Increasing Understanding of Survey Re-Weighting with Visualization

Yufei Zhang, David Borland, and David Gotz, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Introduction

- Surveys widely used to report public opinion
- Extremely valuable in wide range of applications, e.g.:
 - Politics
 - Marketing
 - Customer Relationship Mgmt.
- Often reported as "facts," as in "Gillum leads by 9"



The Challenge

- Surveys are based on responses from a population sample
- The sample is rarely representative of the target baseline population
- Accurate results require re-weighting of samples How to weight? TheUpshot
 - What is impact of re-weighting?

(b)



A day after poll shows Ted

O'Rourke, new survey shows

Cruz blowing away Beto

dead heat. What gives?

FWDDFW

Visualization of Survey Re-Weighting

- 1. Raw survey response data is shown by default (a). In this case, 2012 presidential election survey.
- 2. Histograms comparing the sample population with the baseline.
- 3. Checkboxes allow users to choose which dimensions are included when re-weighting.
- (a) Choose a Baseline Population ▼ Choose a Polling Data ▼ Who will win the votes? Last Grade in School: Not a high school graduate High school graduate Some college education College graduate Postgraduate education Gender: 25 ~ 29

Not a high school graduate

- 4. A slider allows users to interactively adjust the amount of re-weighting. A value of 0% produces the raw data, 100% will produce a "completely balanced" sample.
- 5. Correcting for age and race gives Obama a larger lead (b). However, adding education level as a dimension for re-weighting results in a narrower survey margin (c).

User Study

- Does visualization of re-weighting help users understand the impact of the process on survey results?
- Study design
 - 20 participants
 - Grad students @ UNC-Chapel Hill
 - No prior experience with system
 - 2 datasets

Poll Data

Monmouth University Poll of National 2012 Presidential Race Barack Obama vs. Mitt Romney

Baseline Publication

Voting age adults in the USA (statistics gathered from Wikipedia)

- Protocol and Results
 - 15 tasks: 7 for practice, followed by 8 experimental tasks
 - 160 experimental tasks in total (20x8)
 - 156 answered correctly
 - 96.5% accuracy shows that users could utilize the system effectively
 - Pre-post questionnaires with 5-point scale asked how well users understand: Q1. overall re-weighting process in surveys? Q3. impact of the scale of re-weighting? Q4. the overall impact of re-weighting? Q2. sensitivity of results to sample bias?









