## STL Notes

David Kooi

July 2, 2019

### 1 STL for Hybrid Systems

### 1.1 Hybrid Systems Preliminaries

**Definition 1.1** (Hybrid System). A hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$  is defined by a flow set C, flow map F, jump set D, and jump map G, following [3].  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  is the system's state where  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state space. Continuous dynamics are defined on flow set C and are captured by the set valued map  $F : \mathcal{X} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{X}$ . Discrete dynamics are defined on jump set D and are captured by the set-valued map  $G : \mathcal{X} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{X}$ . Taken together,  $\mathcal{H}$  can be written as:

$$\dot{x} \in F(x)$$
  $x \in C$  (1)  
 $x^+ \in F(x)$   $x \in D$ 

Solutions to a hybrid system  $\mathcal{H}$  are defined on ordinary and discrete time:

- 1. Ordinary Time:  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} := [0, \infty)$
- 2. Discrete Time:  $j \in \mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$

**Definition 1.2** (Hybrid Time Instance). A hybrid time instance is given by

$$(t,j) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{N}$$

**Definition 1.3** (Compact Hybrid Domain). A set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{N}$  is a compact hybrid domain if it can be written as

$$E := \bigcup_{j=0}^{J} ([t_j, t_{j+1}] \times \{j\})$$
 (2)

for a finite sequence of times  $0 = t_0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le ... \le t_{J+1}$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Hybrid Domain). A set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{N}$  is a hybrid domain if for each  $(T,J) \in E$ 

$$E \cap ([0,T] \times \{0,1,...,J\})$$

is a compact hybrid time domain.

**Definition 1.5** (Compact Hybrid Shift). Given a compact hybrid domain E and  $(t^*, j^*)$ , the forward compact hybrid shift of E by  $(t^*, j^*)$  is

$$(t^*, j^*) + E = \bigcup_{j=0}^{J} ([t_j + t^*, t_{j+1} + t^*] \times \{j + j^*\}$$
(3)

and the backward hybrid shift of E by  $(t^*, j^*)$  is

$$E - (t^*, j^*) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{J} ([t_j - t^*, t_{j+1} - t^*] \times \{j - j^*\}$$
(4)

for a finite sequence of times  $0 = t_0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le ... \le t_{J+1}$  and E satisfying (2).

**Definition 1.6** (Hybrid Interval). A *hybrid interval* is defined by two hybrid time instances  $(t_A^*, j_A^*)$  and  $(t_B^*, j_B^*)$ , where  $t_A^* + j_A^* \le t_B^* + j_B^*$ , and an hybrid domain E, over the range  $[t_A^*, t_B^*] \times \{j_A^*, ..., j_B^*\}$ .

**Definition 1.7** (Compact Hybrid Interval). A hybrid interval is a compact hybrid interval if it has the form

$$\mathcal{I} := (t_A^*, j_A^*) + E = \bigcup_{i=0}^{J} ([t_j + t_A^*, t_{j+1} + t_A^*] \times \{j + j_A^*\}$$
 (5)

- where  $J = j_B^* - j_A^*$  and  $t_0 = 0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le ... \le t_{J+1} = t_B^* - t_A^*$ .

**Definition 1.8** (Open Hybrid Interval). A hybrid time interval is an *open hybrid interval* if it has the form of the following cases:

1. Left open interval

$$\mathcal{I} := \left( (t_A^*, t_1 + t_A^*] \times \{j_A^*\} \right) \cup \left\{ (t_A^* + t_1, j_A^* + 1) + E \right\} 
= \left( (t_A^*, t_1 + t_A^*] \times \{j_A^*\} \right) \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{j=0}^{J} ([t_j + t_A^* + t_1, t_{j+1} + t_A^* + t_1] \times \{j + j_A^* + 1\} \right\}$$
(6)

- where  $J = j_B^* j_A^* 1$  and  $t_0 = 0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \dots \le t_{J+1} = t_B^* t_A^* t_1$ ;
- 2. Right open interval

$$\mathcal{I} := \left\{ (t_A^*, j_A^*) + E \right\} \cup \left( [t_{j_B^*}, t_B^*) \times \{j_B^*\} \right) \\
= \left\{ \bigcup_{j=0}^J ([t_j + t_A^*, t_{j+1} + t_A^*] \times \{j + j_A^*\} \right\} \cup \left( [t_{j_B^*}, t_B^*) \times \{j_B^*\} \right)$$
(7)

- where  $J=j_B^*-j_A^*-1$  and  $t_0=0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le ... \le t_{J+1}=t_{j_B^*}-t_A^*;$
- 3. Open interval

$$\mathcal{I} := \left( (t_A^*, t_1 + t_A^*) \times \{j_A^*\} \right) \cup \left\{ (t_A^* + t_1, j_A^* + 1) + E \right\} \cup \left( [t_{j_B^*}, t_B^*) \times \{j_B^*\} \right) \\
= \left( (t_A^*, t_1 + t_A^*) \times \{j_A^*\} \right) \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{j=0}^J ([t_j + t_A^* + t_1, t_{j+1} + t_A^*] \times \{j + j_A^* + 1\} \right\} \cup \left( [t_{j_B^*}, t_B^*) \times \{j_B^*\} \right)$$
(8)

- where  $J=j_B^*-j_A^*-2$  and  $t_0=0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le ... \le t_{J+1}=t_{j_B^*}-t_A^*$ .

