Ask a question

Search related threads

Search forum questions

Quick access

Asked by:



Why does Get-ACL return FileSystemRights that are invalid in FileSystemAccesRule used with Set-ACL? (Ex: -536805376)

Windows Server > Windows PowerShell

Question

I have a script that is reading local group permissions on folders using Get-Acl and .Access and then granting the same permissions to a domain group through FileSystemAccessRule and Set-Acl. Everything worked great until it hit a permission for a local group (COMPUTERNAME\groupname) with the FileSystemRights value of -536805376 . Then it returned this error:

O Sign in to vote New-Object: Exception calling ".ctor" with "5" argument(s): "The value '-536805376' is not valid for this usage of the type FileSystemRights.

Parameter name: fileSystemRights"

At C:\Replace-LocalGroups.ps1:54 char:26

+ \$AccessRule = New-Object <<<< system.security.AccessControl.FileSystemAccessRule(\$AccessRuleIdentity, \$Permission, \$Inheritence, \$Propogation, \$AccessType)

- + CategoryInfo : InvalidOperation: (:) [New-Object], MethodInvocationException
- + FullyQualifiedErrorld:

Constructor Invoked Throw Exception, Microsoft. Power Shell. Commands. New Object Command Why?

Friday, April 1, 2011 11:55 PM

Reply | Quote



MS Mike

5 Points

All replies

```
PS > [system.enum]::getvalues([System.Security.AccessControl.FileSystemRights]) | %{$_value__} | meas
         Count: 23
 0
         Average:
         Sum: 4524076
Sign in
to vote
         Maximum:
         Minimum:
         Property:
         winnt.h contains:
         #define DELETE (0x00010000L)
         #define READ_CONTROL (0x00020000L)
         #define WRITE_DAC (0x00040000L)
         #define WRITE_OWNER (0x00080000L)
         #define SYNCHRONIZE (0x00100000L)
         #define STANDARD RIGHTS REQUIRED (0x000F0000L)
         #define STANDARD_RIGHTS_ALL (0x001F0000L)
         #define SPECIFIC_RIGHTS_ALL (0x0000FFFFL)
         #define ACCESS_SYSTEM_SECURITY (0x01000000L)
         #define MAXIMUM_ALLOWED (0x02000000L)
         #define GENERIC_READ (0x80000000L)
         #define GENERIC_WRITE (0x40000000L)
         #define GENERIC_EXECUTE (0x20000000L)
         #define GENERIC_ALL (0x10000000L)
         PS > 0x80000000 -bor 0x40000000 -bor 0x20000000 -bor 0x0001000
         -536805376
         268435456 - FullControl
         -536805376 - Modify, Synchronize
         -1610612736 - ReadAndExecute, Synchronize
         $Permission = [System.Security.AccessControl.FileSystemRights]"Modify, Synchronize"
         http://blogs.technet.com/b/josebda/archive/2010/11/12/how-to-handle-ntfs-folder-permissions-
         security-descriptors-and-acls-in-powershell.aspx
         4
         Marked as answer by Dale Qiao Moderator Monday, April 4, 2011 2:40 AM
         Unmarked as answer by MS Mike Monday, April 4, 2011 6:25 PM
         Saturday, April 2, 2011 7:23 AM
         Reply | Quote
                                                                                    Kazun
                                                                                             85,440 Points
           $Permission = [System.Security.AccessControl.FileSystemRights]"Modify, Synchronize"
           http://blogs.technet.com/b/josebda/archive/2010/11/12/how-to-handle-ntfs-folder-permissions-
           security-descriptors-and-acls-in-powershell.aspx
 0
Sign in
to vote
```

So you are saying I need to use \$Permission = [System.Security.AccessControl.FileSystemRights]"Modify, Synchronize" instead of the value return by Get-Acl?

I thought those were two different values? In the link you provided, it shows -536805376 and Modify, Synchornize as two different values:

NT AUTHORITY\Authenticated Users Allow Modify, Synchronize

NT AUTHORITY\Authenticated Users Allow-536805376

Monday, April 4, 2011 4:34 PM

Reply | Quote



MS Mike

5 Points

Hi, you can use those values (decimal representation of the permissions) with the AccessRuleFactory: System.Security.AccessControl.AccessRule AccessRuleFactory(
System.Security.Principal.IdentityReference identityReference,

int accessMask,

1 bool isInherited,

Sign in to vote

System.Security.AccessControl.InheritanceFlags inheritanceFlags, System.Security.AccessControl.PropagationFlags propagationFlags, System.Security.AccessControl.AccessControlType type

fx

\$ACL = Get-Acl .\test

\$User = New-Object System.Security.Principal.NTAccount("DOMAIN\acct")

\$newACL = \$ACL.AccessRuleFactory(\$User,268435456,\$false,'ContainerInherit,ObjectInherit','None','/
\$ACL.SetAccessRule(\$newACL)

Set-Acl .\test -AclObject \$ACL

- ■

- which will reset the domain user DOMAIN\acct's permissions and grant it Full permissions with standard inheritance (both ways).

