

On the sensitivity of food webs to multiple stressors

David Beauchesne^{1,2,*}, Kevin Cazelles³, Philippe Archambault², Dominique Gravel⁴

¹Institut des sciences de la mer, Université du Québec à Rimouski, Rimouski, QC, Canada

²Québec Océan, Département de biologie, Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada

³Department of Integrative Biology, University Of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1

⁴Département de biologie, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

Running title: Of food webs and multiple stressors

Keywords: antagonism, synergism, non-additive effects, multiple stressors, cumulative impacts, holistic, indirect effect,

Type of article: Ideas and Perspectives

Abstract word count:

Main text word count:

Number of references:

Number of figures:

Number of tables:

Number of text boxes:

Correspondence:

David Beauchesne

david.beauchesne@uqar.ca

1-514-553-4975

Statement of authorship:

Data accessibility statement:

Proposal letter

1 Abstract

2 Glossary

- Stressor:
- Effect
- Impact
- Direct effect
- Indirect effect
- Pathway of effect
- Unitary pathway of effect
- Sensitivity
- Weak entry point
- Non-additive effects
- Amplification
- Biotic buffer
- Biotic amplifier

3 Introduction

Ecosystems worldwide are increasingly affected by a vast array of environmental pressures, commonly referred to as stressors (Boonstra *et al.* 2015; Halpern *et al.* 2019). Stressors may be driven by natural or human-induced biophysical processes such as ocean acidification and warming, or directly from anthropogenic activities such as fisheries. Stressors can be defined as any externality that affects environmental processes and disturbs natural systems. Individually, stressors have been found to impact all levels of biological organization and

54 cause dramatic changes to whole ecosystems. For example, ocean acidification can reduce
55 coral and mollusk calcification, metabolic, growth and mortality rates, and has been linked
56 to altered carbon fluxes in ecological networks (Fabry *et al.* 2008; Kroeker *et al.* 2013; Bove
57 *et al.* 2019). Fisheries have caused the collapse of commercial species, decreased the mean
58 trophic level in coastal and oceanic environments by targeting large predators and impaired
59 a variety of essential ecosystem services (Pauly *et al.* 1998; Myers & Worm 2003; Worm *et al.*
60 2006).

61 Rarely do stressors occur individually, and the list of potentially interacting stressors affecting
62 an ecosystem at any given point in time or space can be extensive (Halpern *et al.* 2019).
63 Global changes and local human activities thus result in increasingly intricate environmental
64 stress exposure regimes, compounding the pathways of effects through which natural systems
65 can be impacted (Halpern *et al.* 2019). For example, coral reefs contend with a suite of
66 pressures including fishing, warming temperatures, ocean acidification and water quality that
67 may impact species in contrasting ways (McClanahan *et al.* 2014; Harborne *et al.* 2017).
68 Moreover, stressors may combine to disturb ecosystems in ways that cannot be captured
69 through the examination of individual pressures. For instance, Wiedenmann *et al.* (2013)
70 showed that susceptibility of corals to temperature-induced bleaching increases with the
71 concentration of dissolved inorganic nitrogen and a recent studies showed that such a synergy
72 between nutrient enrichment and global warming is already causing major loss of coral reefs
73 in the Florida Keys (Lapointe *et al.* 2019). Dramatic examples include up to 100-fold
74 increases in the sensitivity of certain organisms to toxicants (*e.g.* pesticides) when exposed
75 to other environmental stressors (*e.g.* parasites; Liess *et al.* 2016) and the reversal of the
76 positive effects of acidification on primary producer biomass by warmer waters in boreal
77 lakes (Christensen *et al.* 2006). Such interactions between the effects of stressors is extremely
78 alarming not only because of their dreadful impacts, but also because they are pervasive
79 (*e.g.* Crain *et al.* 2008; Piggott *et al.* 2015; Jackson *et al.* 2016) and, so far, remain largely
80 unpredictable (Darling & Côté 2008; Côté *et al.* 2016).

81 From a practical standpoint, single-driver and single-species assessments nonetheless remain
82 overwhelmingly employed (O'Brien *et al.* 2019), while most large-scale multiple stressors
83 studies have typically focused on habitats (*e.g.* Ban *et al.* 2010; Halpern *et al.* 2019). This
84 conveniently circumscribes assessments to a set of tractable units, but it also ignores systems
85 complexity and aggregates biological processes operating at lower levels of organization
86 (Halpern & Fujita 2013; Giakoumi *et al.* 2015). Furthermore, methodologies tend to either
87 assume that the effects of multiple stressors are additive (*e.g.* Halpern *et al.* 2019), or rely on
88 the use of null models that provide little insights into the mechanisms underlying ecological
89 responses to the effects of multiple stressors (Griffen *et al.* 2016; Jackson *et al.* 2016; De
90 Laender 2018; Schäfer & Piggott 2018). As a response, there has been a recent upsurge in
91 theory-driven modelling approaches to evaluate the effects of multiple stressors on complex
92 systems (*e.g.* Hodgson *et al.* 2017; De Laender 2018; Galic *et al.* 2018; Thompson *et al.*
93 2018).

94 Confronted with the challenge of managing and preserving complex systems, there is an urgent
95 need for the development of frameworks that properly consider the complexities of multiple
96 stressors in ways that are informative to management. Such frameworks should be grounded
97 in theory, scaled for gains in ecological understanding rather than convenience, and allow for
98 dynamic identification of conservation targets. It should also be flexible and data-oriented so
99 that it can make use of the expanding corpus of openly available environmental knowledge
100 and computational capabilities.

101 In this paper, we conceptualize how multiple stressors permeate complex ecological commu-
102 nities. Our objective is to theoretically and holistically investigate the role of species and
103 their interactions (*i.e.* food web topology) in driving species sensitivity to stressors and how
104 they may buffer against or amplify the effects of multiple stressors. We then scale up to
105 complex systems by exploring species sensitivity to multiple stressors as a consequence of
106 their position in empirical food webs using the St. Lawrence System in Eastern Canada as an

illustration. In doing so, we seek to answer questions of particular significance to management and the application of holistic environmental approaches: 1) should species interactions be considered in impact assessments, 2) should the effects of stressors be evaluated separately or in combination, and 3) which species are most sensitive to stressors based on their trophic position.

