

Chapter 2- Community abundance data of underrepresented taxonomic groups.

Abstract

The majority of publicly available datasets used for macroecological research have a North American terrestrial bias, and focus primarily on warm-blooded vertebrates and plants. This dataset helps to improve the availability of data suitable for macroecological questions for less frequently studied taxa. The data were compiled from the literature by focusing on less frequently studied groups, and includes seven classes of animals, amphibians, spiders, beetles, reptiles, birds, and ray finned and cartilaginous fish. The data contains data representing over 2000 species and more than 1.3 million individuals from over 700 sites including locations on all continents except Antarctica.

Background & Summary

Increasingly large amounts of data are available for studying ecological systems (Reichman et al. 2011). One of the most common forms of ecological data is community abundance data, which is composed of counts of the number of individuals of each species occurring in a community or assemblage. These kinds of data can be used to address a broad array of questions and have become central to research in macroecology.

One major criticism of macroecology is that the majority of research has been driven by a few major datasets, primarily terrestrial North American and European birds, mammals, and plants (Beck et al. 2012). This is due, in part, to the fact that large publicly available datasets with many sites tend to focus on these taxonomic groups (e.g., USDA Forest Service 2010, Thibault et al. 2011, Pardieck et al. 2014). This makes it difficult to determine if observed patterns are general or whether they only apply to the few taxa for which large amounts of easy to analyze data is available. It also makes it difficult to perform meaningful cross-taxonomic comparisons, which

can be valuable to understanding the processes driving ecological systems. One suggestion for improving macroecology in this regard is to make better use of existing data (Beck et al. 2012). There is a great deal of community abundance data in the literature, but most include a single to a few communities, and the majority of the data requires data entry and processing to be useable in analyses. In particular, much of this data is only available tables in the text of papers.

To address this deficit in readily available data, I have compiled a dataset from the literature that combines data for multiple taxa and biogeographic regions into a single publicly available source. This will allow researchers to make ecological comparisons for a wider range of taxa without having to gather and process the data from the literature before use. This data compilation contains abundance data for seven classes of animal, including vertebrates and invertebrates, endotherms and ectotherms, and was collected by intentionally focusing on the collection of data for taxa that are not currently well represented in commonly used macroecological datasets.

This emphasis on underrepresented taxa resulted in large amounts of data for fish, reptiles, and amphibians and reasonable amounts of data for spiders and insects (Figure 1, Figure 2). While the majority of the data is Nearctic, there is a worldwide distribution of sites (Figure 3), improving the representation of data outside of North America. This dataset will allow for a more robust comparison of patterns across taxa, especially when combined with existing macroecological datasets. While the primary focus of data collection was filling in the gaps for vertebrate taxa, I also collected community abundance data on other taxa incidentally.

Methods

Data Sources

Data were compiled from a combination of journal articles, theses, and dissertations. The taxonomic focus of the literature search has determined based on an initial search of the literature for community abundance data to get a sense of what data were available, and which underrepresented taxa were




Figure 1: Number of sites per taxon




Figure 2: Number of individuals per taxon.




Figure 3: Number of sites per biogeographic region.

likely to yield reasonable amounts of data. After the initial search, I conducted a systematic through the literature, with fish, amphibians, and reptiles as the main focus of data collection. Data for other groups were collected on an *ad hoc* when they were encountered, which resulted in a reasonable amount of data for arachnids and insects (Figure 1).

Search Parameters	Search engine	Date Accessed
community abundance in Biology, Life Sciences, etc.	Google Scholar	29 Nov 2010
fish assemblage abundance, fish community* abundance in Biology, Life Sciences, etc.	Google Scholar	14 Feb 2011
fish community* abundance, fish assemblage abundance	ProQuest UMI Dissertations & Theses	15 Feb 2011
reptile assemblage abundance, reptile community* abundance in Biology, Life Sciences, etc.	Google Scholar	20 Aug 2011
reptile community* abundance, reptile assemblage abundance	ProQuest UMI Dissertations & Theses	21 Aug 2011
amphibian assemblage abundance, amphibian community* abundance in Biology, Life Sciences, etc.	Google Scholar	7 Oct 2011
amphibian community* abundance, amphibian assemblage abundance	ProQuest UMI Dissertations & Theses	7 Oct 2011

Table 1: Dates, sources, and search terms used to identify possible data sources

Data Collection

References found by the searches in Table 1 were downloaded. Each article, thesis, and dissertation was then manually scanned to determine if it met the criteria for inclusion in the database. The selection criteria included:

- Data must include quantitative abundances, preferably total number of individuals (no incidence only, i.e., presence-absence, data)
- Data must be for animal data
- Sampling and reporting must be complete (i.e., no data where only a fraction of the community/assemblage was sampled or reported)
- For vertebrate taxa: the majority of species must be fully identified to species
- For invertebrate taxa: the majority of species may did not have to be fully identified to species (due to the number of individuals per sample and the state of taxonomy for the invertebrate groups)
- Data must not be heavily summarized or processed

The following papers remained as data sources based on these criteria: Cavitt (2000), Bultman and Uetz. (1982), Schlosser (1985), Jones (1981), Grossman (1982), Brandt (1997), Dritschilo and Erwin (1982), Petterson (1996), Menke (2003), Kretzer and Cully (2001), Wilgers and Horne (2006), Wilgers and Volkmann (2006), Cobb and Summerhill (1996a), Dobel and Coddington (1990), Moyle and Vondracek (1985), Carvalho (2011), Taylor and Matthews (1993a), Winemiller and Cotner (2000), Morrison and Parkinson (2002), Methven and Rose (2001), Gido (2000), Gelwick (2001), Jaureguizar (2002), Feyrer and Healey (2003), Matthews (1986), Matthews and Hill (1980), Chick and Trexler (2004), Pombo and Rebelo (2005), Bonner and Karges (2005), Adams and Haag (2004), Tongnunu and Taniuchi (2002), Fischer and Paukert (2009), Vega-Cendejas and Santillana (2004), Habit and Jaque (2007), Inoue and Sano (2008), Ribeiro and Erzini (2006), Bodkin (1988), Quinn and Kwak (2003), Malavasi and Mainardi (2004), Petry and Gomes (2003), Tejerina-Garro and

77 Rodriguez (1998), Silbano and Oyakawa (2000), Taylor and Matthews (1993b), Belize (1993),
78 Grossman and Whitaker (1982), Ferreira and Coutinho (2001), Allen (1982), Hoff and Ibara
79 (1977), Schifino and Verani (2004), Yoklavich and Antrim (1991), Horn (1980), Stoner (1986),
80 Laroche (1997), Kennedy (2009), Thomson (2008), Bell and Middleton (1984), Kinsolving and
81 Bain (1993), Ross (1985), Rodriguez and Lewis (1997), Fialho and Gomes (2007), Penczak and
82 Marszal (2004), Ashton (2002), Demynadier and Hunter (1998), Bennett and Glanville (1980a),
83 Wasonga (2003), Siqueira and Rocha (2009), Yahner and Byrnes (2001), Brodman (2008), Maxey
84 and Richardson (2000), Brannon and Rogers (2005), Leynaud and Bucher (2005), Smart and Twine
85 (2005), Thompson and Thompson (2005), Read (2002), Russell and Guynn (1999), Busby and
86 Parmelee (1996), How and Dell (2004), Goldstein (2005), Lindenmayer and Driscoll (2008), How
87 (1998), Isaac and Williams (2008), Thompson and Withers (2008), Kanowski and Piper (2006),
88 Conroy (1999), Mott (2010), Maltchik and Machado (2008), Hutchens and DePerno (2009), Ford
89 and Lancaster (2007), Beever and Brussard (2004), Luiselli and Politano (2005), Cano and Leynaud
90 (2010), Gainsbury and Colli (2003), Carvajal-Cogollo and Urbina-Cardona (2008), Steen and Guyer
91 (2010), Moseley and Schweitzer (2003), Pianka and Goodyear (2011), Vonesh (2001), Germaine
92 and Wakeling (2001), Hofer and Bersier (2001), Dalrymple and Nodell (1991), Ford and Stout
93 (1991), Ferguson and Forstner (2008), Watling (2005), Castellano and Valone (2005), Shipman
94 and Leslie (2004), Reid and Whiting (1994), Michaelides and Kati (2009), Akani and Luiselli
95 (2009), Mekonnen (2009), Schlesinger and Weir (1997), Cobb and Summerhill (1996b), Alvarez
96 and Ortega-Rubio (1989), McLendon and Nelson (1996), Bennett and Glanville (1980b), Mitchell
97 and Pague (1997), Healey and Robertson (1997). Information on these data sources is also available
98 as part of the dataset in the *citations_table_abundances.csv* file.

99 Data were hand entered into a raw data file as they came from the original source or were extracted
100 from the original source computationally. Data were then manually checked for consistency with
101 the original source. Species names were kept as given in the original source.

Variables

Variables collected are listed in Table 2.

