MIT Online Subject Evaluation | Guide to Reports

Change report view: Ramsay, David Bradford ➤

MAS.836 Sensor Technologies for Interactive Environments

Survey Window: Spring 2015 End of Term | View Current Catalog Entry | Print Report

Report Includes Data for: Students: For credit

Subjects: MAS.836 Sensor Technologies for Interactive Environments - Lecture L01

(filter data) 🖳

Eligible to Respond: Total # of Response rate: Overall rating of subject: 6.0

11 **(2)** Respondents: 5 **(2)** 45% **(2)** out of 7

Download Set of Individual Student Responses: PDF raw data

Show/Hide Comments

INSTRUCTORS

Quality of Teaching	1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)			1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
NAME	Stimulated interest	Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	Helped me learn	Overall rating	
Paradiso, Joseph, Lecturer (LEC)	6.4 (5)	6.8 (5)	6.2 (5)	6.4 (5)	
Dementyev, Artem, Teaching Assistant (LEC)	6.0 (2)	6.5 (2)	6.5 (2)	6.5 (2)	
Ramsay, David Bradford, Teaching Assistant (LEC)	6.0 (5)	7.0 (5)	7.0 (5)	6.8 (5)	

Ramsay, David Bradford, Teaching Assistant in Lecture Lo1 - Overall rating: 6.8

Quality of Teaching	Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, /=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)				
	AVG 1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV	
Stimulated interest	6.0	5	6.0	1.22	
Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	7.0	5	7.0	0.0	
Helped me learn	7.0	5	7.0	0.0	

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)

	AVG 1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
Overall rating	6.8	5	7.0	0.45

Comments on teaching (strengths, areas for improvement)

Student 9287 - Best TA ever!

Student 48919 - David organized recitations and made himself available for help. He knows the subject well and he is also very good at explaining the concepts. He has been extremely patient and helpful to answer my questions on the homework and labs.

SUBJECT

SUBJECT	Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)				
	AVG 1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV	
Subject expectations were clearly defined	5.8	4	6.5	1.89	
Subject's learning objectives were met	6.0	4	6.0	0.82	
Assignments contributed to my learning	6.5	4	6.5	0.58	
Grading thus far has been fair	6.5	4	6.5	0.58	

Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)

AVC	3 1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
The pace of the class (content and assignments) was: 6.0		4	6.5	1 41

	AVG	RESPONS	SESMEDIAN	STDEV
Average hours you spent per week on this subject in the classroom	4.5	4	4.0	1.91
Average hours you spent per week on this subject outside of the classroom	7.8	4	8.0	2.06

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)

	AVG 1234567	RESPONSE	SMEDIAN	STDEV
Overall rating of the subject	6.0	4	6.0	0.82

Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)

Student 9287 - Excellent coverage of the field of sensor technologies, the breath of Prof. Paradiso's experience really shows! Some slides and concepts could be more clearly rendered, instead of scans of handwritten circuits and diagrams, but overall a great class!

Student 48919 - Introduced a lot sensors and their principles in the lecture. It would be great if the labs can match the subject matters in the lecture and offer the chance to cover more applications of the sensors. The course was a little too technical for people without EE background.

<u>Student 50109</u> - I strongly feel this class should either have prerequisites or reduce the difficulty of the labs. It is impossible for someone without prior knowledge to complete the labs without help.

Show/Hide Comments

(top of page)