## MIT Online Subject Evaluation | Guide to Reports

Change report view: Ramsay, David Bradford ➤

MAS.S76 Special Subject in Media Arts and Sciences

Survey Window: Spring 2021 End of Term | View Current Catalog Entry | Print Report

Report Includes Data for: Students: For credit

Subjects: MAS.S76 Special Subject in Media Arts and Sciences - Lecture L01

(filter data) 🖳

Eligible to Respond: Total # of Response rate: Overall rating of subject:

Respondents: 2 2 100% 0 out of

Download Set of Individual Student Responses: PDF raw data

Due to pandemic-related disruptions in academic year 2020-21, many instructors have had to modify the scope and content of the subject, the means of delivery, the grading and assessment scheme, and other aspects of their subjects. In future versions of the subject many of these modifications may or may not be retained. These evaluation data specifically reflect feedback on a version taught during a disrupted term.

# **Show/Hide Comments**

### INSTRUCTORS

Subject Evaluation for Partially Remote Term	1=Strongly Disagree, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)				
NAME	Instructor stimulated my interest in the subject	Instructor displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	Instructor supported my learning		
Paradiso, Joseph A., Instructor (LEC)	7.0 (2)	<b>7.0</b> (2)	<b>7.0</b> (2)		
Cherston, Juliana Mae, Teaching Assistant (LEC)	7.0 (2)	7.0 (2)	<b>7.0</b> (2)		
Chwalek, Patrick, Teaching Assistant (LEC)	7.0 (2)	<b>7.0</b> (2)	<b>7.0</b> (2)		
Ramsay, David Bradford, Teaching Assistant (LEC)	<b>7.0</b> (2)	<b>7.0</b> (2)	<b>7.0</b> (2)		

Ramsay, David Bradford, Teaching Assistant in Lecture Lo1 - Overall rating:

Subject Evaluation for Partially Remote Term	Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)			
	AVG 1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
Instructor stimulated my interest in the subject	7.0	2	7.0	0.0
Instructor displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	7.0	2	7.0	0.0
Instructor supported my learning	7.0	2	7.0	0.0

#### SUBJECT

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)

	AVG	1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
The expectations for the subject were clearly defined	6.5		2	6.5	0.71
The learning objectives for the subject were met	6.5		2	6.5	0.71
The subject's assignments contributed to my learning	6.5		2	6.5	0.71
The subject's grading thus far has been fair	7.0		1	7.0	0.0
I was satisfied with my overall learning experience in	6.5		2	6.5	0.71
this subject.					

	AVG	RESPONSE	SMEDIAN	STDEV
How much time (in hours) did you spend per	7.0	2	7.0	4.24
week on this subject?				

Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)

Арр	Applicable (4 is best)				
AVO	1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV	
The pace of the class (content and assignments) was: 4.0		2	4.0	0.0	

#### Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)

Student 50915 - Great class overall. It met my expectations and I learned a lot about what I came for. For the weekly assignments: it would be useful to get a quick brief on the key concepts to pay attention to in the readings. This could be written with the references on canvas or verbal at the end of the class (the week before each assignment).

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neither Disagree Nor Agree, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (5 is best)

	AVG 12	2 3 4 5	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
I was able to easily locate necessary class materials	5.0		2	5.0	0.0
Expectations for participation were clearly stated.	5.0		2	5.0	0.0
Instructions for graded assignments were clearly stated	5.0		2	5.0	0.0
An appropriate orientation to all technology required for the subject was provided.	5.0		1	5.0	0.0
I received feedback that improved my learning.	3.0		2	3.0	1.41

#### Please provide detail on any item for which you strongly disagree or strongly agree. Please be as specific as possible.

Student 50915 - Our after class discussions were always helpful and enjoyable. Some brief written feedback on the illustrations each week would also be useful (though I don't think weekly grades are essential).

Rating Scale: 1=To No Extent, 2=To a Small Extent, 3=To a Moderate Extent, 4=To a Great Extent, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)

	AVG 1234	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
Live (synchronous) lectures/class periods	4.0	2	4.0	0.0
Synchronous class discussions	4.0	1	4.0	0.0
Asynchronous learning activities (e.g., previously	3.0	1	3.0	0.0
recorded presentation of course material, pre-class				

reading, answering pre-class questions, etc.)

Recitations	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Office hours	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Collaborative/Team Project-based work	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Labs	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Working on problem sets with others	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Completing written assignments	4.0	1	4.0	0.0
Presentation & performance-related activities	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<u>Discussion forum (e.g., Piazza, Discord, Canvas, Stellar)</u>	4.0	1	4.0	0.0

Were there other components that contributed to your learning in this subject?

Student 50915 - Great to get to know everyone in ResEnv. Hope to work together on some projects in future :-)

# **Show/Hide Comments**

(top of page)