Variable name	Variable definition	Units	Storage type	Range of values
Class	Taxonomic class of species	N/A	Character	N/A
Family	Taxonomic family of species	N/A	Character	N/A
Genus	Taxonomic genus of species	N/A	Character	N/A
Species	Specific epithet of species	N/A	Character	N/A
Relative_abundance	Relative abundance of species	N/A	Double	0 - 309
Abundance	Abundance of species	N/A	Integer	0-181726
Collection_Year	Start of collecting	N/A	Integer	1952-2008
End_Collection	End of collecting	N/A	Integer	1977-2009
Site_Name	Name/description of site	N/A	Character	N/A
Biogeographic_region	Biogeographic region	N/A	Character	N/A
Site_notes	Additional site information	N/A	Character	N/A

Table 2: List of variables collected for each dataset

Data Records

The data are stored in comma-separated values files using a relational database structure with three separate tables.

Data files

1. Abundance data: *Species_abundances.csv*
2. Sites data : *Sites_table_abundances.csv*
3. Reference data: *Citations_table_abundances.csv*

Format and Storage mode

ASCII text, comma delimited, not compressed.

Header information

1. Class, Family, Genus, Species, Relative_abundance, Abundance, Site_ID, Citation_ID
2. Site_ID, Collection_Year, End_Collection, Citation_ID, Site_Name, Biogeographic_region, Site_notes
3. Citation_ID, Authors, Yr, Title, Journal, Issue, Pages

Special characters/fields

Blanks indicate no data: no special characters used.

Technical Validation

Data have undergone manual quality and assurance checking. Data were entered directly from the source material into the raw data file and values were double checked on entry. Validation of proper downloading and importing of the data can be determined using the following information.

Abundance table

1. Number of records, not including header row = 22142

- 126 2. Sum of Relative_abundance = 10797.37352
- 127 3. Sum of Abundance = 1320592
- 128 4. Number of distinct values in species = 1953
- 129 5. Number of distinct values in genus = 1262
- 130 6. md5 checksum for file = 225508ec2acc8cadd230b5e80446504e

131 **Sites table**

- 132 1. Number of records, not including header row = 706
- 133 2. Number of distinct values in collection_year = 48
- 134 3. Number of distinct values in biogeographic_region = 6
- 135 4. Sum of collection_year = 1378306
- 136 5. md5 checksum for file = 9935391079863726d24a9204ea68149d

137 **References table**

- 138 1. Number of records, not including header row = 116
- 139 2. Sum of yr = 231916
- 140 3. Number of distinct values in journal = 83
- 141 4. md5 checksum for file = e42838ee418a44e9e5d33ff99bf96ebb

142 **Usage Notes**

143 This is compiled data from a variety of literature sources. Within a study, methods of data collection
144 are the same. However, among studies, even within the same taxonomic grouping, methods of
145 collection, capture success, etc. vary substantially. Because of the methodological variation present
146 in compiled data, it is more appropriate to treat each site individually, rather than aggregating sites
147 across studies for doing things like looking for geographic patterns. Aggregating data across sites

can lead to false signals in species richness, abundance, etc. that are due to methodological rather than biological/ecological differences. In addition, some sites also have recorded absences (zeros); in cases where zeros should not be included, data queries should be written accordingly.

The data can be easily downloaded and installed into a variety of database management and programming environments using the EcoData Retriever (Morris and White 2013).

References

Adams, W., S.B., and W. Haag. 2004. Spatial and temporal patterns in fish assemblages of upper coastal plain streams, mississippi, uSA. *Hydrobiologia* 528:45–61.

Akani, G., and L. Luiselli. 2009. Aspects of community ecology of amphibians and reptiles at bonny island (nigeria), an area of priority relevance for petrochemical industry. *African Journal of Ecology* 48:939–948.

Allen, L. 1982. Seasonal abundance, composition, and productivity of the littoral fish assemblage in upper newport bay, california. *Fishery bulletin* 80:769–790.

Alvarez, P., S. Galina, and A. Ortega-Rubio. 1989. Structure and composition of two lizard communities of the cape region, baja california sur, mexico. *Bulletin of the Maryland Herpetological Society* 25:40–48.

Ashton, D. 2002. A comparison of abundance and assemblage of lotic amphibians in late-seral and second-growth redwood forests in humboldt county, california. Humbolt State University.

Beck, J., L. Ballesteros-Mejia, C. M. Buchmann, J. Dengler, S. A. Fritz, B. Gruber, C. Hof, F. Jansen, S. Knapp, H. Kreft, and others. 2012. What's on the horizon for macroecology? *Ecography* 35:673–683.

