecological coherence C, driving the evolution of system structure and function. *Example:* rainforests exhibit strong beauty gradients (large $\mathbf{B}$) through complex, highly coherent interactions; tundra ecosystems exhibit smaller $\mathbf{B}$ values due to limited structural resolution.

2.1.7 Ecological Memory Flow

$$\mathbf{g}_{\text{memory}} = k\nabla M \tag{7}$$

where $\mathbf{g}_{\text{memory}}$ represents the tendency of an ecosystem to evolve toward states maximizing coherence, resilience, and structural complexity. *Example:* post-fire succession moves along ∇M as ecosystems reorganize toward higher coherence states.

2.1.8 Coherence Evolution

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \tau} = f(B, R, M_{\text{active}}, M_{\text{latent}}, A_{\text{creative}}) - g(A_{\text{disturbance}}) \tag{8}$$

where A_{creative} builds coherence and $A_{\text{disturbance}}$ redistributes memory. *Example:* ecosystem recovery rate depends on creative agency (e.g., species diversification) minus disruptive forces (e.g., wildfire or drought).

2.2 Threading Cycle Dynamics

System Evolution Through Threading:

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial \tau} = -k\nabla M + f(A_{\text{disturbance}}) \tag{9}$$

where D represents diversity, showing that as memory density (M) accumulates, diversity tends to consolidate ($-k\nabla M$), while periodic disturbance ($A_{\text{disturbance}}$) reintroduces variation. *Example:* succession following wildfire, where biomass concentration (memory) initially suppresses diversity until disturbance resets the system.

Memory Accumulation:

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial \tau} = h(D, \rho_{\text{threading}}) - \text{redistribution}(A_{\text{disturbance}}) \tag{10}$$

where $\rho_{\text{threading}}$ is threading density, representing the intensity of ecological interactions. Diversity (D) and dense interaction networks build memory, while disturbance redistributes it without total loss. *Example:* soil seed banks or nutrient reservoirs act as latent memory preserved through redistribution.

Disturbance Emergence:

$$A_{\text{disturbance}} = f(\nabla^2 M) \tag{11}$$

where the Laplacian of memory density ($\nabla^2 M$) identifies points of high curvature—regions of accumulated coherence tension that trigger agency-driven redistribution. *Example:* dense fuel buildup in forests leads to fire as a release of stored coherence potential.

Disturbance Probability:

$$P(\text{disturbance}) = \frac{|\psi_{\text{system}}|^2}{\sum |\psi_{\text{system}}|^2} \times g(\text{gradient steepness}) \tag{12}$$

where ψ_{system} represents the system's coherence amplitude, and the probability of disturbance increases with both local coherence intensity and gradient steepness. *Example:* tightly coupled ecosystems (high coherence amplitude) experience more synchronized collapse events when gradients steepen.

Scale-Invariant Agency:

$$A_{\text{total}} = A_{\text{micro}} + A_{\text{macro}} + A_{\text{conscious}} \tag{13}$$

where total agency emerges self-similarly across scales—from microbial to ecological to conscious systems—each capable of activating or redistributing memory within its domain. *Example:* microbial adaptation, ecosystem response, and human land management all represent nested agency expressions.

2.3 Complete Threading Cycle

Phase 1 — Creative Threading:

$$\text{High } D \rightarrow \text{Threading interactions} \rightarrow \frac{\partial M}{\partial \tau} > 0 \tag{14}$$

$$M_{\text{latent}} + A_{\text{creative}} \rightarrow M_{\text{active}} \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \tau} > 0 \quad \text{(coherence builds)} \tag{16}$$

High diversity (D) promotes new ecological connections (threading), converting latent potential into active structure and increasing overall coherence. *Example:* colonization of a newly exposed soil surface where multiple species establish novel interactions.

Phase 2 — Memory Concentration:

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial \tau} = -k\nabla M \quad \text{(diversity flows toward memory centers)} \tag{17}$$

$$\nabla^2 M \rightarrow \text{peaks (memory accumulation)} \tag{18}$$

$$R_{\text{local}} \rightarrow \text{maximum (local resonance locks)} \tag{19}$$

As coherence increases, diversity condenses into dominant memory structures, forming locally resonant systems. *Example:* mature forest canopy or coral reef where structure stabilizes and new entrants are limited.

Phase 3 — Disturbance Trigger:

$$A_{\text{disturbance}} = f(\nabla^2 M) \quad \text{(agency responds to steep memory curvature)} \tag{20}$$

$$P(\text{disturbance}) \propto |\nabla M| \quad \text{(probability rises with gradient)} \tag{21}$$

$$\text{Disturbance event} \rightarrow \text{release of accumulated coherence} \tag{22}$$

When memory gradients steepen beyond stability, agency manifests as disturbance—resetting coherence through reorganization. *Example:* wildfire, pest outbreak, or human land-clearing decision.

Phase 4 — Memory Redistribution:

$$M_{\text{active}} \rightarrow M_{\text{redistributed}} \tag{23}$$

$$R_{\text{local}} \rightarrow \text{disrupted (resonance breaks)} \tag{24}$$

$$M_{\text{redistributed}} \rightarrow M_{\text{latent}} \quad \text{(potential reset)} \tag{25}$$

Disturbance does not erase memory; it redistributes it, transforming active forms into latent reservoirs for future coherence. *Example:* seed banks, soil nutrients, and surviving root systems after fire.

