

Announcements:

- The Lecture Recordings will be available on the following YouTube Playlists Link:
<https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLZaTmV9UMKlgYpo2cAiMaEWxqyvbiXDFd>

Stable Matching

References:

Algorithm Design - Chapter 1 section 1

Review Exercise/In class quiz from previous class

- Prove that $1 + 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + \dots + 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n$ is $\Theta(n!)$.
 $1 + 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 + \dots + 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n = 1! + 2! + 3! + \dots + n!$
 To show $1! + 2! + 3! + \dots + n! = O(n!)$:
 If you have $1! + 2! + 3! + \dots + n! \leq n! + n! + n! + \dots + n! = n \cdot n!$, which $c = n$, then c is not constant. It doesn't work.
 Instead, you can do $1! + 2! + 3! + \dots + n! \leq (n-1)! + (n-1)! + \dots + (n-1)! + n! = (n-1)(n-1)! + n! \leq n(n-1)! + n! = n! + n! = 2 \cdot n!$, then you have $c = 2, n_0 = 1$.
 To show $1! + 2! + 3! + \dots + n! = \Omega(n!)$, you want to have $1! + 2! + 3! + \dots + n! \geq c \cdot n!$.
 $1! + 2! + 3! + \dots + n! \geq n!$, for $c = 1$ and $n_0 = 1$.
 We have shown that $1! + 2! + 3! + \dots + n!$ is both $O(n!)$ and $\Omega(n!)$, thus, $1! + 2! + 3! + \dots + n!$ is $\Theta(n!)$.

- Solve for x and y in the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} 2^{\left(\frac{\log_2 y^3}{3}\right)} + 4^{(\log_2 \sqrt{x})} = 8 \\ 8^{(\log_4 (x^{2/3}))} \cdot 9^{(\log_3 \sqrt{y})} = 15 \end{cases}$$

$$2^{\left(\frac{\log_2 y^3}{3}\right)} + 4^{(\log_2 \sqrt{x})} = 2^{\left(\frac{3 \cdot \log_2 y}{3}\right)} + 4^{\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \log_2 x\right)} = 2^{(\log_2 y)} + \left(4^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\log_2 x} = y + 2^{\log_2 x} = y + x = 8$$

$$8^{(\log_4 (x^{2/3}))} \cdot 9^{(\log_3 \sqrt{y})} = 8^{\left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \log_4 x\right)} \cdot 9^{\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \log_3 y\right)} = \left(8^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)^{\log_4 x} \cdot \left(9^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\log_3 y} = 4^{\log_4 x} \cdot 3^{\log_3 y} = x \cdot y = 15$$

To solve for x and y : $\begin{cases} x = 3 \\ y = 5 \end{cases}$ or $\begin{cases} x = 5 \\ y = 3 \end{cases}$.

Stable Matching Problem

- Consider the job market:
 - o Employers have job openings to fill.
 - o Applicants apply to the job openings.
- After a round of interviews:
 - o Every employer has ranked applicants based on qualifications.
 - o Every applicant has ranked employers based on preferences.
- After a round of job offers and acceptances, we ask the question.
- Is there a **stable** matching?
 - o Every employer prefers every one of its accepted applicants, over every other applicant who was not received an offer.
 - o Every applicant prefers the current employer over every other employer who has made an offer.
- In the stable matching problem,
 - o while every applicant can accept only one job,
 - o an employer may have many jobs, and
 - o there may not be a job for every applicant.

- To eliminate complications caused by asymmetries:
 - o n applicants apply to n employers, and
 - o each employer accepts only one single applicant.
- In the marriage problem we want to arrange n marriages for n men and n women who want to get married.