4 Of food webs and multiple stressors

4.1 A gateway through ecological communities

We begin by conceptualizing how multiple stressors impact species embedded in complex ecological communities. To illustrate this, we use a simplified 6-species food web composed of populations of beluga (*Delphinapterus leucas*) and humpback (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) whales, Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*), capelin (*Mallotus villosus*), krill (*Euphausiacea*) and copepods (*Copepoda*; Figure 1A). The dynamics of such a community is driven by biological processes operating at the scale of individual populations (*e.g.* reproduction and mortality) and of the whole community (*e.g.* consumer-resource interactions). Through interactions, species influence the dynamics of other species both directly and indirectly. Direct effects arise when a species affects another without the involvement of a third species (???; Wootton 2002). For example, cod consumes capelin in our system, directly affecting its prey and weaving the dynamics of both populations together (Figure 1A).

Indirect effects are effects that link at least two direct effects through an intermediary species, which results in an interaction chain also known as a density-mediated indirect effect (???; Wootton 1993, 2002). In our system, krill is indirectly affected by cod through their respective interaction with capelin (Figure 1A). Indirect effects may be as important of, and propagate faster than, direct effects (Wootton 1993, 2002; Menge 1995; Yodzis 2000). Surprising observations may arise from indirect effects, such as a predator having a positive net effect on its prey (???; Montoya *et al.* 2009). Trophic cascades, *i.e.* the propagation of

impacts by consumers downward through whole food webs (*e.g.* cod-capelin-krill; Figure 1A) and apparent competition, *i.e.* alternate prey species of a generalist predator (*e.g.* krill-capelin-copepod; Figure 1A) are well-documented and prevalent types of indirect effects in empirical food webs (*e.g.* ???; ???; Menge 1995; Estes *et al.* 2011). The number, strength, types and position of direct and indirect effects arising from species interactions govern the dynamic stability of a community and influence how impacts of stressors will propagate (Wootton 2002; Montoya *et al.* 2009). Modify any of these parameters, and the dynamics of the community - and how stressors propagate - will also change.

Now imagine that 3 distinct sources of stress appear in our system: climate change induced temperature anomalies, commercial shipping and trawl fishing (Figure 1A). Stressors impact populations and whole communities by disrupting the biological processes that govern their dynamics (*e.g.* Galic *et al.* 2018; Guiden *et al.* 2019; Hodgson & Halpern 2019; Hodgson *et al.* 2019). Multiple processes may be affected by a single stressor, whereas multiple stressors may affect a single process. In practice, the impact of stressors will depend on their frequency and intensity, as well as on species-specific vulnerabilities. These can be highly variable. For example, species may be well adapted to (*e.g.* northern shrimp *Pandalus borealis* and Greenland halibut *Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*; Pillet *et al.* 2016), avoid (*e.g.* Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*; Chabot & Claireaux 2008), or be killed by (*e.g.* sessile benthic invertebrates; Eby *et al.* 2005; Belley *et al.* 2010) hypoxic environments. To address our objective and glean insights into the role of species and their interactions in shaping their sensitivity to multiple stressors, however, we focus on what happens once biological processes are impacted, rather than how impacts manifest in the first place. Identifying and quantifying species-specific vulnerabilities is best addressed through *in situ* sampling and targeted experimental investigations, which has limited applicability for complex communities influenced by many stressors, and is thus beyond the scope of this manuscript.

So let us assume that, in our system, temperature anomalies impact the reproductive

capabilities of copepods (*i.e.* growth rate) and the effectiveness of predators to assimilate them (*i.e.* conversion rate), that shipping alters the feeding behaviour of whales (*i.e.* attack rate), and that fisheries impact the mortality of cod and capelin (Figure 1A). Beyond their obvious direct impacts, stressors may permeate entire ecological communities through the indirect pathways of effects provided by the complex web of interactions in which species are embedded (Wootton 2002; Bascompte 2009; Montoya *et al.* 2009; O’Gorman & Emmerson 2009; O’Gorman *et al.* 2012). For example, shipping indirectly impacts krill, capelin and cod through its direct impact on whale behaviour and the direct effect of whales on their prey (Figure 1A). In that sense, indirect impacts of stressors can spread through both direct and indirect trophic effects of species on other species. To provide a clear distinction between trophic effects and stressor impacts, we will refer to stressor-species and species-species interactions using impacts and effects, respectively.

In complex food webs, the net effect of a single or of multiple species on another is the integration of all direct and indirect effects propagating through individual pathways to a focal species (???). For example, the net effect of cod on beluga will depend on the direct effect linking both species and the indirect effect of cod on beluga through capelin (Figure 1A). By extension, the net impact of a single or of multiple stressors on a species in a complex food web is the integration of all impacts on biological processes both directly and those propagating through individual pathways to a focal species. The net impact of trawling on cod must then consider the direct impact on cod mortality, as well as the indirect impact of trawling on capelin propagating back to cod through their trophic interactions (Figure 1A). Similarly, the additional impact of shipping should consider the altered behaviour of whale propagating to cod (Figure 1A). This means that properly understanding the impacts of multiple stressors requires an holistic approach that explicitly considers the complexity of ecological communities and all sources of stress in a system.




Figure 1: Conceptualization of the effects of multiple sources of environmental stress on the dynamics of a theoretical food web. A) Drivers such as sea surface temperature, marine traffic and fisheries penetrate food webs through various pathways of effects by disturbing ecological processes governing populations and communities. For instance, marine traffic disturbs whale feeding behaviour, which may lead to decreased attack rates on prey species. B) A species position in a food web can be decomposed in a suite of n -species submodules called motifs that are informative of the role played by a species in the entire food web. Different types of interaction types will lead to different types of community dynamics and a species can be described as a function of the number and types of interactions it is involved in. For instance, the interactions of the meso-predator can be decomposed into 1 exploitative competition, 2 food chains and 1 omnivory motifs. C) The dynamics of motifs can uncover if $<!$ – KC ?? \rightarrow and how disturbances arising from individual and multiple drivers are propagated and amplified through different types of species interactions. Variations in species abundance due to driver induced disturbances provide an evaluation of motif species sensitivity (C1-3). The difference between the summed effects of individual drivers (*i.e.* additive model; C1-2) and the joint effects of multiple drivers (*i.e.* full model; C3) provides an evaluation of disturbance amplification.

4.2 Handling food web complexity

The number and complexity of pathways through which a species may affect or be affected by other species, and through which impacts may spread through whole communities, increases exponentially with the number of species and interactions in a network (Menge 1995). To

illustrate this, let us imagine that community dynamic in our system is governed by resource growth, mortality, attack and conversion rates. In mathematical terms, such a system would be driven by a total of 21 distinct biological processes. Impacting this system could be achieved through over 2 000 000 unique pathways. Such complexity has proven to be prohibitive to investigations into community dynamics and into the impacts of disturbances on food webs (Wootton 2002; Montoya *et al.* 2009).

