

Testing for vulnerabilities

Exploitation techniques

- Step 1: information gathering
- Step 2: services enumeration
- Step 3: Exploitation
- Step 4: Persistence
- Step 5: Post-attack enumeration
- Step 6: Cleanup

Exploitation

- "Exploit" – sequence of instructions or data that takes advantage of a vulnerability to achieve inappropriate behavior
 - Example: Remote Code Execution (RCE)
 - Example: Local Privilege Escalation (LPE)
 - Example: Information Disclosure (ID)
- **Exploit != payload**
- The reason why we do pentesting
 - The presence of vulnerability is generally sufficient to act
 - If the vulnerability can also be exploited, so much the better
- The step that (probably) gives control over the vulnerable system or leads to the compromise of the organization

Exploitation

- Vulnerabilities must already be identified
 - Info gathering and service enumeration
- In this phase, we need to know exactly what exploit to use
 - We just have to choose the right payload, depending on the exploit and according to what we want to achieve, depending on the operating system and hardware
- In general, we apply the "lowest hanging fruit" principle – we exploit the most critical vulnerabilities
 - We aim to gain control over the vulnerable system
 - Not necessarily with an RCE-type vulnerability!
 - Once a system is compromised, we can do lateral movement

Exploitation

- To exploit a vulnerability, we need an exploit
- Sources of exploits:
 - Metasploit
 - exploit-db
 - packetstormsecurity
 - Own development/manual operation
- Most of the time, we will find exploits in Metasploit or on exploit-db
 - But not always
- Depending on the client's preferences, custom exploits can be developed
 - ... requires skills & time

TYPES OF VULNERABILITIES

- Types of exploits == types of vulnerabilities
- Every vulnerability is exploited in a specific way
- Even identical vulnerabilities can be exploited differently, depending on the application, specific conditions, etc.
 - Example: use-after-free in Internet Explorer vs. use-after-free in an image viewer
- An exploit also depends a lot on the mitigations on the target system
 - ASLR bypass, DEP/NX bypass, CFG bypass, etc.

TYPES OF VULNERABILITIES

- Binary:
 - Memory corruption, Race-conditions, Input not validated properly, etc.
- Web:
 - XSS, CSRF, LFI, RFI, RCE, SQLi, etc.
- Generic:
 - Weak credentials/default, ID, arbitrary directory traversals, etc.
- Result:
 - RCE – remote code execution
 - NON-RCE – does not offer remote code execution

TYPES OF PAYLOADS

- In general, we speak of "payload" in the case of RCE
- The payload represents the code that will be executed because of the exploitation
 - Generally, this is a "shellcode"
- Sometimes, "staged" shellcodes are used – several stages
 - If the shellcode is very large
- Sometimes, a "drive-by-download" attack is used – the shellcode downloads and executes a binary

TYPES OF PAYLOADS

- Metasploit contains a huge list of payloads
- Each payload lends itself to a certain type of exploit, operating system, and processor architecture (in the case of binary payloads)
- Depending on the OS, metasploit offers payloads for:
 - Windows x86/x64
 - OSX
 - Solaris
 - Linux
- There are also agnostic payloads:
 - Python
 - PHP
 - Java
 - Perl

TYPES OF PAYLOADS

- Binary Payloads
 - Used to exploit binary vulnerabilities
 - Windows, Linux, OSX, Android, etc.
 - X86, x64, MIPS, ARM, SPARC, etc.
 - Choose the payload carefully according to the OS and CPU!
 - On a router, you'll probably use a MIPS payload for Linux
 - On a smartphone, you'll probably use an ARM payload for Android
 - Choose the payload according to what you want to do

TYPES OF PAYLOADS

- Generic Payloads/Scripts/Commands:
 - Especially useful in the Web sphere
 - PHP, Ruby, Perl, Python, etc.
 - They must be chosen according to the scripting language present on the server