Phase 5 — Threading Reset:

$$D \rightarrow \text{high (renewed diversity)} \tag{26}$$

$$\text{Cycle repeatsat next scale or location} \tag{27}$$

Post-disturbance diversity increases again, enabling new threading and the renewal of systemic coherence. *Example:* early-successional mosaic after disturbance, preparing the system for a new coherence phase.

2.4 Ecological Translation

In ecological contexts, the core Fabric variables translate as follows:

- Φ: **Ecosystem configuration** — overall system state including species composition, spatial organization, and behavioral patterns.
- M_{active}: **Expressed ecological memory** — active genetic programs, metabolic pathways, ongoing behaviors, and established interaction networks.
- M_{latent}: **Dormant ecological potential** — seed banks, resting stages, dormant genes, and latent behavioral repertoires that encode future possibilities.
- R: **System-wide synchronization** — phase alignment across ecological processes such as seasonal rhythms, migration cycles, and trophic interactions.
- B: **Beauty (Coherence) Gradient** — the directional tendency toward higher systemic coherence, guiding the evolution of structure and function.
- A_{creative}: **Constructive agency** — biological, ecological, or cognitive processes that build new coherence (e.g., niche formation, innovation, symbiosis).
- $A_{\text{disturbance}}$: **Redistributive agency** — forces or events that reset coherence by redistributing memory (e.g., fire, storm, disease, human disturbance).
- D: **Functional and structural diversity** — the richness and balance of interacting forms and processes that sustain coherence potential.
- ∇M: **Memory gradient** — directional flow of ecological information from low to high density, analogous to energy or nutrient flow toward organized structure.
- $\nabla^2 M$: **Memory curvature (accumulation)** — second-order gradient detecting overconcentration of memory; when steep, it triggers disturbance or renewal.

3 The Threading Field Model

3.1 Functional Roles in a Coherence Web

Ecological systems are understood here as a *threading field* — a dynamic web of roles that cyclically generate, concentrate, redistribute, and renew coherence. Each role expresses both a *creative threading* function (building coherence) and a *disturbance redistribution* function (resetting coherence through reorganization). These roles operate across scales, interpenetrating rather than stacking hierarchically, like the trophic pyramid.

3.1.1 Role 1: Coherence Architects

Creative Function: System-wide beauty maximizers and coherence directors. **Redistribution Function:** Global rebalancers when memory gradients become extreme. **Variables:** $R \to \infty$, high M_{active}, $A_{\text{creative}} \to \infty$, threshold-dependent $A_{\text{disturbance}}$. **Dynamics:**

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \tau} = f(B_{\text{global}}) \tag{28}$$

$$A_{\text{disturbance}} = f(\nabla^2 M_{\text{system}}) \text{ (triggered at high curvature)} \tag{29}$$

Examples: Ancient trees whose death reorganizes forests; apex predators whose loss triggers trophic cascades; keystone individuals shaping large-scale coherence.

3.1.2 Role 2: Phase Bridges

Creative Function: Cross-scale translators maintaining phase coherence among subsystems. **Redistribution Function:** Cascade initiators that propagate disturbances across scales. **Variables:** High multi-frequency R, moderate M_{active}, variable A. **Dynamics:**

$$R_{\text{bridge}} = \sum \cos(\Delta\phi_{\text{local}}) \cos(\Delta\phi_{\text{global}}) \tag{30}$$

Examples: Migratory species linking ecosystems; seasonal synchronizers connecting temporal rhythms of disturbance and renewal.

3.1.3 Role 3: Resonance Clusters

Creative Function: Local coherence anchors and sites of memory crystallization. **Redistribution Function:** Memory release and reformation centers following disturbance. **Variables:** High M_{latent}, moderate M_{active}, localized R. **Dynamics:**

$$B = \nabla C \approx 0 \text{ (local stability)} \tag{31}$$

$$A_{\text{creative}} + M_{\text{latent}} \to M_{\text{active}} \tag{32}$$

$$A_{\text{disturbance}} + M_{\text{active}} \to M_{\text{redistributed}} \tag{33}$$

Examples: Beaver dams, wetlands, mycorrhizal networks — local systems that both store and release memory.

3.1.4 Role 4: Flow Weavers

Creative Function: Dynamic facilitators maintaining movement and turnover. **Redistribution Function:** Catalysts accelerating matter and information redistribution. **Variables:** Medium R, balanced $M_{\text{active}}/M_{\text{latent}}$, moderate A. **Dynamics:**

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau} \text{ high (rapid reconfiguration)} \tag{34}$$

$$M_{\text{active}} \xrightarrow{\text{processing}} M_{\text{redistributed}} \tag{35}$$

Examples: Decomposers recycling material, pollinators transmitting genetic information, agents of constant renewal.

3.1.5 Role 5: Coherence Currents

Creative Function: Distributed agents maintaining flow along coherence gradients. **Redistribution Function:** Spatial equalizers reducing excessive gradients. **Variables:** High M_{latent}, low M_{active}, directional gradient g. **Dynamics:**

$$g = k\nabla M \tag{36}$$

$$A_{\text{creative}} \propto R_{\text{collective}} \text{ (swarm coherence)} \tag{37}$$

Examples: Herds, schools, and flocks redistributing nutrients and memory through movement; water and air flows smoothing systemic gradients.

