Multithreaded Programming

The process model introduced in Chapter 3 assumed that a process was an executing program with a single thread of control. Many modern operating systems now provide features for a process to contain multiple threads of control. This chapter introduces many concepts associated with multithreaded computer systems and covers how to use Java to create and manipulate threads. We have found it especially useful to discuss how a Java thread maps to the thread model of the host operating system.

4.1 (1) Any kind of sequential program is not a good candidate to be threaded. An example of this is a program that calculates an individual tax return. (2) Another example is a "shell" program such as the C-shell or Korn shell. Such a program must closely monitor its own working space such as open files, environment variables, and current working directory.

4.2 Please refer to the supporting Web site for source code solution.

4.3 The threads of a multithreaded process share heap memory and global variables. Each thread has its separate set of register values and a separate stack.

4.4 Output at `LINE C` is 5. Output at `LINE P` is 0.

4.5 When the number of kernel threads is less than the number of processors, then some of the processors would remain idle since the scheduler maps only kernel threads to processors and not user-level threads to processors. When the number of kernel threads is exactly equal to the number of processors, then it is possible that all of the processors might be utilized simultaneously. However, when a kernel-thread blocks inside the kernel (due to a page fault or while invoking system calls), the corresponding processor would remain idle. When there are more kernel threads than processors, a blocked kernel thread could be swapped out in favor of another kernel thread that is ready to execute, thereby increasing the utilization of the multiprocessor system.

4.6 (1) User-level threads are unknown by the kernel, whereas the kernel is aware of kernel threads. (2) On systems using either M:1 or M:N mapping, user threads are scheduled by the thread library and the kernel schedules kernel threads. (3) Kernel threads need not be associated with a process whereas every user thread belongs to a process. Kernel threads are generally more expensive to maintain than user threads as they must be represented with a kernel data structure.

4.7 Please refer to the supporting Web site for source code solution.

4.8 Please refer to the supporting Web site for source code solution.

4.9 A multithreaded system comprising of multiple user-level threads cannot make use of the different processors in a multiprocessor system simultaneously. The operating system sees only a single process and will not schedule the different threads of the process on separate processors. Consequently, there is no performance benefit associated with executing multiple user-level threads on a multiprocessor system.

4.10 Because a thread is smaller than a process, thread creation typically uses fewer resources than process creation. Creating a process requires allocating a process control block (PCB), a rather large data structure. The PCB includes a memory map, list of open files, and environment variables. Allocating and managing the memory map is typically the most time-consuming activity. Creating either a user or kernel thread involves allocating a small data structure to hold a register set, stack, and priority.

4.11 When a kernel thread suffers a page fault, another kernel thread can be switched in to use the interleaving time in a useful manner. A single-threaded process, on the other hand, will not be capable of performing useful work when a page fault takes place. Therefore, in scenarios where a program might suffer from frequent page faults or has to wait for other system events, a multithreaded solution would perform better even on a single-processor system.

4.12 Please refer to the supporting Web site for source code solution.

4.13 Please refer to the supporting Web site for source code solution.

4.14 On one hand, in systems where processes and threads are considered as similar entities, some of the operating system code could be simplified. A scheduler, for instance, can consider the different processes and threads on an equal footing without requiring special code to examine the threads associated with a process during every scheduling step. On the other hand, this uniformity could make it harder to impose process-wide resource constraints in a direct manner. Instead, some extra complexity is required to identify which threads correspond to which process and perform the relevant accounting tasks.

4.15 Context switching between user threads is quite similar to switching between kernel threads, although it is dependent on the threads library and how it maps user threads to kernel threads. In general, context switching between user threads involves taking a user thread of its LWP and replacing it with another thread. This act typically involves saving and restoring the state of the registers.