

A. OPPENHEIMER & CO

COTTON FACTORS
And General Commission Merchants
Consignments solicited, and orders for grain
and produce at lowest market rates.
101 & 103 CAMP ST., COR. LAMAR
DALLAS, TEXAS.

WASHINGTON DATA.

The Government is Trying to Get a
New Indictment Against Brady.

And With Him, ex-United States Sena-
tor, Probably Kellogg, of Louisiana.

The Star-Route Trial Goes Ahead With
Evidence for the Defense.

Secretary Folger is Worse Again and
Will Not be Able to

Resume His Duties for Some Months at
Least—Why Don't He

Resign is a Pertinent Question Every
Citizen May Well Ask.

Other Items From the Capital.

Washington Notes.
WANTS HIS SALARY.

WASHINGTON, March 22.—Representative
Thomas Chittre has filed a supplemental
argument with the first comptroller of the
treasury in regard to the authority of the
treasurer to withhold the payment of his
salary as a member of congress until he
has been sworn in as a member of the
house of representatives. Chittre's argu-
ment is that the constitution provides
that no member of congress shall receive
any salary or compensation until he has
been sworn in as a member of the house
of representatives. Chittre claims that
the treasury department has no authority
to withhold his salary until he has been
sworn in as a member of the house of
representatives.

ARRIVED.
Edward Hanlon, carman, arrived this
morning from Toronto.

A DEPOSITION.
Judge Lawrence, first comptroller of the
treasury, rendered his decision of the ques-
tion, submitted by the commission on
internal revenue as to whether the tax and
tariff act of March 3, 1883 either
of itself or in connection with existing
laws operates as an appropriation of money
necessary to pay claims which may be pre-
sented under its fourth section for a rebate
of the tax on unbroken packages of tobacco
and snuff, cigars, cigarettes and cigars.
The first comptroller decided that it does
not make an appropriation of money for
the payment of claims for rebate author-
ized by said fourth section, and there is no
other statute authorizing the payment of
money applicable for that purpose. He
also decided that the statute authorizes
the payment of claims for rebate to man-
ufacturers in arrears at the reduced rate
therein, provided but no such provision for
payment to dealer.

STAR-ROUTE TRIAL.
The star-route trial resumed this
morning. Ingersoll called Theodore W.
Terry, of New York. The witness had oc-
cupied an office in Borel's building with
Stephen W. Dorsey, and went there in Jan-
uary, 1880, remaining until May, 1881, when
he was removed to the office of the
secretary and book-keeper for Dorsey.
On May 1st, 1881, he removed to 145
Broadway and remained there until the
last week of 1882, and occupied rooms 3 and
4 at 145 Broadway, in June, 1881. Witness
was in full charge of Dorsey's business,
drawing two-thirds of his checks, and was
in possession of his books and papers. The
witness personally occupied room No. 6,
and it was impossible for anyone to get
into room No. 5 without passing him.

THE NEW BOOK.
The new book and ten boxes, containing
Dorsey's papers, were kept there. He first
saw Reerdell in February, 1880. He did not
give the red book or any book to Reerdell
until June 13, 1881. He never saw him at
that office, and he was not there on that
day. There were no books, such as de-
scribed by Reerdell, in witness' custody, or
any book resembling it. He never saw any
books bound in red in the office, and they
could not have been kept there without his
knowledge. He saw Stephen W. Dorsey on
the evening of June 13, 1881, and he never
saw him at the Albermarle hotel. He fled there
because Dorsey asked him if Reerdell had
been to the office. He left New York
February 21 last, for Europe, and was
not seen on February 22d.

ON FEBRUARY 22, 1880, Reerdell brought two
checks to the office. One was on Middle-
ton & Co., and the other was on the Cit-
izens National bank. Reerdell took away
Middleton's book, but it came into witness' possession again. There were
only two small banking books in witness'
possession on June 13, 1881. Reerdell was
never in office at 145 Broadway, and he never
received any abstracts of books or copies of
books from Reerdell.

Being cross-examined by Merrick, he
said his reason for making so short a stay
(three days) in London, was because Dorsey
wanted him to come back, as his testimony
was important to him.

Merrick: "Suppose we bring two detec-
tives to swear that they followed Reerdell,
and saw him enter the office?"

