Biotic Communities of the Aspen Parkland of Central Canada

Author: Ralph D. Bird

Source: *Ecology*, Vol. 11, No. 2 (Apr., 1930), pp. 356-442.

Published by: Ecological Society of America

BIOTIC COMMUNITIES OF THE ASPEN PARKLAND OF CENTRAL CANADA 1 †

RALPH D. BIRD

Department of Zoology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma

I.	Introduction	250
II.	Parkland of Central North America	-
	The Aspen Parkland	-
III.	The Physical Environment	363
	I. Geology of Southern Manitoba	363
	2. Topography of Southern Manitoba	364
	3. Climate of Southern Manitoba	365
IV.	Methods Used in Investigation	367
V.	Major Biotic Communities of the Aspen Parkland	369
	A. Prairie Community	369
	Agropyron-Microtus Associes	
	I. Plants	370
	2. Animals (Presocies)	370
	a. Formation influents	373
	b. Influents of narrower range	373
	c. Sub-influents of the Prairie	376
	(1) Local visitant vertebrates	378
	(2) Open season birds	379
	(3) Mammals of lesser influence	380
	d. Sub-influents of minor importance	381
	(1) Small vertebrates	381
	(2) Invertebrates	381
	e. Subordinate Communities	381
	(1) Stratal or layer communities	382
	(a) Soil stratal or layer socies	
	(b) Surface and herb socies	
	(2) Seasonal Communities	_
	(a) Estival-serotinal socies	
	f. Biotic Interaction (Coaction)	-
	g. Comparison with other communities	
	g. Comparison with other communities	5-4

¹ Contribution from the Zoological Laboratory of the University of Illinois No. 365. † This paper is published with assistance from a fund solicited to publish papers on biotic communities in book form. The book was found impracticable, and the individual donors whose names appeared in the Bull. of the Ecological Society of America in 1926 consented to its use toward the publications of long articles. Twenty-five dollars from the American Association for the Advancement of Science and the same amount from the American Society of Zoologists were used for this paper. This is also the fifth paper published with the assistance of the fund contributed by Sustaining Members for the publication of longer papers. It is not a supplement because its length permitted publication in the usual manner, with the expense for over pages and extra illustrations defrayed from the sources above mentioned.