Studying smaller subgraphs – community motifs or modules – emerges as an alternative to gather insights into the dynamics and stability of complex ecological communities (Holt 1997; Holt & Hochberg 2001). Motifs are collections of n -species that, when put together, construct whole food webs (Milo *et al.* 2002; Stouffer *et al.* 2007). They form the backbone of food webs and provide a mesoscale characterization of the structural properties of communities (Bascompte & Melián 2005; Stouffer *et al.* 2007; Stouffer & Bascompte 2010, 2011). Investigations into three-species motifs are particularly common in the literature (*e.g.* Menge 1995; Milo *et al.* 2002; Stouffer *et al.* 2007, 2012). There exist 13 distinct three-species motif configurations (Milo *et al.* 2002; Stouffer *et al.* 2007, 2012). On average, 95% of three-species motifs in empirical food webs are composed of tri-trophic food chain (*e.g.* cod-capelin-krill; Figure 1A), omnivory or intraguild predation (*e.g.* beluga-cod-capelin; Figure 1A), exploitative competition (*e.g.* humpback whale-capelin-beluga; Figure 1A) and apparent competition (*e.g.* krill-capelin-copepod; Figure 1A) motifs (Camacho *et al.* 2007; Stouffer & Bascompte 2010). Focusing on motifs rather than whole food webs restricts the complexity we must contend with to better understand the role of species and their interactions in mediating the impacts of multiple stressors. We now shift our focus to the dynamics of those motifs that are particularly relevant to the structural properties of empirical food webs.

4.3 Simplified dynamics of multiple stressors

Different types of interactions will yield constrasting dynamics, so that the types of interactions a species is involved in and its position - *e.g.* a resource or a predator - will influence how it

is impacted by stressors. Net effects in food webs are typically measured as variations in species abundances or densities, which integrates all trophic effects operating on the system simultaneously [Wootton (2002); montoya2009]. The net impact of stressors on species may be measured equivalently, *i.e.* by evaluating how initial, pre-stressor, abundances or densities of species at equilibrium shift after the permanent appearance of stressors in a system.

As an illustration, we focus on the omnivory interaction connecting cod, beluga and capelin in our system (Figure 1B). Considering impacts separately reveals contrasting population trajectories following the appearance of a stressor. The direct impact of fishing on capelin mortality spreads through species and result in reduced capelin and beluga populations and a growing cod population (Figure 1B1). This increase in cod abundance is likely driven mesopredator release following the decrease in beluga numbers in the system (???). This trophic effect may ultimately exacerbate the impact of fishing on capelin. Impacting the mortality of cod, meanwhile, results in a decrease in overall predation pressure that leads to the growth of the capelin and beluga populations, whereas the cod population remains relatively unchanged (Figure 1B2). This counterintuitive observation is likely the result of compensatory dynamics, where the impact of the stressor is offset by an increase in prey availability. Finally, although the direct impact of shipping on beluga feeding behaviour appears to have little direct impact on the beluga population, it nonetheless disrupts the equilibria dynamics of its prey to the benefit of cod and to the detriment of capelin (Figure 1B3). This is likely due, once again, to a decrease in the top-down control of beluga on cod, effectively altering the beneficial effect of beluga on capelin.

We define these variations in abundance - or density - as a result of the net impact of stressors as a species trophic sensitivity (S ; Figure 1B):

$$S_{m,K_j} = \frac{a_{m,K_j} - a_m}{a_m},$$

236 where S_{m,K_j} is the trophic sensitivity of species m to the integrative pathway of effect K_j , a_m
 237 is the initial abundance of species m , and a_{m,K_j} is the abundance of species m in response to
 238 the integrative pathway of effect K_j . Note that by definition S_{m,K_j} is bounded negatively to
 239 -1, as species abundances cannot fall below 0. An integrative pathway of effect (K_j) is the
 240 ensemble of biological processes that are impacted by stressors and whose impact propagates
 241 directly and indirectly to a focal species (m). We further define a unitary pathway of effect
 242 (k) as a single biological process within the set of processes composing an integrative pathway
 243 of effect. In the remainder of the text, if we use the term pathway of effect without a qualifier
 244 (*i.e.* integrative or unitary), we mean an integrative pathway of effect.

245 Depending on a species position in a food web and the types of interactions it is involved in,
 246 it may be more or less sensitive to the impacts of stressors (???). For example, it is expected
 247 that impacting a generalist species will have less impact on other species in a community
 248 than impacting a specialist species (Montoya *et al.* 2009). Predators, meanwhile, are thought
 249 to be more vulnerable to stressors, their trophic position making them more susceptible to
 250 the propagation of impacts (???). Out of such impacts, meso-predators may find themselves
 251 released from the effect of top predators and be positively affected by stressors (???). For
 252 example, negatively impacting beluga whales in our system may result in a positive effect on
 253 cod (Figure 1A). Species that react strongly to impacts - whether positively or negatively -
 254 can have profound effects on the structural dynamics of a community (???). We refer to such
 255 species as weak entry points and distinguish between negative and positive weak entry points.
 256 More extreme values of trophic sensitivity could identify weak entry points in a community.

257 In multi-species systems, where many direct and indirect trophic effects are operating
 258 simultaneously, impacts of stressors may be amplified or dampened through biotic interactions
 259 (Ives 1995; Wootton 2002; Thompson *et al.* 2018). These types of effects are termed non-
 260 additive and they may be observed when the net impact of an integrative pathway of effect
 261 is greater (*i.e.* synergy) or lower (*i.e.* antagonism) than the sum of the impacts of unitary

pathways of effect, which we will refer to as the additive pathway of effect. Identifying synergies and antagonisms has been a hallmark of investigations into the impacts of multiple stressors (*e.g.* crain2008; darling2008; Côté *et al.* 2016; Galic *et al.* 2018; Thompson *et al.* 2018). Our definition of non-additive contrasts somewhat with usual approaches, however, in that we consider that non-additive effects arise from impacts to multiple biological processes. Since a single stressor may impact multiple biological processes, we argue that a single stressor may also cause non-additive effects, at least at the community scale.