Beever, E., and P. Brussard. 2004. Community and landscape-level responses of reptiles and small mammals to feral-horse grazing in the great basin. *Journal of Arid Environments* 59:271–297.

171 Belize, C. A. The fish community of a shallow tropical lagoon in. 1993. The fish community of a
 172 shallow tropical lagoon in belize, central america. *Estuaries* 16:198–215.

173 Bell, P., J.D., and M. Middleton. 1984. Structure of a fish community in a temperate tidal mangrove
 174 creek in botany bay, new south wales. *Australian Journal of Marine Freshwater Restoration*
 175 35:33–46.

176 Bennett, G., S.H., and J. Glanville. 1980a. Terrestrial activity, abundance, and diversity of
 177 amphibians in differently managed forest. *American Midland Naturalist* 103:412–416.

178 Bennett, G., S.H., and J. Glanville. 1980b. Terrestrial activity, abundance, and diversity of
 179 amphibians in differently managed forest types. *American Midland Naturalist* 103:412–416.

180 Bodkin, J. 1988. Effects of kelp forest removal on associated fish assemblages in central california.
 181 *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 117:227–238.

182 Bonner, T., T.H., and J. Karges. 2005. Temporal assessment of a west texas stream fish assemblage.
 183 *The Southwestern Naturalist* 50:74–78.

184 Brandt, A. 1997. Abundance, diversity and community patterns of epibenthic- and benthic-boundary
 185 layer peracarid crustaceans at 75N off east greenland. *Polar Biology* 17:159–174.

186 Brannon, M., and S. Rogers. 2005. Effects of canopy thinning by hemlock wooly adelgids on the
 187 local abundance of terrestrial salamanders. *Journal of the North Carolina Academy of Sciences*
 188 121:151–156.

189 Brodman, R. 2008. A 14-year study of amphibian populations and metacommunities. *Herpetological*
 190 *Conservation and Biology* 4:106–119.

191 Bultman, T., and G. W. Uetz. 1982. Abundance and community structure of forest floor spiders
 192 following litter manipulation. *Oecologia* 55:34–41.

193 Busby, W., and J. Parmelee. 1996. Historical changes in a herpetofaunal assemblage in the flint
 194 hills of kansas. *American Midland Naturalist* 135:81–91.

- 195 Cano, P., and G. Leynaud. 2010. Effects of fire and cattle grazing on amphibians and lizards in
196 northeastern argentina (humid chaco). *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 56:411–420.
- 197 Carvajal-Cogollo, J.E., and J. Urbina-Cardona. 2008. Patrones de diversidad y composicion de
198 reptiles en fragmentos de bosque seco tropical en cordoba, colombia. *Tropical Conservation Science*
199 1:397–416.
- 200 Carvalho, C., J.C. 2011. Biogeographic patterns of spiders in coastal dunes along a gradient of
201 mediterraneity creosote bush ecotone in the chihuahuan desert. *Biodiversity Conservation*.
- 202 Castellano, M., and T. Valone. 2005. Effects of livestock removal and perennial grass recovery on
203 the lizards of a desertified arid grassland. *Journal of Arid Environments* 66:87–95.
- 204 Cavitt, J. F. 2000. Fire and a tallgrass prairie reptile community: effects on relative abundance and
205 seasonal activity. *Journal of Herpetology* 34:12–20.
- 206 Chick, R., J.H., and J. Trexler. 2004. Spatial scale and abundance patterns of large fish communities
207 in freshwater marshes of the florida everglades. *Wetlands* 24:652–664.
- 208 Cobb, V., and J. Summerhill. 1996a. A one-year study of the species diversity and relative abundance
209 of snakes and lizards in the jack mountains region of hot spring county, arkansas. *Proceedings*
210 *Arkansas Academy of Science* 50:120–127.
- 211 Cobb, V., and J. Summerhill. 1996b. A one-year study of the species diversity and relative abundance
212 of snakes and lizards in the jack mountain region of hot spring county, arkansas. *Proceedings of the*
213 *Arkansas Academy of Science* 50:120–126.
- 214 Conroy, S. 1999. Lizard assemblage response to a forest ecotone in northeastern australia: a
215 synecological approach. *Journal of Herpetology* 33:409–419.
- 216 Dalrymple, B., G.H., and R. Nodell. 1991. Patterns of species diversity of snake community
217 assemblages, with data on two everglades snake assemblages. *Copeia* 2:517–521.
- 218 Demynadier, P., and J. Hunter M.L. 1998. Effects of silvicultural edges on the distribution and
219 abundance of amphibians in maine. *Conservation Biology* 12:340–352.

