

PQC Function Evaluation

Carnegie Vacation Scholarship

David Amorim

Week 4
(22/07/2024 - 26/07/2024)

Table of Contents

① Aims

② Keeping Parameters Fixed

③ Improving the Loss Function Preliminary Definitions

- Continue work on WIM (develop a distance measure taking into account Ψ , e.g. $w_j \sim L_\Psi(x, y) = \sum_j 2^{j-m} |x_j - y_j|$)
- Change IL structure (all-to-all...)
- Keep parameters fixed for each layer

Table of Contents

① Aims

② Keeping Parameters Fixed

③ Improving the Loss Function
Preliminary Definitions

Keeping Parameters Fixed

- Implemented the option to **fix parameters for each layer type**
- This means that each IL, neighbour-to-neighbour CL, and all-to-all CL use the same set of parameters
- This reduces the overall number of parameters to

$$n + m + \frac{1}{2}m * (m - 1)$$

ADDED FUNCTIONALITY, TEST !!! (does it make a difference?) – definitely works in terms of parameters – not sure yet if makes a difference w.r.t. mismatch MAKES PERFORMANCE WORSE – should at least speed things up? but apparently not ... DOES NOT SPEED THINGS UP...

Table of Contents

① Aims

② Keeping Parameters Fixed

③ Improving the Loss Function
Preliminary Definitions

Preliminary Definitions

- Consider a **computational basis state**, $|j\rangle$, in a p -qubit register:

$$|j\rangle = \bigotimes_{\alpha=0}^{p-1} |j_{\alpha}\rangle, \quad |j_{\alpha}\rangle \in \{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\} \quad (1)$$

- Define

$$j_{\alpha} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |j_{\alpha}\rangle = |0\rangle \\ 1 & \text{if } |j_{\alpha}\rangle = |1\rangle \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- Two **digitally encoded binary numbers** can be associated with $|j\rangle$:

$$j \equiv \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p-1} j_{\alpha} 2^{\alpha} \quad (0 \leq j \leq 2^p - 1), \quad (3)$$

$$j' \equiv \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p-1} j_{\alpha} 2^{\alpha-p} \quad (0 \leq j' \leq 1) \quad (4)$$

Preliminary Definitions

- Consider an n -qubit **input register** and an m -qubit **target register**, denoted with subscripts i and t , respectively
- A computational basis state of the combined system, $|k\rangle_{i+t}$, can be decomposed into

$$|k\rangle_{i+t} = |j\rangle_i \otimes |l\rangle_t, \quad (5)$$

for computational basis states $|j\rangle_i$, $|l\rangle_t$ of the two registers

- Define

$$\text{input}(|k\rangle_{i+t}) \equiv |j\rangle_i \quad (6)$$

$$\text{target}(|k\rangle_{i+t}) \equiv |l\rangle_t \quad (7)$$

$$(8)$$

- A **general state of the two-register system** is then

$$|z\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n+m}-1} z_k |k\rangle_{i+t} \quad (9)$$

Preliminary Definitions

- For training in superposition, the two-register target state is

$$|y\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} |j\rangle_i |\Psi'(j)\rangle_t \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n+m}-1} y_k |k\rangle_{i+t}, \quad (10)$$

with $y_k = 0$ if $\text{target}(|k\rangle_{i+t})$

Preliminary Definitions

- FIND GOOD NOTATION FOR ALL THIS !!!
- THINK ABOUT THIS ALL MORE DEEPLY! MAYBE HAVE TO SWITCH TO WEIGHTED $L1/2$ LOSS INSTEAD? (WILL)

Improving WIM

- Recall the definition of **WIM** (**W**eighted **M**ismatch):

$$\text{WIM}(x, y) = \left| 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n+m}-1} \tilde{w}_k x_k y_k \right|, \quad (11)$$

where

- $|x\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n+m}-1} x_k |k\rangle$ is the output state produced by the QCNN,
- $|y\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n+m}-1} y_k |k\rangle$ is the target state,
- $\tilde{w}_k \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are weighting factors
- calculate w_k
- \tilde{w}

- added shifts to successive ILs (test effects..): seems to give slight improvements!
- added functionality for AA layers (test later)..