TYPES OF PAYLOADS

- The main criterion for choosing the payload is the desired effect
- Metasploit offers a lot of different types
- If there isn't what you need in Metasploit – write by hand
- The most common types of payloads:
 - Bind – listens for connections on a predefined port
 - Reverse – connects to a predefined host:port
 - Exec – launches an application into execution
 - Download & exec – download file from predefined location + Exec
 - Loadlibrary – loads a DLL
 - Adduser – add a new user

TYPES OF PAYLOADS

- Some payloads have different variations:
 - Bind: bind_hidden_ipknock_tcp, bind_tcp_rc4, bind_tcp_uuid, etc.
 - Reverse: reverse_tcp_allports, reverse_tcp_dns, reverse_tcp_rc4, etc.
- Some payloads can be delivered in different forms:
 - Meterpreter
 - Dllinject
 - Staged
 - VNC
- Sometimes, we want to write a custom payload, for different reasons
 - It doesn't exist in Metasploit, we want to avoid detections, etc.

ENCODING PAYLOADS

- Depending on the vulnerability and exploit, payloads must be coded to:
 - Avoid unwanted characters
 - Avoid detecting some antivirus
- Encoding applies to binary payloads, and usually involves encrypting them
- There are encoders for: x86/x64, MIPS, Sparc, PPC

Exploitation techniques - RCE

- Once a vulnerable service is discovered, we exploit it
- We need to determine what exploit and what payload we will use
- The payload type depends on:
 - What we want to achieve
 - The system concerned
- Sometimes, we want to avoid antivirus detections or firewall alerts
 - Therefore, we will adjust the type of payload used
- In principle, any type of payload can help us achieve our goal
 - ... whatever it is
- We will mainly consider RCE-type vulnerabilities

Exploitation techniques - RCE

- Bind payload
 - Start a listener on a predefined port
 - We will be able to connect to that port and get a shell
 - Disadvantage: firewall, filtered port, port already used, etc.

```
msf payload(meterpreter_reverse_tcp) > use payload/windows/shell_bind_tcp
msf payload(shell_bind_tcp) > show options

Module options (payload/windows/shell_bind_tcp):
Name      Current Setting  Required  Description
-----  -----  -----
EXITFUNC  process        yes       Exit technique (Accepted: '', seh, thread, process, none)
LPORT      4444           yes       The listen port
RHOST     <unset>        no        The target address

msf payload(shell_bind_tcp) >
```

Exploitation techniques - RCE

- Reverse payload:
 - It will connect to a predefined host:port and provide a shell
 - Disadvantage: firewall

```
msf payload(shell_reverse_tcp) > show options

Module options (payload/windows/shell_reverse_tcp):
Name      Current Setting  Required  Description
----      -----          -----      -----
EXITFUNC  none           yes       Exit technique (Accepted: '', seh, thread, process, none)
LHOST     127.0.0.1       yes       The listen address
LPORT     80              yes       The listen port

msf payload(shell_reverse_tcp) > _
```

Exploitation techniques - RCE

- Exec payload:
 - It allows us to execute commands/applications
 - We can add users, modify firewall rules, etc.
- Download & exec payload:
 - Much greater control compared to exec, but more intrusive
 - Downloads an app from a predefined link, and runs it
 - Payload typically used by malware

Exploitation techniques - RCE

- Sometimes, we use a bind/reverse payload that doesn't seem to work
 - Because of some firewall rules, for example
- How do we find out if the exploit really works?
 - Example: We use an exec payload that pings our machine
- In general, there are ports accessible from any system
 - HTTP, HTTPS, FTP, etc.
 - It is recommended that the reverse payload connect to such a port

Exploitation techniques - RCE

- If the system has an active RDP/SSH service, we can use an adduser payload and then connect to RDP/SSH
 - If the RDP/SSH service is not active, we can activate it
 - If we have root/admin rights
- If the system has a web server, we can add a PHP backdoor that interprets commands
 - The file will be located in the directory from which the web pages are served
 - By accessing it, we can send commands to the system
- If the system has an FTP server, we can add a new user with access to the entire FS
 - Sometimes it is not possible, if we do not have full rights and the server is running as root