- 220 Dobel, D., H.G., and J. Coddington. 1990. Spider (araneae) community structure in an intertidal salt
221 marsh: effects of vegetation structure and tidal flooding. *Environmental Entomology* 19:1356–1370.
- 222 Dritschilo, W., and T. Erwin. 1982. Responses in abundance and diversity of cornfield carabid
223 communities to differences in farm practices. *Ecology* 63:900–904.
- 224 Ferguson, M., A.W., and M. Forstner. 2008. Herpetofaunal inventory of camp mabry, austin, texas:
225 community composition in an urban landscape. *Texas Journal of Science* 60:123–136.
- 226 Ferreira, C., C.E.L, and R. Coutinho. 2001. Community structure of fishes and habitat complexity
227 on a tropical rocky shore. *Environmental biology of fishes* 61:353–369.
- 228 Feyrer, F., and M. Healey. 2003. Fish community structure and environmental correlates in the
229 highly altered souther sacramento-san joaquin delta. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 66:123–132.
- 230 Fialho, O., A.P, and L. Gomes. 2007. Fish assemblage structure in tributaries of the meia ponte
231 river, goias, brazil. *Neotropical Ichthyology* 5:53–60.
- 232 Fischer, J., and C. Paukert. 2009. Effects of sampling effort, assemblage similarity, and habitat
233 heterogeneity on estimates of species richness and relative abundance of stream fishes. *Canadian*
234 *Journal of Fisheries Aquatic Science* 66:277–209.
- 235 Ford, C., N.B., and J. Stout. 1991. Species diversity and seasonal abundance of snakes in a mixed
236 pine-hardwood forest of eastern texas. *The Southwestern Naturalist* 36:171–177.
- 237 Ford, N.B., and D. Lancaster. 2007. The species-abundance distribution of snakes in a bottomland
238 hardwood forest of the southern united states. *Journal of Herpetology* 41:385–393.
- 239 Gainsbury, A., and G. Colli. 2003. Lizard assemblages from natural cerrado enclaves in southwest-
240 ern amazonia: the role of stochastic extinctions and isolation. *Biotropica* 35:503–519.
- 241 Gelwick, A., F.P. 2001. Fish assemblage structure in relation to environmental variation in a texas
242 gulf coastal wetland. *Estuaries* 24:285–296.
- 243 Germaine, S., and B. Wakeling. 2001. Lizard species distributions and habitat occupation along an
244 urban gradient in tuscon, arizona, uSA. *Biological Conservation* 97:229–237.

245 Gido, M., K.B. 2000. Long-term changes in a reservoir fish assemblage: stability in an unpredictable
246 environment. *Ecological Applications* 10:1517–1529.

247 Goldstein, W., M.I. 2005. Spatiotemporal responses of reptiles and amphibians to timber harvest
248 treatments. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 69:525–539.

249 Grossman, G. 1982. Dynamics and organization of a rocky intertidal fish assemblage: the persistence
250 and resilience of taxocene structure. *The American Naturalist* 119:611–637.

251 Grossman, M., G.D, and J. Whitaker. 1982. Stochasticity in structural and functional characteristics
252 of an indiana stream fish assemblage: a test of community theory. *The American Naturalist*
253 120:423–454.

254 Habit, B., E., and E. Jaque. 2007. Spatio-temporal distribution patterns and conservation of fish
255 assemblages in a chilean coastal river. *Biodiversity conservation* 16:3179–3191.

256 Healey, D., M. Thompson, and A. Robertson. 1997. Amphibian communities associated with
257 billabong habitats on the murrumbidgee floodplain, australia. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 22:270–
258 278.

259 Hofer, U., and L. Bersier. 2001. Herpetofaunal diversity and abundance in tropical upland forest of
260 cameroon and panama. *Biotropica* 33:142–152.

261 Hoff, J.G, and R. Ibara. 1977. Factors affecting the seasonal abundance, composition, and diversity
262 of fishes in a southeastern new england estuary. *Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science* 5:665–678.

263 Horn, M. 1980. Diel and seasonal variation in abundance and diversity of shallow-water fish
264 populations in morro bay, california. *Fishery Bulletin* 78:759–770.

265 How, R. 1998. Long-term sampling of a herpetofaunal assemblage on an isolated urban bushland
266 remnant, bold park, perth. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 81:143–148.

267 How, R.A., and J. Dell. 2004. Reptile assemblage of the abydos plain, north-eastern pilbara, western
268 australia. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 87:85–95.