The court ruled out the question, although
Merrick managed to get the witness to swear
to the fact.

At Ingersoll's request the witness de-
scribed three books kept by Kellogg, one of
Dorsey's clerks, but could not name their
color, being color-blind, as he said. His
books contained no entries against William
Smith or San Jones. He would have re-
membered it if a man took a book from the
office at any time.

Merrick asked why the witness had
sworn there were no red books when he
had acknowledged seeing them. He said
he could manage to distinguish
leading colors.

Robert F. Mullins, of Brooklyn,
was next called. He had occupied
the room with Torrey at 145
Broadway.

Ingersoll pointed to Reerdell who sat
facing the witness and inquired if he had
ever seen him.

He replied in the negative. He never
saw a man take a book away from the
rooms and never saw any books such as de-
scribed by Reerdell. He had the combina-
tion of the safe and its contents, but he
never saw any books, such as de-
scribed by Reerdell, in witness' custody, or
any book resembling it. He never saw any
books bound in red in the office, and they
could not have been kept there without his
knowledge. He saw Stephen W. Dorsey on
the evening of June 13, 1881, and he never
saw him at the Albermarle hotel. He fled there
because Dorsey asked him if Reerdell had
been to the office. He left New York
February 21 last, for Europe, and was
not seen on February 22d.

ON FEBRUARY 22, 1880, Reerdell brought two
checks to the office. One was on Middle-
ton & Co., and the other was on the Cit-
izens National bank. Reerdell took away
Middleton's book, but it came into witness' possession again. There were
only two small banking books in witness'
possession on June 13, 1881. Reerdell was
never in office at 145 Broadway, and he never
received any abstracts of books or copies of
books from Reerdell.

Being cross-examined by Merrick, he
said his reason for making so short a stay
(three days) in London, was because Dorsey
wanted him to come back, as his testimony
was important to him.

Merrick: "Suppose we bring two detec-
tives to swear that they followed Reerdell,
and saw him enter the office?"

The court ruled out the question, although
Merrick managed to get the witness to swear
to the fact.

At Ingersoll's request the witness de-
scribed three books kept by Kellogg, one of
Dorsey's clerks, but could not name their
color, being color-blind, as he said. His
books contained no entries against William
Smith or San Jones. He would have re-
membered it if a man took a book from the
office at any time.

Merrick asked why the witness had
sworn there were no red books when he
had acknowledged seeing them. He said
he could manage to distinguish
leading colors.

Robert F. Mullins, of Brooklyn,
was next called. He had occupied
the room with Torrey at 145
Broadway.

Ingersoll pointed to Reerdell who sat
facing the witness and inquired if he had
ever seen him.

He replied in the negative. He never
saw a man take a book away from the
rooms and never saw any books such as de-
scribed by Reerdell. He had the combina-
tion of the safe and its contents, but he
never saw any books, such as de-
scribed by Reerdell, in witness' custody, or
any book resembling it. He never saw any
books bound in red in the office, and they
could not have been kept there without his
knowledge. He saw Stephen W. Dorsey on
the evening of June 13, 1881, and he never
saw him at the Albermarle hotel. He fled there
because Dorsey asked him if Reerdell had
been to the office. He left New York
February 21 last, for Europe, and was
not seen on February 22d.

ON FEBRUARY 22, 1880, Reerdell brought two
checks to the office. One was on Middle-
ton & Co., and the other was on the Cit-
izens National bank. Reerdell took away
Middleton's book, but it came into witness' possession again. There were
only two small banking books in witness'
possession on June 13, 1881. Reerdell was
never in office at 145 Broadway, and he never
received any abstracts of books or copies of
books from Reerdell.

Being cross-examined by Merrick, he
said his reason for making so short a stay
(three days) in London, was because Dorsey
wanted him to come back, as his testimony
was important to him.

Merrick: "Suppose we bring two detec-
tives to swear that they followed Reerdell,
and saw him enter the office?"

The court ruled out the question, although
Merrick managed to get the witness to swear
to the fact.

At Ingersoll's request the witness de-
scribed three books kept by Kellogg, one of
Dorsey's clerks, but could not name their
color, being color-blind, as he said. His
books contained no entries against William
Smith or San Jones. He would have re-
membered it if a man took a book from the
office at any time.