In essence, what we described earlier as varying dynamic trajectories due to the individual impacts of stressors on cod, beluga and capelin are trophic sensitivities to unitary pathways of effects (Figure 1B1-3). Their summation, which forms the additive pathway of effect, provides an additive evaluation of a species trophic sensitivity (Figure 1B4) and corresponds to the usual focus of environmental assessments. In reality, all of those impacts are operating simultaneously on the system through the integrative pathway of effect, which captures the true trophic sensitivity of a species (Figure 1B4). The difference between the trophic sensitivity of a species to an integrative pathway of effect and to an additive pathway of effect provides a quantitative evaluation of non-additive impacts. We define this quantity as a species trophic amplification (A):

$$A_{m,K_j} = S_{m,K_j} - \sum_{k \in K_j} S_{m,k},$$

where A_{m,K_j} is the trophic amplification of species m in response to the integrative pathway of effect K_j , k denotes the n unitary pathways of effect forming K_j , S_{m,K_j} and $S_{m,k}$ are the trophic sensitivity of m to K_j and k , respectively. Positive and negative values of trophic amplification identify synergistic and antagonistic impacts, respectively. In our system, the integrative impact of fishing and shipping would result in synergistic impacts on capelin and beluga, and a slightly antagonistic impact on cod (Figure 1B4). We refer to species with positive or negative trophic amplification values as biotic amplifiers or biotic buffers,

respectively. Hence, capelin and beluga would be biotic amplifiers, while cod would be a biotic buffer (Figure 1B4).

4.4 Holistic exploration

We have thus far explored what type of trophic sensitivity and amplification to expect for species involved in an omnivory motif and exposed to a specific pathway of effect (Figure 1B4). There are many more possible pathways of effect. Restricting the impacts of stressors to resource growth, mortality, conversion and attack rates, there are a total of 7 biological processes and 127 distinct pathways of effect for the tri-trophic food chain, competitive exploitation and apparent competition motifs, and 9 biological processes and 511 pathways of effect for the omnivory motifs. We now explore them all by modeling the dynamics of those motifs using generalized Lotka-Volterra equation systems with Type 1 functional response (Table S1). Two additional motifs were modeled to use as controls to test for the importance of species interactions in mediating the impacts of stressors: a partially connected motif with a disconnected species and a predator-prey interaction resulting in 31 distinct pathways of effects, and a disconnected motif with three fully independent species resulting in 7 pathways of effect.

Resources were modeled using equations of the form

$$\frac{dX_i}{dt} = X_i(r_i - \alpha_{ii}X_i - \sum_j \alpha_{ij}X_j),$$

where X_i denotes species i , r_i is the intrinsic resource growth rate, α_{ii} is the density-dependent effect of the resource on itself and α_{ij} is the rate at which consumer j affects resource i , i.e. the attack rate.

Consumers were modeled using equations of the form

$$\frac{dX_j}{dt} = X_j(-m_j + \sum_i e_{ij}\alpha_{ij}X_i - \alpha_{jk}X_k),$$

where m_j is the mortality rate of species j and e_{ij} is the rate at which resource i biomass is transformed into consumer j biomass, *i.e.* the conversion rate, and is a scaling parameter of the attack rate which cannot exceed 1.

We studied the equilibrium dynamics of species constrained to coexistence, *i.e.* the abundances of all species had to be positive before and after the appearance of stressors in the system. See Stouffer & Bascompte (2010) and Stouffer *et al.* (2012) for investigations into the role of motifs and species to community persistence and stability. As no equilibrium exists for the exploitative competition motif, competitive parameters between consumers were included to the consumer models to constrain growth and limit competitive exclusion. Refer to table S1 for the detailed equation system of each motif considered. Equation systems were solved using Sage (???). All other analyses were performed using R (???)

Initial parameter values for intrinsic growth (r) and resource density-dependence (α_{ii}) were fixed to 1 and 0.001, respectively, to bound all resource solutions. Competitive parameters for the exploitative competition motif were also fixed to 0.001, and conversion rates (e) were fixed to 0.5. A set of 100 initial values for mortality ($m \in [0.01, 0.5]$) and attack rates ($\alpha_{ij} \in [0.0001, 0.01]$) was sampled using a simulated annealing algorithm. The algorithm optimized parameter solutions for consumer abundance, *i.e.* the algorithm was more likely to select solutions if the abundance of both consumers in a particular motif increased at each iteration. Complete sets of parameters were only retained if initial abundances and abundances resulting from all possible pathways of effect were positive (explained below).

All possible pathways of effect were simulated by modifying the equilibria equation parameter values by 1%. Parameters were modified to simulate negative impacts only, *e.g.* by increasing the mortality rate of the top predator in the food chain motif by 1%. Simulated impacts

were limited to resource growth rates (r) mortality rates (m), conversion rates (e) and attack rates (α_{ij}). Trophic sensitivity (S_{i,K_j}) and trophic amplification (A_i) for all unique motif positions (i) were then computed (see equations 1 and 2 above). The overall trophic sensitivity and amplification of unique motif positions was computed as the average sensitivity and amplification over all possible pathways of effect. We refer to those quantities as a position sensitivity and a position amplification.

Arbitrary thresholds were used to identify negative ($S_{i,K_j} < 1$) and positive ($S_{i,K_j} > 1$) weak entry points, biotic buffers ($A_{i,K_j} < 0.02$) and biotic amplifiers ($A_{i,K_j} > 0.02$). These thresholds are used solely as references for discussion purposes to better identify species that are more or less sensitive and prone to non-additive impacts.

4.5 Trophic sensitivity and trophic amplification

Unsurprisingly, integrative pathways of effect resulted in greater trophic sensitivities than unitary pathways of effect for all interaction types (Figure 2). Species in interaction motifs (*i.e.* food chain, omnivory, exploitation and apparent competition) have greater trophic sensitivities and amplifications than those in control motifs (*i.e.* partially connected and disconnected; Figures 2, 3). Additionally, omnivory and food chain interactions generally lead to greater trophic sensitivities and amplifications than competitive interactions (Figures 2, 3).

Weak entry points are prevalent in all types of interactions (Figures 2, 3). Omnivory and food chain interactions are particularly susceptible. Top predators and resources are both negative weak entry points, whereas meso-predators are positive weak entry points for both interaction types (Figures 2, 3). Predators in exploitative competition interactions are generally negative weak entry points (Figures 2, 3). Finally, resources in apparent competition largely benefit from parameter variations and are positive weak entry points (Figures 2, 3). The only insensitive positions in interaction motifs are resources and predators in exploitative and

355 apparent competition interactions, respectively, (Figures 2, 3).

356 Biotic buffers and amplifiers, meanwhile, are almost exclusively restricted to omnivory
357 interactions and to the top predator in food chain interactions (Figure 2). On average, the
358 meso-predator in omnivory interactions and predators in both interaction types are biotic
359 amplifiers, whereas resources are not classified (Figure 3). Yet most pathways of effect on these
360 motif positions lead either to antagonistic or to synergistic impacts (Figure 2). Typecasting
361 these positions as biotic buffers or amplifiers is therefore dependent on meticulous knowledge
362 of realized pathways of effects. We can, however, typecast these positions as acutely sensitive
363 to non-additive impacts.