269 Hutchens, S., and C. DePerno. 2009. Measuring species diversity to determine land-use effects on
 270 reptile and amphibian assemblages. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 30:81–88.

271 Inoue, S., T., and M. Sano. 2008. Surf zone fishes in an exposed sandy beach at sanrimatsubara,
 272 japan: does fish assemblage structure differ among microhabitats? *Estuarine, Coastal, and Shelf*
 273 *Science* 77:1–11.

274 Isaac, V., J.L., and S. Williams. 2008. Resistance and resilience: quantifying relative extinction
 275 risk in a diverse assemblage of australian tropical rainforest vertebrates. *Diversity and Distributions*
 276 2:280–288.

277 Jaureguizar, M., A.J. 2002. Fish assemblage and environmental patterns in the rio de la plata estuary.
 278 *Estuarine costal and shelf science* 56:921–933.

279 Jones, K. 1981. Effects of grazing on lizard abundance and diversity in western arizona. *The*
 280 *Southwestern Naturalist* 26:107–115.

281 Kanowski, R., J. J., and S. D. Piper. 2006. Factors affecting the use of reforested sites by reptiles in
 282 cleared rainforest landscapes in tropical and subtropical australia. *Restoration Ecology* 14:67–76.

283 Kennedy, T. 2009. Aquatic community organization in a diverse floodplain river fish fauna of the
 284 southeastern united states. University of Alabama.

285 Kinsolving, A.D., and M. Bain. 1993. Fish assemblage recovery along a riverine disturbance
 286 gradient. *Ecological Application* 3:531–544.

287 Kretzer, J., and J. Cully J.F. 2001. Effects of black-tailed prairie dogs on reptiles and amphibians in
 288 kansas shortgrass prairie. *The Southwest Naturalist* 46:171–177.

289 Laroche, B., J. 1997. Temporal patterns in a fish assemblage of a semiarid mangrove zone in
 290 madagascar. *Journal of Fish Biology* 51:4–20.

291 Leynaud, G., and E. Bucher. 2005. Restoration of degraded chaco woodlands: effects on reptile
 292 assemblages. *Forest Ecology and Management* 213:384–390.

293 Lindenmayer, W., D.B., and D. Driscoll. 2008. How predictable are reptile response to wildfire?
 294 *Oikos* 117:1086–1097.

295 Luiselli, A., L., and E. Politano. 2005. Relationships between body size, population abundance and
 296 niche characteristics in the communities of snakes from three habitats in southern nigeria. *Journal*
 297 *of the Zoological Society of London* 265:207–213.

298 Malavasi, F., S., and D. Mainardi. 2004. Fish assemblages of venice lagoon shallow water: an
 299 analysis based on species, families, and functional guilds. *Journal of Marine Systems* 51:19–31.

300 Maltchik, C., L. Peixoto, and I. Machado. 2008. Dynamics of the terrestrial amphibian assemblage
 301 in a flooded riparian forest fragment in a neotropical region in the south of brazil. *Brazilian Journal*
 302 *of Biology* 68:763–769.

303 Matthews, W. 1986. Fish faunal structure in an ozark stream: stability, persistence, and a catastrophic
 304 flood. *Copeia* 1986:388–397.

305 Matthews, W., and L. Hill. 1980. Habitat partitioning in the fish community of a southwestern river.
 306 *The Southwestern Naturalist* 25:51–66.

307 Maxey, K., and J. Richardson. 2000. Abundance and movements of terrestrial salamanders in
 308 second-growth forests of southwestern british columbia. *Proceedings of a conference on the biology*
 309 *and management of species and habitats at risk, Kamloops, B.C., 15-19 Feb., 1999* 1:295–302.

310 McLendon, H., J.P., and E. Nelson. 1996. Reptile and amphibian characterization of a thermally
 311 disturbed braided stream undergoing restoration near aiken, sC. *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual*
 312 *Conference on Ecosystems Restoration and Creation*:228–241.

313 Mekonnen, A. 2009. Reptile survey and some ecological studies of two sympatric lizard species,
 314 *tarentola annularis* and *mabuya striata* from awash national park, ethiopia. Addis Ababa University.

315 Menke, S. 2003. Lizard community structure across a grassland- creosote bush ecotone in the
 316 chihuahuan desert. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 81:1829–1838.

317 Methven, H., D.A., and G. Rose. 2001. The fish assemblage of a newfoundland estuary: diel,

318 monthly and annual variation. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 52:669–687.

