# Using Multiple Hot Deck Data Sets for Inference

Skyler Cranmer Ohio State University Jeff Gill Washington University St. Louis

Natalie Jackson The Huffington Post Andreas Murr University of Oxford

David A. Armstrong II University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

February 24, 2020

This document will walk you through some of the methods you could use to generate pooled model results that account for both sampling variability and across imputation variability. The package hot.deck does not come with a set of functions to do inference, so we will show you how you could use the data generated by hot.deck in combination with glm.mids (and similarly lm.mids) from the mice package, zelig from the Zelig package and by using MIcombine from the mitools package on a list of model objects.

### 1 Generating Imputations

The data we will use come from Poe, Tate and Keith (1999) dealing with democracy and state repression. First we need to call the hot.deck routine on the dataset.

```
library(hot.deck)
data(isq99)
out <- hot.deck(isq99, sdCutoff=3, IDvars = c("IDORIGIN", "YEAR"))

## Warning in hot.deck(isq99, sdCutoff = 3, IDvars = c("IDORIGIN", "YEAR")): 52 observations with no observed data.
These observations were removed
## Warning in hot.deck(isq99, sdCutoff = 3, IDvars = c("IDORIGIN", "YEAR")): 45 of 4661 imputations with # donors
< 5, consider increasing sdCutoff or using method='p.draw'</pre>
```

This shows us that there are still 47 observations with fewer than 5 donors. Using a different method or further widening the **sdCutoff** parameter may alleviate the problem. If you want to see the frequency distribution of the number of donors, you could look at:

```
numdonors <- sapply(out$donors, length)
numdonors <- sapply(out$donors, length)
numdonors <- ifelse(numdonors > 5, 6, numdonors)
numdonors <- factor(numdonors, levels=1:6, labels=c(1:5, ">5"))
table(numdonors)
```

```
## numdonors
## 1 2 3 4 5 >5
## 18 10 11 6 20 4596
```

Before running a model, three variables have to be created from those existing. Generally, if variables are deterministic functions of other variables (e.g., transformations, lags, etc...) it is advisable to impute the constituent variables of the calculations and then do the calculations after the fact. Here, we need to lag the AI variable and create percentage change variables for both population and per-capita GNP. First, to create the lag of AI, PCGNP and LPOP. To do this, we will make a little function.

```
tscslag <- function(dat, x, id, time){
    obs <- apply(dat[, c(id, time)], 1, paste, collapse=".")
    tm1 <- dat[[time]] - 1
    lagobs <- apply(cbind(dat[[id]], tm1), 1, paste, collapse=".")
    lagx <- dat[match(lagobs, obs), x]
}
for(i in 1:length(out$data)){
    out$data[[i]]$lagAI <- tscslag(out$data[[i]], "AI", "IDORIGIN", "YEAR")
    out$data[[i]]$lagPCGNP <- tscslag(out$data[[i]], "PCGNP", "IDORIGIN", "YEAR")
    out$data[[i]]$lagLPOP <- tscslag(out$data[[i]], "LPOP", "IDORIGIN", "YEAR")
}</pre>
```

Now, we can use the lagged values of PCGNP and LPOP, to create percentage change variables:

```
for(i in 1:length(out$data)){
   out$data[[i]]$pctchgPCGNP <- with(out$data[[i]], c(PCGNP-lagPCGNP)/lagPCGNP)
   out$data[[i]]$pctchgLPOP <- with(out$data[[i]], c(LPOP-lagLPOP)/lagLPOP)
}</pre>
```

## 2 Running Models on Multiple Hot Decking Result

### 2.1 Using Zelig

In version  $\geq 5.0$  of Zelig, the output from hot.deck will have to be converted into a format that looks like Amelia's. You can do this as follows:

```
out <- hd2amelia(out)</pre>
```

Then, with the output in the appropriate format, we can use **Zelig** to do the modeling.

```
## pctchgLPOP -5.07e-01 1.08e+00 -0.47 0.6374
## LPOP 7.28e-02 8.09e-03 9.01 < 2e-16
## MIL2
            1.16e-01 4.41e-02 2.63 0.0085
## LEFT
            -1.41e-01 4.93e-02 -2.85 0.0043
            -1.34e-01 3.12e-02
                                -4.28 1.8e-05
## BRIT
## POLRT
            -6.96e-02 1.13e-02 -6.14 8.5e-10
            6.12e-01 5.58e-02 10.96 < 2e-16
## CWARCOW
## IWARCOW2 1.68e-01 5.65e-02 2.98 0.0029
##
## For results from individual imputed datasets, use summary(x, subset = i:j)
## Next step: Use 'setx' method
```

Note that the summary indicates that the results have been combined across 5 multiply imputed datasets.