Merrick asked why the witness had
sworn there were no red books when he
had acknowledged seeing them. He said
he could manage to distinguish
leading colors.

Robert F. Mullins, of Brooklyn,
was next called. He had occupied
the room with Torrey at 145
Broadway.

Ingersoll pointed to Reerdell who sat
facing the witness and inquired if he had
ever seen him.

He replied in the negative. He never
saw a man take a book away from the
rooms and never saw any books such as de-
scribed by Reerdell. He had the combina-
tion of the safe and its contents, but he
never saw any books, such as de-
scribed by Reerdell, in witness' custody, or
any book resembling it. He never saw any
books bound in red in the office, and they
could not have been kept there without his
knowledge. He saw Stephen W. Dorsey on
the evening of June 13, 1881, and he never
saw him at the Albermarle hotel. He fled there
because Dorsey asked him if Reerdell had
been to the office. He left New York
February 21 last, for Europe, and was
not seen on February 22d.

ON FEBRUARY 22, 1880, Reerdell brought two
checks to the office. One was on Middle-
ton & Co., and the other was on the Cit-
izens National bank. Reerdell took away
Middleton's book, but it came into witness' possession again. There were
only two small banking books in witness'
possession on June 13, 1881. Reerdell was
never in office at 145 Broadway, and he never
received any abstracts of books or copies of
books from Reerdell.

Being cross-examined by Merrick, he
said his reason for making so short a stay
(three days) in London, was because Dorsey
wanted him to come back, as his testimony
was important to him.

Merrick: "Suppose we bring two detec-
tives to swear that they followed Reerdell,
and saw him enter the office?"

The court ruled out the question, although
Merrick managed to get the witness to swear
to the fact.

At Ingersoll's request the witness de-
scribed three books kept by Kellogg, one of
Dorsey's clerks, but could not name their
color, being color-blind, as he said. His
books contained no entries against William
Smith or San Jones. He would have re-
membered it if a man took a book from the
office at any time.

Merrick asked why the witness had
sworn there were no red books when he
had acknowledged seeing them. He said
he could manage to distinguish
leading colors.

Robert F. Mullins, of Brooklyn,
was next called. He had occupied
the room with Torrey at 145
Broadway.

Ingersoll pointed to Reerdell who sat
facing the witness and inquired if he had
ever seen him.

He replied in the negative. He never
saw a man take a book away from the
rooms and never saw any books such as de-
scribed by Reerdell. He had the combina-
tion of the safe and its contents, but he
never saw any books, such as de-
scribed by Reerdell, in witness' custody, or
any book resembling it. He never saw any
books bound in red in the office, and they
could not have been kept there without his
knowledge. He saw Stephen W. Dorsey on
the evening of June 13, 1881, and he never
saw him at the Albermarle hotel. He fled there
because Dorsey asked him if Reerdell had
been to the office. He left New York
February 21 last, for Europe, and was
not seen on February 22d.

ON FEBRUARY 22, 1880, Reerdell brought two
checks to the office. One was on Middle-
ton & Co., and the other was on the Cit-
izens National bank. Reerdell took away
Middleton's book, but it came into witness' possession again. There were
only two small banking books in witness'
possession on June 13, 1881. Reerdell was
never in office at 145 Broadway, and he never
received any abstracts of books or copies of
books from Reerdell.

Being cross-examined by Merrick, he
said his reason for making so short a stay
(three days) in London, was because Dorsey
wanted him to come back, as his testimony
was important to him.

Merrick: "Suppose we bring two detec-
tives to swear that they followed Reerdell,
and saw him enter the office?"

The court ruled out the question, although
Merrick managed to get the witness to swear
to the fact.

SURE CURE FOR BLACK LEG.

BUTTERICK, TEXAS, AUGUST 25, 1882.
GENTLEMEN:—I have sold "WONDERFUL
CURE" to several of my customers who used
it for the cure of BLACK LEG by giving
it as two drops in a glass of water three
times daily. It worked like a charm.
J. B. HUTCHISON.
For Sale by CROWDER, BALDWIN & WILLIAMS, Dallas, Texas.

WASHINGTON DATA.