Exploitation techniques - RCE

- In the case of an RCE present in web services, there are several alternatives
 - There are many methods of exploiting web RCEs
 - It depends on which engine is used by the server
 - Depends on the vulnerability
- Example: Local File Inclusion (LFI)
 - If we can force data to be written to disk in a known location, it is trivial
 - Usually, we can inject commands/scripts into logs (e.g. Apache log)
 - Sensitive files can be accessed (passwd, shadow, SAM hives)
- Example: Remote File Inclusion (RFI)
 - It's trivial to exploit: we include a source file from us on the server

Exploitation techniques - RCE

- Example: Weak/default credentials in a web application
 - Maybe you can modify existing source files, upload plugins, etc.
 - Can gain access to the system directly as root/as a less privileged user
- Example: SQLi
 - xp_cmd_shell

Exploitation techniques – Non RCE

- NON-RCE vulnerabilities; we can consider all vulnerabilities that do not confer arbitrary code execution directly as non-rce:
 - XSS, CSRF, SQLi, ID, weak/default credentials, etc.
 - A large part of the vulnerabilities are not represented by the RCE
- If we can't execute code on the victim, it's more difficult to compromise it
 - ... Is it?
- It all depends on the vulnerability and skills of the attacker + what we want to achieve
- Sometimes, however, they can be exploited to compromise the victim
- Even if they cannot lead to the victim's compromise, they can still be useful

Exploitation techniques – Non RCE

- Example: SQLi:
 - Retrieved information from the database
 - Add records to the database
- Example: XSS
 - We get cookie/sessionid from victims
- Example: CSRF
 - We can change credentials, add new users, etc.
- Example: Directory traversal
 - Obtain passwd/shadow, SAM, confidential files

METERPRETER

- Payload that provides a complete working environment on the compromised machine
- Meterpreter exists in multiple forms:
 - Binary: x86/x64
 - Script: PHP, Python
- On different operating systems:
 - Android, Linux, Windows
- And using different techniques:
 - reverse_http, reverse_tcp, bind_tcp

METERPRETER

- The main disadvantage:
 - It is detected by antivirus/IDS/IPS/etc.
- The main advantage:
 - Lots of useful commands and ease of working with it
 - Compatibility with most exploits

SHA256:	883e1c96f567a97005fc727f09dfc40227b1c914263af4137442d33bc4ac7e51
File name:	meter.exe
Detection ratio:	35 / 56
Analysis date:	2016-11-25 13:10:40 UTC (1 minute ago)



METERPRETER

- Additional Metasploit modules can be run
 - run Module
- A shell can be created
 - shell
- Can run common commands
 - ps, ls, cat, pwd, cwd, cd, etc.
- NT/LM hashes can be dumped
 - hashdump
- Sometimes, privilege escalation can be done
 - getsystem

METERPRETER

- Screen-shots
 - screengrab
- Migration to other processes
 - migrated
- Turning on webcams:
 - webcam_snap
- File upload/download

LATERAL MOVEMENT

- Lateral movement = compromising other systems in the network
- Most of the time, an externally exposed system (internet) has multiple network interfaces
- Once a system is compromised, there is the possibility of using it as a pivot for lateral movement
- Once such a system is compromised, we can start compromising other systems in that network
 - The current system becomes pivotal
- If access has been gained inside the organization, everything becomes much simpler

CONCLUSIONS

- Exploiting vulnerabilities is the main step in the pentesting procedure
- In principle, we can consider two broad categories of vulnerabilities:
 - RCE – those that offer Remote Code Execution
 - NON-RCE – those that do not offer Remote Code Execution
- Both have compromising potential
- The mining technique is a function of many variables:
 - Vulnerability
 - Operating System
 - CPU
 - Security mitigations present
 - Purpose