3.1.6 Role 6: Memory Seeders

Creative Function: Latent potential activators initiating new coherence cycles. **Redistribution Function:** Reset agents restoring diversity after disruption. **Variables:** Extremely high M_{latent}, low M_{active}, threshold A. **Dynamics:**

$$M_{\text{latent}} + A_{\text{creative}} \rightarrow M_{\text{active}} \tag{38}$$

$$P = \frac{|\psi|^2}{\sum |\psi|^2} \text{ (probabilistic activation)} \tag{39}$$

Examples: Pioneer species colonizing disturbed areas; microbial activation after disturbance; seed banks germinating post-fire.

3.2 System Interpretation

In this model, coherence threads through a dynamic network rather than a hierarchy. Each role can occupy multiple scales simultaneously, participating in both the buildup and the redistribution of memory. Disturbance and creativity are therefore not opposing forces but complementary expressions of the same underlying cycle of resonance and renewal.

4 Mathematical Framework

This section formalizes system-level metrics that quantify ecosystem coherence, memory dynamics, and disturbance regimes. These metrics are derived from the fundamental equations and threading cycle dynamics, providing a practical framework for evaluating ecosystem health and resilience.

4.1 System Health Metrics Including Disturbance

Global Resonance:

$$R_{\text{system}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \cos(\phi_i - \phi_{\text{mean}}) \tag{40}$$

where R_{system} measures phase alignment across all system components, reflecting synchronization in population cycles, seasonal rhythms, or multi-species coordination.

Effective Beauty Flow:

$$B_{\text{eff}} = \nabla C \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\text{system}} \tag{41}$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{\text{system}}$ is the system's evolutionary trajectory, and B_{eff} represents the directional flow of organizational coherence along this trajectory.

Memory Activation Rate:

$$\alpha = \frac{\partial M_{\text{active}}}{\partial \tau} = f(A_{\text{creative}}, \text{environmental triggers}, R_{\text{local}}) \tag{42}$$

describing how active ecological memory grows through biological agency, environmental inputs, and local resonance.

Memory Redistribution Rate:

$$\beta = \frac{\partial M_{\text{redistributed}}}{\partial \tau} = g(A_{\text{disturbance}}, \nabla^2 M, \text{system vulnerability}) \tag{43}$$

capturing memory movement triggered by disturbances, where $M_{\text{redistributed}}$ partially feeds latent potential (M_{latent}) and propagates coherence across the system.

Threading Density:

$$\rho_{\text{threading}} = \frac{N_{\text{pathways}}}{V_{\text{space}} \cdot T_{\text{time}}} \tag{44}$$

quantifying the density of coherence pathways per unit space and time, linking ecological interactions to system connectivity.

Disturbance Regime Health:

$$H_{\text{disturbance}} = \frac{\text{Frequency} \times \text{Intensity} \times \text{Redistribution efficiency}}{\text{System recovery time}} \tag{45}$$

providing a composite measure of disturbance impact relative to ecosystem recovery capacity.

Cycle Completeness:

$$C_{\text{cycle}} = \frac{\int_{\text{cycle}} (\alpha + \beta)\, d\tau}{\tau_{\text{cycle}}} \tag{46}$$

evaluating the extent to which threading-disturbance cycles are fully executed, combining memory activation and redistribution over time.

Agency Distribution Across Scales:

$$A_{\text{total}} = \sum_i (A_{i,\text{creative}} + A_{i,\text{disturbance}})\, w_i \tag{47}$$

where A_{total} aggregates agency contributions across micro-, meso-, and macro-scales, with weights w_i reflecting hierarchical influence on system dynamics.

4.2 Stability Analysis with Disturbance Integration

A system exhibits **dynamic stability** when:

$$\left|\frac{\partial C}{\partial \tau}\right| \text{ oscillates within bounds (bounded coherence change)} \tag{48}$$

$$R_{\text{system}} > R_{\text{critical}} \quad \text{(sufficient global resonance)} \tag{49}$$

$$\nabla^2 M \text{ shows regular cycling (memory peaks and relaxation)} \tag{50}$$

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \approx 1 \quad \text{(balanced memory activation and redistribution)} \tag{51}$$

$$H_{\text{disturbance}} > H_{\text{minimum}} \quad \text{(adequate disturbance to prevent lock-in)} \tag{52}$$

Pathological States:

$$\text{Over-threading:} \quad \nabla^2 M \to \infty, \quad \beta \to 0 \quad \text{(memory accumulates but not redistributed)} \tag{53}$$

$$\text{Under-threading:} \quad \alpha \to 0, \quad D \to \text{constant} \quad \text{(no new memory generated)} \tag{54}$$

$$\text{Disturbance deficit:} \quad A_{\text{disturbance}} \to 0, \quad R_{\text{system}} \text{ may lock-in} \tag{55}$$

$$\text{Disturbance excess:} \quad \beta >> \alpha, \quad C_{\text{cycle}} \ll 1 \quad \text{(memory fails to accumulate)} \tag{56}$$

4.3 Perturbation Response with Memory Dynamics

System response to an external perturbation is described by:

$$\delta C(t) = \delta C_0\, e^{-\gamma t} \cos(\omega t + \phi) + \Delta M_{\text{redistribution}} \tag{57}$$

where

- γ represents damping due to memory activation and absorption,
- ω is the system's natural oscillation frequency (related to R_{system}),
- $\Delta M_{\text{redistribution}}$ accounts for memory rebalancing and redistribution (linked to β).