364 Trophic sensitivities and amplifications are greater when different types of biological processes
365 are impacted (Figure ??). Direct impacts on species mortalities or growth rates are the most
366 impactful to a species trophic sensitivity. Yet it is worth noting that impacts to mortality or
367 growth rates do not affect trophic amplification. Instead, non-additive impacts are driven by
368 impacts on species interactions, *i.e.* attack (α_{ij}) and conversion (e) rates (Figure 4).




Figure 2: Ranking of trophic sensitivity ($S_{i,j}$) and amplification ($A_{i,j}$) scores for all univariate and multivariate pathways of effects on all 13 unique motif positions in the three-species motifs explored, *i.e.* tri-trophic food chain, omnivory, exploitative competition, apparent competition, partially connected and disconnected. Trophic sensitivity is the variation in species initial abundance at equilibrium and the abundance after disturbances are simulated through univariate or multivariate pathways of effect. Pathways of effects identified as weak entry points (*i.e.* highly sensitive to disturbances) and biotic sinks (*i.e.* insensitive to disturbances) are identified by red and blue regions, respectively. Trophic amplification is the deviance of a multivariate pathway of effect and the sum of the univariate effects composing the pathway of effect, *i.e.* the additive model. Pathways of effect identified as biotic buffers (*i.e.* synergistic effect of disturbances) and biotic buffers (*i.e.* antagonistic effect of disturbances) are identified by the red and blue regions, respectively.




Figure 3: Ranking of 13 motif positions as a function of position sensitivity (S_i) and amplification (A_i) scores in the three-species motifs explored, *i.e.* tri-trophic food chain, omnivory, exploitative competition, apparent competition, partially connected and disconnected. Position sensitivity is mean value of the variation in species initial abundance at equilibrium and the abundance after disturbances are simulated for all univariate and multivariate pathways of effect on a motif position. Motif positions identified as weak entry points (*i.e.* highly sensitive to disturbances) and biotic sinks (*i.e.* insensitive to disturbances) are identified by the blue regions. Position amplification is the mean deviance of a multivariate pathway of effect and the sum of the univariate effects composing the pathway of effect, *i.e.* the additive model, for all multivariate pathways of effect on a motif position. Motif positions identified as biotic buffers (*i.e.* synergistic effect of disturbances) and biotic amplifiers (*i.e.* antagonistic effect of disturbances) are identified by the red regions.




Figure 4: Absolute values of the mean trophic sensitivity ($S_{i,j}$; A) and amplification ($A_{i,j}$; B) as a function of the types of parameter composing a pathway of effect. All pathways of effect targeting a specific parameter type (*i.e.* mortality, attack and conversion rates) were grouped to evaluate their respective and combined effect on trophic sensitivity and amplification.

5 Scaling back to complex food webs

We have used motifs to handle food web complexity, conceptualize the role of species and their interactions in mediating the impacts of multiple stressors in ecological communities, and evaluate how different types of interactions will lead to contrasting trophic sensitivities and amplifications. We now scale back to species in complex food webs. As a food web can be deconstructed into n -species motifs, it can also be pieced back together in the same manner to assess the structural roles of species within food webs (Stouffer *et al.* 2012; Cirtwill & Stouffer 2015). A species motif profile is evaluated as a function of all the positions it holds in n -species interactions. For example, cod in our conceptual system is involved twice in food chain interactions as a top predator, once in an omnivory interaction as a mesopredator, once in exploitative competition as a predator and never in apparent competition (Figure 1C).

Each motif in which cod is involved is characterized by a particular pathway of effect (Figure 1C) with a distinct trophic sensitivity and amplification value (Figure 1D).

A species overall trophic sensitivity (S_m) and amplification (A_m) becomes the sum of its trophic sensitivities and amplifications over all its n -species interactions:

$$S_m = \sum_{j \in K^{i*}}^{position} S_{i,j},$$

$$A_m = \sum_{j \in K^{i*}}^{position} A_{i,j},$$

where S_m and A_m are the sensitivity and amplification scores of species m , respectively, K_j are integrative pathways of effect, K^{i*} is the set of realized pathways of effects for position i , and S_{i,K_j} and A_{i,K_j} are the trophic sensitivity and amplification to the pathway of effect K_j at position i .

Since we simulated the trophic sensitivity and amplification of all motif positions to all

possible pathways of effect, we can use these results as heuristics to infer the overall trophic sensitivity and amplification of a species in a complex food web.

5.1 An empirical illustration

We illustrate the evaluation of a species trophic sensitivity and amplification using the St. Lawrence System, in eastern Canada, as an empirical example. The St. Lawrence System is formed by one of the largest estuaries in the world, the St. Lawrence Estuary, and a vast interior sea, the Gulf of St. Lawrence. It is characterized by variable environmental and oceanographic processes that make it well suited for the establishment of diverse and productive ecological communities (???; ???). We use the description of empirical food webs originating from the three main regions of the St. Lawrence for different periods: the Northern Gulf of St. Lawrence (mid-1980s; Morissette *et al.* 2003), the Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence (mid-1980s; Savenkoff *et al.* 2004), and the St. Lawrence Estuary (early 2000s; Savenkoff 2012). The total number of species and functional groups differs between food webs ($n_{SSL} = 30$; $n_{NSL} = 32$; $n_{ESL} = 41$), yet there is significant overlap ($n_{all} = 21$). Food web resolution is biased towards commercial fish for all food webs. Interactions were identified as a species or functional group’s diet composition in percent. Only diet percent > 0.1 were considered as interactions.

The St. Lawrence System provides a wealth of ecosystem services that benefit the Canadian economy. It sustains rich commercial fisheries, grants access to one of the most densely populated regions in North-America through more than 40 ports, is home to an expanding aquaculture production, and has an expanding tourism industry (???; ???; ???). These human-induced stressors mingle with climate related stressors to result in intricate stress exposure regimes (Beauchesne 2019). The most prevalent sources of offshore human- and climate-induced stress in the St. Lawrence System are shipping, trawl, trap and pelagic fisheries, ocean acidification, hypoxia, and bottom and surface temperature anomalies (Beauchesne 2019).

