## MIT Online Subject Evaluation | Guide to Reports

Change report view: Ramsay, David Bradford ➤

**MAS.836 Sensor Technologies for Interactive Environments** 

Survey Window: Spring 2016 End of Term | View Current Catalog Entry | Print Report

Report Includes Data for: Students: For credit

Subjects: MAS.836 Sensor Technologies for Interactive Environments - Lecture L01

(filter data)

Eligible to Total # of Response rate: Overall rating of subject: 5.8

Download Set of Individual Student Responses: PDF raw data

# **Show/Hide Comments**

### **INSTRUCTORS**

Quality of Teaching	1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)			1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)
NAME	Stimulated interest	Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	Helped me learn	Overall rating
Paradiso, Joseph A., Lecturer (LEC)	<b>6.7</b> (6)	<b>7.0</b> (6)	<b>5.5</b> (6)	<b>6.2</b> (6)
Feldmeier, Mark Christopher, Teaching Assistant (LEC)	<b>6.7</b> (3)	<b>6.7</b> (3)	<b>6.7</b> (3)	<b>6.7</b> (3)
Ramsay, David Bradford, Teaching Assistant (LEC)	<b>6.5</b> (2)	<b>6.5</b> (2)	<b>6.5</b> (2)	<b>6.5</b> (2)
Russell, Spencer Franklin, Teaching Assistant (LEC)	<b>6.6</b> (5)	<b>6.4</b> (5)	<b>6.8</b> (5)	<b>6.4</b> (5)
Mayton, Brian Dean, Teaching Assistant (LEC)	<b>6.5</b> (2)	<b>6.5</b> (2)	<b>6.5</b> (2)	<b>6.5</b> (2)

# Ramsay, David Bradford, Teaching Assistant in Lecture Lo1 - Overall rating: 6.5

Quality of Teaching	N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)			
	AVG 1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
Stimulated interest	6.5	2	6.5	0.71
Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	6.5	2	6.5	0.71
Helped me learn	6.5	2	6.5	0.71

	Rating Scale: 1=Very is best)	Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)				
	<b>AVG</b> 1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV		
Overall rating	6.5	2	6.5	0.71		

#### Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, **SUBJECT** N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best) AVG 1234567 RESPONSES MEDIAN STDEV Subject expectations were clearly defined 6.2 6 6.0 0.75 Subject's learning objectives were met 6.2 6 6.0 0.75 Assignments contributed to my learning 6.5 6 6.5 0.55 2 Grading thus far has been fair 6.5 0.71 6.5

Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)

		1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
The pace of the class (content and assignments) was:	4.7		6	4.0	1.21

	AVG	RESPONSESMEDIAI	N STDEV
Average hours you spent per week on this subject in the classroom	5.2	6 4.0	3.6
Average hours you spent per week on this subject outside of the classroom	9.6	5 8.0	7.92

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)

	AVG 1234567	RESPONSE	SMEDIAN	STDEV
Overall rating of the subject	5.8	6	6.0	1.17

#### Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)

<u>Student 32555</u> - It's a good class, but it's just not my type of class. It's mostly a big lit review on electronics projects involving sensors. Plenty of breath, practically no depth. It would have been helpful if lectures covered how to use sensors. I would have liked to see more labs. Also, please collect assignments at the end of class instead of having us take it to the TA's mailbox.

Student 36082 - This class is really awesome!

Student 43351 - I really enjoyed this class. Well-taught, and the TAs (especially Spencer and Mark) were excellent. Joe's lectures were very entertaining, though I didn't always feel like they taught information I could use/ apply in a practical way. The lectures were more useful for getting high-level ideas/ inspirations, and the labs/ psets/ conversations with TAs helped me actually learn the material. It would have been nice to have a bit more feedback over the course of the semester (so far, we haven't had a single graded pset or lab returned to us...)

# **Show/Hide Comments**

(top of page)