Recovery Trajectory:

$$\text{Phase 1:} \quad M_{\text{active}} \to M_{\text{redistributed}} \quad \text{(memory disruption)} \tag{58}$$

$$\text{Phase 2:} \quad M_{\text{redistributed}} \to M_{\text{latent}} \quad \text{(reset potential)} \tag{59}$$

$$\text{Phase 3:} \quad M_{\text{latent}} + A_{\text{creative}} \to M_{\text{active}} \quad \text{(reactivation)} \tag{60}$$

$$\text{Phase 4:} \quad C \to C_{\text{new}} \quad \text{(post-perturbation coherence, often } C_{\text{new}} > C_{\text{original}}) \tag{61}$$

This formalism explicitly ties perturbation response to memory dynamics and coherence evolution, maintaining consistency with previous threading cycle and mathematical framework sections.

5 Empirical Predictions

5.1 Testable Hypotheses

We define six testable hypotheses, each tied directly to the threading-memory framework:

1. **H1 – Threading-Disturbance Cycles:** Healthy ecosystems exhibit predictable cycles in which memory accumulation ($M_{\text{active}} + M_{\text{latent}}$) is followed by redistribution events driven by disturbance agency ($A_{\text{disturbance}}$).
2. **H2 – Memory Gradient Triggers:** The probability of disturbance events increases with the steepness of memory density gradients, quantified as $|\nabla^2 M|$.
3. **H3 – Scale-Invariant Agency:** Disturbance and redistribution dynamics show consistent patterns across hierarchical scales, from cellular processes to ecological networks and human decision-making.
4. **H4 – Post-Disturbance Coherence Enhancement:** Ecosystems frequently achieve higher overall coherence ($C_{\text{new}} > C_{\text{pre}}$) following disturbance-redistribution cycles due to reorganization and latent memory activation.
5. **H5 – Threading Density Recovery Before Composition:** Following a disturbance, the restoration of threading pathways ($\rho_{\text{threading}}$) occurs prior to the full recovery of species composition or functional diversity (D).
6. **H6 – Multi-Phase Memory Recovery:** Ecosystem recovery proceeds through distinct phases corresponding to memory state transitions:

   $$M_{\text{active}} \to M_{\text{redistributed}} \to M_{\text{latent}} \to M_{\text{active}}$$

   reflecting activation, redistribution, reset, and reactivation.

5.2 Observable Phenomena

Each hypothesis is associated with measurable system behaviors, which can be used to test predictions empirically.

Memory Accumulation Signatures (H1, H5, H6):

- Increasing local coordination and phase locking among species or functional units
- Steepening resource and memory gradients toward memory centers
- Reduced system-wide diversity with localized intensification
- Pre-disturbance behavioral shifts in keystone species

Disturbance Trigger Patterns (H2, H3, H4):

- Disturbance probability scales with local memory gradient steepness ($|\nabla^2 M|$)
- Death or removal of keystone individuals aligns with memory accumulation peaks
- Natural disturbances (storm, fire) follow spatial patterns of memory density
- Human intervention decisions correlate with local or global memory states

Redistribution Dynamics (H1, H4, H6):

- Rapid transitions of memory states immediately after disturbance
- Spatial rebalancing of resources and organism distributions
- Temporary local coherence loss with preservation of global patterns
- Activation of latent memory reservoirs (seed banks, dormant behaviors)

Recovery Signatures (H4, H5, H6):

- Restoration of threading pathways ($\rho_{\text{threading}}$) preceding species composition recovery
- Memory recrystallization into new spatial or functional patterns
- Enhanced global system coherence relative to pre-disturbance state
- Renewed threading potential and increased resilience to subsequent perturbations

6 Applications

6.1 Conservation Strategies

Traditional Approach: Protect species and energy flows, minimize disturbances
Threading Approach: Preserve threading-disturbance cycles and maintain memory redistribution capacity.

Priority Actions:

1. **Preserve Cycle Completeness:** Ensure all phases of threading-disturbance cycles can occur.

2. **Maintain Agency Distribution:** Retain agents of disturbance at multiple scales.
3. **Protect Memory Reservoirs:** Safeguard both active and latent memory stores.
4. **Enable Spatial Redistribution:** Maintain corridors for memory rebalancing.
5. **Monitor Gradient Health:** Track memory density patterns and disturbance regimes.

6.2 Restoration Ecology

Traditional Approach: Reestablish species composition and succession sequences
Threading Approach: Activate memory-disturbance cycles and restore threading architecture.

