

Marking Rubric for Assessment 2

	File	Weight	HD	D	C	P	F
Output(60%)	Dirty data	50%	At least 90% of the errors are fixed.	At least 80% of the errors are fixed.	At least 70% of the errors are fixed.	At least 60% of the errors are fixed.	Below 50% of the errors are fixed.
	Outliers	25%	At least 85% of the outliers are removed.	At least 80% of the outliers are removed.	At least 75% of the outliers are removed.	At least 70% of the outliers are removed.	Below 50% of the outliers are removed.
	Missing Values	25%	At least 90% of the missing values are imputed correctly.	At least 80% of the missing values are imputed correctly.	At least 70% of the missing values are imputed correctly.	At least 60% of the missing values are imputed correctly.	Below 50% of the missing values are imputed correctly.
Methodology (20%)			The report has demonstrated a good solution in a proper way. No error or only minor errors are found in the methodology. The methodology consists of all required steps for the tasks, and produces output with HD scores.	The report has demonstrated a reasonable solution. Two key step is missing or leads to the incorrect output.	The report has provided a fair solution. Three key steps are missing or lead to incorrect output.	The report has provided a poor solution. Four key steps are missing or lead to incorrect output.	The report has provided a bad solution. Only one or two steps are demonstrated, which clearly cannot achieve the correct output.
Documentation (20%)			The report has proper sections and subsections (e.g. introduction, methodology, conclusion,...). The methodology is explained properly and the code is well commented.	The report has proper sections and subsections (e.g. introduction, methodology, conclusion,...). The methodology is explained fairly and the code is fairly commented. The report can be improved.	The report has some sectioning but it is not well organized. The explanation of the report is limited and the code is well commented.	The report has some sectioning but it is not well organized. The explanation of the report is poor and the code is well commented.	The report has poor/no sectioning and it is not well organized. The explanation of the report is poor and the code is poorly commented.