MIT Online Subject Evaluation | Guide to Reports

Change report view: Ramsay, David Bradford ➤

Overall rating of subject:

MAS.S61 Special Subject in Media Technology

Survey Window: Spring 2021 End of Term | View Current Catalog Entry | Print Report

Report Includes Data for: Students: For credit

Subjects: MAS.S61 Special Subject in Media Technology - Lecture L01

(filter data) 🖳

Eligible to Respond: Total # of Respondents: Response rate:

50% ② out of

Download Set of Individual Student Responses: PDF raw data

Due to pandemic-related disruptions in academic year 2020-21, many instructors have had to modify the scope and content of the subject, the means of delivery, the grading and assessment scheme, and other aspects of their subjects. In future versions of the subject many of these modifications may or may not be retained. These evaluation data specifically reflect feedback on a version taught during a disrupted term.

Show/Hide Comments

INSTRUCTORS

Subject Evaluation for Partially Remote Term	1=Strongly Disagree, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)				
NAME	Instructor stimulated my interest in the subject	Instructor displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	Instructor supported my learning		
Picard, Rosalind W., Instructor (LEC)	6.5 (4)	7.0 (4)	6.8 (4)		
Ramsay, David Bradford, Teaching Assistant (LEC)	7.0 (3)	7.0 (3)	7.0 (3)		
Liu, Yuanbo, Teaching Assistant (LEC)	6.7 (3)	7.0 (3)	6.7 (3)		

Ramsay, David Bradford, Teaching Assistant in Lecture Lo1 - Overall rating:

Subject Evaluation for Partially Remote Term	Applicable (7 is best)				
	AVG 1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV	
Instructor stimulated my interest in the subject	7.0	3	7.0	0.0	
Instructor displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	7.0	3	7.0	0.0	
Instructor supported my learning	7.0	3	7.0	0.0	

Please provide comments on teaching (strengths, responsiveness to concerns, areas for improvement)

Student 3505 - Best professor I have had in a long time.

SUBJECT

SUBJECT EVALUATION FOR PARTIALLY REMOTE Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)

	AVG	1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
The expectations for the subject were clearly defined	5.8		4	6.0	1.26
The learning objectives for the subject were met	6.8		4	7.0	0.5
The subject's assignments contributed to my learning	6.5		4	6.5	0.58
The subject's grading thus far has been fair	6.8		4	7.0	0.5
I was satisfied with my overall learning experience in	6.8		4	7.0	0.5
	6.8		4	7.0	0.5

	AVG	RESPON	SESMEDIAN	STDEV
How much time (in hours) did you spend per week on this subject?	10.7	3	10.0	2.08

Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)

AVG	1234567	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
The pace of the class (content and assignments) was: 4.8		4	4.5	0.96

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neither Disagree Nor Agree, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (5 is best)

	AVG 12345	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
I was able to easily locate necessary class materials	4.8	4	5.0	0.5
Expectations for participation were clearly stated.	4.3	4	4.5	0.96
Instructions for graded assignments were clearly stated	4.5	4	4.5	0.58
An appropriate orientation to all technology required for the subject was provided.	3.8	4	3.5	0.96
I received feedback that improved my learning.	4.5	4	4.5	0.58

Please provide detail on any item for which you strongly disagree or strongly agree. Please be as specific as possible.

Student 3505 - I would add an additional training module for data analysis, and some references on best practices for study design.

Rating Scale: 1=To No Extent, 2=To a Small Extent, 3=To a Moderate Extent, 4=To a Great Extent, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)

	AVG 1234	RESPONSES	MEDIAN	STDEV
Live (synchronous) lectures/class periods	3.8	4	4.0	0.5
Synchronous class discussions	3.8	4	4.0	0.5
Asynchronous learning activities (e.g., previously recorded presentation of course material, pre-class reading, answering pre-class questions, etc.)	3.5	2	3.5	0.71
Recitations	4.0	1	4.0	0.0
Office hours	3.3	3	3.0	0.58

Collaborative/Team Project-based work	4.0	4	4.0	0.0
Labs	4.0	1	4.0	0.0
Working on problem sets with others	4.0	1	4.0	0.0
Completing written assignments	3.3	4	3.0	0.5
Presentation & performance-related activities	3.3	4	3.5	0.96
<u>Discussion forum (e.g., Piazza, Discord, Canvas,</u> Stellar)	3.0	3	3.0	1.0

Were there other components that contributed to your learning in this subject?

Student 28495 - passion of instructors, taking a project start to finish, high expectations combined with lots of support and inspiration = great!

Please feel free to offer suggestions for changes to this subject for future offerings (e.g., topics covered, format, delivery, assignments) and/or to leave other constructive comments below.

Student 3505 - Should be a permanent offering. Fantastic content. Only thing needed would be more training on study design and data analysis.

Show/Hide Comments

(top of page)