319 Michaelides, G., and V. Kati. 2009. Diversity patterns and conservation management of the lizard
320 community in a mediterranean reserve (cyprus). *Journal of Biological Research- Thessaloniki*
321 12:211–220.

322 Mitchell, R., J.C., and C. Pague. 1997. Factors influencing amphibian and small mammal assem-
323 blages in central appalachian forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 96:65–76.

324 Morris, B. D., and E. P. White. 2013. The ecoData retriever: improving access to existing ecological
325 data. *PloS one* 8:e65848.

326 Morrison, F., M.A., and D. Parkinson. 2002. Diurnal and tidal variation in the abundance of the fish
327 fauna of a temperate tidal mudflat. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 54:793–807.

328 Moseley, C., K.R., and S. Schweitzer. 2003. Effects of prescribed fire on herpetofauna in bottomland
329 hardwood forests. *Southeastern Naturalist* 2:475–486.

330 Mott, A., B. 2010. Tropical reptiles in pine forests: assemblage response to plantations and
331 plantation management by burning. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259:916–925.

332 Moyle, P., and B. Vondracek. 1985. Persistence and structure of the fish assemblage in a small
333 california stream. *Ecology* 66:1–13.

334 Pardieck, K. L., D. J. Ziolkowski Jr, and M.-A. Hudson. 2014. North american breeding bird survey
335 dataset 1966 - 2013, version 2013.0. U.S. Geological Survey, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center.

336 Penczak, G., T., and L. Marszal. 2004. Fish assemblage changes relative to environmental factors
337 and time in the warta river, poland, and its oxbow lakes. *Journal of Fish Biology* 64:483–501.

338 Petry, A., A.C., and L. Gomes. 2003. Spatial variation of the fish assemblage structure from the
339 upper rio parana floodplain, brazil, in a dry year. *Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia* 15:1–13.

340 Petterson, R. 1996. Effects of forestry on the abundance and diversity of arboreal spiders in the
341 boreal spruce forest. *Ecography* 19:221–228.

342 Pianka, E., and S. Goodyear. 2011. Lizard response to wildfire in arid interior australia: long-term
 343 experimental data and commonalities with other studies. *Austral Ecology*.

344 Pombo, E., L., and J. Rebelo. 2005. Environmental influences on fish assemblage distribution of an
 345 estuarine costal lagoon, rio de aveiro (portugal). *Scientia Marina* 69:143–159.

346 Quinn, J., and T. Kwak. 2003. Fish assemblage changes in an ozark river after impoundment: a
 347 long-term perspective. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 132:110–119.

348 Read, J. 2002. Experimental trial of australian arid zone reptiles as early warning indicators of
 349 overgrazing by cattle. *Austral Biology* 27:55–66.

350 Reichman, O., M. B. Jones, and M. P. Schildhauer. 2011. Challenges and opportunities of open
 351 data in ecology. *Science* 331.

352 Reid, J., and R. J. Whiting. 1994. Herpetofauna of pitcher plant bogs and adjacent forests in eastern
 353 texas. *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife*
 354 *Agencies* 48:411–421.

355 Ribeiro, B., J., and K. Erzini. 2006. Seasonal, tidal, and diurnal changes in fish assemblages in the
 356 ria formosa lagoon (portugal). *Estuarine, Coastal, and Shelf Science* 67:461–474.

357 Rodriguez, M.A., and W. Lewis. 1997. Structure of fish assemblages along environmental gradients
 358 in floodplain lakes of orinoco river. *Ecological Monographs* 67:109–128.

359 Ross, M., S.T. 1985. Persistence of stream fish assemblages: effects of environmental change. *The*
 360 *American Naturalist* 126:24–40.

361 Russell, M., K.R., and D. Guynn. 1999. Amphibian and reptile communities associated with beaver
 362 (*castor canadensis*) ponds and unimpounded streams in the piedmont of south carolina. *Journal of*
 363 *Freshwater Ecology* 14:149–158.

364 Schifino, F., L.C., and J. Verani. 2004. Fish community composition, seasonality, and abundance in
 365 fortaleza lagoon, cidreira. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology* 47:755–763.

366 Schlesinger, N., C.A., and T. Weir. 1997. Fire studies in mallee (*eucalyptus* spp.) communities

of western new south wales: reptile and beetle populations in sites of differing fire history. *The Rangeland Journal* 19:190–205.

Schlosser, I. 1985. Flow regime, juvenile abundance, and the assemblage structure of stream fishes. *Ecology* 66:1484–1490.

Shipman, F., P.A., and D. J. Leslie. 2004. Reptile communities under diverse forest management in the ouachita mountains, arkansas. *Ouachita and Ozark Mountains symposium: ecosystem management research. Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-74*:174–182.