Stable Marriage Problem

- Input:
 - A list of n men, $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$, and n women, $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$.
 - Preference ordering on the other set
 - 1) For every man m_i , list of n women in decreasing order of preference. $m_i = \{w_7, w_3, w_{10}, \dots, w_n, \dots, w_6\}$.
 - 2) Similarly, for every woman w_i , list of n men in decreasing order of preference. $w_i = \{m_9, m_3, m_n, \dots, m_5\}$.
 - o Input size: $2n^2 = O(n^2)$
- Output: A perfect matching - pairing of n men and n women such that every man is paired with exactly one woman.
 - o Example: Given m_1, m_2, m_3 and w_1, w_2, w_3 , a matching could be $\{(m_1, w_2), (m_2, w_1), (m_3, w_3)\}$.
 - o Number of possible matching: $n!$
 - $n = 3$: 6 matchings
- Given $M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ and $W = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$.
 The set of all possible pairs $M \times W = \{(m, w) \mid m \in M, w \in W\}$.
 A matching is a subset of $M \times W$ such that each $m \in M$ and each $w \in W$ appear at most once.
 A perfect matching is a matching such that each $m \in M$ and each $w \in W$ appear exactly once.
- When is a matching stable in the marriage problem?
 - o A matching is stable if it has no unstable pairing.
 - o An unstable pairing is a man-woman pair (m, w) such that
 - m and w are not matched together.
 - m prefers w to his currently matched woman.
 - w prefers m to his currently matched man.

Example of Stable Matching

Men's Preference			
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
m_1	w_3	w_2	w_1
m_2	w_1	w_3	w_2
m_3	w_1	w_2	w_3

Women's Preference			
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
w_1	m_2	m_3	m_1
w_2	m_1	m_2	m_3
w_3	m_1	m_2	m_3

- o Is the matching $\{(m_1, w_2), (m_2, w_3), (m_3, w_1)\}$ stable?
 No! (m_1, w_3) and (m_2, w_1) are unstable pairs.
- o Is the matching $\{(m_1, w_3), (m_2, w_1), (m_3, w_2)\}$ stable?
 Yes, it's stable.
- How do we find the stable matching?
 - o Brute force:
 - 1) List all matchings
 - 2) Check if any of them are stable
 - Runtime will be at least $n!$ time, which approximately n^n , exponential growth, not polynomial, which consider not efficient.

- o Gale-Shapley Algorithm
 - A more efficient algorithm with $O(n^2)$ runtime.
- Gale-Shapley Algorithm Pseudocode [Algorithm Design, page 6]
 - o Initially all $m \in M$ and $w \in W$ are free
 - While there is a man m who is free and hasn't proposed to every woman
 - Choose such a man m
 - Let w be the highest-ranked woman in m 's preference list to whom m has not yet proposed
 - If w is free then
 - (m, w) become engaged
 - Else w is currently engaged to m'
 - If w prefers m' to m then
 - m remains free
 - Else w prefers m to m'
 - (m, w) become engaged
 - m' becomes free
 - Endif
 - Endif
 - Endwhile
 - Return the set S of engaged pairs
- Description of the G-S algorithm (Men propose, women reject):
 - o Runs in rounds
 - Round 1 - every man proposes to his top choice.
 - What might happen?
 - A woman might not have any offer (no man proposes to her).
 - A woman has a man propose to her, then she will temporarily engage with the man.
 - A woman can get multiple offers, in this case, she will choose her favor among the offers.
 - Round 1 - every woman who received a propose temporarily engages herself to her most preferred among those that proposed.
 - Reject the other proposals.
 - Round 2 - Rejected men propose to second best choice.
 - If a previously free woman gets an offer(s), she gets proposed.
 - If an engaged woman gets a better offer, she breaks her previous engagement, and gets engaged to the new best.
 - And so on (Repeat Round 2) ... until no proposals made in a round

Example of Running G-S algorithm

Men's Preference			
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
m_1	w_3	w_2	w_1
m_2	w_1	w_3	w_2
m_3	w_1	w_2	w_3

Women's Preference			
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
w_1	m_2	m_3	m_1
w_2	m_1	m_2	m_3
w_3	m_1	m_2	m_3

- Round 1: m_1 proposes to w_3 , both m_2 and m_3 propose to w_1 .
 - w_1 gets two offers, she will engage with m_2 , her top choice, and reject m_3 .
 - w_2 will be free, since no man proposes to her.
 - w_3 gets only one offer from m_1 so she will engage with m_1 .