The impacts of individual sources of stress on the biological processes governing these food webs (Figure ??) were inferred following broad rules to simplify the number of assumptions required. First, a deep- or surface-dwelling species were considered exposed to an individual stressor if they could cooccur in the water column. Acidification, hypoxia and bottom temperature anomalies are widespread in the deep layers of the St. Lawrence, whereas surface temperature anomalies and shipping are prevalent in the surface layer (Beauchesne 2019). Second, we used a trait-matching approach to evaluate the vulnerability of species to climate-related stressors. The mobility of a species was used to evaluate its vulnerability to hypoxia and temperature anomalies. Hypoxia was considered as impacting the physiology or the behaviour of species with low or high mobility, respectively. Temperature anomalies were considered as impacting the physiology of species with low mobility only. Ocean acidification was assumed to impact the physiology of exposed carbonate-secreting organisms (*e.g.* mollusks and crustaceans; Kroeker *et al.* 2013). Third, impacts of human-induced stressors were classified from known species-stressors relationships. Disruption of whale behaviour was considered as the main impact of shipping (Christiansen *et al.* 2013; Lesage *et al.* 2017). Fisheries were considered as impacting species mortality. Targetted species were identified from the catch data provided in the food web descriptions (Morissette *et al.* 2003; Savenkoff *et al.* 2004; Savenkoff 2012) and gear type from landing data from the Department of Fisheries and Ocean’s Canada (???). Reported whale bycatch and seals and seabird hunting were considered as impacts to mortality (Morissette *et al.* 2003; Savenkoff *et al.* 2004; Savenkoff 2012).

The motif profile of each species was evaluated from the structure of each food web (Figure ??). For each three-species interaction in which a species was identified, the realized pathway of effect was identified as a function of biological processes impacted (Figure ??). The following rules were applied to identify realized pathways of effect:

- 1) impacts on mortality disrupt resource growth rates (r) and consumer mortality rates

(m),

2) impacts on behaviour disrupt consumer attack rates (α_{ij}), and

3) impacts on physiology disrupt consumer conversion rates (e).

Note that detailed results are only presented and discussed for the Northern Gulf of St. Lawrence food web in the manuscript. Results for the Estuary and Southern Gulf are nonetheless available as supplementary material (Figures S1, S2).

5.2 Species sensitivity and amplification

The most vulnerable species in the Northern St. Lawrence are large predators that are never or rarely resources themselves, such as large demersal fish (*i.e.* demersals, atlantic cod and greenland halibut; Figure ??). These species are the greatest negative weak entry points in the food web and also have high trophic amplifications compared to other species in the food web (Figure ??).

The position of a species in a food web greatly influences its trophic sensitivity and amplification. Resources such as shrimp and small pelagic feeders have generally weaker trophic sensitivities (Figure ??). Large crustaceans, meanwhile, who are positioned as both predators and resources in the food web, are positive weak entry points and biotic amplifiers (Figure ??). Other species like redfish and shrimp are in positioned in interactions leading to both positive (*e.g.* meso-predator in omnivory interaction) and negative (*e.g.* resources in omnivory interactions) impacts (Figure ??). This likely leads to impacts cancelling each other out, which might explain their low trophic sensitivity. Interestingly, trophic sensitivity and amplification are not correlated among species. In fact, very few species are biotic buffers, while most are biotic amplifiers to some degree (Figure ??).

The number of stressors directly impacting a species does not necessarily translate to higher trophic sensitivities or amplifications. For example, even though shrimp mortality, physiology and behaviour are all impacted, the net trophic sensitivity of shrimp is very low (Figure ??).

466 In contrast, species directly impacted by few or no stressors like cetaceans and harbour seals
467 may be have high net trophic sensitivity and amplifications due to their trophic position
468 (Figure ??).

469 Food web topology and affects a species trophic sensitivity and amplification (Figure 6). For
470 example, cod shrimp and large crustaceans are three species or functional groups found in
471 all three St. Lawrence food webs. Indeed, cod appears to be a stronger negative weak entry
472 points and biotic amplifiers in the Northern Gulf than in other regions of the St. Lawrence
473 (Figure 6). Shrimp, meanwhile, are stronger positive weak entry points and biotic amplifiers
474 in the Estuary than the Gulf (Figure 6). Even greater contrasts are observed with large
475 crustaceans, who are negative weak entry points in the Estuary and moderately positive weak
476 entry points and biotic amplifiers in the Gulf (Figure 6).

477 The types of stressors considered alters a species trophic sensitivity and amplification. For
478 instance, fisheries and climate combine to increase and decrease sensitivity of cod and shrimp,
479 respectively (Figure 6). Stressors may also combine to strengthen or weaken their respective
480 impacts. For instance, fisheries weaken the impact of climate stressors on shrimp when
481 combined, although it greatly increases trophic amplification (Figure 6). These observations
482 stress the a species sensitivity to and amplification of multiple stressors will be context
483 dependent and thus cannot be properly evaluated without holistically considering its context.




Figure 5: Change in species and functional groups sensitivity and amplification scores as a function of realized pathways of effects for an empirical food web of the Northern Gulf of St. Lawrence in the mid-1980s [?]. The left-hand side of the table presents the species and functional groups, the drivers supposed to be affecting population level mortality, physiology and behaviour, and the frequency at which they are found in each unique position of the food chain, omnivory, exploitative and apparent competition motifs. Important drivers in the Northern Gulf of St. Lawrence are fisheries (*i.e.* demersal destructive, demersal non-destructive high-bycatch and pelagic high-bycatch), climate change (*i.e.* ocean acidification, hypoxia and bottom and surface temperature anomalies), and shipping [?]. Species position and driver effects on biological processes were used to identify realized pathways of effects between all 3-species combinations in the food web. The right-hand side of the table presents the species sensitivity and amplification scores, which corresponds to the sum of the simulated effects of all realized pathways of effects for each species and functional group (Figure 2). Negative and positive sensitivity scores mean that overall pathways affecting a species have negative or positive effects, respectively. The species with the most negative and positive scores are weak entry points (*i.e.* highly sensitive to disturbances), while species with null scores are biotic sinks (*i.e.* insensitive to disturbances). Similarly, negative and positive amplification scores mean that overall pathways affecting a species result in antagonistic or synergistic effects, respectively. Species with negative or positive scores are thus biotic buffers and biotic amplifiers, respectively.




Figure 6: Realized sensitivity (S_m^*) and amplification (A_m^*) scores for Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*), shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) and large crustaceans (*Crustacea*), 3 species or functional groups part of the food webs of the Southern and Northern Gulf of St. Lawrence in the mid-1980s [?] and the St. Lawrence Estuary [?]. Scores are presented when groups of drivers are considered individually and in combination.

6 What it all means

7 References

Ban, N.C., Alidina, H.M. & Ardron, J.A. (2010). Cumulative impact mapping: Advances, relevance and limitations to marine management and conservation, using Canada's Pacific waters as a case study. *Marine Policy*, 34, 876–886.