The access mask is, BTW described here: http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/windows/desktop/aa374892(v=vs.85).aspx

I think you may discard the numerical values all together as they seem redundant (an artifact of the way those permissions were originally set).

Edited by Rune Mariboe Thursday, June 21, 2012 3:52 PM

Thursday, June 21, 2012 2:21 PM

Reply | Quote

Rune Mariboe Progressive A/S



I am using below script to DUAL permission few folders with new domain users, but it is not working on few folders it only re permissions on root level of the folder where as on some folders it re-permissions its on root folder and all its sub folders also.

I am not sure why, can someone help what is wrong in the script. please see the error below.

0

Sign in

```
Script
______
_____
$OldDomain = "Contoso" # NetBIOS Name
$NewDomain = "Redmond" # NetBIOS Name
# Get the current Security Descriptor
#$path =
get-content "C:\t.txt" | %{
$ACL = Get-ACL $
$Path = $
# Get current Access and filter down to ACEs applied here (not inherited) for users
# in the old domain
#$AccessRights =
$ACL.Access | ?{$ .IsInherited -eq $False -And $ .IdentityReference.Value -Like "$OldDomain\*"
} | %{
 # Create the new Identity string (will be NewDomain\OriginalUsername)
 $Identity = $_.IdentityReference.Value -Replace $OldDomain, $NewDomain
 # Build a new rule based on the current rule
 $NewRule = New-Object Security.AccessControl.FileSystemAccessRule(
 $Identity,
 $_.FileSystemRights,
 $_.InheritanceFlags,
 $ .PropagationFlags,
 $ .AccessControlType)
 # Add the new rule to the Access Control List
 $ACL.AddAccessRule($NewRule)
 # Apply the modified Access Control List
Set-ACL $Path -AclObject $ACL
---
Error:
PS C:\Users\shajja\Desktop> .\DUAL.ps1
New-Object: Exception calling ".ctor" with "5" argument(s): "The value '268435456' is not valid
for this usage of the type FileSystemRights.
Parameter name: fileSystemRights"
At C:\Users\shajja\Desktop\DUAL.ps1:19 char:24
+ $NewRule = New-Object <<< Security.AccessControl.FileSystemAccessRule(
 + CategoryInfo
                  : InvalidOperation: (:) [New-Object], MethodInvocationException
```

+ FullyQualifiedErrorId:

Constructor Invoked Throw Exception, Microsoft. Power Shell. Commands. New Object Command Shell CoException calling "AddAccessRule" with "1" argument(s): "Value cannot be null.

The information wasn't totally clear, I think, but everything was all in this thread already. Here's what

Parameter name: rule"

At C:\Users\shajja\Desktop\DUAL.ps1:27 char:21

- + \$ACL.AddAccessRule <<< (\$NewRule)
 - : NotSpecified: (:) [], MethodInvocationException + CategoryInfo
 - + FullyQualifiedErrorId: DotNetMethodExcep

Sunday, July 14, 2013 5:51 AM

Reply | Quote



I've pieced together from a little bit of trial and error:

The .NET Framework FileSystemRights enum does not contain all of the possible values from the Win32 API. I ran this command to list all of the current flag values in FileSystemRights:

3 Sign in to vote

```
[System.Enum]::GetNames([System.Security.AccessControl.FileSystemRights]) |
% { '{0,-35}{1:X8}' -f $_, ([System.Security.AccessControl.FileSystemRights]$_).value__}
Results:
ListDirectory
                        00000001
ReadData
                        00000001
WriteData
                       00000002
CreateFiles
                       00000002
CreateDirectories
                          00000004
AppendData
                         00000004
ReadExtendedAttributes
                              00000008
WriteExtendedAttributes
                              00000010
Traverse
                      00000020
ExecuteFile
                       00000020
DeleteSubdirectoriesAndFiles
                               00000040
ReadAttributes
                         00000080
WriteAttributes
                         00000100
                     00000116
Write
Delete
                      00010000
ReadPermissions
                          00020000
Read
                     00020089
ReadAndExecute
                           000200A9
                      000301BF
Modify
ChangePermissions
                           00040000
TakeOwnership
                          00080000
                        00100000
Synchronize
FullControl
                       001F01FF
#>
```