The Government is Trying to Get a
New Indictment Against Brady.

And With Him, ex-United States Sena-
tor, Probably Kellogg, of Louisiana.

The Star-Route Trial Goes Ahead With
Evidence for the Defense.

Secretary Folger is Worse Again and
Will Not be Able to

Resume His Duties for Some Months at
Least—Why Don't He

Resign is a Pertinent Question Every
Citizen May Well Ask.

Other Items From the Capital.

Washington Notes.
WANTS HIS SALARY.

WASHINGTON, March 22.—Representative
Thomas Chittre has filed a supplemental
argument with the first comptroller of the
treasury in regard to the authority of the
treasurer to withhold the payment of his
salary as a member of congress until he
has been sworn in as a member of the
house of representatives. Chittre's argu-
ment is that the constitution provides
that no member of congress shall receive
any salary or compensation until he has
been sworn in as a member of the house
of representatives. Chittre claims that
the treasury department has no authority
to withhold his salary until he has been
sworn in as a member of the house of
representatives.

ARRIVED.
Edward Hanlon, carman, arrived this
morning from Toronto.

A DEPOSITION.
Judge Lawrence, first comptroller of the
treasury, rendered his decision of the ques-
tion, submitted by the commission on
internal revenue as to whether the tax and
tariff act of March 3, 1883 either
of itself or in connection with existing
laws operates as an appropriation of money
necessary to pay claims which may be pre-
sented under its fourth section for a rebate
of the tax on unbroken packages of tobacco
and snuff, cigars, cigarettes and cigars.
The first comptroller decided that it does
not make an appropriation of money for
the payment of claims for rebate author-
ized by said fourth section, and there is no
other statute authorizing the payment of
money applicable for that purpose. He
also decided that the statute authorizes
the payment of claims for rebate to man-
ufacturers in arrears at the reduced rate
therein, provided but no such provision for
payment to dealer.

STAR-ROUTE TRIAL.
The star-route trial resumed this
morning. Ingersoll called Theodore W.
Terry, of New York. The witness had oc-
cupied an office in Borel's building with
Stephen W. Dorsey, and went there in Jan-
uary, 1880, remaining until May, 1881, when
he was removed to the office of the
secretary and book-keeper for Dorsey.
On May 1st, 1881, he removed to 145
Broadway and remained there until the
last week of 1882, and occupied rooms 3 and
4 at 145 Broadway, in June, 1881. Witness
was in full charge of Dorsey's business,
drawing two-thirds of his checks, and was
in possession of his books and papers. The
witness personally occupied room No. 6,
and it was impossible for anyone to get
into room No. 5 without passing him.

THE NEW BOOK.
The new book and ten boxes, containing
Dorsey's papers, were kept there. He first
saw Reerdell in February, 1880. He did not
give the red book or any book to Reerdell
until June 13, 1881. He never saw him at
that office, and he was not there on that
day. There were no books, such as de-
scribed by Reerdell, in witness' custody, or
any book resembling it. He never saw any
books bound in red in the office, and they
could not have been kept there without his
knowledge. He saw Stephen W. Dorsey on
the evening of June 13, 1881, and he never
saw him at the Albermarle hotel. He fled there
because Dorsey asked him if Reerdell had
been to the office. He left New York
February 21 last, for Europe, and was
not seen on February 22d.

ON FEBRUARY 22, 1880, Reerdell brought two
checks to the office. One was on Middle-
ton & Co., and the other was on the Cit-
izens National bank. Reerdell took away
Middleton's book, but it came into witness' possession again. There were
only two small banking books in witness'
possession on June 13, 1881. Reerdell was
never in office at 145 Broadway, and he never
received any abstracts of books or copies of
books from Reerdell.

Being cross-examined by Merrick, he
said his reason for making so short a stay
(three days) in London, was because Dorsey
wanted him to come back, as his testimony
was important to him.

Merrick: "Suppose we bring two detec-
tives to swear that they followed Reerdell,
and saw him enter the office?"

The court ruled out the question, although
Merrick managed to get the witness to swear
to the fact.

At Ingersoll's request the witness de-
scribed three books kept by Kellogg, one of
Dorsey's clerks, but could not name their
color, being color-blind, as he said. His
books contained no entries against William
Smith or San Jones. He would have re-
membered it if a man took a book from the
office at any time.