Memory-Disturbance Restoration Protocol:

Phase 1 – Memory Assessment:

$$M_{\text{latent,available}} = \int (\text{seed banks} + \text{genetic reservoirs} + \text{behavioral repertoires})\, dV \tag{62}$$

$$M_{\text{active,remnant}} = \sum \text{functioning ecological processes} \tag{63}$$

$$\text{Memory deficit} = M_{\text{target}} - (M_{\text{latent}} + M_{\text{active}}) \tag{64}$$

Phase 2 – Disturbance Regime Design:

$$A_{\text{disturbance,planned}} = f(\text{natural regime} \times \text{current conditions}) \tag{65}$$

$$\text{Timing} = \text{optimize for } M_{\text{latent}} \to M_{\text{active}} \tag{66}$$

$$\text{Intensity} = \text{sufficient for redistribution, not destruction} \tag{67}$$

Phase 3 – Threading Nucleation:

1. Establish resonance nodes to nucleate stable threading patterns.
2. Generate beauty gradients guiding self-organization toward coherence maxima.
3. Activate memory cascades: $M_{\text{latent}} \to M_{\text{active}}$.
4. Enable multi-scale agency distribution rather than top-down control.

Phase 4 – Cycle Monitoring and Adjustment:

$$\text{Success} \propto \rho_{\text{threading}} \times C_{\text{cycle}} \times H_{\text{disturbance}} \tag{68}$$

$$\text{Intervention} = f(\text{cycle deviation}, \text{memory gradient health}) \tag{69}$$

Success Metrics:

- $\partial \rho_{\text{threading}}/\partial t > 0$ (threading density increase)
- $\partial R_{\text{system}}/\partial t > 0$ (global resonance enhancement)
- $\alpha > \alpha_{\text{threshold}}$ (memory activation rate)
- $B_{\text{flow}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\text{desired}} > 0$ (beauty gradient alignment)
- $H_{\text{disturbance}} \to H_{\text{natural}}$ (disturbance regime establishment)
- $C_{\text{cycle}} \to 1$ (cycle completeness)

6.3 Ecosystem Management

Traditional Approach: Maintain stability through disturbance suppression
Threading Approach: Optimize threading-disturbance cycles to support system health.

Adaptive Cycle Management Protocol:
Monitoring Equations:

$$\text{If } R_{\text{system}} < R_{\text{critical}} : \quad \text{Enhance Phase Bridge connectivity} \tag{70}$$

$$\text{If } \frac{\partial M_{\text{active}}}{\partial \tau} < \text{threshold} : \quad \text{Activate dormant memory reservoirs} \tag{71}$$

$$\text{If } B_{\text{flow}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\text{desired}} < 0 : \quad \text{Adjust beauty gradients} \tag{72}$$

$$\text{If } \nabla^2 M > M_{\text{critical}} : \quad \text{Facilitate natural disturbance} \tag{73}$$

$$\text{If } H_{\text{disturbance}} < H_{\text{minimum}} : \quad \text{Introduce controlled disturbance} \tag{74}$$

$$\text{If } C_{\text{cycle}} < 0.8 : \quad \text{Remove cycle blockages} \tag{75}$$

Cycle Phase Management:
Creative Threading Phase:

- Enhance connectivity between threading levels.
- Remove barriers to $M_{\text{latent}} \rightarrow M_{\text{active}}$ transitions.
- Protect Coherence Architects and Phase Bridge functions.

Memory Concentration Phase:

- Allow natural gravity-like flows: $g = k\nabla M$.
- Monitor gradient steepening.
- Protect critical memory reservoirs in preparation for disturbance.

Disturbance Phase:

- Facilitate natural or managed disturbance to redistribute memory.
- Protect essential memory reservoirs during redistribution.

Redistribution Phase:

- Enable spatial memory rebalancing.
- Protect Flow Weavers and Coherence Currents dispersal corridors.
- Monitor $M_{\text{redistributed}} \rightarrow M_{\text{latent}}$ transitions.

Reset Phase:

- Activate Memory Seeders and support diversity restoration.
- Protect early threading pathway formation.
- Monitor transition to next creative threading phase.

6.4 Human System Integration

Consciousness as Coherence Architect:

$$A_{\text{conscious}} = \text{human choice-making capacity} \tag{76}$$
$$R_{\text{conscious}} = \text{alignment with planetary threading patterns} \tag{77}$$
$$M_{\text{conscious}} = \text{cultural and technological memory} \tag{78}$$

Human Disturbance Roles:

- Beneficial: agriculture cycles, forest management, urban-nature integration.
- Pathological: disturbance suppression, memory gradient disruption, cycle interruption.
- Optimal: conscious facilitation of natural threading-disturbance cycles.

Planetary Threading Integration:

$$\frac{\partial C_{\text{planetary}}}{\partial \tau} = f(C_{\text{ecosystem}} + C_{\text{human}} + C_{\text{interaction}}) \tag{79}$$
$$A_{\text{planetary}} = A_{\text{natural}} + A_{\text{conscious}} + A_{\text{synergistic}} \tag{80}$$

7 Discussion

7.1 Theoretical Implications

The Coherence Web framework with disturbance cycling resolves several long-standing ecological paradoxes:

Paradox of Cooperation: Cooperation emerges from threading elegance rather than competition for resources. Disturbances prevent any single cooperative arrangement from becoming locked-in [18, 19].

Stability–Diversity Relationship: Greater diversity increases threading density, providing more pathways for memory redistribution and enhancing both coherence and resilience [20, 21].

Keystone Species Effects: Disproportionate impacts arise because keystone species act as *Coherence Architects*, triggering system-wide memory redistribution rather than merely altering energy flow [22, 23].

Ecosystem Resilience: Recovery operates through memory activation and coherence restoration guided by disturbance–redistribution cycles, not solely through demographic processes [24, 25].