489 Bascompte, J. (2009). Disentangling the Web of Life. *Science*, 325, 416–419.

490 Bascompte, J. & Melián, C.J. (2005). Simple Trophic Modules for Complex Food Webs.
491 *Ecology*, 86, 2868–2873.

492 Beauchesne, D. (2019). Next Generation Planning - Structuring and Sharing Environmental
493 Drivers Data for the St. Lawrence. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 1, 1.

494 Belley, R., Archambault, P., Sundby, B., Gilbert, F. & Gagnon, J.-M. (2010). Effects of
495 hypoxia on benthic macrofauna and bioturbation in the Estuary and Gulf of St. Lawrence,
496 Canada. *Continental Shelf Research*, 30, 1302–1313.

497 Boonstra, W.J., Ottosen, K.M., Ferreira, A.S.A., Richter, A., Rogers, L.A. & Pedersen, M.W.
498 *et al.* (2015). What are the major global threats and impacts in marine environments?
499 Investigating the contours of a shared perception among marine scientists from the bottom-up.
500 *Marine Policy*, 60, 197–201.

501 Bove, C.B., Ries, J.B., Davies, S.W., Westfield, I.T., Umbanhowar, J. & Castillo, K.D.
502 (2019). Common Caribbean corals exhibit highly variable responses to future acidification
503 and warming. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 286, 20182840.

504 Camacho, J., Stouffer, D.B. & Amaral, L.A.N. (2007). Quantitative analysis of the local
505 structure of food webs. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 246, 260–268.

506 Chabot, D. & Claireaux, G. (2008). Environmental hypoxia as a metabolic constraint on
507 fish: The case of Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 5th International
508 Conference on Marine Pollution and Ecotoxicology, 57, 287–294.

509 Christensen, M.R., Graham, M.D., Vinebrooke, R.D., Findlay, D.L., Paterson, M.J. & Turner,
510 M.A. (2006). Multiple anthropogenic stressors cause ecological surprises in boreal lakes.
511 *Global Change Biology*, 12, 2316–2322.

512 Christiansen, F., Rasmussen, M. & Lusseau, D. (2013). Whale watching disrupts feeding

513 activities of minke whales on a feeding ground. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 478, 239–251.

514 Cirtwill, A.R. & Stouffer, D.B. (2015). Concomitant predation on parasites is highly variable
515 but constrains the ways in which parasites contribute to food web structure. *The Journal of*
516 *Animal Ecology*, 84, 734–744.

517 Côté, I.M., Darling, E.S. & Brown, C.J. (2016). Interactions among ecosystem stressors and
518 their importance in conservation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
519 283, 20152592.

520 Crain, C.M., Kroeker, K. & Halpern, B.S. (2008). Interactive and cumulative effects of
521 multiple human stressors in marine systems. *Ecology Letters*, 11, 1304–1315.

522 Darling, E.S. & Côté, I.M. (2008). Quantifying the evidence for ecological synergies. *Ecology*
523 *Letters*, 11, 1278–1286.

524 De Laender, F. (2018). Community-and ecosystem-level effects of multiple environmental
525 change drivers: Beyond null model testing. *Global change biology*, 24, 5021–5030.

526 Eby, L.A., Crowder, L.B., McClellan, C.M., Peterson, C.H. & Powers, M.J. (2005). Habitat
527 degradation from intermittent hypoxia: Impacts on demersal fishes. *Marine Ecology Progress*
528 *Series*, 291, 249–262.

529 Estes, J.A., Terborgh, J., Brashares, J.S., Power, M.E., Berger, J. & Bond, W.J. *et al.* (2011).
530 Trophic Downgrading of Planet Earth. *Science*, New Series, 333, 301–306.

531 Fabry, V.J., Seibel, B.A., Feely, R.A. & Orr, J.C. (2008). Impacts of ocean acidification on
532 marine fauna and ecosystem processes. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 65, 414–432.

533 Galic, N., Sullivan, L.L., Grimm, V. & Forbes, V.E. (2018). When things don't add up:
534 Quantifying impacts of multiple stressors from individual metabolism to ecosystem processing.
535 *Ecology Letters*, 21, 568–577.

536 Giakoumi, S., Halpern, B.S., Michel, L.N., Gobert, S., Sini, M. & Boudouresque, C.-F. *et al.*

(2015). Towards a framework for assessment and management of cumulative human impacts on marine food webs. *Conservation Biology*, 29, 1228–1234.

Griffen, B.D., Belgrad, B.A., Cannizzo, Z.J., Knotts, E.R. & Hancock, E.R. (2016). Rethinking our approach to multiple stressor studies in marine environments. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 543, 273–281.

Guiden, P.W., Bartel, S.L., Byer, N.W., Shipley, A.A. & Orrock, J.L. (2019). Predator-Prey Interactions in the Anthropocene: Reconciling Multiple Aspects of Novelty. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 34, 616–627.

Halpern, B.S., Frazier, M., Afflerbach, J., Lowndes, J.S., Micheli, F. & O’Hara, C. *et al.* (2019). Recent pace of change in human impact on the world’s ocean. *Scientific Reports*, 9, 11609.

Halpern, B.S. & Fujita, R. (2013). Assumptions, challenges, and future directions in cumulative impact analysis. *Ecosphere*, 4, art131.

Harborne, A.R., Rogers, A., Bozec, Y.-M. & Mumby, P.J. (2017). Multiple Stressors and the Functioning of Coral Reefs. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 9, 445–468.

Hodgson, E.E., Essington, T.E. & Halpern, B.S. (2017). Density dependence governs when population responses to multiple stressors are magnified or mitigated. *Ecology*, 98, 2673–2683.

Hodgson, E.E. & Halpern, B.S. (2019). Investigating cumulative effects across ecological scales. *Conservation Biology*, 33, 22–32.

Hodgson, E.E., Halpern, B.S. & Essington, T.E. (2019). Moving Beyond Silos in Cumulative Effects Assessment. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 7.

Holt, R.D. (1997). Community modules. In: *Multitrophic Interactions in Terrestrial Ecosystems, 36th Symposium of the British Ecological Society* (eds. Grange, A.C. & Brown, V.K.). Blackwell Science, Oxford, pp. 333–349.

Holt, R.D. & Hochberg, M.E. (2001). Indirect interactions, community modules and biological control: A theoretical perspective. *Evaluating indirect ecological effects of biological control*, 13–37.

Ives, A.R. (1995). Measuring Resilience in Stochastic Systems. *Ecological Monographs*, 65, 217–233.

Jackson, M.C., Loewen, C.J.G., Vinebrooke, R.D. & Chimimba, C.T. (2016). Net effects of multiple stressors in freshwater ecosystems: A meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 22, 180–189.