Notice that the highest byte is always 00 in this enum. Next I ran this script to search for some examples of "weird" ACEs that didn't contain legal .NET FileSystemRights values:

```
Get-ChildItem c:\ -Recurse -ErrorAction SilentlyContinue | ForEach-Object {
  try {
    $weirdACEs = $_ |
    Get-Acl
    Select-Object -ExpandProperty Access |
    Where-Object { $_.IsInherited -eq $false -and $_.FileSystemRights.ToString() -match '^-?[0-9]-
  } catch { }
  foreach ($ace in $weirdACEs) {
    '{0,-50}{1,-10:X8}{2}' -f $_.FullName, $ace.FileSystemRights.value__, $ace.IdentityReference.ToS
| | Select-Object -First 20
<#
Results:
C:\ad77b46eece580b52387ae3fe3a6
                                            10000000 CREATOR OWNER
C:\ad77b46eece580b52387ae3fe3a6
                                            10000000 NT AUTHORITY\SYSTEM
C:\ad77b46eece580b52387ae3fe3a6
                                            10000000 BUILTIN\Administrators
C:\ad77b46eece580b52387ae3fe3a6
                                            A0000000 BUILTIN\Users
C:\ad77b46eece580b52387ae3fe3a6
                                            10000000 NT SERVICE\TrustedInstaller
C:\Program Files
                                  10000000 CREATOR OWNER
C:\Program Files
                                  10000000 NT AUTHORITY\SYSTEM
C:\Program Files
                                  10000000 BUILTIN\Administrators
                                  A0000000 BUILTIN\Users
C:\Program Files
C:\Program Files
                                  10000000 NT SERVICE\TrustedInstaller
                                    10000000 CREATOR OWNER
C:\Program Files (x86)
C:\Program Files (x86)
                                    10000000 NT AUTHORITY\SYSTEM
C:\Program Files (x86)
                                    10000000 BUILTIN\Administrators
C:\Program Files (x86)
                                    A0000000 BUILTIN\Users
C:\Program Files (x86)
                                    10000000 NT SERVICE\TrustedInstaller
                               A0000000 Everyone
C:\Users
                               A0000000 BUILTIN\Users
C:\Users
C:\Windows
                                 10000000 CREATOR OWNER
```

```
C:\Windows 10000000 NT AUTHORITY\SYSTEM
C:\Windows 10000000 BUILTIN\Administrators
#>
```

Looking at the first reply in this thread, you'll see that winnt.h defines these additional values for this mask which are not legal in a .NET FileSystemRights value, and some of these values are what is being found in the "weird" ACEs (GENERIC_READ and GENERIC_READ|GENERIC_EXECUTE, in the case of my test output):

```
#define ACCESS_SYSTEM_SECURITY (0x01000000L)

#define MAXIMUM_ALLOWED (0x02000000L)

#define GENERIC_READ (0x80000000L)

#define GENERIC_WRITE (0x40000000L)

#define GENERIC_EXECUTE (0x20000000L)

#define GENERIC_ALL (0x10000000L)
```

As Rune Mariboe mentioned in the 6/21/2012 post, there is an AccessRuleFactory method on the FileSystemSecurity class. That method seems to accept the Win32 API values that can't be casted to a FileSystemRights value in .NET. I used this code as a test:

```
$acl = Get-Acl -Path 'C:\Program Files'
$weirdACE = $acl.Access | ? { $_.FileSystemRights.ToString() -match '^-?[0-9]+$' } | Select-Object -F
$newAce = $acl.AccessRuleFactory(
  $weirdACE.IdentityReference,
  $weirdACE.FileSystemRights,
  $weirdACE.IsInherited,
  $weirdACE.InheritanceFlags,
  $weirdACE.PropagationFlags
  $weirdACE.AccessControlType
$weirdAce | fl * -Force
$newAce | fl * -Force
<#
Results:
FileSystemRights: 268435456
AccessControlType : Allow
IdentityReference: CREATOR OWNER
IsInherited
             : False
InheritanceFlags: ContainerInherit, ObjectInherit
PropagationFlags: InheritOnly
FileSystemRights: 268435456
AccessControlType : Allow
IdentityReference: CREATOR OWNER
IsInherited
              : False
InheritanceFlags: ContainerInherit, ObjectInherit
PropagationFlags: InheritOnly
So there you have it. In your script:
#change this line:
$NewRule = New-Object Security.AccessControl.FileSystemAccessRule(
```