Disturbance Necessity: Ecosystems require disturbance for long-term health; without redistribution, memory and coherence become locked into suboptimal configurations [7, 26].

7.2 Connection to Existing Theory

Information Ecology: The framework formalizes ecological information flow [27, 28] via transitions among memory states and threading dynamics.

Network Ecology: Threading pathways correspond to coherence networks [29, 30], extended by temporal evolution and disturbance cycling.

Quantum Biology: Coherence principles scale quantum effects [31, 32] to ecosystems through memory–agency interactions.

Complex Systems Theory: Beauty gradients introduce a directional driver to ecological complexity theory [33, 34], while disturbance cycles prevent systemic lock-in.

Panarchy: Threading cycles formalize Holling's adaptive cycle [24, 25] with mathematical grounding in memory dynamics and distributed agency.

Disturbance Ecology: The framework provides a mechanistic basis for the intermediate disturbance hypothesis [35] and pulse–press theory [36] via memory redistribution dynamics.

7.3 Limitations and Future Directions

Measurement Challenges: Quantifying abstract system properties such as beauty, agency, and coherence remains a major methodological barrier. Advancing this framework will require the development of new measurement tools, including:

- Remote sensing or imaging approaches to estimate threading pathway density
- Behavioral and physiological indicators of memory state transitions
- Network-based metrics for ecological coherence and resonance
- Standardized protocols for disturbance regime characterization

Research Design: The present study integrates existing datasets to test conceptual predictions. Future research should include experiments and monitoring programs specifically designed to detect, quantify, or falsify the proposed relationships between coherence dynamics, disturbance, and ecological memory.

8 Data and Results

This section examines empirical patterns from three ecological studies to evaluate the six primary hypotheses derived from the Threading-Memory framework. Each dataset provides insight into distinct scales and disturbance types:

- **Study 1:** Seed bank legacies in North American deserts [37] – long-term fire effects on belowground ecological memory.
- **Study 2:** Bonanza Creek experimental forest [38] – boreal fire disturbance as coherence redistribution.
- **Study 3:** Alpine grassland disturbance on the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau [39] – small mammal disturbance and multifunctionality dynamics.

Each study is analyzed in the context of the six testable hypotheses (H1–H6), focusing on how disturbance and memory redistribution shape ecological coherence, diversity, and recovery trajectories.

Analysis scripts and data products have been posted to a GitHub repository: `https://github.com/davezelenka/threading-dynamics/tree/main/ThreadingEcology`

8.1 Seed Bank Legacies in North American Deserts

Hosna and Faist (2022)[37] examined long-term soil seed bank responses to wildfire across four desert ecosystems. Their findings illustrate how past disturbances leave measurable legacies in belowground plant communities, supporting key aspects of ecological memory and redistribution.

8.1.1 H1 – Threading–Disturbance Cycles

Fire history significantly altered seed bank composition in cold deserts even 30 years post-disturbance, showing that disturbance initiates redistribution and reorganization cycles within ecological memory stores.

8.1.2 H2 – Memory Gradient Triggers

Differences in seed bank composition between burned and unburned plots reflect steep memory gradients between microsites, implying that accumulated ecological memory increases the probability and intensity of disturbance-driven reorganization.

8.1.3 H4 – Post-Disturbance Coherence Enhancement

Although composition shifted, seed bank diversity persisted, suggesting latent memory reservoirs that facilitate coherence restoration following disturbance.

8.1.4 H6 – Multi-Phase Memory Recovery

The persistence of seed bank legacies over decades exemplifies multi-phase recovery: redistribution, latency, and reactivation of stored memory through future germination cycles.

Summary: Hosna and Faist's data validate the long persistence and cyclical nature of ecological memory, consistent with the framework's predictions for disturbance–threading dynamics.

8.2 Bonanza Creek Fire Regimes: Coherence Redistribution in Boreal Forests

The Bonanza Creek analysis [38] evaluates fire-driven transformations within the boreal forest as expressions of coherence redistribution and memory cycling.

- **H1 – Threading–Disturbance Cycles:** Strong correlations between pre–post memory gradients ($r_s = 0.79$–0.92, $p < 0.001$) indicate cyclical redistribution of ecological memory following disturbance.
- **H2 – Memory Gradient Triggers:** Steeper gradients correspond to greater compositional shifts, supporting the prediction that memory gradients trigger disturbance initiation and magnitude.
- **H4 – Post-Disturbance Coherence Enhancement:** Despite biomass loss, reduced diversity index variance and emergent post-fire symmetry suggest partial coherence enhancement.
- **H5 – Threading Density Recovery Before Composition:** Deciduous species biomass recovers earlier than compositional equilibrium, reflecting restoration of threading density before full community structure recovery.
- **H6 – Multi-Phase Memory Recovery:** Sequential shifts from conifer to deciduous dominance represent the full cycle of memory activation, redistribution, and re-latency.

Summary: These results frame fire not as external destruction but as an internal coherence reset, reorganizing stored memory through systemic redistribution.

8.3 Alpine Grassland Disturbance: Memory Buffers and Biodiversity–Function Links

Li et al. (2025)[39] analyzed 90 paired plots across the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau comparing pika-disturbed and undisturbed alpine grasslands, measuring biodiversity, biomass, and ecosystem multifunctionality.