Merrick asked why the witness had
sworn there were no red books when he
had acknowledged seeing them. He said
he could manage to distinguish
leading colors.

Robert F. Mullins, of Brooklyn,
was next called. He had occupied
the room with Torrey at 145
Broadway.

Ingersoll pointed to Reerdell who sat
facing the witness and inquired if he had
ever seen him.

He replied in the negative. He never
saw a man take a book away from the
rooms and never saw any books such as de-
scribed by Reerdell. He had the combina-
tion of the safe and its contents, but he
never saw any books, such as de-
scribed by Reerdell, in witness' custody, or
any book resembling it. He never saw any
books bound in red in the office, and they
could not have been kept there without his
knowledge. He saw Stephen W. Dorsey on
the evening of June 13, 1881, and he never
saw him at the Albermarle hotel. He fled there
because Dorsey asked him if Reerdell had
been to the office. He left New York
February 21 last, for Europe, and was
not seen on February 22d.

ON FEBRUARY 22, 1880, Reerdell brought two
checks to the office. One was on Middle-
ton & Co., and the other was on the Cit-
izens National bank. Reerdell took away
Middleton's book, but it came into witness' possession again. There were
only two small banking books in witness'
possession on June 13, 1881. Reerdell was
never in office at 145 Broadway, and he never
received any abstracts of books or copies of
books from Reerdell.

Being cross-examined by Merrick, he
said his reason for making so short a stay
(three days) in London, was because Dorsey
wanted him to come back, as his testimony
was important to him.

Merrick: "Suppose we bring two detec-
tives to swear that they followed Reerdell,
and saw him enter the office?"

The court ruled out the question, although
Merrick managed to get the witness to swear
to the fact.

At Ingersoll's request the witness de-
scribed three books kept by Kellogg, one of
Dorsey's clerks, but could not name their
color, being color-blind, as he said. His
books contained no entries against William
Smith or San Jones. He would have re-
membered it if a man took a book from the
office at any time.

Merrick asked why the witness had
sworn there were no red books when he
had acknowledged seeing them. He said
he could manage to distinguish
leading colors.

Robert F. Mullins, of Brooklyn,
was next called. He had occupied
the room with Torrey at 145
Broadway.

Ingersoll pointed to Reerdell who sat
facing the witness and inquired if he had
ever seen him.

He replied in the negative. He never
saw a man take a book away from the
rooms and never saw any books such as de-
scribed by Reerdell. He had the combina-
tion of the safe and its contents, but he
never saw any books, such as de-
scribed by Reerdell, in witness' custody, or
any book resembling it. He never saw any
books bound in red in the office, and they
could not have been kept there without his
knowledge. He saw Stephen W. Dorsey on
the evening of June 13, 1881, and he never
saw him at the Albermarle hotel. He fled there
because Dorsey asked him if Reerdell had
been to the office. He left New York
February 21 last, for Europe, and was
not seen on February 22d.

ON FEBRUARY 22, 1880, Reerdell brought two
checks to the office. One was on Middle-
ton & Co., and the other was on the Cit-
izens National bank. Reerdell took away
Middleton's book, but it came into witness' possession again. There were
only two small banking books in witness'
possession on June 13, 1881. Reerdell was
never in office at 145 Broadway, and he never
received any abstracts of books or copies of
books from Reerdell.

Being cross-examined by Merrick, he
said his reason for making so short a stay
(three days) in London, was because Dorsey
wanted him to come back, as his testimony
was important to him.

Merrick: "Suppose we bring two detec-
tives to swear that they followed Reerdell,
and saw him enter the office?"

The court ruled out the question, although
Merrick managed to get the witness to swear
to the fact.

At Ingersoll's request the witness de-
scribed three books kept by Kellogg, one of
Dorsey's clerks, but could not name their
color, being color-blind, as he said. His
books contained no entries against William
Smith or San Jones. He would have re-
membered it if a man took a book from the
office at any time.

Merrick asked why the witness had
sworn there were no red books when he
had acknowledged seeing them. He said
he could manage to distinguish
leading colors.

Robert F. Mullins, of Brooklyn,
was next called. He had occupied
the room with Torrey at 145
Broadway.

