Judging Criteria for HealthHack 2016

The judges are free to judge however they like, they are experts in their respective fields and the value of having them come along is to give their expert judgement.

The checkboxes are just suggestions of things to consider.

Please give each hack a score of 1-10 for each of the categories below.

1. Problem owner satisfaction - This is judged by doing an interview with the Problem Owners before the presentations.
   - ❏ How satisfied is the problem owner with the developed prototype?
   - ❏ Have they benefited from the HealthHack process?

2. Design / Quality of Idea
   - ❏ Can your solution be generalised to solve similar problems?
   - ❏ Will it generate excitement?
   - ❏ Are you being creative in your approach?

3. Implementation / Quality of Execution
   - ❏ What have you delivered this weekend?
   - ❏ To what extent have you solved the problem? / How well does your solution meet the Problem Owner's needs?
   - ❏ Have you delivered a working solution or just a prototype with static HTML pages or similar?

4. Does it fulfil HealthHack and Open Knowledge values?

   "Is it Open Source?" is a yes or no question… but "How open is the project?" can be different. Simply putting an open license on some code might not be enough for people to continue using the code.
   - ❏ On our Github (HealthHackAu2016)
   - ❏ Has an open source licence attached
   - ❏ Clearly documented with useful readme
   - ❏ Sample Data or Example Data

   "Does your solution/entry fulfil the spirit and substance of HealthHack?"
   - ❏ How much does this hack help people?
   - ❏ Ties together people from different industry
     (e.g. putting a researcher in touch with modern technology, etc.)

Scorecard for HealthHack 2016

Please give a score of 1-10 for each category

Team Name	Happiness of the problem owner	Design of solution	Implementation	HealthHack & Open Knowledge Values	Total