8.3.1 H1 – Threading–Disturbance Cycles

Pika activity redistributed biomass and nutrient stocks, reducing multifunctionality and initiating a new threading cycle of recovery.

8.3.2 H2 – Memory Gradient Triggers

Higher pre-disturbance SOC (soil organic carbon) buffered against function loss ($r = -0.54$, $p < 0.001$), demonstrating that steep memory gradients mediate disturbance impact.

8.3.3 H4 – Post-Disturbance Coherence Enhancement

Despite functional declines, selective increases in available phosphorus suggest reorganization toward new coherence configurations.

8.3.4 H5 – Threading Density Recovery Before Composition

Functional capacity (multifunctionality) stabilized faster than compositional recovery, consistent with rethreading preceding full biodiversity restoration.

8.3.5 H6 – Multi-Phase Memory Recovery

Observed temporal trends indicate phase transitions between active, redistributed, and latent memory states across nutrient pools and functional groups.

Summary: The alpine data quantitatively support the central prediction that ecological memory gradients modulate disturbance outcomes and recovery trajectories.

8.4 Synthesis Across Studies

Across three independent ecosystems, the six hypotheses are consistently supported:

1. Disturbances initiate memory redistribution (H1)
2. Memory gradients predict disturbance likelihood and intensity (H2)
3. Coherence often increases post-disturbance (H4)
4. Threading pathways recover before full compositional structure (H5)
5. Recovery follows multi-phase memory cycling (H6)

Together, these patterns empirically anchor the Threading-Memory framework as a general model of disturbance-driven reorganization and ecological coherence dynamics.

9 Conclusions

The Threading Ecology framework reconceptualizes ecosystem dynamics around coherence, memory, agency, and disturbance cycling, rather than energy flow alone. By reframing the trophic pyramid as a **Coherence Web** and redefining disturbance as essential memory redistribution, the framework shifts ecological theory from competitive resource extraction to cooperative coherence enhancement—recognizing disturbance as vital for preventing systemic lock-in and maintaining threading vitality.

This approach provides:

1. A mathematical formalization of information, beauty, and disturbance cycling in ecological systems
2. New metrics of ecosystem health based on completeness of threading–disturbance cycles
3. Novel strategies for conservation and restoration emphasizing cycle facilitation rather than disturbance suppression
4. Resolution of classical ecological paradoxes through integration of memory dynamics and agency
5. A synthesis of quantum coherence principles with ecosystem dynamics and disturbance theory
6. A conceptual foundation for understanding human consciousness as a planetary-scale Coherence Architect

The framework proposes that **ecosystem health is elegance in threading cycles**—the capacity of life to self-organize into increasingly coherent and beautiful configurations through creative threading, followed by disturbance-driven memory redistribution that renews potential and prevents stagnation. This represents a transition from mechanistic to **aesthetic–cyclic ecology**, where success is measured not by productivity or stability, but by the elegance and resilience of life's threading patterns across scales of space and time.

Integrating disturbance as a natural and necessary function fundamentally reframes conservation and management. Rather than minimizing disturbance, we should facilitate natural disturbance regimes and remove barriers to memory redistribution. Disturbance is not an exogenous shock but an endogenous expression of agency responding to accumulated memory gradients.

Key Insights:

- **Disturbances are structured, not random:** They arise from agency responding to memory gradient steepness.
- **Systems must breathe:** Threading–disturbance cycles prevent lock-in and sustain renewal.
- **Recovery enhances coherence:** Post-disturbance states often surpass pre-disturbance organization and beauty.
- **Agency operates across scales:** From cellular processes to planetary systems, choice mediates memory redistribution.
- **Consciousness participates:** Human awareness acts within, and can harmonize with, planetary threading dynamics.

Future research should prioritize empirical validation of threading–disturbance cycles, develop quantitative measures of coherence and memory gradients, and apply these insights to conservation and restoration practice. The framework positions life as a planetary-scale threading process that maintains vitality through recurring cycles of creative coherence formation and agency-driven redistribution.

In this view, the biosphere functions as a self-organizing, self-regulating threading system—one that uses disturbance not as disruption but as essential memory renewal to sustain the continual dance of coherence creation and transformation. Aligning human systems with these natural cycles may prove central to ecological resilience and long-term planetary sustainability.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the foundational work in quantum biology, information theory, complex systems, and disturbance ecology that made this synthesis possible. Special recognition to researchers exploring consciousness, agency, and information in biological systems, and to the tradition of disturbance ecology that recognized the essential role of disruption in maintaining ecosystem vitality.

Analysis scripts and mathematical framework developed with assistance from ChatGPT v.4/5.

References

[1] R. L. Lindeman. The trophic-dynamic aspect of ecology. *Ecology*, 23(4):399–417, 1942.

[2] C. S. Elton. *Animal Ecology*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1927.

[3] J. Bascompte and P. Jordano. Plant-animal mutualistic networks: the architecture of biodiversity. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 38:567–593, 2007.

[4] M. A. Nowak. Five rules for the evolution of cooperation. *Science*, 314(5805):1560–1563, 2006.

[5] R. E. Ulanowicz. *A Third Window: Natural Life Beyond Newton and Darwin*. Templeton Foundation Press, West Conshohocken, PA, 2009.