Ingersoll pointed to Reerdell who sat
facing the witness and inquired if he had
ever seen him.

He replied in the negative. He never
saw a man take a book away from the
rooms and never saw any books such as de-
scribed by Reerdell. He had the combina-
tion of the safe and its contents, but he
never saw any books, such as de-
scribed by Reerdell, in witness' custody, or
any book resembling it. He never saw any
books bound in red in the office, and they
could not have been kept there without his
knowledge. He saw Stephen W. Dorsey on
the evening of June 13, 1881, and he never
saw him at the Albermarle hotel. He fled there
because Dorsey asked him if Reerdell had
been to the office. He left New York
February 21 last, for Europe, and was
not seen on February 22d.

ON FEBRUARY 22, 1880, Reerdell brought two
checks to the office. One was on Middle-
ton & Co., and the other was on the Cit-
izens National bank. Reerdell took away
Middleton's book, but it came into witness' possession again. There were
only two small banking books in witness'
possession on June 13, 1881. Reerdell was
never in office at 145 Broadway, and he never
received any abstracts of books or copies of
books from Reerdell.

Being cross-examined by Merrick, he
said his reason for making so short a stay
(three days) in London, was because Dorsey
wanted him to come back, as his testimony
was important to him.

Merrick: "Suppose we bring two detec-
tives to swear that they followed Reerdell,
and saw him enter the office?"

The court ruled out the question, although
Merrick managed to get the witness to swear
to the fact.

At Ingersoll's request the witness de-
scribed three books kept by Kellogg, one of
Dorsey's clerks, but could not name their
color, being color-blind, as he said. His
books contained no entries against William
Smith or San Jones. He would have re-
membered it if a man took a book from the
office at any time.

Merrick asked why the witness had
sworn there were no red books when he
had acknowledged seeing them. He said
he could manage to distinguish
leading colors.

Robert F. Mullins, of Brooklyn,
was next called. He had occupied
the room with Torrey at 145
Broadway.

Ingersoll pointed to Reerdell who sat
facing the witness and inquired if he had
ever seen him.

He replied in the negative. He never
saw a man take a book away from the
rooms and never saw any books such as de-
scribed by Reerdell. He had the combina-
tion of the safe and its contents, but he
never saw any books, such as de-
scribed by Reerdell, in witness' custody, or
any book resembling it. He never saw any
books bound in red in the office, and they
could not have been kept there without his
knowledge. He saw Stephen W. Dorsey on
the evening of June 13, 1881, and he never
saw him at the Albermarle hotel. He fled there
because Dorsey asked him if Reerdell had
been to the office. He left New York
February 21 last, for Europe, and was
not seen on February 22d.

ON FEBRUARY 22, 1880, Reerdell brought two
checks to the office. One was on Middle-
ton & Co., and the other was on the Cit-
izens National bank. Reerdell took away
Middleton's book, but it came into witness' possession again. There were
only two small banking books in witness'
possession on June 13, 1881. Reerdell was
never in office at 145 Broadway, and he never
received any abstracts of books or copies of
books from Reerdell.

Being cross-examined by Merrick, he
said his reason for making so short a stay
(three days) in London, was because Dorsey
wanted him to come back, as his testimony
was important to him.

Merrick: "Suppose we bring two detec-
tives to swear that they followed Reerdell,
and saw him enter the office?"

The court ruled out the question, although
Merrick managed to get the witness to swear
to the fact.

REINHARDT, THE CLOTHIER.

704 ELM STREET.
EXTRAORDINARY ATTRACTIONS IN
Men's, Youths' & Boys' Clothing
FOR SPRING AND SUMMER.
NEW NECKWEAR, NEW HATS, LATEST STYLES PERCALE AND WHITE SHIRTS,
FANCY UNDERWEAR, LILIE THREAD, BALUBRIGAN AND INDIA
GAUZE, SPECIAL BARGAINS IN SCHOOL SUITS
AND CHILDREN'S SUITS.

REINHARDT, THE CLOTHIER.

704 ELM STREET.

Men's, Youths' & Boys' Clothing

FOR SPRING AND SUMMER.

NEW NECKWEAR, NEW HATS, LATEST STYLES