- After Round 1: We have $(m_1, w_3), (m_2, w_1)$, m_3 being rejected, and w_2 is free.
- Round 2: m_3 will propose to w_2 , his second choice, and w_2 is free, so they will engage.
- After Round 2, everyone is engaged, no more proposal needs to make.
- So, we have the matching $(m_1, w_3), (m_2, w_1), (m_3, w_2)$.

Analysis of the G-S algorithm

- Does the program always terminate?
- Does it return a perfect matching?
- Is the matching stable?
- The Gale-Shapley algorithm looks simple, but it is not obvious that the returned set of pairs is a stable matching.
- Consider the viewpoint of a woman during the algorithm:
 - o If free, a woman accepts the first proposal.
 - o If engaged, then an additional proposal is accepted only if the man is ranked higher in the list of preferences.
 - o After the first proposal, a woman stays engaged.
- A woman ends up engaged to the highest ranked man, highest ranked of all men who proposed to her.
- Consider the viewpoint of a man during the algorithm:
 - o A man remains free until a proposal made to his highest ranked woman (who he has not yet proposed to) is accepted.
 - o Once engaged, a man may become free again.
- During the running of the algorithm, the ranking of women in the preference list of the man who proposes gets worse.
- [Theorem] For n men and n women, the G-S algorithm terminates after at most n^2 iterations in the loop.

Proof:

- o At each round, at least one new proposal is made.
If there is no new proposal, the algorithm will stop.
- o The same proposal cannot be made twice, since the men propose to the women by going down the preference list, and each woman is listed only once in their lists.
- o There are n^2 possible pairs.
Therefore, the algorithm will terminate in n^2 rounds.
- [Lemma] If a man is free at some point in the execution of the algorithm, then there is a woman to whom he has not yet proposed.
Proof by contradiction:
 - o Assume a man is free and has already proposed to every woman.
 - o Recall the analysis from the viewpoint of a woman:
 - once a woman has been proposed to, she is no longer free;
 - either she stays in her current engagement or becomes engaged to the man who proposed if that man is higher in her ranking.
 - o The assumption implies then that all n women are engaged, and since each woman is paired only with one man, all n men must be engaged.
 - o This leads to a contradiction, so the assumption is false.

What to expect or prepare for the next class:

- We will finish up the analysis of G-S algorithm. Shown that the G-S algorithm will always return a stable matching.
- Think about whom will the G-S algorithm be in favor of?

Reading Assignment

Algorithm Design: 1.1

Suggested Problems

- Algorithm Design - Chapter 1 - 1,2

Assignment/Project [5 %]

- Implement the the G-S algorithm.

Men's Preference				
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th
m_1	w_2	w_3	w_4	w_1
m_2	w_1	w_4	w_2	w_3
m_3	w_1	w_3	w_4	w_2
m_4	w_2	w_1	w_3	w_4

Women's Preference				
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th
w_1	m_1	m_3	m_2	m_4
w_2	m_2	m_1	m_4	m_3
w_3	m_1	m_3	m_2	m_4
w_4	m_4	m_1	m_3	m_2

- 1) Run this example on your program, show evolution of each round.
- 2) Run this example again with the roles of men and women swapped (women propose, men reject), show evolution of each round.
- 3) Compare your result in part (1) and (2).

- Submission instruction:

- 1) You can implement the algorithm in any language you prefer.
- 2) I will suggest you put your code, comments, and answer to above questions on a Jupyter Notebook. However, it's not mandatory. You can have also sent the coding file with your implementation and answer the questions in email.
- 3) You will submit your work via email with the subject "**CS323 GS algorithm**". Please send the email from your school email to xinying.chyn@qc.cuny.edu.
- 4) In the email, please include the following:
 - a. explanation of how do your program import input.
 - b. outputs of the about example for part (1) and (2)
 - c. Your answer to part (3).
 - d. the coding file with your implementation.
- 5) It's due Friday, February 18, 2022. No late submission.