[6] S. E. Jørgensen. *Integration of Ecosystem Theories: A Pattern*. Springer Science & Business Media, Dordrecht, 2002.

[7] P. S. White. Pattern, process, and natural disturbance in vegetation. *The Botanical Review*, 45(3):229–299, 1979.

[8] W. P. Sousa. The role of disturbance in natural communities. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 15(1):353–391, 1984.

[9] N. Lambert, Y. N. Chen, Y. C. Cheng, C. M. Li, G. Y. Chen, and F. Nori. Quantum biology. *Nature Physics*, 9(1):10–18, 2013.

[10] A. Marais, B. Adams, A. K. Ringsmuth, M. Ferretti, J. M. Gruber, R. Hendrikx, M. Schuld, S. L. Smith, I. Sinayskiy, T. P. J. Kröger, F. Petruccione, and R. van Grondelle. The future of quantum biology. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 15(148):20180640, 2018.

[11] M. Pascual and J. A. Dunne. *Ecological Networks: Linking Structure to Dynamics in Food Webs*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.

[12] S. R. Proulx, D. E. Promislow, and P. C. Phillips. Network thinking in ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 20(6):345–353, 2005.

[13] C. Adami, C. Ofria, and T. C. Collier. Evolution of biological complexity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(9):4463–4468, 2000.

[14] S. I. Walker, P. C. W. Davies, and G. F. R. Ellis. *From Matter to Life: Information and Causality*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.

[15] D. Noble. A theory of biological relativity: no privileged level of causation. *Interface Focus*, 2(1):55–64, 2012.

[16] P. A. Corning. The re-emergence of "emergence": a venerable concept in search of a theory. *Complexity*, 7(6):18–30, 2002.

[17] D. D. Zelenka. Reality as light threading: Fundamental equations for coherent geometry. Preprint, 2025. Interactive Earth.

[18] W. D. Hamilton. The genetical evolution of social behaviour. i. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 7(1):1–16, 1964.

[19] R. L. Trivers. The evolution of reciprocal altruism. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 46(1):35–57, 1971.

[20] K. S. McCann. The diversity–stability debate. *Nature*, 405(6783):228–233, 2000.

[21] D. Tilman. Biodiversity: population versus ecosystem stability. *Ecology*, 77(2):350–363, 1996.

[22] R. T. Paine. A note on trophic complexity and community stability. *The American Naturalist*, 103(929):91–93, 1969.

[23] M. E. Power, D. Tilman, J. A. Estes, B. A. Menge, W. J. Bond, L. S. Mills, G. Daily, J. C. Castilla, J. Lubchenco, and R. T. Paine. Challenges in the quest for keystones. *BioScience*, 46(8):609–620, 1996.

[24] C. S. Holling. Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 4(1):1–23, 1973.

[25] L. H. Gunderson. Ecological resilience—in theory and application. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 31(1):425–439, 2000.

[26] S. T. A. Pickett and P. S. White. *The Ecology of Natural Disturbance and Patch Dynamics*. Academic Press, New York, 1985.

[27] O. Sporns. Graph theory methods for the analysis of neural connectivity patterns. In *Neuroscience Databases*, pages 171–185. Springer, Boston, MA, 2002.

[28] C. E. Shannon. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3):379–423, 1948.

[29] P. Jordano. Patterns of mutualistic interactions in pollination and seed dispersal: connectance, dependence asymmetries, and coevolution. *The American Naturalist*, 129(5):657–677, 1987.

[30] J. M. Montoya, S. L. Pimm, and R. V. Solé. Ecological networks and their fragility. *Nature*, 442(7100):259–264, 2006.

[31] G. S. Engel, T. R. Calhoun, E. L. Read, T. K. Ahn, T. Mančal, Y. C. Cheng, R. E. Blankenship, and G. R. Fleming. Evidence for wavelike energy transfer through quantum coherence in photosynthetic systems. *Nature*, 446(7137):782–786, 2007.

[32] E. Collini, C. Y. Wong, K. E. Wilk, P. M. Curmi, P. Brumer, and G. D. Scholes. Coherently wired light-harvesting in photosynthetic marine algae at ambient temperature. *Nature*, 463(7281):644–647, 2010.

[33] S. A. Levin. Ecosystems and the biosphere as complex adaptive systems. *Ecosystems*, 1(5):431–436, 1998.

[34] R. V. Solé and J. Bascompte. *Self-Organization in Complex Ecosystems*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006.

[35] J. H. Connell. Diversity in tropical rain forests and coral reefs. *Science*, 199(4335):1302–1310, 1978.

[36] E. A. Bender, T. J. Case, and M. E. Gilpin. Perturbation experiments in community ecology: theory and practice. *Ecology*, 65(1):1–13, 1984.

[37] R. Hosna and A. Faist. Long-term relationships between seed banks and wildfire across four north american desert sites, ver 1. Environmental Data Initiative, 2022. Accessed 2025-10-08.

[38] X. Walker, M. C. Mack, and J. Johnstone. Pre and post-fire composition, density, basal area, and biomass for 212 sites that burned between 2004 and 2015 in interior alaska, ver 2. Environmental Data Initiative, 2023. Accessed 2025-10-08.

[39] J. Li. Paired alpine grassland vegetation–soil dataset under plateau pika disturbance for biodiversity and multifunctionality analysis. Mendeley Data, V1, 2025.