

## Research Paper

### Introduction

In the fast-paced world of customer service, effective communication is key to ensuring customer satisfaction and loyalty. With the advancement of technology, Large Language Models (LLMs) like OpenAI's ChatGPT have been increasingly integrated into various communication scenarios, including customer-service roles. These AI-driven models are designed to simulate human interaction, providing responses that are contextually relevant and emotionally attuned. This essay explores the effectiveness of LLMs in typical human communication scenarios, specifically focusing on interactions between customers and service representatives at Dunkin' Donuts.

Customer service in the food and beverage industry is particularly challenging due to the high volume of interactions and the diverse range of customer needs. Employees must navigate a variety of situations, from routine orders to handling complaints, all while maintaining a positive and professional demeanor. Given these challenges, the potential for LLMs to support or even replace human service representatives is a topic of considerable interest. By analyzing transcripts of conversations conducted with ChatGPT in the role of a Dunkin' Donuts service representative, this essay aims to assess the model's ability to perform effectively in this context.

Key aspects of this analysis will include the similarity of ChatGPT's responses to those of human employees, the demonstration of empathy, the understanding of subtext, and the persuasiveness of its interactions. Additionally, the essay will draw on secondary research, including social penetration theory, to provide a theoretical framework for evaluating the AI's performance. Through this exploration, we seek to understand whether ChatGPT can meet the high standards required in customer service roles and what implications this might have for the future of the industry.

### Overview of ChatGPT's Performance

In exploring the use of Large Language Models (LLMs) like ChatGPT in customer service roles, specifically within the context of a Dunkin' Donuts service representative, it is crucial to understand the performance of these models under various communication scenarios. ChatGPT, trained by OpenAI, is designed to generate human-like text based on the prompts it receives. This capability was put to the test in a series of simulated customer service interactions at a Dunkin' Donuts outlet.

The interactions designed to assess ChatGPT's efficacy involved typical service tasks such as taking orders, handling customer complaints, and managing high-pressure situations indicative of a busy retail environment. These tests varied from dealing with routine order processing to addressing customer dissatisfaction and operating under stress due to high customer volume or understaffing. Each scenario was crafted to mirror potential real-life situations that employees regularly face, providing a comprehensive basis for evaluating the AI's responsiveness and adaptability.

ChatGPT's performance across these scenarios was marked by a high degree of linguistic accuracy and appropriateness. The model demonstrated a robust capability to adhere to the conversational norms expected in a customer service setting, often using polite formulations and apologetic language where necessary, as seen in its responses to customer frustrations ("I'm

really sorry about that mix-up! Let's make sure we get your order right." from Test 2). These are key elements in service-oriented communication, emphasizing the importance of maintaining customer satisfaction through verbal interaction.

The language model also showcased an ability to recall details from the ongoing interaction, a critical feature in customer service. For instance, it remembered the customer's preference for the type of milk due to dietary restrictions, as evidenced by its suggestion of almond milk for a lactose-intolerant customer (Test 2). This level of attention to customer preferences is aligned with the findings of Gremler and Gwinner (2000), who noted that personalized attention to customer needs and preferences is crucial in service settings for building long-term customer relationships.

Throughout the interactions, ChatGPT maintained a consistent persona of a Dunkin' Donuts service representative. This consistency is essential, as noted by Bitner, Booms, and Tetreault (1990), who emphasized the role of service employees in shaping customer perceptions of the service quality through consistent performance. ChatGPT's ability to remain polite and accommodating under various scenarios—including during a rush hour and when handling a rude customer—demonstrates its potential utility as a supportive tool for human employees in service environments.

However, despite its linguistic capabilities and persona consistency, ChatGPT occasionally lacked the deeper contextual understanding that human employees typically develop. For instance, it failed to remember a regular customer's usual order, leading to a customer's frustration (Test 1). This points to a limitation in its ability to fully integrate situational and historical context, which is vital for achieving excellence in customer service. As argued by Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry (1985) in their gap model of service quality, one of the key gaps in service delivery can occur when there is a discrepancy between customer expectations and service performance.

### **Human-Like Response Analysis**

The evaluation of ChatGPT's performance in a simulated customer service environment at Dunkin' Donuts necessitates a focused analysis on its ability to emulate human-like responses. This analysis is crucial in understanding how well an AI can replicate the nuances of human interaction, particularly in a service setting where emotional intelligence and contextual sensitivity are paramount.

In the provided scenarios, ChatGPT demonstrated a high level of linguistic proficiency, often using language that was not only contextually appropriate but also aligned with the expected norms of customer service communication. For instance, its responses were characterized by politeness and empathy, critical elements in customer interactions that help in managing and sometimes even enhancing customer perceptions of the service experience. As outlined in the seminal work by Brown and Levinson on politeness theory, maintaining positive face and minimizing threats to negative face are essential strategies in human interaction, particularly in service contexts. ChatGPT's consistent use of phrases like "I'm really sorry about that mix-up!" and "Thank you for your patience," as observed in the transcripts, are indicative of its capability to adhere to these politeness strategies.