Kroeker, K.J., Kordas, R.L., Crim, R., Hendriks, I.E., Ramajo, L. & Singh, G.S. *et al.* (2013). Impacts of ocean acidification on marine organisms: Quantifying sensitivities and interaction with warming. *Global Change Biology*, 19, 1884–1896.

Lapointe, B.E., Brewton, R.A., Herren, L.W., Porter, J.W. & Hu, C. (2019). Nitrogen enrichment, altered stoichiometry, and coral reef decline at Looe Key, Florida Keys, USA: A 3-decade study. *Marine Biology*, 166, 108.

Lesage, V., Omrane, A., Doniol-Valcroze, T. & Mosnier, A. (2017). Increased proximity of vessels reduces feeding opportunities of blue whales in the St. Lawrence Estuary, Canada. *Endangered Species Research*, 32, 351–361.

Liess, M., Foit, K., Knillmann, S., Schäfer, R.B. & Liess, H.-D. (2016). Predicting the synergy of multiple stress effects. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 32965.

McClanahan, T.R., Graham, N.A. & Darling, E.S. (2014). Coral reefs in a crystal ball: Predicting the future from the vulnerability of corals and reef fishes to multiple stressors. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, Environmental change issues, 7, 59–64.

Menge, B.A. (1995). Indirect Effects in Marine Rocky Intertidal Interaction Webs: Patterns and Importance. *Ecological Monographs*, 65, 21–74.

585 Milo, R., Shen-Orr, S., Itzkovitz, S., Kashtan, N., Chklovskii, D. & Alon, U. (2002). Network
586 Motifs: Simple Building Blocks of Complex Networks. *Science*, 298, 824–827.

587 Montoya, J., Woodward, G., Emmerson, M.C. & Solé, R.V. (2009). Press perturbations and
588 indirect effects in real food webs. *Ecology*, 90, 2426–2433.

589 Morissette, L., Despatie, S.-P., Savenkoff, C., Hammill, M.O., Bourdages, H. & Chabot, D.
590 (2003). Data gathering and input parameters to construct ecosystem models for the northern
591 Gulf of St. Lawrence(Mid-1980 s). *Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci./Rapp. Tech. Can.*
592 *Sci. Halieut. Aquat.*, 100.

593 Myers, R.A. & Worm, B. (2003). Rapid worldwide depletion of predatory fish communities.
594 *Nature*, 423, 280.

595 O’Brien, A.L., Dafforn, K.A., Chariton, A.A., Johnston, E.L. & Mayer-Pinto, M. (2019). After
596 decades of stressor research in urban estuarine ecosystems the focus is still on single stressors:
597 A systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Science of The Total Environment*.

598 O’Gorman, E.J. & Emmerson, M.C. (2009). Perturbations to trophic interactions and
599 the stability of complex food webs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106,
600 13393–13398.

601 O’Gorman, E.J., Fitch, J.E. & Crowe, T.P. (2012). Multiple anthropogenic stressors and the
602 structural properties of food webs. *Ecology*, 93, 441–448.

603 Pauly, D., Christensen, V., Dalsgaard, J., Froese, R. & Torres, F. (1998). Fishing Down
604 Marine Food Webs. *Science*, 279, 860–863.

605 Piggott, J.J., Townsend, C.R. & Matthaei, C.D. (2015). Reconceptualizing synergism and
606 antagonism among multiple stressors. *Ecology and Evolution*, 5, 1538–1547.

607 Pillet, M., Dupont-Prinet, A., Chabot, D., Tremblay, R. & Audet, C. (2016). Effects of
608 exposure to hypoxia on metabolic pathways in northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) and

609 Greenland halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology*
610 *and Ecology*, 483, 88–96.

611 Savenkoff, C. (2012). *Input data and parameter estimates for ecosystem models of the lower*
612 *St. Lawrence Estuary (20082010)*. Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic
613 Sciences 2999, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Mont-Joli, Québec, Canada.

614 Savenkoff, C., Bourdages, H., Swain, D.P., Despatie, S.-P., Hanson, J.M. & Méthot, R. *et al.*
615 (2004). *Input data and parameter estimates for ecosystem models of the southern Gulf of St.*
616 *Lawrence (mid-1980s and mid-1990s)*. Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic
617 Sciences 2529, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Mont-Joli, Québec, Canada.

618 Schäfer, R.B. & Piggott, J.J. (2018). Advancing understanding and prediction in multiple
619 stressor research through a mechanistic basis for null models. *Global Change Biology*, 24,
620 1817–1826.

621 Stouffer, D.B. & Bascompte, J. (2010). Understanding food-web persistence from local to
622 global scales. *Ecology Letters*, 13, 154–161.

623 Stouffer, D.B. & Bascompte, J. (2011). Compartmentalization increases food-web persistence.
624 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108, 3648–3652.

625 Stouffer, D.B., Camacho, J., Jiang, W. & Nunes Amaral, L.A. (2007). Evidence for the
626 existence of a robust pattern of prey selection in food webs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society*
627 *B: Biological Sciences*, 274, 1931–1940.

628 Stouffer, D.B., Sales-Pardo, M., Sirer, M.I. & Bascompte, J. (2012). Evolutionary Conserva-
629 tion of Species’ Roles in Food Webs. *Science*, 335, 1489–1492.

630 Thompson, P.L., MacLennan, M.M. & Vinebrooke, R.D. (2018). Species interactions cause
631 non-additive effects of multiple environmental stressors on communities. *Ecosphere*, 9, e02518.

632 Wiedenmann, J., D’Angelo, C., Smith, E.G., Hunt, A.N., Legiret, F.-E. & Postle, A.D. *et al.*

- 633 (2013). Nutrient enrichment can increase the susceptibility of reef corals to bleaching. *Nature*
634 *Climate Change*, 3, 160–164.
- 635 Wootton, J.T. (1993). Indirect Effects and Habitat Use in an Intertidal Community: Interac-
636 tion Chains and Interaction Modifications. *The American Naturalist*, 141, 71–89.
- 637 Wootton, J.T. (2002). Indirect effects in complex ecosystems: Recent progress and future chal-
638 lenges. *Journal of Sea Research*, Structuring Factors of Shallow Marine Coastal Communities,
639 Part I, 48, 157–172.
- 640 Worm, B., Barbier, E.B., Beaumont, N., Duffy, J.E., Folke, C. & Halpern, B.S. *et al.* (2006).
641 Impacts of Biodiversity Loss on Ocean Ecosystem Services. *Science*, 314, 787–790.
- 642 Yodzis, P. (2000). Diffuse Effects in Food Webs. *Ecology*, 81, 261–266.