Silbano, do A., R.A.M., and O. Oyakawa. 2000. Spatial and temporal patterns of diversity and distribution of the upper jurua river fish community (brazilian amazon). *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 57:25–35.

Siqueira, V., C.C., and C. Rocha. 2009. Density and richness of leaf litter frogs (amphibia: anura) of an atlantic rainforest area in the serra dos orgaos, rio de janeiro state, brazil. *Zoologia* 26:97–102.

Smart, W., R., and W. Twine. 2005. Lizards and landscapes: integrating field surveys and interviews to assess the impact of human disturbance on lizard assemblages and selected reptiles in a savanna in south africa. *Biological Conservation* 122:23–31.

Steen, M., D.A., and C. Guyer. 2010. Effects of forest management on amphibians and reptiles: generalist species obscure trends among native forest associates. *Open Environmental Sciences* 4:24–30.

Stoner, A. 1986. Community structure of the demersal fish species of laguna joyuda, puerto rico. *Estuaries* 9:142–152.

Taylor, W., C.M., and W. Matthews. 1993a. Fish species-environment and abundance relationships in a great plains river system. *Ecography* 16:16–23.

Taylor, W., C.M., and W. Matthews. 1993b. Fish species environment and abundance relationships in a great plains river system. *Ecography* 16:16–23.

Tejerina-Garro, F., F.L., and M. Rodriguez. 1998. Fish community structure in relation to environ-

392 mental variation in floodplain lakes of the araguaia river, amazon basin. *Environmental Biology of*
393 *Fishes* 51:399–410.

394 Thibault, K. M., S. R. Supp, M. Giffin, E. P. White, and S. M. Ernest. 2011. Species composition
395 and abundance of mammalian communities: ecological archives e092-201. *Ecology* 92:2316–2316.

396 Thompson, S., and G. Thompson. 2005. Temporal variations in reptile assemblages in the goldfields
397 of western australia. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 88:25–36.

398 Thompson, T., S.A., and P. Withers. 2008. Rehabilitation index for evaluating restoration of
399 terrestrial ecosystems using the reptile assemblage as the bio-indicator. *Ecological Indicators*
400 8:530–549.

401 Thomson, S. 2008. The influence of livestock watering ponds (dugouts) on native stream fishes,
402 especially the endangered topeka shiner (*notropis topeka*). South Dakota State University.

403 Tongnunui, I., P., and T. Taniuchi. 2002. Fish fauna of the sikao creek mangrove estuary, trang,
404 thiland. *Fisheries Science* 68:10–17.

405 USDA Forest Service. 2010. Forest inventory and analysis national core field guide (phase 2 and 3).
406 version 4.0. USDA Forest Service, Forest Inventory; Analysis.

407 Vega-Cendejas, M. E., and M. Hernandez de Santillana. 2004. Fish community structure and
408 dynamics in a coastal hypersaline lagoon: rio lagartos, yucatan, mexico. *Estuarine, Coastal, and*
409 *Shelf Science* 60:285–299.

410 Vonesh, J. 2001. Patterns of richness and abundance in a tropical african leaf-litter herpetofauna.
411 *Biotropica* 33:502–510.

412 Wasonga, D. 2003. The diversity and abundance of amphibians in meru national park, kenya. Addis
413 Ababa University.

414 Watling, J. 2005. Edaphically-biased distributions of amphibians and reptiles in a lowland tropical
415 rainforest. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment* 40:15–21.

416 Wilgers, D., and E. Horne. 2006. Effects of different burn regimes on tallgrass prairie herpetofaunal

- 417 species diversity and community composition in the flint hills, kansas. *Journal of Herpetology*
418 40:73–84.
- 419 Wilgers, H., D.J., and A. Volkmann. 2006. Effects of rangeland management on community
420 dynamics of the herpetofauna of the tallgrass prairie. *Herpetologica* 62:378–388.
- 421 Winemiller, T., K.O., and J. Cotner. 2000. Fish assemblage structure in relation to environmental
422 variation among brazos river oxbow lakes. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 129:451–
423 468.
- 424 Yahner, B., R.H., and W. Byrnes. 2001. Response of amphibian and reptile populations to vegetation
425 maintenance of an electric transmission line right-of-way. *Journal of Arboriculture* 27:215–221.
- 426 Yoklavich, C., M.M., and B. Antrim. 1991. Temporal and spatial pattern in abundance and diversity
427 of fish assemblages in elkhorn slough, california. *Estuaries* 14:465–480.