**Definition 1.9** (Hybrid Arc). A function  $\phi: E \mapsto \mathcal{X}$  is a hybrid arc if E is a hybrid time domain and for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function  $t \mapsto \phi(t,j)$  is absolutely continuous on the interval  $I_j := \{t : (t,j) \in E\}$ . The interior of  $I^j$  is denoted int  $I^j$ .

**Definition 1.10** (Solution of a Hybrid System). A hybrid arc  $\phi$  is a solution to hybrid system  $\mathcal{H} = (C, F, D, G)$  if

- 1.  $\phi(0,0) \in \overline{C} \cup D$
- 2. for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $I^j$  has a nonempty interior
  - $\phi(t,j) \in C$  for all  $t \in \text{int } I^j$
  - $\dot{\phi}(t,j) \in F(\phi(t,j))$  for almost all  $t \in I^j$
- 3. for all  $(t, j) \in \text{dom } \phi$  such that  $(t, j + 1) \in \text{dom } \phi$ 
  - $\phi(t,j) \in D$
  - $\phi(t, j+1) \in G(\phi(t, j))$

#### 1.2 STL Definitions

**Definition 1.11.** (Atomic Proposition)

An atomic proposition  $p^{\mu}: \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathbb{B}$  is a function that maps the state space of the system to a boolean value. The set of all atomic propositions is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}$ .

The function  $\mu: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  represents a robustness measure of the proposition and has following relation to an atomic proposition.

$$\begin{array}{l} \mu > 0 \Leftrightarrow p^{\mu} = 1 \\ \mu \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow p^{\mu} = 0 \end{array}$$

**Definition 1.12** (STL Grammar). STL formulas are defined recursively by the following grammar:

$$\varphi ::= p^{\mu} \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi_1 \land \varphi_2 \mid \Diamond_{\mathcal{I}} \varphi \mid \varphi_1 \ \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}} \ \varphi_2$$

Where  $\mathcal{I}$  is a open or closed hybrid interval and  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi_1,\varphi_2$  are STL formulae.

The fact that a hybrid arc satisfies STL formula  $\varphi$  at hybrid time instance (t,j) is given by  $\varphi(\phi(t,j)) = 1$ . When a hybrid arc does not satisfy a proposition then  $\varphi(\phi(t,j)) = 0$ .

The validity of a formula  $\varphi$  with respect to hybrid arc  $\phi$  at at time (t,j) is defined inductively as

$$\begin{split} (\phi,(t,j)) &\models p^{\mu} &\iff \mu(\phi(t,j)) > 0 \\ (\phi,(t,j)) &\models \varphi &\iff \varphi(\phi(t,j)) = 1 \\ (\phi,(t,j)) &\models \neg \varphi &\iff \neg((\phi,(t,j)) \models \varphi) \\ (\phi,(t,j)) &\models \varphi \land \psi &\iff (\phi,(t,j)) \models \varphi \land (\phi,(t,j)) \models \psi \\ (\phi,(t,j)) &\models \Diamond_{\mathcal{I}} \varphi &\iff \exists (t',j') \in (t,j) + \mathcal{I} \text{ s.t } (\phi,(t',j')) \models \varphi \\ (\phi,(t,j)) &\models \psi \ \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{I}} \varphi &\iff \exists (t',j') \in (t,j) + \mathcal{I} \text{ s.t } (\phi,(t',j')) \models \varphi \\ \land \forall (t'',t'') \in (t,t) + \mathcal{I}) \cap ([t,t'] \times [t,t,t']), \ (\phi,(t'',t'')) \models \psi \end{split}$$

# References

- [1] V. Raman, A. Donzé, D. Sadigh, R. M. Murray, and S. A. Seshia, "Reactive synthesis from signal temporal logic specifications," Seattle, Washington, 2015. [Online]. Available: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2728606.2728628
- [2] M. Chen, Q. Tam, S. C. Livingston, and M. Pavone, "Signal Temporal Logic meets Hamilton-Jacobi Reachability: Connections and Applications," 2018.
- [3] R. Goebel, R. G. Sanfelice, and A. R. Teel, *Hybrid Dynamical Systems: Modeling, Stability, and Robustness.* New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 2012.
- [4] A. Donzé and O. Maler, "Robust Satisfaction of Temporal Logic over Real-Valued Signals." Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.