### MIT Online Subject Evaluation | Guide to Reports

Change report view: Ramsay, David Bradford ➤

**MAS.836 Sensor Technologies for Interactive Environments** 

Survey Window: Spring 2017 End of Term | View Current Catalog Entry | Print Report

Report Includes Data for: Students: For credit

Subjects: MAS.836 Sensor Technologies for Interactive Environments - Lecture L01

(filter data) 🖳

Eligible to Respond: Total # of Response rate: Overall rating of subject: 5.0

13 **(2)** Respondents: 7 **(2)** 54% **(2)** out of 7

Download Set of Individual Student Responses: PDF raw data

## **Show/Hide Comments**

#### INSTRUCTORS

| Quality of Teaching                                 | 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly<br>Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best) |  |                       | 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent,<br>N/A=Not Applicable (7 is<br>best) |
|---|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| NAME  | Stimulated interest   | Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material | Helped<br>me<br>learn | Overall rating   |
| Paradiso, Joseph A., Lecturer (LEC)                 | <b>6.3</b> (7)  | <b>6.9</b> (7)                                   | <b>5.4</b> (7)        | <b>5.6</b> (7)   |
| Cherston, Juliana Mae, Teaching Assistant (LEC)     | <b>5.2</b> (5)  | <b>5.4</b> (5)                                   | <b>5.8</b> (5)        | <b>5.6</b> (5)   |
| Ramsay, David Bradford, Teaching Assistant (LEC)    | <b>5.0</b> (1)  | <b>7.0</b> (1)                                   | <b>6.0</b> (1)        | <b>6.0</b> (1)   |
| Dementyev, Artem, Teaching Assistant (LEC)          | <b>6.0</b> (1)  | <b>6.0</b> (1)                                   | 7.0 (1)               | 7.0 (1)  |
| Russell, Spencer Franklin, Teaching Assistant (LEC) | 0.0 (0)   | 0.0 (0)  | 0.0 (0)               | 0.0 (0)  |

Ramsay, David Bradford, Teaching Assistant in Lecture Lo1 - Overall rating: 6.0

| Quality of Teaching                              | Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, /=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best) |           |        |       |  |  |
|--|--|-----------|--------|-------|--|--|
|  | AVG 1234567  | RESPONSES | MEDIAN | STDEV |  |  |
| Stimulated interest                              | 5.0  | 1         | 5.0    | 0.0   |  |  |
| Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material | 7.0  | 1         | 7.0    | 0.0   |  |  |
| Helped me learn                                  | 6.0  | 1         | 6.0    | 0.0   |  |  |

 Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)

 AVG
 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
 RESPONSES
 MEDIAN
 STDEV

 Overall rating
 6.0
 1
 6.0
 0.0

| SUBJECT                                   | Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best) |           |        |       |  |  |
|---|--|-----------|--------|-------|--|--|
|   | AVG 1234567  | RESPONSES | MEDIAN | STDEV |  |  |
| Subject expectations were clearly defined | 5.6  | 7         | 6.0    | 1.51  |  |  |
| Subject's learning objectives were met    | 5.4  | 7         | 5.0    | 0.98  |  |  |
| Assignments contributed to my learning    | 6.9  | 7         | 7.0    | 0.38  |  |  |
| Grading thus far has been fair            | 6.1  | 7         | 7.0    | 1.21  |  |  |

Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)

|  |     | 1234567 | RESPONSES | MEDIAN | STDEV |
|--|-----|---------|-----------|--------|-------|
| The pace of the class (content and assignments) was: | 4.7 |         | 7         | 5.0    | 0.76  |

|   | AVG | RESPONSI | ESMEDIAN | STDEV |
|---|-----|----------|----------|-------|
| Average hours you spent per week on this subject in the classroom         | 5.1 | 7        | 4.0      | 3.02  |
| Average hours you spent per week on this subject outside of the classroom | 9.4 | 7        | 9.0      | 3.36  |

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor. 7=Excellent (7 is best)

|                               | AVG 1234567 | RESPONSE | SMEDIAN | STDEV |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|-------|
| Overall rating of the subject | 5.0         | 7        | 6.0     | 1.41  |

#### Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)

Student 225 - A tighter connection between the psets/labs and the lectures would be appreciated. Often the lectures would feel very abstract in comparison with the assignments.

Student 13058 - I did like the class a lot but it seems that the we slowed down towards the end and didn't cover some of the planned topics. The assignments were very helpful and I enjoyed them!

Student 59313 - it is really an important part of learning to see the right answers to P.sets, after they are graded, sit with them quietly at home and re learn the parts you don't understand. I understand the concern of having the answers available for everyone, but truly believe it is worth it in order to make those who care about learning and the course actually learn and get better.

<u>Student 74407</u> - Enjoyed the class- interesting topics and I learned a lot. Some of the labs were challenging for someone like me with little electronics experience, but the recitations helped a bit. Would have enjoyed playing with more types of sensors, and learning a bit more about recent technologies. Overall, really enjoyed the class.

Student 78219 - This would be an excellent class if it had a different structure. The instructor and the TAs are very knowledgeable and helpful, however the way that the class is structured doesn't help students (especially students with EE or relevant backgrounds) learn. More official office hours, direct feedback on the assignments and lab training would be extremely helpful.

# **Show/Hide Comments**

(top of page)