\$NewRule = \$ACL.AccessRuleFactory(

to this:

```
Edited by David Wyatt Monday, July 15, 2013 3:22 AM edit
        Sunday, July 14, 2013 2:13 PM
        Reply | Quote
                                                         David Wyatt Palantir Technologies
                                                                                          37,659 Points
        Hi David thanks for the details information!!
        I made the changes to the script as suggested by you but i got some errors when i ran it, i have copied the error below any help
        in this would be highly appreciated.
        $OldDomain = "Contoso" # NetBIOS Name
 0
        $NewDomain = "redmond" # NetBIOS Name
Sign in
        # Get the current Security Descriptor
        #$path =
        get-content "C:\t.txt" | %{
        $ACL = Get-ACL $_
        Path = 
        # Get current Access and filter down to ACEs applied here (not inherited) for users
        # in the old domain
        #$AccessRights =
        $ACL.Access | ?{$_.IsInherited -eq $False -And $_.IdentityReference.Value -Like "$OldDomain\*" } | %{
         # Create the new Identity string (will be NewDomain\OriginalUsername)
         $Identity = $_.IdentityReference.Value -Replace $OldDomain, $NewDomain
         # Build a new rule based on the current rule
         $NewRule = $ACL.AccessRuleFactory(
          $Identity,
          $_.FileSystemRights,
          $_.InheritanceFlags,
          $_.PropagationFlags,
          $_.AccessControlType)
         # Add the new rule to the Access Control List
         $ACL.AddAccessRule($NewRule)
         # Apply the modified Access Control List
         Set-ACL $Path -AclObject $ACL
        Error:
        ______
        =======
        PS C:\Users\shajja\Desktop> .\DUAL.ps1
        Cannot find an overload for "AccessRuleFactory" and the argument count: "5".
        At C:\Users\shajja\Desktop\DUAL.ps1:19 char:36
        + $NewRule = $ACL.AccessRuleFactory <<<< (
          + CategoryInfo
                             : NotSpecified: (:) [], MethodException
          + FullyQualifiedErrorld : MethodCountCouldNotFindBest
        Exception calling "AddAccessRule" with "1" argument(s): "Value cannot be null.
        Parameter name: rule"
        At C:\Users\shajja\Desktop\DUAL.ps1:27 char:21
        + $ACL.AddAccessRule <<<< ($NewRule)
          + CategoryInfo
                            : NotSpecified: (:) [], MethodInvocationException
          + FullyQualifiedErrorld : DotNetMethodException
        ______
        Cannot find an overload for "AccessRuleFactory" and the argument count: "5".
        At C:\Users\shajja\Desktop\DUAL.ps1:19 char:36
         + $NewRule = $ACL.AccessRuleFactory <<<< (
          + CategoryInfo
                             : NotSpecified: (:) [], MethodException
           + FullyQualifiedErrorld : MethodCountCouldNotFindBest
```

Exception calling "AddAccessRule" with "1" argument(s): "Value cannot be null.

Parameter name: rule"

At C:\Users\shajja\Desktop\DUAL.ps1:27 char:21

- + \$ACL.AddAccessRule <<<< (\$NewRule)
 - + CategoryInfo : NotSpecified: (:) [], MethodInvocationException
 - + FullyQualifiedErrorld : DotNetMethodException

Sunday, July 14, 2013 2:40 PM

Reply | Quote



Oh, right. The AccessRuleFactory method has an extra IsInherited argument. You also might need to cast the Identity variable to an NTAccount type, since the AccessRuleFactory doesn't have an overload that accepts a string (and I'm not sure if it will cast automatically to the IdentityReference base class. Probably not.)

1 Try this:

Sign in to vote

Create the new Identity string (will be NewDomain\OriginalUsername)

\$Identity = [System.Security.Principal.NTAccount](\$_.IdentityReference.Value -Replace \$OldDo

Build a new rule based on the current rule

\$NewRule = \$ACL.AccessRuleFactory(

\$Identity,

- $_{.}$ File System Rights,
- \$_.lsInherited,
- \$_.InheritanceFlags,
- \$_.PropagationFlags,
- \$_.AccessControlType)

Edited by David Wyatt Monday, July 15, 2013 3:23 AM edit

Sunday, July 14, 2013 4:31 PM



Reply | Quote

David Wyatt Palantir Technologies

37,659 Points

I searched around on this topic and found a few scattered posts regarding the .NET Framework and the Win32 API's GENERIC_* flags, but mostly regarding generating a report (and giving a useful string representation of the ACE instead of a number). Rune Mariboe's posts in this thread and one other repost of the same issue on these forums were the only ones I found that mentioned the AccessRuleFactory method.

0 Sign in to vote

This seems like useful (and somewhat obscure) enough information that I posted a TechNet wiki entry about it: http://social.technet.microsoft.com/wiki/contents/articles/18501.copying-aces-in-the-netframework-powershell.aspx

Sunday, July 14, 2013 5:51 PM



Reply | Quote

David Wyatt Palantir Technologies

37,659 Points

Thank you VERY MUCH !!!! It worked like a charm ... You made my day.

I have changed the script as you suggested and issue got fixed :)

0 Sian in Monday, July 15, 2013 2:14 AM

Reply | Quote to vote

4313

0 Points

Newsletter | Contact Us | Privacy Statement | Terms of Use | Trademarks © 2020 Microsoft. All rights reserved.