

Online and Reinforcement Learning (2025)

Home Assignment 2

Davide Marchi 777881

Contents

1	Short Questions	2
2	MDPs with Similar Parameters Have Similar Values	3
3	Policy Evaluation in RiverSwim	5
4	Solving a Discounted Grid-World	5
5	Off-Policy Evaluation in Episode-Based River-Swim	5

1 Short Questions

Determine whether each statement below is True or False and provide a very brief justification.

1. **Statement:** “In a finite discounted MDP, every possible policy induces a Markov Reward Process.”

Answer: False. This statement assumes that the policy depends only on the current state. If we allow policies to depend on the *entire* past history (*history-dependent* policies), then the resulting transitions in the state space may no longer satisfy the Markov property, since the chosen action at each step might be a function of all previous states and actions. Hence not *every* (fully history-dependent) policy necessarily induces a Markov Reward Process in the *original* state space.

2. **Statement:** “Consider a finite discounted MDP, and assume that π is an optimal policy. Then, the action(s) output by π does not depend on history other than the current state (i.e., π is necessarily stationary).”

Answer: False. While it is true that there *exists* an optimal policy which is stationary deterministic, it does not follow that *all* optimal policies must be so. In fact, multiple distinct policies (some stationary, others possibly history-dependent or randomized) can achieve exactly the same optimal value. Hence it is incorrect to say that *any* optimal policy π must be purely state-dependent (stationary).

3. **Statement:** “In a finite discounted MDP, a greedy policy with respect to optimal action-value function, Q^* , corresponds to an optimal policy.”

Answer: True. From the Bellman optimality equations for Q^* , a policy that selects

$$\arg \max_a Q^*(s, a)$$

at each state s is indeed an optimal policy. This policy attains the same value as Q^* itself, thus achieving the optimal value.

4. **Statement:** “Under the coverage assumption, the Weighted Importance Sampling Estimator \hat{V}_{wIS} converges to V^π with probability 1.”

Answer: True. The coverage assumption ensures that the target policy’s state-action probabilities are absolutely continuous w.r.t. the behavior policy. Under this assumption, Weighted Importance Sampling (though slightly biased) is a *consistent* estimator of V^π , meaning it converges almost surely to V^π as the sample size grows unbounded.

2 MDPs with Similar Parameters Have Similar Values

Setup: We have two discounted MDPs

$$M_1 = (S, A, P_1, R_1, \gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = (S, A, P_2, R_2, \gamma),$$

sharing the same discount factor $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, the same finite state-action space, and rewards bounded in $[0, R_{\max}]$. For all state-action pairs (s, a) :

$$|R_1(s, a) - R_2(s, a)| \leq \alpha, \quad \|P_1(\cdot | s, a) - P_2(\cdot | s, a)\|_1 \leq \beta.$$

Consider a fixed stationary policy π , and let V_1^π and V_2^π be its value functions in M_1 and M_2 , respectively. The goal is to show that

$$|V_1^\pi(s) - V_2^\pi(s)| \leq \frac{\alpha + \gamma R_{\max} \beta}{(1 - \gamma)^2} \quad \text{for every state } s \in S.$$

Step 1: Write down the Bellman equations for each MDP.

By definition of π , the Bellman fixed-point form is:

$$V_1^\pi = r_1^\pi + \gamma P_1^\pi V_1^\pi, \quad V_2^\pi = r_2^\pi + \gamma P_2^\pi V_2^\pi,$$

where

$$r_m^\pi(s) = R_m(s, \pi(s)), \quad (P_m^\pi f)(s) = \sum_{s'} P_m(s' | s, \pi(s)) f(s'), \quad m = 1, 2.$$

Define $\delta = V_1^\pi - V_2^\pi$. Then

$$\delta = (r_1^\pi - r_2^\pi) + \gamma (P_1^\pi V_1^\pi - P_2^\pi V_2^\pi).$$

To facilitate the separation of terms, we introduce and subtract $\gamma P_1^\pi V_2^\pi$, which allows us to rewrite the second term as:

$$P_1^\pi V_1^\pi - P_2^\pi V_2^\pi = (P_1^\pi V_1^\pi - P_1^\pi V_2^\pi) + (P_1^\pi V_2^\pi - P_2^\pi V_2^\pi).$$

Substituting this back, we obtain:

$$\delta = (r_1^\pi - r_2^\pi) + \gamma P_1^\pi (V_1^\pi - V_2^\pi) + \gamma (P_1^\pi - P_2^\pi) V_2^\pi.$$

Step 3: Take norms and use triangle/inequality bounds.

Taking the supremum norm ($\|\cdot\|_\infty$) on both sides,

$$\|\delta\|_\infty \leq \|r_1^\pi - r_2^\pi\|_\infty + \gamma \|P_1^\pi \delta\|_\infty + \gamma \|(P_1^\pi - P_2^\pi) V_2^\pi\|_\infty.$$

- *Reward difference:*

Since $|R_1(s, a) - R_2(s, a)| \leq \alpha$, it follows that $\|r_1^\pi - r_2^\pi\|_\infty \leq \alpha$.

- *Term with $P_1^\pi \delta$:*

We have

$$\|P_1^\pi \delta\|_\infty \leq \|\delta\|_\infty,$$

since P_1^π is a probability kernel and thus a contraction in sup norm.

- *Term with $(P_1^\pi - P_2^\pi) V_2^\pi$:*

For each s ,

$$|(P_1^\pi - P_2^\pi) V_2^\pi(s)| \leq \sum_{s'} |P_1(s' | s, \pi(s)) - P_2(s' | s, \pi(s))| |V_2^\pi(s')|.$$

By assumption, $\|P_1(\cdot | s, a) - P_2(\cdot | s, a)\|_1 \leq \beta$, and $\|V_2^\pi\|_\infty \leq \frac{R_{\max}}{1-\gamma}$. Hence,

$$\|(P_1^\pi - P_2^\pi) V_2^\pi\|_\infty \leq \beta \frac{R_{\max}}{1-\gamma}.$$

Putting these bounds together,

$$\|\delta\|_\infty \leq \alpha + \gamma \|\delta\|_\infty + \gamma \beta \frac{R_{\max}}{1-\gamma}.$$

Step 4: Solve for $\|\delta\|_\infty$.

We isolate $\|\delta\|_\infty$ on one side:

$$(1 - \gamma) \|\delta\|_\infty \leq \alpha + \gamma \beta \frac{R_{\max}}{1-\gamma}.$$

Thus

$$\|\delta\|_\infty \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\gamma} + \frac{\gamma \beta R_{\max}}{(1-\gamma)^2}.$$

Since $\alpha/(1-\gamma) \leq \alpha/(1-\gamma)^2$ whenever $0 < \gamma < 1$, we can write

$$\|\delta\|_\infty \leq \frac{\alpha + \gamma \beta R_{\max}}{(1-\gamma)^2}.$$

Hence, for every state $s \in S$,

$$|V_1^\pi(s) - V_2^\pi(s)| \leq \|\delta\|_\infty \leq \frac{\alpha + \gamma R_{\max} \beta}{(1-\gamma)^2}.$$

This is the desired result.

- 3 Policy Evaluation in RiverSwim**
- 4 Solving a Discounted Grid-World**
- 5 Off-Policy Evaluation in Episode-Based River-Swim**