A key aspect of human-like response is the ability to maintain a consistent persona throughout the interaction. ChatGPT was able to uphold the role of a Dunkin' Donuts employee, adapting its responses to reflect the stress or rush of the situation as described in the setup for each test. This consistent persona is crucial in maintaining the continuity of the service experience, a factor highlighted by Sundar et al. in their discussion on the Machine Heuristic,

where users attribute human-like qualities to machines based on consistent output. The model's ability to remain calm and courteous, despite simulated provocation or stress, aligns with these findings and underscores its utility in a real-world service setting.

While ChatGPT effectively used empathetic language, its understanding of deeper emotional cues was less consistent. For example, in responding to a customer's frustration over not being remembered, it defaulted to a generic apology rather than recognizing and addressing the emotional subtext of feeling undervalued or overlooked. This gap in emotional intelligence is critical, as empathy requires not just hearing words but understanding emotions. According to Zeithaml, Bitner, and Gremler's service quality paradigm, effective service recovery can significantly enhance customer satisfaction, particularly after service failures. However, this requires a deep understanding of customer emotions, something that current AI technologies, including ChatGPT, can struggle to fully grasp.

The ability to interpret subtext in communication—a common human ability—remains a challenge for ChatGPT. In several instances, the AI failed to recognize sarcasm or frustration unless explicitly stated by the customer. This limitation is notable in high-stress scenarios where customers might express their dissatisfaction more subtly. The model's responses, while appropriate on the surface, often lacked the depth of understanding that comes from real human interaction, where tone, context, and history significantly influence the interpretation of the text.

### **Limitations of ChatGPT in Service Interactions**

While ChatGPT demonstrates considerable potential for automating and assisting with customer service tasks, its application within such a dynamic and human-centric field also reveals significant limitations. These shortcomings primarily revolve around the model's understanding of context, its ability to handle unscripted interactions, and the depth of its emotional intelligence, all of which are critical for effective service delivery.

One of the primary limitations observed in ChatGPT's performance involves its inability to fully grasp and remember contextual details that are crucial in personalizing customer interactions. For instance, as noted in the provided transcripts, ChatGPT struggled to recall regular customers' orders—a key aspect of personal service that fosters customer loyalty and satisfaction. This issue reflects a fundamental challenge in how AI processes information: it lacks continuity and memory across interactions unless specifically designed to do so. According to research by Bitner, Booms, and Mohr, personal recognition and remembering customer preferences are significant elements that enhance customer perceptions of service quality. The inability to link historical data dynamically with ongoing interactions significantly handicaps AI in roles where personalized service is expected.

Another limitation is ChatGPT's handling of unscripted and spontaneous customer interactions. In scenarios where customers deviated from typical conversational patterns or used sarcasm, ChatGPT's responses sometimes appeared incongruent or missed the mark. This issue stems from the model's reliance on patterns in data rather than genuine understanding or intuition, which human agents employ. Sundar et al.'s "Machine Heuristic," which discusses how users attribute human-like qualities to machines based on their output, suggests that when AI responses fail to meet these heuristic expectations, it can lead to dissatisfaction or a breakdown in communication. The nuanced nature of human language and the high variability in individual communication styles pose a significant challenge to AI, which fundamentally operates on predictability and patterns.

Furthermore, ChatGPT's limitations in emotional intelligence were evident, particularly in its inability to engage deeply with customers' emotions. While it could mimic empathetic

responses, such as apologizing or acknowledging a customer's frustration, it often failed to genuinely connect with or alter its responses based on the emotional tone of the interaction. Emotional intelligence in customer service is not only about responding to what is said but also how it's said, an aspect where human agents excel by using tone, past interactions, and non-verbal cues to guide their responses. Goleman's theory of emotional intelligence outlines the ability to manage and recognize one's own emotions and those of others as a cornerstone of effective interpersonal interactions. ChatGPT's current model lacks the ability to perceive these subtleties fully, which is crucial for resolving conflicts, personalizing service recovery efforts, and building customer relationships.

### **Conclusion**

The analysis indicates that while ChatGPT and similar LLMs can automate basic customer service tasks effectively, they lack the deep emotional intelligence and contextual awareness necessary for complex interactions. Research underscores the importance of personalized and empathetic service in enhancing customer satisfaction, areas where human agents excel. Thus, integrating both AI and human capabilities in a hybrid customer service model appears optimal, ensuring that technological efficiency complements rather than replaces the essential human touch in service delivery.