#### 2.2 Using MIcombine

You can use the MIcombine command from the mitools package to generate inferences, too. Here, you have to produce a list of model estimates and the function will combine across the different results.

```
# initialize list
results <- list()
# loop over imputed datasets
for(i in 1:length(out$imputations)){
   results[[i]] <- lm(AI ~ lagAI + pctchgPCGNP + PCGNP + pctchgLPOP + LPOP + MIL2 + LEFT +
   BRIT + POLRT + CWARCOW + IWARCOW2, data=out$imputations[[i]])
summary(mitools::MIcombine(results))
## Multiple imputation results:
    MIcombine.default(results)
##
                   results
                                              (lower
                                                            upper) missInfo
                                    se
## (Intercept) 5.306640e-01 1.343256e-01 2.662818e-01 7.950461e-01
## lagAI 4.684916e-01 2.200209e-02 4.220845e-01 5.148987e-01
## pctchgPCGNP 5.437420e-03 7.348930e-03 -1.311326e-02 2.398810e-02
## PCGNP -1.988956e-05 3.032863e-06 -2.589274e-05 -1.388637e-05
                                                                      19 %
## pctchgLPOP -5.073886e-01 1.076611e+00 -2.869658e+00 1.854881e+00
              7.284485e-02 8.087428e-03 5.699280e-02 8.869691e-02
## I.POP
                                                                       1 %
             1.159230e-01 4.407117e-02 2.488961e-02 2.069563e-01
## MIL2
## LEFT
            -1.406836e-01 4.929611e-02 -2.403317e-01 -4.103552e-02
                                                                      35 %
## BRIT
             -1.337468e-01 3.122174e-02 -1.950569e-01 -7.243661e-02
                                                                       8 %
## POLRT
             -6.963110e-02 1.134924e-02 -9.367434e-02 -4.558786e-02
                                                                       55 %
## CWARCOW
             6.119057e-01 5.583945e-02 5.009920e-01 7.228195e-01
                                                                      23 %
## IWARCOW2 1.680549e-01 5.646443e-02 5.600692e-02 2.801029e-01
```

### 2.3 Using mids

The final method for combining results is to convert the data object returned by the hot.deck function to an object of class mids. This can be done with the datalist2mids function from the miceadds package.

```
out.mids <- miceadds::datalist2mids(out$imputations)

## Warning: Number of logged events: 1

s <- summary(mice::pool(mice::lm.mids(AI ~ lagAI + pctchgPCGNP + PCGNP + pctchgLPOP + LPOP + MIL2 + LEFT +
BRIT + POLRT + CWARCOW + IWARCOW2, data=out.mids)))
print(s, digits=4)</pre>
```

```
estimate std.error statistic df p.value
## (Intercept) 5.387e-01 1.491e-01 3.6135 50.491 6.956e-04
## lagAI
           4.708e-01 1.941e-02 24.2476 41.152 0.000e+00
                                 0.7076 6.714 5.030e-01
## pctchgPCGNP 3.573e-03 5.049e-03
## PCGNP
          -1.963e-05 3.791e-06
                                  -5.1778 16.724 7.966e-05
## pctchgLPOP -8.040e-01 1.377e+00
                                  -0.5840 6.472 5.790e-01
          7.150e-02 9.197e-03
                                 7.7742 72.690 3.829e-11
## MIL2
             1.209e-01 5.345e-02
                                 2.2619 11.150 4.464e-02
## LEFT
             -1.342e-01 4.810e-02
                                  -2.7912 52.087 7.324e-03
## BRIT
             -1.369e-01 3.951e-02
                                  -3.4650 22.430 2.156e-03
## POLRT
                                 -6.1990 19.904 4.793e-06
             -6.755e-02 1.090e-02
## CWARCOW
             6.027e-01 5.755e-02 10.4732 65.585 1.332e-15
## IWARCOW2
            1.604e-01 5.362e-02 2.9921 319.263 2.986e-03
```

#### References

Poe, Steven, C. Neal Tate and Linda Camp Keith. 1999. "Repression of the Human Right to Personal Integrity Revisited: A Global, Cross-National Study Covering the Years 1976–1993." *International Studies Quarterly* 43:291–313.